

ICPSR 36371

## **The Attack on America and Civil Liberties Trade-Offs: A Three-Wave National Panel Survey, 2001-2004**

Darren W. Davis

*University of Notre Dame. Department of  
Political Science*

Brian D. Silver

*Michigan State University. Department of  
Political Science*

Data Collection Instruments

Inter-university Consortium for  
Political and Social Research  
P.O. Box 1248  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106  
[www.icpsr.umich.edu](http://www.icpsr.umich.edu)

# Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:  
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/36371/terms>

## Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

### NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

## Questionnaire for Wave 1 of “Civil Liberties Trade-Offs” Questionnaire (2001)

```
>ID0< [allow 2][loc 0/1][inputloc 1/1] [caseid]
>ID1< [allow 2][loc 1/1][store ID0 in ID1]
>random4< [allow 1][inputloc 1/8] 1-6
>random5< [allow 1][inputloc 1/9] 1-6
>random6< [allow 1][inputloc 1/10] 1-6
>random7< [allow 1][inputloc 1/11] 1-6
>random9< [allow 1][inputloc 1/13] 1-8
>TIME1< [allow 4]
>TIME2< [allow 4]
>T*TIM< [allow 4]
>sectime1< [allow 4]
>sectime2< [allow 4]
>sectime< [allow 4]
>cause1< [allow 4]
>cause2< [allow 4]
>causetime< [allow 4]
>dog1< [allow 4]
>dog2< [allow 4]
>dogtime< [allow 4]
>therm1< [allow 4]
>therm2< [allow 4]
>thermtime< [allow 4]
>amer1< [allow 4]
>amer2< [allow 4]
>amertime< [allow 4]
>civil1< [allow 4]
>civil2< [allow 4]
>civiltime< [allow 4]
```

>CONSENT< [settime TIME1] [#loc 0/500]

Before we begin let me tell you that this interview is completely voluntary. Let me also tell you that this interview is completely confidential. Your privacy will be protected to the maximum extent allowable by law. Should we come to any question that makes you feel too uncomfortable or you don't want to answer, just let me know and we can go on to the next question.

For quality control purposes, this interview may be monitored by my supervisor.

### READ ONLY IF NECESSARY:

(If you have any questions about your rights or role as a subject of research, you may contact Dr. Ashir Kumar, Chair of the University Committee for Research Involving Human Subjects at 517.355.2180. Should you have any questions about this study or your participation in it, you are welcome to contact Karen Clark at 517.355.6672.)

>SEC4< [settime sectime1] I'd like to start by asking you some questions about your feelings since the terrorist attacks on September 11<sup>th</sup>. All in all, how concerned are you that the United States might suffer another terrorist attack in the next 3 months? Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

>SEC5< How concerned are you that [green]the area[n] in which you live might suffer a terrorist attack in the next 3 months? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @

SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

>f1<(How concerned are you about) flying on airplane? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

>f2< (How concerned are you about) opening your mail? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

>f3< (How concerned are you about) the safety of food and drinking water? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

>f5< (How concerned are you about) going into tall buildings? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

>f6< (How concerned are you about) being in large crowds or stadiums? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

>CT2a<[settime sectime2][settime cause1] People have different opinions about the [green]root[n] causes of terrorism against the United States. As I list some possible causes, please tell me whether you think it is a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause.

The U.S. government's support of Israel. Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @

SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>ct2c< The U.S. not providing enough economic aid to poor countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>ct2d< The Islamic religion. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>ct2e< The U.S. pursuing only its own economic interests in its dealings with other countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>ct2f< Terrorists believing that it is the only way that their grievances will be heard. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>ct2g< The U.S. meddling too much in the affairs of other countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>ct2h< Feelings of revenge by terrorists for harms done to their people. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @

SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>CT1a< How much responsibility do you [green]personally[n] believe the U.S. bears for the hatred that lead to the terrorist attacks? Would you say a lot of responsibility, some, a little, or none at all?

A LOT.....1 @  
SOME.....2  
A LITTLE.....3  
NONE AT ALL.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

>dg1< [settime cause2][settime dog1] Next, I would like to read you a series of statements and have you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with each. The first is, there are two kinds of people in this world: those who are for the truth and those who are against it. Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>dg2< A group which tolerates too many difference of opinions among its members cannot exist for long. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>dg3< To compromise with our political opponents is dangerous because it usually leads to the betrayal of our own side. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>dg4< Of all the different philosophies that exist in the world there is probably only one that is correct. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>dg5< In the long run the best way to live is to pick friends and associates whose tastes and beliefs are the same as one's own. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

>dg6< Most of the ideas that get printed nowadays aren't worth the paper they are printed on. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>dn10< If someone is suspected of treason or other serious crimes, he should be entitled to be released on bail. Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>dn11< When the country is in great danger we may have to force people to testify against themselves in court even if it violates their rights. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>dn12< No matter what a person's political beliefs are, he is entitled to the same legal rights and protections as anyone else. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>dn13< Free speech ought to be allowed for all political groups even if some of the things they say are highly insulting and threatening to some segments of society. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>dn14< Any person who hides behind the laws when he is questioned about his activities doesn't deserve much consideration. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>gt1< The next set of questions are about trust. How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right? Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time?

JUST ABOUT ALWAYS.....1 @  
MOST OF THE TIME.....2  
SOME OF THE TIME.....3  
NONE OF THE TIME.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>gt2< Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves, or that it is run for the benefit of all people.

RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS.....1 @  
RUN FOR BENEFIT OF ALL PEOPLE.....5  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>fp1< Some people say that most people can be trusted. Other's say you can't be too careful in your dealings with people. Which of these opinions comes closest to your own?

MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED.....1 @  
YOU CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL.....5  
DEPENDS/(R VOLUNTEERS).....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>fp2< Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?

PEOPLE TRY TO BE HELPFUL.....1 @  
LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES.....5  
DEPENDS/(R VOLUNTEERS).....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>gt3< How much of the time do you think you can trust law enforcement to do what is right? (Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time)?

JUST ABOUT ALWAYS.....1 @  
MOST OF THE TIME.....2  
SOME OF THE TIME.....3  
NONE OF THE TIME.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>gt4< Since the terrorist attacks, has your confidence in law enforcement increased, decreased, or has stayed about the same?

INCREASED.....1 @  
DECREASED.....2  
STAYED ABOUT THE SAME.....3  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>gt5< How much safer have the actions of the U.S. government and law enforcement against terrorism made you feel? A lot safer, somewhat safer or not at all safer?

A LOT SAFER.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT SAFER.....3  
NOT AT ALL SAFER.....5  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9



>ft< [settime dog2][settime therm1] The next questions are about some groups of people. I'll name some groups of people and ask you to rate how you feel toward that group on a thermometer that runs from zero (0) to ten (10). The higher the number, the warmer or more favorably you feel toward that group. The lower the number, the colder or less favorably you feel. If you feel neither warm nor cold toward them, rate them a five (5).

```
[nodata]@
>rotate5< [if random9 eq <1> goto ft1a]
      [if random9 eq <2> goto ft1b]
      [if random9 eq <3> goto ft1c]
      [if random9 eq <4> goto ft1d]
      [if random9 eq <5> goto ft1e]
      [if random9 eq <6> goto ft1g]
      [if random9 eq <7> goto ft1h]
```

>ft1a< People of Middle Eastern or Arabic backgrounds? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

```
COLD.....0
                2-9
WARM.....10 @
DO NOT KNOW.....98
REFUSED.....99
```

>go7a< [if random9 eq <2> goto i1]

>ft1b< People who are white?(How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

```
COLD.....0
                2-9
WARM.....10 @
DO NOT KNOW.....98
REFUSED.....99
```

>go7b< [if random9 eq <3> goto i1]

>ft1c< People who are Islamic Fundamentalists? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

```
COLD.....0
                2-9
WARM.....10 @
DO NOT KNOW.....98
REFUSED.....99
```

>go7c< [if random9 eq <4> goto i1]

>ft1d< People who are Hispanics or Latinos? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

```
COLD.....0
                2-9
WARM.....10 @
DO NOT KNOW.....98
REFUSED.....99
```

>go7d< [if random9 eq <5> goto i1]

>ft1e< People who are Jewish? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

```
COLD.....0
```

2-9  
WARM.....10 @  
DO NOT KNOW.....98  
REFUSED.....99

>go7e< [if random9 eq <6> goto i1]

>ft1g< People who are Christian fundamentalists? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

COLD.....0  
2-9  
WARM.....10 @  
DO NOT KNOW.....98  
REFUSED.....99

>go7g< [if random9 eq <7> goto i1]

>ft1h< People who are Black or African American? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

COLD.....0  
2-9  
WARM.....10 @  
DO NOT KNOW.....98  
REFUSED.....99

>go7i< [if random9 ge <2> goto ft1a]

>i1< [settime therm2][settime amer1] Next, I would like to read you some things that some people say are important to be considered a true American. I would like to know what you think.

To be a true American, how important is it to be born in America? Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not important at all?

VERY IMPORTANT .....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT .....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT .....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT .....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>i2< (To be a true American, how important is it) to have American citizenship? (Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not important at all?)

VERY IMPORTANT .....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT .....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT .....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT .....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>i3< (To be a true American how important is it) to be able to speak English? (Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not important at all?)

VERY IMPORTANT .....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT .....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT .....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT .....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

>i4< (To be a true American how important is it) to be a Christian? (Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not important at all?)

VERY IMPORTANT .....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT .....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT .....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT .....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>i6< (To be a true American, how important is it) to be white or Caucasian? (Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not important at all?)

VERY IMPORTANT .....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT .....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT .....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT .....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>i7< (To be a true American, how important is it) to be willing to serve the United States in times of war? (Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not important at all?)

VERY IMPORTANT .....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT .....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT .....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT .....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>ic1< [settime amer2] The next set of questions are about immigration policies in the U.S.

Generally speaking, do you feel there are too many, a lot but not too many, or not that many people of another nationality living in our country?

TOO MANY.....1 @  
A LOT BUT NOT TOO MANY.....2  
NOT MANY.....3  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>ic2< In your opinion, should legal immigration in the United States be increased, kept at its present level, or decreased?

BE INCREASED.....1 @  
KEPT AT PRESENT LEVEL.....2  
DECREASED.....3  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>p1< How proud are you to be an American? Would you say very proud, proud, somewhat proud, not very proud, or not proud at all?

VERY PROUD.....1 @  
PROUD.....2  
SOMEWHAT PROUD.....3  
NOT VERY PROUD.....4  
NOT PROUD AT ALL.....5  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>CL1< [settime civil1] Next I am going to read you a series of two statements. Please tell me which one you agree with most.

The first is, in order to curb terrorism in this country, it will be necessary to give up some civil liberties. -or-

We should preserve our freedoms above all, even if there remains some risk of terrorism. (Which statement do you agree with most?)

Necessary to give up some civil liberties.....1 @  
We should preserve our freedoms.....5  
IT DEPENDS/NEITHER (R volunteers).....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1,5>[goto cl1a]  
<7,8>  
<9>[missing][goto CL2]

>clflup< f you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (In order to curb terrorism in this country, it will be necessary to give up some civil liberties. -or- We should preserve our freedoms above all, even if there remains some risk of terrorism.)

Necessary to give up some civil liberties.....1 @  
We should preserve our freedoms.....5  
DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1,5>[goto cl1a]  
<7,8,9>[missing][goto CL2]

>cl1a< Would you say you strongly agree with the statement, or just somewhat agree with the statement?

STRONGLY AGREE WITH STATEMENT..... 1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE WITH STATEMENT.....2  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>CL2< Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times to show to a police officer upon request. -or-

Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's freedom of association and right to privacy. (Which statement do you agree with most?)

People required to carry national ID card . . . 1 @  
ID cards violate freedom of association and right of privacy.....5  
IT DEPENDS/NEITHER (R volunteers).....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1,5>[goto cl2a]  
<7,8>  
<9>[missing][goto CL4]

>c2flup< If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times to show to a police officer on request. -or-

Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's freedom of association and right to privacy).

People required to carry national ID card . . . 1 @  
ID cards violate freedom of association and right of privacy.....5  
DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1,5>  
<7,8,9>[missing][goto CL4]

>cl2a< Would you say you strongly agree with the statement, or just somewhat agree with the statement?

STRONGLY AGREE WITH STATEMENT..... 1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE WITH STATEMENT.....2  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>CL4< Some people say it should be a crime for anyone to belong to or contribute money to any organization that supports international terrorism.

Others say that a person's guilt or innocence [green]should not[n] be determined only by who they associate with or the organizations to which they belong. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TO TERRORISM.....1 @  
GUILT INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED .....5  
DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS).....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1>[goto CL4a] <5>[goto CL4b]  
<7><8>  
<9>[missing][goto CL5]

>cl4flup< If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (It should be a crime for anyone to belong to or contribute money to any organization that supports international terrorism.) - or -

(A person's guilt or innocence [green]should not[n] be determined only by who they associate with or the organizations to which they belong.)

REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TO TERRORISM.....1 @  
GUILT INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED .....5  
DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1>[goto CL4a] <5>[goto CL4b]  
<7,8,9>[goto CL5]

>CL4a< Suppose, in doing this, people would be judged guilty by association rather than by a crime they may have personally committed. If that happened, would you be less likely to view such people as committing a crime, more likely to view them as committing a crime, or would it make no difference in your answer?

LESS LIKELY.....1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE . . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1,5,7>  
<8,9>[missing]  
[default goto CL5]

>CL4b< Suppose people who contribute to these organizations actually are supporting the activities and goals of terrorist organizations. If that were true, would you be less likely to view them as innocent, more likely to view them as innocent, or would it make no difference in your answer?

LESS LIKELY.....1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE . . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>CL5< Some people say the government should be able to arrest and detain a non-citizen indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging to a terrorist organization. Others say nobody should be held for a long period of time without being formally charged with a crime. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES.....1 @  
OPPOSE DETAINING INDIVIDUALS.....5  
DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS).....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1>[goto CL5a]<5>[goto CL5b]  
<7,8>  
<9>[missing][goto CL6]

>cl5flup< If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (The government should be able to arrest and detain a non-citizen indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging to a terrorist organization. - or -

(Nobody should be held for a long period of time without being formally charged with a crime).

DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES.....1 @  
OPPOSE DETAINING INDIVIDUALS.....5  
DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1>[goto CL5a] <5>[goto CL5b]  
<7,8,9>[goto CL6]

>CL5a< Suppose this means that many innocent people could be locked up for a long time without ever be charged with a crime. If that happened, would you be [green]less[n] likely to support such detention, more likely to support such detention, or would it make no difference in your answer?

LESS LIKELY.....1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE . . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1,5,7>

<9>[missing]  
[default goto CL6]

>CL5b< Suppose there were strong suspicions that these people are terrorists and detaining them would prevent them from committing other crimes. If that happened, would you be [green]less[n] likely to oppose such detention, [green]more[n] likely to oppose it, or would it make no difference?

LESS LIKELY..... 1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE . . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>CL6< Some people say that law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes. This is called racial profiling.

Others think racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING.....1 @  
OPPOSE RACIAL PROFILING.....5  
DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>cl6flup< If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (Law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes.) - or -

(Racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity).

ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING.....1 @  
OPPOSE RACIAL PROFILING.....5  
DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1>[goto CL6a] <5>[goto CL6b]  
<7,8,9>[goto CL7]

>CL6a< Suppose this means that racial profiling often leads to unequal treatment of people just because of their race or national origin. If this happened, would you be less likely to support racial profiling, more likely to support it, or would this not make any difference your answer?

LESS LIKELY..... 1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE . . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1,5,7>  
<8,9>[missing]  
[default goto CL7]

>CL6b< Suppose people from certain racial or ethnic backgrounds were actually more likely to commit crimes. If this were the case, would you be less likely to oppose racial profiling, more likely to oppose it, or would this not make any difference in your answer.

LESS LIKELY.....1 @  
 MORE LIKELY.....5  
 NO DIFFERENCE . . . . . 7  
 DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

>CL7< Some people say high school teachers have the right to criticize America's policies toward terrorism. Others say that  
 [green]all[n] high school teachers should defend America's policies in order to promote loyalty to our country. Which of these  
 opinions do you agree with most?

SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES.....1 @  
 HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY.....5  
 DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)....7  
 DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@]<1,5>[goto rotate1]  
 <7,8>  
 <9>[missing][goto CL8]

>cl7flup< If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (High school teachers have the right to criticize  
 America's policies toward terrorism) - or -

(All high school teachers should defend America's policies in order to promote loyalty to our country).

SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES.....1 @  
 HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY.....5  
 DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
 DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@]<1,5>  
 <7,8,9>[goto CL8]

>rotate1< [if random4 eq <1> goto CL7a]  
 [if random4 eq <2> goto CL7b]  
 [if random4 eq <3> goto CL7c]  
 [if random4 eq <4> goto CL7d]  
 [if random4 eq <5> goto CL7e]

>CL7a< Suppose the teachers had an Middle-eastern or Arabic background.

[if CL7 eq <1> or cl7flup eq <1>]

Would you be less likely to say they have the right to criticize America's policies, more likely, or would this make no difference in  
 your answer?

[else] Would you be less likely to say they should defend America's policies, more likely, or would your opinion remain the same?  
 [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
 MORE LIKELY .....5  
 OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . . 7  
 DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

>go1a< [if random4 eq <2> goto CL8]

>CL7b< Suppose the teachers were not born in America.

[if CL7 eq <1> or cl7flup eq <1>] Would you be less likely to say they have the right to criticize America's  
 policies, more likely, or would this make no difference in your answer?



[else] Would you be less likely to say they should defend America's policies, more likely, or would your opinion remain the same?  
[endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go1b< [if random4 eq <3> goto CL8]

>CL7c< Suppose the teachers were [green]Black or African American[n].

[if CL7 eq <1> or cl7flup eq <1>] Would you be less likely to say they have the right to criticize America's policies, more likely, or would this make no difference in your answer?

[else] Would you be less likely to say they should defend America's policies, more likely, or would your opinion remain the same?  
[endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go1c< [if random4 eq <4> goto CL8]

>CL7d< Suppose the teachers were Jewish.

[if CL7 eq <1> or cl7flup eq <1>] Would you be less likely to say they have the right to criticize America's policies, more likely, or would this make no difference in your answer?

[else] Would you be less likely to say they should defend America's policies, more likely, or would your opinion remain the same?  
[endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go1d< [if random4 eq <5> goto CL8]

>CL7e< Suppose the teachers were Hispanic.

[if CL7 eq <1> or cl7flup eq <1>] Would you be less likely to say they have the right to criticize America's policies, more likely, or would this make no difference in your answer?

[else] Would you be less likely to say they should defend America's policies, more likely, or would your opinion remain the same?  
[endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

>go1e< [if random4 ge <2> goto CL7a]

>CL8< Some people say that law enforcement should be free to search a property without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act is being planned there. Others say that protection against searches without a warrant is a basic right that should not be given up for any reason. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT.....1 @  
OPPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT .....5  
DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS).....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1,5> [goto rotate2]  
<7,8>  
<9>[missing][goto CL9]

>cl8flup< If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? Law enforcement should be free to search a property without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act is being planned there). - or -

(Protection against searches without a warrant is a basic right that should not be given up for any reason).

ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT.....1 @  
OPPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT .....5  
DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED... .....9

[@]<1,5>  
<7,8,9>[goto CL9]

>rotate2< [if random5 eq <1> goto CL8a]  
[if random5 eq <2> goto CL8b]  
[if random5 eq <3> goto CL8c]  
[if random5 eq <4> goto CL8d]  
[if random5 eq <5> goto CL8e]

>CL8a< Suppose the people there had a Middle-Eastern or Arabic background.

[if CL8 eq <1> or cl8flup eq <1>] Would you be less likely allow searches based solely on suspicion, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Would you be less likely defend the right against such searches, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go2a< [if random5 eq <2> goto CL9]

>CL8b< Suppose the people (who were being searched) were white.

[if CL8 eq <1> or cl8flup eq <1>] Would you be less likely allow searches based solely on suspicion, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Would you be less likely defend the right against such searches, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go2b< [if random5 eq <3> goto CL9]

>CL8c< Suppose the people (who were being searched) were Black or African American.

[if CL8 eq <1> or cl8flup eq <1>] Would you be less likely allow searches based solely on suspicion, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Would you be less likely defend the right against such searches, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go2c< [if random5 eq <4> goto CL9]

>CL8d< Suppose the people (who were being searched) were Jewish.

[if CL8 eq <1> or cl8flup eq <1>] Would you be less likely allow searches based solely on suspicion, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Would you be less likely defend the right against such searches, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go2d< [if random5 eq <5> goto CL9]

>CL8e< Suppose the people (who were being searched) were Hispanic.

[if CL8 eq <1> or cl8flup eq <1>] Would you be less likely allow searches based solely on suspicion, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Would you be less likely defend the right against such searches, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go2e< [if random5 ge <2> goto CL8a]

>CL9< Some people say that government should be allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts. Others say that people's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL...1 @  
OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL...5  
DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS).....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1,5>[goto rotate3]

<7,8>  
<9>[missing][goto CL10]

>cl9flup< If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (The government should be allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts). - or -

(People's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution).

ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL...1 @  
OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL...5  
DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED... ..9

[@]<1,5>  
<7,8,9>[goto CL10]

>rotate3< [if random6 eq <1> goto CL9a]  
[if random6 eq <2> goto CL9b]  
[if random6 eq <3> goto CL9c]  
[if random6 eq <4> goto CL9d]  
[if random6 eq <5> goto CL9e]

>CL9a< Suppose the people involved had a Middle-Eastern or Arabic background.

[if CL9 eq <1> or cl9flup eq <1>] Knowing this, would you be less likely allow the recording of calls and monitoring e-mail, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Knowing this, would you be less likely to oppose the recording of calls and monitoring of e-mail, more likely to oppose this, or would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go3a< [if random6 eq <2> goto CL10]

>CL9b< Suppose the people involved were white.

[if CL9 eq <1> or cl9flup eq <1>] Knowing this, would you be less likely allow the recording of calls and monitoring e-mail, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Knowing this, would you be less likely to oppose the recording of calls and monitoring of e-mail, more likely to oppose this, or would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY..... .1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
OPINION WOULD REMAIN THE SAME 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go3b< [if random6 eq <3> goto CL10]

>CL9c< Suppose the people involved were Black or African American.

[if CL9 eq <1> or cl9flup eq <1>] Knowing this, would you be less likely allow the recording of calls and monitoring e-mail, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Knowing this, would you be less likely to oppose the recording of calls and monitoring of e-mail, more likely to oppose this, or would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5

OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go3c< [if random6 eq <4> goto CL10]

>CL9d< Suppose the people involved were Jewish.

[if CL9 eq <1> or cl9flup eq <1>] Knowing this, would you be less likely allow the recording of calls and monitoring e-mail, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Knowing this, would you be less likely to oppose the recording of calls and monitoring of e-mail, more likely to oppose this, or would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go3d< [if random6 eq <5> goto CL10]

>CL9e< Suppose the people involved were Hispanic.

[if CL9 eq <1> or cl9flup eq <1>] Knowing this, would you be less likely allow the recording of calls and monitoring e-mail, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Knowing this, would you be less likely to oppose the recording of calls and monitoring of e-mail, more likely to oppose this, or would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go3e< [if random6 ge <2> goto CL9a]

>CL10< Some say that people who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated. Others say that people have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS.....1 @  
RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC.....5  
DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS).....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1,5>[goto rotate4]  
<7,8>  
<9>[missing]

>cl10flup< If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (People who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated). - or -

(People have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law).

INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS.....1 @  
RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC.....5

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED... .....9

[@]<1,5>  
<7,8,9>[goto CD1]

>rotate4< [if random7 eq <1> goto CL10a]  
[if random7 eq <2> goto CL10b]  
[if random7 eq <3> goto CL10c]  
[if random7 eq <4> goto CL10d]  
[if random7 eq <5> goto CL10e]

>CL10a< Suppose the people involved had a Middle-Eastern or Arabic background.

[if CL10 eq <1> or cl10flup eq <1>] Knowing this, would you be less likely to investigate such protesters,  
more likely to investigate such protesters, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Knowing this, would you be less likely to defend the right to express unpopular views, more likely to defend this right, or  
would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go4a< [if random7 eq <2> goto CD1]

>CL10b< Suppose the people involved were white.

[if CL10 eq <1> or cl10flup eq <1>] Knowing this, would you be less likely to investigate such protesters, more likely to  
investigate such protesters, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Knowing this, would you be less likely to defend the right to express unpopular views, more likely to defend this right, or  
would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go4b< [if random7 eq <3> goto CD1]

>CL10c< Suppose the people involved were Black or African American.

[if CL10 eq <1> or cl10flup eq <1>] Knowing this, would you be less likely to investigate such protesters, more likely to  
investigate such protesters, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Knowing this, would you be less likely to defend the right to express unpopular views, more likely to defend this right, or  
would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go4c< [if random7 eq <4> goto CD1]

>CL10d< Suppose the people involved were Jewish?

[if CL10 eq <1> or cl10flup eq <1>] Knowing this, would you be less likely to investigate such protesters, more likely to investigate such protesters, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Knowing this, would you be less likely to defend the right to express unpopular views, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go4d< [if random7 eq <5> goto CD1]

>CL10e< Suppose the people involved were Hispanic.

[if CL10 eq <1> or cl10flup eq <1>] Knowing this, would you be less likely to investigate such protesters, more likely to investigate such protesters, or would your opinion remain the same?

[else] Knowing this, would you be less likely to defend the right to express unpopular views, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same? [endif]

LESS LIKELY .....1 @  
MORE LIKELY .....5  
OPINION REMAIN THE SAME . . . . 7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>go4e< [if random7 ge <2> goto CL10a]

>CD1< Now, I have a few background questions. These are for statistical analysis purposes only.

MALE.....1  
FEMALE.....5 @

>CD2< [define <d><998>][define <r><999>] In what year were you born?

Year.....19 @  
DON'T KNOW.....d  
REFUSED.....r

>CD2a< Were you born in the United States (or one of it territories, such as Puerto Rico, American Samoa, or Guam)?

YES.....1  
NO.....5 @  
DON'T KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>CD2b< Do you speak a language other than English at home?

YES.....1  
NO.....5 @  
DON'T KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>CD3< What is the highest level of education you have completed?

DID NOT GO TO SCHOOL .....0

GRADE.....1-11  
 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR GED HOLDER.....12  
 COLLEGE (ONE TO THREE YEARS).....13-15  
 COLLEGE GRADUATE (FOUR YEARS) .....16  
 SOME POST GRADUATE .....17  
 GRADUATE DEGREE.....18  
 TECHNICAL/JUNIOR COLLEGE GRADUATE.....20 @  
 DON'T KNOW.....98  
 REFUSED.....99

>CD8< Are you currently married, divorced, separated, widowed, member of an unmarried couple, or have you never been married?

MARRIED, REMARRIED.....1  
 DIVORCED.....2  
 SEPARATED.....3  
 WIDOWED.....4  
 MEMBER OF AN UNMARRIED COUPLE..... 5  
 SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED.....6  
 OTHER .....0 @  
 DON'T KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

>CD5a< Are you of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin?

YES- HISPANIC/LATINO/SPANISH ORIGIN.....1  
 NO - NOT HISPANIC/LATINO/SPANISH ORIGIN.....5 @  
 DON'T KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

>CD4a< [open CD4a][optional all][default answer <n> all] [define <y><1>][define <n><5>][define <d><8>][define <r><9>] What is your race?

(IWER: THE R CAN JUST TELL YOU IF THEY ARE WHITE, BLACK, ASIAN, ETC, AND YOU CAN JUST HIT ENTER AND IT WILL ENTER AN 'n' FOR INDICATE WHAT THE R SAYS BY TYPING AN 'y'[n]

White or Caucasian?.....@a  
 African American or Black?.....@b  
 Arab or Middle Eastern?.....@c  
 Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander?....@d  
 Asian or Asian American?..... @e  
 American Indian or Alaska Native?.....@f

>CD6< What is the religious group which you feel most closely represents your religious views? (Is it Catholic, Islamic, Jewish, Protestant, some other religion, or no religion)?

NONE; NO RELIGIOUS GROUP.....0  
 CATHOLIC; ROMAN CATHOLIC, ORTHODOX.....1  
 ISLAMIC/MUSLIM.....2  
 JEWISH.....3  
 PROTESTANT.....4  
 OTHER NON-CHRISTIAN (Hindu, Buddhist, witches)...5  
 OTHER CHRISTIAN.....6 @  
 DON'T KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@]<0,1-3,5>[goto CD7]<4> <6>[specify]  
 <8,9>[missing][goto CD7]  
 <h>[etc <h>][#help relhelp]

>CD6a< Are you a born-again Christian?



YES.....1 @  
NO.....5  
DO NOT NOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>CD7< Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or something else?

REPUBLICAN.....1  
INDEPENDENT.....4  
DEMOCRAT.....7  
ANOTHER PARTY, THIRD PARTY, ETC....0 @a  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[if CD7@a eq <1>]

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or not a very strong Republican?

STRONG REPUBLICAN.....1  
NOT A VERY STRONG REPUBLICAN.....2 @b

[endif]

[if CD7@a eq <7>]

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or not a very strong Democrat?

STRONG DEMOCRAT.....7  
NOT A VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT.....6 @c

[endif]

[if CD7@a eq <4>]

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the Democratic Party or the Republican Party?

REPUBLICAN.....3  
NEITHER (R PROVIDED).....4  
DEMOCRAT.....5 @d

[endif]

[@a]<1,4,7> <0>[specify] <8,9>[missing]  
[@b]<1,2> <8,9>[missing][default goto partyid]  
[@c]<6,7> <8,9>[missing][default goto partyid]  
[@d]<3,4,5> <8,9>[missing][default goto partyid]

>partyid< [allow 1]

[if CD7@b eq <1>][store <1> in partyid][endif] 1 strong republican  
[if CD7@b eq <2>][store <2> in partyid][endif] 2 not strong rep  
[if CD7@a eq <8>][store <8> in partyid][endif] 3 lean republican  
[if CD7@a eq <9>][store <9> in partyid][endif] 4 neither  
[if CD7@c eq <6>][store <6> in partyid][endif] 5 lean democrat  
[if CD7@c eq <7>][store <7> in partyid][endif] 6 not strong dem  
[if CD7@d eq <3>][store <3> in partyid][endif] 7 strong democrat  
[if CD7@d eq <4>][store <4> in partyid][endif]  
[if CD7@d eq <5>][store <5> in partyid][endif]  
[if CD7@a eq <0>][store <0> in partyid][endif]

>P17< Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a conservative, a moderate, or a liberal?

CONSERVATIVE.....1  
MODERATE.....4  
LIBERAL.....7 @a  
NEITHER-NONE.....0

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[if P17@a eq <1>]

Would you consider yourself very conservative or somewhat conservative?

VERY CONSERVATIVE.....1  
SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE.....2 @b  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[endif]

[if P17@a eq <7>]

Would you consider yourself to be very liberal or somewhat liberal?

VERY LIBERAL.....7  
SOMEWHAT LIBERAL.....6 @c  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[endif]

[if P17@a eq <4>]

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the conservative side or the liberal side?

CLOSER TO THE CONSERVATIVE.....3  
IN THE MIDDLE.....4  
CLOSER TO THE LIBERAL SIDE.....5 @d

[endif]

[@a]<1,4,7> <0,8,9>[missing]  
[@b]<1,2> <8,9>[default goto ideology]  
[@c]<6,7> <8,9>[default goto ideology]  
[@d]<3,4,5> <8,9>[default goto ideology]

>ideology< [allow 1]

[if P17@b eq <1>][store <1> in ideology][endif] 1 very conservative  
[if P17@b eq <2>][store <2> in ideology][endif] 2 somewhat

conservative

[if P17@a eq <8>][store <8> in ideology][endif] 3 lean conservative  
[if P17@a eq <9>][store <9> in ideology][endif] 4 middle  
[if P17@c eq <6>][store <6> in ideology][endif] 5 lean liberal  
[if P17@c eq <7>][store <7> in ideology][endif] 6 somewhat liberal  
[if P17@d eq <3>][store <3> in ideology][endif] 7 very liberal  
[if P17@d eq <4>][store <4> in ideology][endif]  
[if P17@d eq <5>][store <5> in ideology][endif]

>v1< Did you vote in the 2000 Presidential election?

YES.....1 @a  
NO.....5  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[if v1@a eq <1>] Did you vote for George Bush, Al Gore, Ralph Nader, or someone else?

GEORGE W. BUSH.....1 @b  
AL GORE.....2  
RALPH NADER.....3  
SOMEONE ELSE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[endif]

>interest< How interested are you in politics? Would you say you are very interested, somewhat interested, not very interested, or not interested at all?

VERY INTERESTED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT INTERESTED.....2  
NOT VERY INTERESTED.....3  
NOT INTERESTED AT ALL.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED..... 9

>b1< Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president?

APPROVE.....1 @  
DISAPPROVE.....5  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>b2< Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is responding to the terrorist attacks?

APPROVE.....1 @  
DISAPPROVE.....5  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>X1< Would you say you live in a rural community, a small city or town, a suburb, or an urban community?

RURAL COMMUNITY.....1  
SMALL CITY OR TOWN, VILLAGE.....2  
A SUBURB.....3  
URBAN COMMUNITY.....4 @  
OTHER: SPECIFY.....0  
DO NOT KNOW.....98  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....99

>CD10< [goto fixCD10] Including yourself, how many individuals who are 18 years of age or older live in your household?

ADULTS.....1-10 @  
DON'T KNOW.....98  
REFUSED.....99

>fixCD10< [#store adult in CD10]

>CD15< We are interested in learning about the different ways people may earn their living. Last week, were you working full-time, part-time, going to school, a home-maker or something else?

WORK FULL TIME, SELF EMPLOYED FULL TIME.....1  
WORK PART TIME, SELF EMPLOYED FULL TIME.....2  
WORK AND GO TO SCHOOL.....3  
IN THE ARMED FORCES.....4  
HAVE A JOB, BUT NOT AT WORK LAST WEEK.....5  
UNEMPLOYED, LAID OFF, LOOK FOR WORK.....6  
RETIRED.....7  
SCHOOL FULL TIME.....8  
HOME-MAKER.....9  
DISABLED.....10  
SOMETHING ELSE (SPECIFY).....0 @  
DON'T KNOW.....98  
REFUSED.....99

>milserve< Have you ever served in the U.S. Armed Forces, military reserves, or National Guard? ARMED FORCES INCLUDE: ARMY, NAVY, AIRFORCE, MARINES, COAST GUARD, EITHER ACTIVE OR RESERVES[n]

YES.....1  
NO.....5 @  
DON'T KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>CC3< How would you rate your household's overall financial situation these days? Would you say it is excellent, good, just fair, not so good, or poor?

EXCELLENT.....1  
GOOD.....2  
JUST FAIR.....3  
NOT SO GOOD.....4  
POOR.....5 @  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

>CC3a< How have the events of the September 11th terrorist attacks affected the economic well-being of you and your family? Would you say your financial situation has gotten much better, somewhat better, somewhat worse, or much worse?

MUCH BETTER.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT BETTER.....2  
SOMEWHAT WORSE.....3  
MUCH WORSE.....4  
NO CHANGE IN FINANCIAL SITUATION..7

>CD15a< How concerned are you that you or someone contributing to your household income might lose your job within the next 3 months? Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, not concerned at all?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4  
ALREADY LOST A JOB.....7  
DON'T KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>inca< To get a picture of people's financial situations, we'd like to know the general [green]range of incomes[n] of all households we interview. This is for statistical analysis purposes and your answers will be kept strictly confidential. Now, thinking about your [green]household's[n] total annual income from all sources (including your job), did your household receive \$30,000 or more in 2000?

YES..... 1  
NO.....5 @  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> [goto incd]  
<5> [goto incb]  
<8,9>[missing][goto income]

>incb< Was it less than \$20,000?

YES..... 1  
NO.....5 @ (\$20,000-29,999)  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> [goto incc]  
 <5> [goto income]  
 <8,9>[missing][goto income]

>incc< Was it less than \$10,000?

YES..... 1 (less than \$10,000)  
 NO.....5 @ (\$10,000 - 19,999)  
 DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

>incd< Was it \$60,000? or more?

YES..... 1  
 NO.....5 @  
 DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

>incc< Was it \$40,000 or more?

YES..... 1 (\$40,000-49,999)  
 NO.....5 @ (\$30,000-39,999)  
 +-----+  
 DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

>incf< Was it \$50,000 or more?

YES..... 1  
 NO.....5 @ (\$50,000-59,999)  
 DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

>incg< Was it more than \$70,000?

YES..... 1 (\$70,000 or more  
 NO.....5 @ (\$60,000 - 69,999)  
 DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

>income< [allow 1]

[if inca ge <8>][store <9> in income][endif]  
 [if incb ge <8>][store <9> in income][endif]  
 [if incc ge <8>][store <9> in income][endif]  
 [if incd ge <9>][store <9> in income][endif]  
 [if ince ge <9>][store <9> in income][endif]  
 [if incf ge <9>][store <9> in income][endif]  
 [if incg ge <9>][store <9> in income][endif] missing  
 [if incc eq <1>][store <1> in income][endif] \$10,000 or less  
 [if incc eq <5>][store <2> in income][endif] \$10,000-19,999  
 [if incb eq <5>][store <3> in income][endif] \$20,000-29,999  
 [if ince eq <1>][store <4> in income][endif] \$30,000-39,999  
 [if ince eq <5>][store <5> in income][endif] \$40,000-49,999  
 [if incf eq <5>][store <6> in income][endif] \$50,000-59,999  
 [if incg eq <5>][store <7> in income][endif] \$60,000-69,999  
 [if incg eq <1>][store <8> in income][endif] \$70,000 or more

>CD26< How many different[ phone numbers does your household have?

DIFFERENT PHONE NUMBERS.....1-7 @

>machine< Does the phone number that I reached you at ([#fill AREA]-[#fill PRFX]-[#fill SUFX]) have an answering machine or voice mail attached to it?

YES.....1  
NO.....5 @  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>zipcode< [allow int 5] What is your zip code?

(IF R ASKS WHY: We want to know the general area in the State where people live so that we can compare information from residents in different areas of the state.)

IWER: USE 99998 FOR DON'T KNOW AND 99999 FOR REFUSED

>RI2< Sometime next year, we'd like to recontact some of the people we've spoken with for another interview. That interview would take less time than this one. Would you be willing to participate again sometime next year if the interview is shorter?

YES..... 1  
NO.....5 @a  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[if RI2@a eq <1>]

So we'll know whom to ask for when we call back, could I get your first name?

R's first name..... @b

>raceperc< Finally, what do you think is my racial background?

White or Caucasian.....1 @  
African American or Black.....2  
Arabic or Middle Eastern .....3  
Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander.....4  
Asian or Asian American..... 5  
American Indian or Alaska Native .....6  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

>end< [settime TIME2]

[subtime TIME1 from TIME2 into TTIM]

[subtime sectime1 from sectime2 into sectime]

[subtime cause1 from cause2 into causetime]

[subtime dog1 from dog2 into dogtime]

[subtime therm1 from therm2 into thermtime]

[subtime amer1 from amer2 into amertime]

[subtime civil1 from civil2 into civiltime]

[complete]

**Civil Liberties Wave 2 Questionnaire**  
Darren W. Davis and Brian D. Silver  
Institute for Public Policy & Social Research, Office for Survey Research  
Michigan State University  
January-May 2003

>CONSENT< [loc 0/500]

Before we begin let me tell you that this interview is completely voluntary. Let me also tell you that this interview is completely confidential. Your privacy will be protected to the maximum extent allowable by law. Should we come to any question that makes you feel too uncomfortable or you don't want to answer, just let me know and we can go on to the next question.

For quality control purposes, this interview may be monitored by my supervisor.

[yellow]READ ONLY IF NECESSARY:

(If you have any questions about your rights or role as a subject of research, you may contact Dr. Ashir Kumar, Chair of the University Committee for Research Involving Human Subjects at 517.355.2180. Should you have any questions about this study or your participation in it, you are welcome to contact Karen Clark at 517.355.6672.) [n]

type g to proceed @  
[@] <g>

>CONSENT.2<

Antes de empezar déjeme decirle que esta entrevista es completamente voluntaria. También déjeme decirle que esta entrevista es completamente confidencial. La privacidad será completamente protegida a lo máximo que es permitido por la ley. Si llegamos a una pregunta que lo hace a usted incómodo - o que usted no quiera contestar - déjeme saber y así continuamos con la próxima pregunta.

Por propósitos de control y calidad, esta entrevista puede ser supervisada.

(Si usted tiene alguna pregunta de sus derechos o rol como sujeto en investigaciones humanas, usted puede ponerse en contacto con el Doctor Ashir Kumar al teléfono 517-355-2180, director del comité, de investigaciones de la Universidad que incluyen a personas humanas. Si usted tiene alguna pregunta acerca de este estudio o su participación en él, usted está bienvenido a llamar a Karen Clark al número de teléfono 517-355-6672.)

type g to proceed @

>sectime1< [allow 4] [copy sectime1 in sectime1]  
>sectime2< [allow 4] [copy sectime2 in sectime2]  
>sectime< [allow 4] [copy sectime in sectime]  
>causel< [allow 4] [copy causel in causel]  
>cause2< [allow 4] [copy cause2 in cause2]

```

>causetime< [allow 4] [copy causetime in causetime]
>dog1< [allow 4] [copy dog1 in dog1]
>dog2< [allow 4] [copy dog2 in dog2]
>dogtime< [allow 4] [copy dogtime in dogtime]
>trust1< [allow 4] [copy trust1 in trust1]
>trust2< [allow 4] [copy trust2 in trust2]
>trusttime< [allow 4] [copy trusttime in trusttime]
>therm1< [allow 4] [copy therm1 in therm1]
>therm2< [allow 4] [copy therm2 in therm2]
>thermtime< [allow 4] [copy thermtime in thermtime]
>at1< [allow 4] [copy at1 in at1]
>at2< [allow 4] [copy at2 in at2]
>attime< [allow 4] [copy attime in attime]
>civil1< [allow 4] [copy civil1 in civil1]
>civil2< [allow 4] [copy civil2 in civil2]
>civiltime< [allow 4] [copy civiltime in civiltime]
>core1< [allow 4] [copy core1 in core1]
>core2< [allow 4] [copy core2 in core2]
>coretime< [allow 4] [copy coretime in coretime]
>flag< [allow 1][#inputloc 1/234][copy flag in flag]
>bank< [allow 1][#inputloc 1/236] [copy bank in bank]
>ID1< [allow 5][loc 18/1][#store csid in ID1] [copy ID1 in ID1]
>random1< [allow 1][#inputloc 1/190][copy random1 in random1] 1-8 rotates the ct
questions
>random2< [allow 1][#inputloc 1/192][copy random2 in random2] 1-7 rotates the ft
questions
>random3< [allow 1][#inputloc 1/194][copy random3 in random3] 0-9 rotates the cl
questions
>random4< [allow 1][#inputloc 1/196][copy random4 in random4] 1,2
>cell< [allow 1] [#inputloc 1/232][copy cell in cell] 1=unlisted 2=listed
>strata< [allow 1] [#inputloc 1/230][copy strata in strata] 1=hispanic 2=black
3=general
>county< [allow 5][#inputloc 1/238][copy county in county] county code

```

```
>lifel< [settime sectime1]
```

I'd like to start by asking you some questions about your feelings since the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon (that occurred on September 11, 2001).

As a result of these terrorist attacks, has life in the United States changed in a major way, changed only a little bit, or is life in the United States basically the same as it was before the attacks?

```

CHANGED IN A MAJOR WAY.....1 @
CHANGED ONLY A LITTLE BIT.....2
BASICALLY THE SAME AS BEFORE.....3

```

```

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9

```

```

[@] <1> CHANGED IN A MAJOR WAY    <2> CHANGED ONLY A LITTLE BIT
    <3> BASICALLY THE SAME AS BEFORE
    <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

```



>lifel.2<

Me gustaría empezar haciéndole algunas preguntas acerca de sus sentimientos después que los terroristas atacaron El World Trade Centre (Las Torres Mellizas del Comercio Mundial) y El Edificio Del Pentágono el Septiembre once del a[9] 2001.

¿Cómo resultado de estos ataques terroristas, la vida en los Estados Unidos ha tenido un cambio mayor, ha cambiado un poco solamente - o la vida en los Estados Unidos sigue como era antes de los ataques terroristas?

UN CAMBIO MAYOR.....1 @  
UN POCO SOLAMENTE.....2  
BASICAMENTE LO MISMO.....3

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>SEC4<

All in all, how concerned are you that the United States might suffer another terrorist attack in the next 3 months?

Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL  
<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>SEC4.2<

¿Después de todo, que preocupado está usted que Los Estados Unidos pudiera sufrir otro ataque terrorista en los próximos tres meses?

¿Está usted muy preocupado, un poco preocupado, no preocupado - o, no preocupado del todo?

MUY PREOCUPADO.....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO.....2  
NO PREOCUPADO.....3  
NO PREOCUPADO DEL TODO.....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>SEC5<

How concerned are you that [green]the area[n] in which you live might suffer a terrorist attack in the next 3 months?

(Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL  
<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>SEC5.2<

¿Qué preocupado está usted que el área en que usted vive pudiera sufrir un ataque terrorista en los próximos tres meses?

(¿Diría que está muy preocupado, un poco preocupado, no preocupado - o, no preocupado del todo?)

MUY PREOCUPADO.....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO.....2  
NO PREOCUPADO.....3  
NO PREOCUPADO DEL TODO.....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>SEC8<

(How concerned are you) that you or someone in your family might become a victim of a bio-terrorist attack (such as anthrax or smallpox)?

(Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL  
<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>SEC8.2<

¿Qué preocupado está usted que alguien en su familia pudiera ser víctima de un ataque bioquímico (como ántrax o viruela)?

(¿Diría que está muy preocupado, un poco preocupado, no preocupado, o

no preocupado del todo?)

MUY PREOCUPADO.....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO.....2  
NO PREOCUPADO.....3  
NO PREOCUPADO DEL TODO.....4  
  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>f1<

How concerned are you about flying on an airplane?

(Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL  
<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>f1.2<

¿Cómo le preocupa a usted volar por avión?

(¿Diría que está muy preocupado, un poco preocupado, no preocupado, o no preocupado del todo?)

MUY PREOCUPADO.....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO.....2  
NO PREOCUPADO.....3  
NO PREOCUPADO DEL TODO.....4  
  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>f2<

(How concerned are you about) opening your mail?

(Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL  
<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>f2.2<

¿Cómo le preocupa a usted abrir su correo?

(¿Diría que está muy preocupado, un poco preocupado, no preocupado, o no preocupado del todo?)

MUY PREOCUPADO.....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO.....2  
NO PREOCUPADO.....3  
NO PREOCUPADO DEL TODO.....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>f3<

(How concerned are you about) the safety of food and drinking water?

(Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9  
[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL  
<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>f3.2<

¿Cómo le preocupa a usted la seguridad de la comida y el agua que usted consume?

(¿Diría que está muy preocupado, un poco preocupado, no preocupado, o no preocupado del todo?)

MUY PREOCUPADO.....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO.....2  
NO PREOCUPADO.....3  
NO PREOCUPADO DEL TODO.....4

NO SÉ.....8

NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>f5<

(How concerned are you about) going into tall buildings?

(Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL  
<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>f5.2<

¿Cómo le preocupa a usted entrar a edificios muy altos como los rascacielos?

(¿Diría que está muy preocupado, un poco preocupado, no preocupado, o no preocupado del todo?)

MUY PREOCUPADO.....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO.....2  
NO PREOCUPADO.....3  
NO PREOCUPADO DEL TODO.....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>f6<

(How concerned are you about) being in large crowds or stadiums?

(Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL  
<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>f6.2<

¿Cómo le preocupa a usted estar en lugares con multitud de gente, o estar en estadios llenos con mucha gente?

(¿Diría que está muy preocupado, un poco preocupado, no preocupado, o no preocupado del todo?)

MUY PREOCUPADO.....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO.....2  
NO PREOCUPADO.....3  
NO PREOCUPADO DEL TODO.....4  
  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>task3< [if random4 eq <1> goto ct]

>CT1a<

How much responsibility do you [green]personally[n] believe the U.S. bears for the hatred that led to the terrorist attacks?

Would you say a lot of responsibility, some, a little, or none at all?

A LOT.....1 @  
SOME.....2  
A LITTLE.....3  
NONE AT ALL.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> A LOT <2> SOME <3> A LITTLE <4> NONE AT ALL  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>CT1a.2<

¿Cuánta responsabilidad usted personalmente cree que Los Estados Unidos tiene por el odio que llevó a los ataques terroristas?

¿Diría usted una gran responsabilidad, alguna, un poco, o nada del todo?

GRAN RESPONSABILIDAD.....1 @  
ALGUNA.....2  
UN POCO.....3  
NADA DEL TODO.....4  
  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>task4< [if random4 eq <1> goto IS1]

>ct<

The next few questions are about the [green]root[n] causes of terrorism against the United States. As I list some possible causes, please tell me whether you think it is a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause.

[nodata] @

>ct.2<

La gente tiene muchas diferentes razones acerca de los motivos de porqué existe el terrorismo contra Los Estados Unidos. Mientras le menciono algunos posibles motivos, por favor dígame si usted piensa si el motivo es muy importante, es poco importante, no es muy importante - o, no es importante del todo.

@

```
>rotatel< [if random1 eq <1> goto ct2a]
           [if random1 eq <2> goto ct2c]
           [if random1 eq <3> goto ct2i]
           [if random1 eq <4> goto ct2d]
           [if random1 eq <5> goto ct2e]
           [if random1 eq <6> goto ct2f]
           [if random1 eq <7> goto ct2g]
           [if random1 eq <8> goto ct2h]
```

>ct2a<[settime sectime2][settime cause1]  
The U.S. government's support of Israel.

Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <2> SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<3> NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <4> NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>ct2a.2<

El apoyo que Los Estados Unidos da a Israel.

¿Es esto motivo muy importante, un poco importante, no muy importante, o, un motivo no importante del todo para que haya terrorismo?

MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....1 @  
UN POCO IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....2  
NO MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....3  
MOTIVO NO IMPORTANTE DEL TODO...4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rot1a< [if random1 eq <2> goto task2]

>ct2c<

The U.S. not providing enough economic aid to poor countries.

(Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <2> SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<3> NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <4> NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>ct2c.2<

La poca contribución económica que Los Estados Unidos da a los países pobres.

¿Es esto motivo muy importante, un poco importante, no muy importante, o, un motivo no importante del todo para que haya terrorismo?

MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....1 @  
UN POCO IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....2  
NO MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....3  
MOTIVO NO IMPORTANTE DEL TODO...4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rot1b< [if random1 eq <3> goto task2]

>ct2i<

The lack of understanding of how much the United States contributes to the world.

(Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <2> SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<3> NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <4> NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]



>ct2i.2<

La falta de entendimiento de cuanto Los Estados Unidos contribuye al mundo.

(¿Es este motivo muy importante, un poco importante, no muy importante, o, un motivo no importante del todo para que haya terrorismo?)

MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....1 @  
UN POCO IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....2  
NO MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....3  
MOTIVO NO IMPORTANTE DEL TODO...4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rot1c< [if random1 eq <4> goto task2]

>ct2d<

The Islamic religion.

(Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <2> SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<3> NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <4> NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>ct2d.2<

La religión Islámica.

(¿Es este motivo muy importante, un poco importante, no muy importante, o, un motivo no importante del todo para que haya terrorismo?)

MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....1 @  
UN POCO IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....2  
NO MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....3  
MOTIVO NO IMPORTANTE DEL TODO...4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rot1d< [if random1 eq <5> goto task2]

>ct2e<

The U.S. pursuing only its own economic interests in its dealings with other countries.

(Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <2> SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<3> NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <4> NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>ct2e.2<

Los Estados Unidos persiguiendo su propio interés económico cuando negocia con otros países.

(¿Es esto motivo muy importante, un poco importante, no muy importante, o, un motivo no importante del todo para que haya terrorismo?)

MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....1 @  
UN POCO IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....2  
NO MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....3  
MOTIVO NO IMPORTANTE DEL TODO...4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rotle< [if random1 eq <6> goto task2]

>ct2f<

Terrorists believing that it is the only way that their grievances will be heard.

(Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <2> SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<3> NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <4> NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>ct2f.2<

Los terroristas creyendo que esta es la única forma que sus agravios serán escuchados.

(¿Es este motivo muy importante, un poco importante, no muy importante,  
o, un motivo no importante del todo para que haya terrorismo?)

MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....1 @  
UN POCO IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....2  
NO MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....3  
MOTIVO NO IMPORTANTE DEL TODO...4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rot1f< [if random1 eq <7> goto task2]

>ct2g<

The U.S. meddling too much in the affairs of other countries.

(Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very  
important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <2> SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<3> NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <4> NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>ct2g.2<

Los Estados Unidos mezclándose en los asuntos de otros países.

(¿Es este motivo muy importante, un poco importante, no muy importante,  
o, un motivo no importante del todo para que haya terrorismo?)

MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....1 @  
UN POCO IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....2  
NO MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....3  
MOTIVO NO IMPORTANTE DEL TODO...4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rot1g< [if random1 eq <8> goto task2]

>ct2h<

Feelings of revenge by terrorists for harms done to their people.

(Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very  
important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....1 @

SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE.....2  
NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE.....3  
NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <2> SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<3> NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE <4> NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>ct2h.2<

Los sentimientos de venganza que los terroristas consideran por los  
da[os] hechos a su gente.

MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....1 @  
UN POCO IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....2  
NO MUY IMPORTANTE MOTIVO.....3  
MOTIVO NO IMPORTANTE DEL TODO...4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rot1h< [if random1 ge <2> goto ct2a]

>task2< [if random4 eq <1> goto CT1a]

>IS1<

In the dispute between Israel and the Palestinians, which side do you  
sympathize with more ---- Israel or the Palestinians?

ISRAEL.....1 @  
PALESTINE.....5

NEITHER/BOTH.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> ISRAEL[goto dg1] <5> PALESTINE [goto dg1]  
<7> NEITHER/BOTH  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>IS1.2<

¿En la disputa entre Israel y Los Palestinos, con cuál lado usted  
simpatiza más - con el lado de Israel, o con el lado de Los Palestinos?

ISRAEL.....1 @  
LOS PALESTINOS.....5

CON NINGUNO/CON AMBOS.....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>isflup<

If you had to choose, which side do you sympathize with more, Israel or the Palestinians?

ISRAEL.....1 @  
PALESTINE.....5

NEITHER/BOTH.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> ISRAEL <5> PALESTINE  
<7> NEITHER/BOTH  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>isflup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, con cuál lado usted simpatizaría más, con el lado de Israel o con el lado de Los Palestinos?

ISRAEL.....1 @  
LOS PALESTINOS.....5

CON NINGUNO/CON AMBOS.....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>dg1< [settime cause2][settime dog1]

Next, I would like to read you a series of statements and have you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with each.

The first is, there are two kinds of people in this world: those who are for the truth and those who are against it.

Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> STRONGLY AGREE <2> SOMEWHAT AGREE <3> SOMEWHAT DISAGREE  
<4> STRONGLY DISAGREE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>dg1.2<

A continuación, me gustaría leer a usted unas series de frases y usted

me tiene que decir con cual de ellas usted está de acuerdo o con cual de ellas usted está en desacuerdo.

La primera es - Hay dos clases de personas en este mundo: unos que van [green] por [n] la verdad, y otros que van [green] contra [n] la verdad.

{Diría que vigorosamente usted esta de acuerdo, un poco de acuerdo, un poco de desacuerdo, o, vigorosamente de desacuerdo con esta frase?

VIGOROSAMENTE DE ACUERDO.....1 @  
UN POCO DE ACUERDO.....2  
UN POCO DE DESACUERDO.....3  
VIGOROSAMENTE DE DESACUERDO....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>dg2<

A group which tolerates too many differences of opinion among its members cannot exist for long.

(Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> STRONGLY AGREE <2> SOMEWHAT AGREE <3> SOMEWHAT DISAGREE  
<4> STRONGLY DISAGREE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>dg2.2<

Un grupo que tolera muchas opiniones diferentes entre sus miembros no puede existir por largo tiempo.

(¿Diría que vigorosamente usted esta de acuerdo, un poco de acuerdo, un poco de desacuerdo, o, vigorosamente de desacuerdo con esta frase?)

VIGOROSAMENTE DE ACUERDO.....1 @  
UN POCO DE ACUERDO.....2  
UN POCO DE DESACUERDO.....3  
VIGOROSAMENTE DE DESACUERDO....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>dg3<

To compromise with our political opponents is dangerous because it usually leads to the betrayal of our own side.

(Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> STRONGLY AGREE <2> SOMEWHAT AGREE <3> SOMEWHAT DISAGREE  
<4> STRONGLY DISAGREE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>dg3.2<

Hacer concesiones con nuestros oponentes políticos es peligroso,  
porque usualmente eso nos lleva a traicionar a nuestro lado.

(¿Diría que vigorosamente usted esta de acuerdo, un poco de acuerdo, un  
poco de desacuerdo, o, vigorosamente de desacuerdo con esta frase?)

VIGOROSAMENTE DE ACUERDO.....1 @  
UN POCO DE ACUERDO.....2  
UN POCO DE DESACUERDO.....3  
VIGOROSAMENTE DE DESACUERDO....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>dg4<

Of all the different philosophies that exist in the world there is probably  
only one that is correct.

(Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or  
strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> STRONGLY AGREE <2> SOMEWHAT AGREE <3> SOMEWHAT DISAGREE  
<4> STRONGLY DISAGREE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>dg4.2<

De todas las diferentes filosofías que existen en el mundo, probablemente  
hay una sola que es correcta.

(¿Diría que vigorosamente usted esta de acuerdo, un poco de acuerdo, un poco de desacuerdo, o, vigorosamente de desacuerdo con esta frase?)

VIGOROSAMENTE DE ACUERDO.....1 @  
UN POCO DE ACUERDO.....2  
UN POCO DE DESACUERDO.....3  
VIGOROSAMENTE DE DESACUERDO....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>dg5<

In the long run the best way to live is to pick friends and associates whose tastes and beliefs are the same as one's own.

(Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> STRONGLY AGREE <2> SOMEWHAT AGREE <3> SOMEWHAT DISAGREE  
<4> STRONGLY DISAGREE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>dg5.2<

A largo plazo, la mejor manera de vivir es escoger amigos y asociados que tienen el mismo gusto y creen en las mismas cosas que uno.

(¿Diría que vigorosamente usted esta de acuerdo, un poco de acuerdo, un poco de desacuerdo, o, vigorosamente de desacuerdo con esta frase?)

VIGOROSAMENTE DE ACUERDO.....1 @  
UN POCO DE ACUERDO.....2  
UN POCO DE DESACUERDO.....3  
VIGOROSAMENTE DE DESACUERDO....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>dg6<

Most of the ideas that get printed nowadays aren't worth the paper they are printed on.

(Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2



SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> STRONGLY AGREE <2> SOMEWHAT AGREE <3> SOMEWHAT DISAGREE  
<4> STRONGLY DISAGREE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>dg6.2<

La mayoría de las ideas que son escritas hoy día, no valen ni el papel en el que están escritas.

(¿Diría que vigorosamente usted esta de acuerdo, un poco de acuerdo, un poco de desacuerdo, o, vigorosamente de desacuerdo con esta frase?)

VIGOROSAMENTE DE ACUERDO.....1 @  
UN POCO DE ACUERDO.....2  
UN POCO DE DESACUERDO.....3  
VIGOROSAMENTE DE DESACUERDO....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>gt1< [settime dog2][settime trust1]  
The next set of questions are about trust.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the [green]federal[n] government (in Washington) to do what is right?

Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time?

JUST ABOUT ALWAYS.....1 @  
MOST OF THE TIME.....2  
SOME OF THE TIME.....3  
NONE OF THE TIME.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> JUST ABOUT ALWAYS <2> MOST OF THE TIME <3> SOME OF THE TIME  
<4> NONE OF THE TIME  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>gt1.2<

El siguiente grupo de preguntas son acerca de la confianza.

¿Cuánto del tiempo usted considera que puede confiar en que el gobierno [green]federal[n] haga lo que es correcto?

¿Diría usted, justamente todo el tiempo, la mayoría del tiempo, solamente un poco tiempo, o, en ningún tiempo?

JUSTAMENTE TODO EL TIEMPO.....1 @  
LA MAYORÍA DEL TIEMPO.....2  
UN POCO DE TIEMPO.....3  
EN NINGÚN TIEMPO.....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CG1g<

How much of the time do you think you can trust your [green]state[n]  
government to do what is right?

(Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time,  
or none of the time?)

JUST ABOUT ALWAYS.....1 @  
MOST OF THE TIME.....2  
SOME OF THE TIME.....3  
NONE OF THE TIME.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> JUST ABOUT ALWAYS <2> MOST OF THE TIME <3> SOME OF THE TIME  
<4> NONE OF THE TIME  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>CG1g.2<

¿Cuánto del tiempo usted considera que puede confiar en que el  
gobierno [green]del estado[n] haga lo que es correcto?

(¿Diría usted, justamente todo el tiempo, la mayoría del tiempo, solamente  
un poco tiempo, o, en ningún tiempo?)

JUSTAMENTE TODO EL TIEMPO.....1 @  
LA MAYORÍA DEL TIEMPO.....2  
UN POCO DE TIEMPO.....3  
EN NINGÚN TIEMPO.....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CG1a<

How much of the time do you think you can trust the [green]FBI[n]  
to do what is right?

(Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time,  
or none of the time?)

JUST ABOUT ALWAYS.....1 @  
MOST OF THE TIME.....2  
SOME OF THE TIME.....3  
NONE OF THE TIME.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> JUST ABOUT ALWAYS <2> MOST OF THE TIME <3> SOME OF THE TIME  
<4> NONE OF THE TIME  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>CG1a.2<

¿Cuánto del tiempo considera usted que puede confiar en que [green]el FBI[n]  
(el Departamento de Investigaciones Federales) haga lo que es correcto?

(¿Diría usted, justamente todo el tiempo, la mayoría del tiempo, solamente  
un poco tiempo, o, en ningún tiempo?)

JUSTAMENTE TODO EL TIEMPO.....1 @  
LA MAYORÍA DEL TIEMPO.....2  
UN POCO DE TIEMPO.....3  
EN NINGÚN TIEMPO.....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CG1c<

(How much of the time do you think you can trust) the [green]CIA[n]  
(to do what is right?)

(Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time,  
or none of the time?)

JUST ABOUT ALWAYS.....1 @  
MOST OF THE TIME.....2  
SOME OF THE TIME.....3  
NONE OF THE TIME.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> JUST ABOUT ALWAYS <2> MOST OF THE TIME <3> SOME OF THE TIME  
<4> NONE OF THE TIME  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>CG1c.2<

¿Cuánto del tiempo considera usted que puede confiar en que [green]la CIA[n]  
(la Agencia Central de Inteligencia) haga lo que es correcto?

(¿Diría usted, justamente todo el tiempo, la mayoría del tiempo, solamente  
un poco tiempo, o, en ningún tiempo?)

JUSTAMENTE TODO EL TIEMPO.....1 @  
LA MAYORÍA DEL TIEMPO.....2  
UN POCO DE TIEMPO.....3  
EN NINGÚN TIEMPO.....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CG1d<  
(How much of the time do you think you can trust) [green]judges and courts[n]  
(to do what is right?)  
(Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time,  
or none of the time?)

JUST ABOUT ALWAYS.....1 @  
MOST OF THE TIME.....2  
SOME OF THE TIME.....3  
NONE OF THE TIME.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> JUST ABOUT ALWAYS <2> MOST OF THE TIME <3> SOME OF THE TIME  
<4> NONE OF THE TIME  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>CG1d.2<

¿Cuánto del tiempo considera usted que puede confiar en que [green]los jueces  
y las cortes[n] hagan lo que es correcto?

(¿Diría usted, justamente todo el tiempo, la mayoría del tiempo, solamente  
un poco tiempo, o, en ningún tiempo?)

JUSTAMENTE TODO EL TIEMPO.....1 @  
LA MAYORÍA DEL TIEMPO.....2  
UN POCO DE TIEMPO.....3  
EN NINGÚN TIEMPO.....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>gt3<

(How much of the time do you think you can trust) [green]law enforcement[n]  
(to do what is right?)

(Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time,  
or none of the time?)

JUST ABOUT ALWAYS.....1 @  
MOST OF THE TIME.....2  
SOME OF THE TIME.....3  
NONE OF THE TIME.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> JUST ABOUT ALWAYS <2> MOST OF THE TIME <3> SOME OF THE TIME  
<4> NONE OF THE TIME  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>gt3.2<

¿Cuánto del tiempo considera usted que puede confiar en que el departamento de policía judicial haga lo que es correcto?

(¿Diría usted, justamente todo el tiempo, la mayoría del tiempo, solamente un poco tiempo, o, en ningún tiempo?)

JUSTAMENTE TODO EL TIEMPO.....1 @  
LA MAYORÍA DEL TIEMPO.....2  
UN POCO DE TIEMPO.....3  
EN NINGÚN TIEMPO.....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>gt2<

Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves, or that it is run for the benefit of all people?

RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS.....1 @  
RUN FOR BENEFIT OF ALL PEOPLE.....5

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS <5> RUN FOR BENEFIT OF ALL PEOPLE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>gt2.2<

¿Diría usted que el gobierno es manejado por unos pocos intereses para el beneficio propio, o, que es manejado para el beneficio de toda la gente?

MANEJADO POR UNOS POCOS INTERESES PARA EL BENEFICIO PROPIO.....1 @  
MANEJADO PARA EL BENEFICIO DE TODA LA GENTE.....5

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>fip1<

Some people say that most people can be trusted. Others say you can't be too careful in your dealings with people.

Which of these opinions comes closest to your own?

MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED.....1 @  
YOU CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL.....5  
DEPENDS/(R VOLUNTEERS).....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED <5> YOU CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL  
<7> DEPENDS (R VOLUNTEERS)  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>fip1.2<

Algunas personas dicen que la mayoría de la gente son confiables. Otras, dicen que usted tiene que tener muchísimo cuidado en que gente se puede confiar.

¿Con cuál de estas dos opiniones usted está de acuerdo?

SE PUEDE CONFIAR EN LA MAYORÍA DE LA GENTE.....1 @  
NO SE PUEDE TENER MUCHA CONFIANZA EN TODA LA GENTE.....5  
DEPENDE/(R VOLUNTARIOS).....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>fip2<

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?

PEOPLE TRY TO BE HELPFUL.....1 @  
LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES.....5  
DEPENDS/(R VOLUNTEERS).....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> PEOPLE TRY TO BE HELPFUL <5> LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES  
<7> DEPENDS  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>fip2.2<

¿Diría usted que la mayoría de la gente trata de ser ayudadora, o, que la mayoría de la gente cuida solo sus propios intereses?

LA GENTE TRATA DE SER AYUDADORA.....1 @  
SE AYUDAN ENTRE ELLOS MISMOS.....5  
DEPENDE/(R VOLUNTARIOS).....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>AT4< [settime trust2][settime at1]

The next set of questions focus on the U.S. government's fight against terrorism.

How would you rate the job the federal government in Washington has done in preventing or preparing for the possibility of another terrorist attack?

Would you say excellent, good, only fair, or poor?

EXCELLENT.....1

GOOD.....2  
FAIR.....3  
POOR.....4 @

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> EXCELLENT <2> GOOD <3> FAIR <4> POOR  
<8> DO NOT KNOW <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT4.2<

Las próximas preguntas se enfocan en la lucha del gobierno de Los Estados Unidos contra el terrorismo.

¿Cómo evaluaría usted el trabajo que el gobierno federal en Washington ha hecho para prevenir o, prepararse para la posibilidad de otro ataque terrorista?

¿Diría usted excelente, bueno, lo justo, o, pobre?

EXCELENTE.....1 @  
BUENO.....2  
LO JUSTO.....3  
POBRE.....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE ENCONTESTAR.....9

>AT6<

Overall, do you think the ability of terrorists to launch another major attack on the U.S. is greater than it was a year ago, the same as a year ago, or less than it was a year ago?

GREATER THAN A YEAR AGO.....1 @  
THE SAME AS A YEAR AGO.....3  
LESS THAN A YEAR AGO.....5

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> GREATER THAN A YEAR AGO <3> THE SAME AS A YEAR AGO <5> LESS THAN A YEAR AGO  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT6.2<

¿Sobre todo, usted cree, que la habilidad de los terroristas de lanzar otro ataque mayor contra Los Estados Unidos es más grande hoy de lo que era un a□ atrás, lo mismo que un a□ atrás, o, menos de lo que era un a□ atrás?

MÁS GRANDE QUE UN A□ ATRAS...1 @  
LO MISMO QUE UN A□ ATRAS.....3  
MENOS QUE UN A□ ATRAS.....5

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>AT11<

Which political party do you trust to do a better job fighting terrorism, the Republican Party or the Democratic Party?

REPUBLICAN PARTY.....1 @  
DEMOCRATIC PARTY.....5

NEITHER/BOTH/OTHER.....7

DO NOT KNOW .....8  
REFUSED .....9

[@] <1> REPUBLICAN PARTY <5> DEMOCRATIC PARTY <7> NEITHER/BOTH  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT11.2<

¿Cuál de los dos partidos políticos usted confía que puede hacer un mejor trabajo en la lucha contra el terrorismo? El Partido Republicano, o, El Partido Demócrata?

EL PARTIDO REPUBLICANO.....1 @  
EL PARTIDO DEMÓCRATA.....5

NINGUNO/AMBOS/OTRO PARTIDO....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>AT16<

The next two questions are about the USA Patriot Act and other laws passed after the 9-11 attack on the US.

Thinking about these laws, do you think that they increase your security against terrorists, decrease your security against terrorists, or have little or no impact either way?

[r]IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "The US Patriot Act is a series of laws and guidelines passed after September 11th designed to ease restrictions on surveillance of suspected terrorism".[n]

INCREASE SECURITY .....1 @  
DECREASE SECURITY.....3  
LITTLE/NO IMPACT.....5

DO NOT KNOW .....8  
REFUSED .....9

[@] <1> INCREASE SECURITY <3> DECREASE SECURITY <5> LITTE OR NO IMPACT  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT16.2<



Las siguientes dos preguntas son acerca del acto patriótico de Los Estados Unidos (El "USA Patriot Act") y otras leyes pasadas después del ataque el Septiembre Once (9-11) contra Los Estados Unidos.

¿Pensando acerca de estas leyes, usted cree que ellas [green] aumentan[n] su seguridad contra los terroristas, [green] desminuyen [n] su seguridad contra los terroristas - o, tienen poco, o, ningún impacto?

[r] (IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: La Ley Patriota de los Estados Unidos es una serie de leyes y pautas que fueron pasadas despues de los ataques del once de Septiembre. Estas leyes fueron diseñadas para relajar las restricciones en la vigilancia de personas en sospecha de ser terroristas.[n]

AUMENTAN LA SEGURIDAD.....1 @  
DESMINUYEN LA SEGURIDAD.....3  
POCO IMPACTO/NINGÚN IMPACTO...5

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>AT17<

Do you think that these laws increase your civil rights and liberties, decrease your civil rights and liberties, or have little or no effect either way?

[r]IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "The US Patriot Act is a series of laws and guidelines passed after September 11th designed to ease restrictions on surveillance of suspected terrorism".[n]

INCREASE CIVIL RIGHTS .....1 @  
DECREASE CIVIL RIGHTS.....3  
LITTLE/NO EFFECT CIVIL RIGHTS.....5

DO NOT KNOW .....8  
REFUSED .....9

[@] <1> INCREASE CIVIL RIGHTS <3> DECREASE CIVIL RIGHTS <5> LITTE OR NO EFFECT  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT17.2<

¿Cree usted que estas leyes aumentan sus derechos civiles, desminuyen sus derechos civiles, o, tienen poco, o, ningún efecto?

[r] (IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: La Ley Patriótico de los Estados Unidos es una serie de leyes y pautas que fueron pasadas despues de los ataques del once de Septiembre. Estas leyes fueron disenadas para relajar las restricciones en la vigilancia de personas en sospecha de ser terroristas. [n]

AUMENTAN SUS DERECHOS CIVILES.....1 @  
DESMINUYEN SUS DERECHOS.....,...3  
PRODUCEN POCO/NO EFECTO EN DERECHOS CIVILES...,.5

NO SÉ.....8

NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>AT18<

Under provisions of the Patriot Act, the FBI has sought to gain access to public library records to see the Kinds of books people are checking out.

Do you strongly favor such access, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose such access?

[r]IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "The US Patriot Act is a series of laws and guidelines passed after September 11th designed to ease restrictions on surveillance of suspected terrorism".[n]

STRONGLY FAVOR.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT FAVOR.....2  
SOMEWHAT OPPOSE.....3  
STRONGLY OPPOSE.....4  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> STRONGLY FAVOR <2> SOMEWHAT FAVOR <3> SOMEWHAT OPPOSE  
<4> STRONGLY OPPOSE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT18.2<

Bajo las estipulaciones del Acto Patriótico (U.S. Patriot Act), la FBI ha tratado de investigar los expedientes de clientes que usan bibliotecas públicas para ver la clase de libros que los clientes están usando.

¿Diría que vigorosamente usted está de acuerdo, un poco de acuerdo, un poco de desacuerdo, o, vigorosamente de desacuerdo con estas investigaciones?

[r] (IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: La Ley Patriótico de los Estados Unidos es una serie de leyes y pautas que fueron pasadas despues de los ataques del once de Septiembre. Estas leyes fueron disenadas para relajar las restricciones en la vigilancia de personas en sospecha de ser terroristas. [n]

VIGOROSAMENTE DE ACUERDO.....1 @  
UN POCO DE ACUERDO.....2  
UN POCO DE DESACUERDO.....3  
VIGOROSAMENTE DE DESACUERDO....4  
  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>AT13<

Which political party do you trust to do a better job protecting your civil liberties, the Republican Party or the Democratic Party?

[r]IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "Civil liberties are the basic rights

guaranteed to all Americans by the constitution - such as the  
freedomS of speech, assembly, religion, etc" [n]

REPUBLICAN PARTY.....1 @  
DEMOCRATIC PARTY.....5

NEITHER/BOTH/OTHER.....7

DO NOT KNOW .....8  
REFUSED .....9

[@] <1> REPUBLICAN PARTY <5> DEMOCRATIC PARTY <7> NEITHER/BOTH  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT13.2<

¿Cuál partido político usted confía que hace mejor trabajo en  
proteger sus derechos civiles? El Partido Republicano o, El  
Partido Demócrata?

EL PARTIDO REPUBLICANO.....1 @  
EL PARTIDO DEMÓCRATA.....5

NINGUNO/LOS DOS/OTRO PARTIDO....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>AT15<

How likely is it that you personally will have to give up some of your rights and  
liberties in order to curb terrorism?

Would you say very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely or  
very unlikely?

VERY LIKELY.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT LIKELY.....2  
SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY.....3  
VERY UNLIKELY.....4

DO NOT KNOW .....8  
REFUSED .....9

[@] <1> VERY LIKELY <2> SOMEWHAT LIKELY <3> SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY  
<4> VERY UNLIKELY  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT15.2<

¿Cuál es la probabilidad que usted personalmente tenga que ceder  
varios de sus derechos y libertados para parar el terrorismo? Diría  
usted muy probable, un poco probable, un poco no probable, o, muy  
no probable?

MUY PROBABLE.....1 @  
UN POCO PROBABLE.....2

UN POCO NO PROBABLE.....3  
 MUY NO PROBABLE.....4  
  
 NO SÉ.....8  
 NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>AT19<

How willing would you personally be to give up some of your rights and liberties in order to curb terrorism?

Would you say very willing, somewhat willing, somewhat unwilling or very unwilling?

VERY WILLING.....1 @  
 SOMEWHAT WILLING.....2  
 SOMEWHAT UNWILLING.....3  
 VERY UNWILLING.....4

DO NOT KNOW .....8  
 REFUSED .....9

[@] <1> VERY WILLING <2> SOMEWHAT WILLING <3> SOMEWHAT UNWILLING  
 <4> VERY UNWILLING  
 <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT19.2<

¿Cuán dispuesto estaría usted a ceder varios de sus derechos y libertades para parar el terrorismo? Estaría usted muy dispuesto, un poco dispuesto, un poco reacio, o, muy reacio?

MUY DISPUESTO.....1 @  
 UN POCO DISPUESTO.....2  
 UN POCO REACIO.....3  
 MUY REACIO.....4

NO SÉ.....8  
 NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>GI1<

Is it more important for people to pull together and emphasize our common interests regardless of race or ethnic background?

-- or --

Is it more important to continue to push for the rights of minority groups?

MORE IMPORTANT FOR PEOPLE TO PULL TOGETHER.....1 @  
 MORE IMPORTANT TO PUSH FOR MINORITY RIGHTS.....5

NEITHER/BOTH.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> MORE IMPORTANT TO PULL TOGETHER[goto CR3] <5> MORE IMPORTANT TO ADVOCATE[goto CR3]  
 <7> NEITHER/BOTH  
 <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing][goto CR3]

>GI1.2<

¿Es más importante que todas las personas estén unidas y enfatizar nuestros intereses comunes a pesar de raza o antecedentes étnicos?

-o-

¿Es más importante continuar el empuje para los derechos de grupos de minorías?

Mas importante para personas estar unidas....1 @  
 Mas importante empujar para los derechos  
 de las minorías.....5

NINGUNO/AMBOS.....7  
 NO SÉ.....8  
 NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>GI1flup<

If you had to choose, which comes closer to your opinion?

Is it more important for people to pull together and emphasize our common interests regardless of race or ethnic background?

-- or --

Is it more important to continue to push for the rights of minority groups?

MORE IMPORTANT FOR PEOPLE TO PULL TOGETHER.....1 @  
 MORE IMPORTANT TO PUSH FOR MINORITY RIGHTS.....5

NEITHER/BOTH.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> MORE IMPORTANT TO PULL TOGETHER <5> MORE IMPORTANT TO ADVOCATE  
 <7> NEITHER/BOTH  
 <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>GI1flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál de las dos frases es mas cercana a su opinión?

¿Es mas importante que todas las personas estén unidas y enfatizar nuestros intereses comunes a pesar de raza o antecedentes étnicos?

-o-

¿Es mas importante continuar el empuje para los derechos de grupos de minorías?

Mas importante para personas estar unidas.....1 @  
Mas importante empujar para los deechos  
de las minorías.....5  
  
NINGUNO/AMBOS.....7  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CR3<

Do you think paying great attention to the war on terrorism in America hurts the rights of minorities, improves the rights of minorities, or does it have no impact on minority rights?

HURTS THE RIGHTS MINORITIES.....1 @  
IMPROVED THE RIGHTS MINORITIES.....3  
HAS NO IMPACT.....5  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> HURTS THE RIGHTS <3> IMPROVED THE RIGHTS <5> HAS NO IMPACT  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>CR3.2<

¿Usted cree que poner mucha atención a la guerra contra el terrorismo en América daña los derechos minoritarios, mejora los derechos minoritarios, o, no tiene impacto en los derechos minoritarios?

DAÑA LOS DERECHOS MINORITARIOS....1 @  
MEJORA LOS DERECHOS MINORITARIOS..3  
NO TIENE IMPACTO.....5  
  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>ft< [settime at2][settime therm1]

The next questions are about some groups of people.

I'll name some groups of people and ask you to rate how you feel toward that group on a thermometer that runs from zero (0) to ten (10). The higher the number, the warmer or more favorably you feel toward that group. The lower the number, the colder or less favorably you feel. If you feel neither warm nor cold toward them, rate them a five (5).

[nodata]@

>ft.2<

Las próximas preguntas son acerca de algunos grupos de gente.

Le voy a nombrar varios grupos de gente y le voy a pedir que valore

cómo se siente usted hacia ese grupo, midiéndolo en una escala de cero (0) a diez (10). Lo máximo es diez, es lo más favorable o lo más cálido. Lo mínimo es cero, es lo más desfavorable o lo más frío. Su valoración puede ser cualquier número entre cero y diez.

@

```
>rotate2< [if random2 eq <1> goto ft1a]
           [if random2 eq <2> goto ft1b]
           [if random2 eq <3> goto ft1c]
           [if random2 eq <4> goto ft1d]
           [if random2 eq <5> goto ft1e]
           [if random2 eq <6> goto ft1g]
           [if random2 eq <7> goto ft1h]
```

>ft1a<

People of Middle Eastern or Arabic backgrounds?

(How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm)?

COLD.....0  
1-9  
WARM.....10 @

DO NOT KNOW.....98  
REFUSED.....99

[@]<0> COLD <1-9> <10> WARM  
<98> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <99> REFUSED [missing]

>ft1a.2<

¿Gente del medio oriente o gente de origen Árabe?

(¿Cómo valoriza sus sentimientos hacia este grupo de gente en la escala de 0-10, cuando escoge cero significa más frío o más desfavorable, y cuando escoge diez es más caliente, o, más favorable?)

FRIO O, DESFAVORABLE.....0 @  
1-9  
CALIENTE O, FAVORABLE.....10

NO SÉ.....98  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....99

>go7a< [if random2 eq <2> goto p1]

>ft1b<

People who are white?

(How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

COLD.....0  
1-9  
WARM.....10 @

DO NOT KNOW.....98  
REFUSED.....99

[@]<0> COLD <1-9> <10> WARM  
<98> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <99> REFUSED [missing]

>ft1b.2<

¿Gente que es blanca?

(¿Cómo valoriza sus sentimientos hacia este grupo de gente en la  
escala de 0-10, cuando escoge cero significa más frío o más desfavorable,  
y cuando escoge diez es más caliente, o, más favorable?)

FRIO O, DESFAVORABLE.....0 @  
1-9  
CALIENTE O, FAVORABLE.....10

NO SÉ.....98  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....99

>go7b< [if random2 eq <3> goto p1]

>ft1c<

People who are Islamic Fundamentalists?

(How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10,  
where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

[yellow]FOR A DEFINITION OF ISLAMIC FUNDAMENTALISTS HIT 'h' [n]

COLD.....0  
1-9  
WARM.....10 @

DO NOT KNOW.....98  
REFUSED.....99

[@]<0> COLD <1-9> <10> WARM  
<98> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <99> REFUSED [missing]  
<h>[etc <h>][help islam]

>ft1c.2<

¿Gente que son fundamentalistas Islámicos?

(¿Cómo valoriza sus sentimientos hacia este grupo de gente en la  
escala de 0-10, cuando escoge cero significa más frío o más desfavorable,  
y cuando escoge diez es más caliente, o, más favorable?)

([r]IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: Personas que creen en una interpretación  
extrema y estricta del Koran o la ley Islámica[n]

FRIO O, DESFAVORABLE.....0 @



```

                                1-9
CALIENTE O, FAVORABLE.....10

NO SÉ.....98
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....99

>go7c< [if random2 eq <4> goto p1]

>ft1d<

People who are Hispanics or Latinos?

(How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10,
where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

COLD.....0
                                1-9
WARM.....10 @

DO NOT KNOW.....98
REFUSED.....99

[@]<0> COLD <1-9> <10> WARM
<98> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <99> REFUSED [missing]

>ft1d.2<

¿Gente que es Hispánica o Latina?

(¿Cómo valoriza sus sentimientos hacia este grupo de gente en la
escala de 0-10, cuando escoge cero significa más frio o más desfavorable,
y cuando escoge diez es más caliente, o, más favorable?)

FRIO O, DESFAVORABLE.....0 @
                                1-9
CALIENTE O, FAVORABLE.....10

NO SÉ.....98
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....99

>go7d< [if random2 eq <5> goto p1]

>ft1e<

People who are Jewish?

(How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10,
where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

COLD.....0
                                1-9
WARM.....10 @

DO NOT KNOW.....98
REFUSED.....99

[@]<0> COLD <1-9> <10> WARM

```

<98> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <99> REFUSED [missing]

>ftle.2<

¿Gente que es de origen Judío?

(¿Cómo valoriza sus sentimientos hacia este grupo de gente en la escala de 0-10, cuando escoge cero significa más frío o más desfavorable, y cuando escoge diez es más caliente, o, más favorable?)

FRIO O, DESFAVORABLE.....0 @  
1-9

CALIENTE O, FAVORABLE.....10

NO SÉ.....98  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....99

>go7e< [if random2 eq <6> goto p1]

>ftlg<

People who are Christian fundamentalists?

(How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

[yellow]FOR A DEFINITION OF CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS HIT 'h' [n]

COLD.....0  
1-9

WARM.....10 @

DO NOT KNOW.....98  
REFUSED.....99

[@]<0> COLD <1-9> <10> WARM  
<98> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <99> REFUSED [missing]  
<h>[etc <h>][help christ]

>ftlg.2<

¿Gente que son fundamentalistas Cristianos?

(¿Cómo valoriza sus sentimientos hacia este grupo de gente en la escala de 0-10, cuando escoge cero significa más frío o más desfavorable, y cuando escoge diez es más caliente, o, más favorable?)

([r]:USE THIS DEFINITION: Personas que creen en una interpretacion extrema y estricta de la Biblia[n]

FRIO O, DESFAVORABLE.....0 @  
1-9

CALIENTE O, FAVORABLE.....10

NO SÉ.....98  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....99

```
>go7g< [if random2 eq <7> goto p1]
```

```
>ft1h<
```

People who are Black or African American?

(How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

COLD.....0

1-9

WARM.....10 @

DO NOT KNOW.....98

REFUSED.....99

[@]<0> COLD <1-9> <10> WARM

<98> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <99> REFUSED [missing]

```
>ft1h.2<
```

¿Gente que son Negros o Afro-Americanos?

(¿Cómo valoriza sus sentimientos hacia este grupo de gente en la escala de 0-10, cuando escoge cero significa más frío o más desfavorable, y cuando escoge diez es más caliente, o, más favorable?)

FRIO O, DESFAVORABLE.....0 @

1-9

CALIENTE O, FAVORABLE.....10

NO SÉ.....98

NEGARSE EN CONTETAR.....99

```
>go7i< [if random2 ge <2> goto ft1a]
```

```
>p1< [settime therm2]
```

How proud are you to be an American?

Would you say very proud, proud, somewhat proud, not very proud, or not proud at all?

VERY PROUD.....1 @

PROUD.....2

SOMEWHAT PROUD.....3

NOT VERY PROUD.....4

NOT PROUD AT ALL.....5

RESPONDENT NOT AN AMERICAN.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> VERY PROUD <2> PROUD <3> SOMEWHAT PROUD <4> NOT VERY PROUD

<5> NOT PROUD AT ALL <7> RESPONDENT NOT AN AMERICAN

<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>p1.2<

¿Qué orgulloso se siente usted de ser un Americano?

¿Diría usted muy orgulloso, orgulloso, un poco orgulloso, no muy orgulloso, o, no orgulloso del todo?

MUY ORGULLOSO.....1 @  
ORGULLOSO.....2  
UN POCO ORGULLOSO.....3  
NO MUY ORGULLOSO.....4  
NO ORGULLOSO DEL TODO.....5

RESPONDIENTE NO ES AMERICANO....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>cl<

Next I am going to read you a series of two statements. Please tell me which one you agree with [green]most[n].

[nodata] @

>cl.2<

Enseguida le voy a leer dos series de frases. Por favor dígame con cuál de las dos usted concuerda mas.

@

>rotate3< [if random3 eq <0> goto CL1]  
[if random3 eq <1> goto CL2]  
[if random3 eq <2> goto CL7]  
[if random3 eq <3> goto CL8]  
[if random3 eq <4> goto CL9]  
[if random3 eq <5> goto CL10]  
[if random3 eq <6> goto CL4]  
[if random3 eq <7> goto CL5]  
[if random3 eq <8> goto CL6]  
[if random3 eq <9> goto CL11]

>CL1< [settime civill]

In order to curb terrorism in this country, it will be necessary to give up some civil liberties. -or-

We should preserve our freedoms above all, even if there remains some risk of terrorism.

(Which statement do you agree with [green]most[n]?)

[r]IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "Civil liberties are the basic rights guaranteed to all Americans by the Constitution - such as the freedoms of speech, assembly, religion, etc" [n]

Necessary to give up some civil liberties.....1 @  
We should preserve our freedoms.....5  
IT DEPENDS/NEITHER (R volunteers).....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> NECESSARY GIVE UP CIVIL LIBERTIES[goto rot3a] <5>[goto rot3a] PRESERVE OUR  
FREEDOMS[goto rot3a] <7> IT DEPENDS/NEITHER <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing]  
<9> REFUSED [missing][goto rot3a]  
<h>[etc <h>][help civil]

>CL1.2<

La primera es, para poder parar el terrorismo en este país será necesario  
ceder algunas libertades civiles.

-o-

Nosotros debemos preservar nuestros derechos civiles sobre todo, aunque  
quede algún riesgo de terrorismo.

(¿Con cuál de las dos frases usted concuerda mas?)

Necesario ceder algunas libertades civiles.....1 @  
Nosotros debemos preservar nuestros derechos.....5  
ESO DEPENDE/NINGUNO.....7  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>clflup<

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion?

(In order to curb terrorism in this country, it will be  
necessary to give up some civil liberties). -or-

(We should preserve our freedoms above all, even if there remains some  
risk of terrorism.)

[r]IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "Civil liberties are the basic rights  
guaranteed to all Americans by the Constitution - such as the  
freedoms of speech, assembly, religion, etc" [n]

Necessary to give up some civil liberties.....1 @  
We should preserve our freedoms.....5

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[yellow]FOR A DEFINITION OF CIVIL LIBERTIES HIT 'h' [n]

[@]<1> NECESSARY GIVE UP LIBERTIES <5> PRESERVE OUR FREEDOMS  
<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE

```

      <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]
      <h>[etc <h>][help civil]
>clflup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál de las dos frases es mas cercana
a su opinión?

(Para poder parar el terrorismo en este país, ser necesario ceder
algunas libertades civiles).

-o-

(Nosotros debemos preservar nuestros derechos sobre todo, aunque quede
algún riesgo de terrorismo).

      Necesario ceder algunas libertades civiles.....1 @
      Nosotros debemos preservar nuestros derechos.....5

      ESO DEPENDE/NINGUNO.....7

      NO SÉ.....8
      NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3a< [if random3 eq <1> goto CD1]

>CL2<
Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times
to show to a police officer upon request. -or-

Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's
freedom of association and right to privacy.

(Which statement do you agree with [green]most[n]?)

      People required to carry national ID card . . . 1 @
      ID cards violate freedom of association
      and right of privacy.....5
      IT DEPENDS/NEITHER (R volunteers).....7

      DO NOT KNOW.....8
      REFUSED.....9

[&]<1> PEOPLE REQUIRED TO CARRY ID[goto rot3b]
<5>[goto rot3b] ID CARDS VIOLATE FREEDOM ASSOCIATION
<7> IT DEPENDS/NEITHER <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED [goto rot3b]

>CL2.2<

Cada uno debe de llevar una tarjeta de identificación nacional todo
el tiempo para mostrársela a un oficial de la policía cuando la requiera.

-o-

Ser forzado a llevar una tarjeta de identificación nacional viola los
derechos humanos de asociación y los derechos de privacidad.
(¿Con cuál de las dos frases usted concuerda mas?)

```

La gente debe llevar tarjeta de identificación.....1 @  
 Llevar tarjetas de identificación viola los derechos  
 humanos de asociación y los derechos de privacidad.....5

TODO DEPENDE/NINGUNA.....7  
 NO SÉ.....8  
 NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>cl2flup<

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion?

(Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times  
 to show to a police officer on request. -or-

Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's  
 freedom of association and right to privacy).

People required to carry national ID card . . . 1 @  
 ID cards violate freedom of association  
 and right of privacy.....5

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
 DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> PEOPLE REQUIRED TO CARRY ID <5> ID CARDS VIOLATE FREEDOM ASSOCIATION  
 <7> DEPENDS/CANNOT CHOOSE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing] [goto  
 CL4]

>cl2flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál de las dos frases es más cercana a  
 su opinión?

(Cada uno debe de llevar una tarjeta de identificación nacional todo  
 el tiempo para mostrársela a un oficial de la policía cuando la requiera.

-o-

Ser forzado a llevar una tarjeta de identificación nacional viola  
 los derechos humanos de asociación y los derechos de privacidad).

Gente debe llevar tarjeta de identificación.....1 @  
 Llevar tarjetas de identificación viola los  
 derechos de privacidad...5

DEPENDE/NINGUNA/NO PUEDO ESCOGER.....7  
 NO SÉ.....8  
 NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3b< [if random3 eq <2> goto CD1]

>CL7<

Some people say high school teachers have the right to criticize America's  
 policies toward terrorism.

Others say that [green]all[n] high school teachers should defend America's policies in order to promote loyalty to our country.

Which of these opinions do you agree with [green]most[n]?

SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES.....1 @  
HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY.....5  
DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES[goto rot3c] <5> HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY [goto rot3c]  
<7> DEPENDS/NOT SURE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing][goto rot3c]

>CL7.2<

Algunas personas dicen que los maestros de escuela secundaria tienen el derecho de criticar las pólizas americanas de la guerra contra el terrorismo.

Otros dicen que todos los maestros de escuela secundaria deben defender las pólizas Americanas para que de esa forma se pueda promover la lealtad hacia nuestro país.

¿Con cuál de estas dos opiniones usted concuerda mas?

HABLAR CONTRA LAS PÓLIZAS.....1 @  
AYUDAR A DESARROLLAR LEALTAD.....5

DEPENDE/NINGUNA/NO PUEDO ESCOGER.....7  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>cl7flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

(High school teachers have the right to criticize America's policies toward terrorism)

- or -

([green]All[n] high school teachers should defend America's policies in order to promote loyalty to our country).

SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES.....1 @  
HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY.....5

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES <5> HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY  
<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing][goto CL8]



>cl7flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál opinión es más cercana a la suya?

(Los maestros de escuela secundaria tienen el derecho a criticar las pólizas Americanas contra el terrorismo).

-o-

(Todos los maestros deben defender las pólizas Americanas para promover la lealtad hacia nuestro país).

HABLAR CONTRA LAS PÓLIZAS.....1	@
AYUDAR A DESARROLLAR LEALTAD.....5	
DEPENDENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7	
NO SÉ.....8	
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9	

>rot3c< [if random3 eq <3> goto CD1]

>CL8<

Some people say that law enforcement should be free to search a property without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act is being planned there.

Others say that protection against searches without a warrant is a basic right that should not be given up for any reason.

Which of these opinions do you agree with [green]most[n]?

ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT.....1	@
OPPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT .....5	
DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS).....7	
DO NOT KNOW.....8	
REFUSED.....9	

[@]<1> ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT[goto rot3d] <5> OPPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT [goto rot3d]

<7> DEPENDS/NOT SURE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing][goto rot3d]

>CL8.2<

Alguna gente dice que la policía judicial debiera ser libre de examinar una propiedad sin ninguna autorización, solamente con la sospecha que un crimen, o, un acto terrorista está siendo planeado en ese lugar.

Otros dicen que la protección contra exámenes sin una autorización es un derecho básico que no se debe ceder por ninguna razón.

¿Con cuál de estas opiniones usted concuerda mas?

DEJAR QUE EXAMINACIONES SE HAGAN SIN AUTORIZACIÓN.....1	@
ME OPONGO A QUE EXAMINACIONES SE HAGAN SIN AUTORIZACIÓN....5	

DEPENDEN/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7

NO SÉ.....8

NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>cl8flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

(Law enforcement should be free to search a property without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act is being planned there).

- or -

(Protection against searches without a warrant is a basic right that should not be given up for any reason).

ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT.....1 @

OPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT .....5

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED... .....9

[@]<1> ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT <5> OPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT

<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [goto CL9]

>cl8flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál de estas opiniones es más cercana a la suya?

(Dejar que la policía judicial haga exámenes a una propiedad sin autorización, solamente con sospecha que un crimen, o, que un acto terrorista se está siendo planeado en ese lugar).

- o -

(Protección contra exámenes sin una autorización es un derecho básico que no se debe ceder por ninguna razón).

DEJAR QUE EXAMINACIONES SE HAGAN SIN AUTORIZACIÓN.....1 @

ME OPONGO A QUE EXAMINACIONES SE HAGAN SIN AUTORIZACIÓN....5

DEPENDEN/NINGUNA/NO PUEDO ESCOGER.....7

NO SÉ.....8

NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3d< [if random3 eq <4> goto CD1]

>CL9<

Some people say that government [green]should be[n] allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts.

Others say that people's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution.

Which of these opinions do you agree with [green]most[n]?

ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL...1 @  
OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL..5

DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS).....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS[goto rot3e] <5> OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATIONS [goto  
rot3e]  
<7> DEPENDS/NOT SURE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing][goto rot3e]

>CL9.2<

Alguna gente dice que el gobierno debiera ser libre de grabar llamadas telefónicas y monitorear el correo por la computadora (E-Mail), para que de esa forma se pueda prevenir que la gente pueda planear actos terroristas, o, criminales.

Otros dicen que las conversaciones y el correo por la computadora son cosas privadas y deben ser protegidas por la constitución.

¿Con cuál de estas dos opiniones usted concuerda mas?

DEJAR GRABACIONES DE LLAMADAS TELEFÓNICAS/CORREO  
DE LA COMPUTADORA.....1 @

ME OPONGO A GRABACIONES DE LLAMADAS TELEFÓNICAS/  
CORREO POR LA COMPUTADORA (E-MAIL).....5

DEPENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7

NO SÉ.....8

NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>cl9flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

(The government [green]should be[n] allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts).

- or -

(People's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution).

ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL...1 @  
OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL..5

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED... .....9

[@]<1> ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS <5> OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATION  
<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing]  
<9> REFUSED

>c19flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál de estas opiniones es más cercana a la suya?

El gobierno debe ser libre en grabar llamadas telefónicas y monitorear el correo por la computadora para que de esa manera se pueda prevenir que la gente pueda planear actos terroristas, o, criminales.

-o-

Que las conversaciones de la gente y el correo por la computadora son cosas privadas y deben de ser protegidas por la constitución.

DEJAR GRABACIONES DE LLAMADAS TELEFÓNICAS/CORREO  
DE LA COMPUTADORA.....1 @  
ME OPONGO A GRABACIONES DE LLAMADAS TELEFÓNICAS/  
CORREO POR LA COMPUTADORA (E-MAIL).....5  
  
DEPENDENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7  
  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3e< [if random3 eq <5> goto CD1]

>CL10<

Some say that people who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated.

Others say that people have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law.

Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS.....1 @  
RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC.....5  
  
DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS).....7  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS[goto rot3f] <5> RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC[goto rot3f]  
<7> DEPENDS/NOT SURE <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing] [goto rot3f]

>CL10.2<

Algunos dicen que la gente que participa en protestas que no son violentas contra el gobierno de Los Estados Unidos deben ser investigados.

Otros dicen que la gente tiene el derecho de reunirse en lugares públicos y expresar puntos de vista que no son populares mientras no estén quebrando la ley.

¿Cuál de estas dos opiniones usted concuerda mas?

INVESTIGAR A LOS QUE PROTESTAN.....1	@
DERECHO A REUNIRSE EN LUGARES PÚBLICOS.....5	
DEPENDENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7	
NO SÉ.....8	
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9	

>cl10flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

(People who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated).

- or -

(People have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law).

INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS.....1	@
RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC.....5	

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7
DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED... ..9

[@]<1> INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS <5> RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC  
<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED

>cl10flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál opinión es más cercana a la suya?

(Gente que participa en protestas que no son violentas contra el gobierno de Los Estados Unidos deben ser investigados).

- o -

(La Gente tiene el derecho a reunirse en lugares públicos y expresar puntos de vista que no son populares mientras no estén violando la ley).

INVESTIGAR A LOS QUE PROTESTAN.....1	@
DERECHO A REUNIRSE EN LUGARES PUBLICOS.....5	
DEPENDENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7	
NO SÉ.....8	
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9	

>rot3f< [if random3 eq <6> goto CD1]

>CL4<

Some people say it should be a crime for anyone to belong to or  
contribute money to any organization that supports international terrorism.

Others say that a person's guilt or innocence [green]should not[n] be determined  
only by who they associate with or the organizations to which they belong.

Which of these opinions do you agree with [green]most[n]?

REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TO TERRORISM.....1 @  
GUILT INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED .....5

DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS) .....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TERRORISM [goto CL4a]

<5> GUILT INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED[goto CL4b]

<7> DEPENDS/NOT SURE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing][goto rot3g]

>CL4.2<

Alguna gente dice que debiera ser un crimen el que una persona  
pertenezca o contribuya dinero a una organización que apoya el terrorismo  
internacional.

Otros dicen que la culpabilidad o la inocencia de las personas no debieran  
ser determinadas solamente por con quien ellos se asocian o a cuales  
organizaciones ellos pertenecen.

¿Con cuál de estas dos opiniones usted concuerda mas?

ACERCA DE LOS QUE CONTRIBUYEN AL TERRORISMO.....1 @  
LA CULPABILIDAD Y LA INOCENCIA NO TIENEN NADA QUE VER  
CON LAS ASOCIACIONES.....5

DEPENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO(R VOLUNTEERS) .....7

NO SÉ.....8

NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>cl4flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

(It should be a crime for anyone to belong to or contribute money to  
any organization that supports international terrorism.)

- or -

(A person's guilt or innocence [green]should not[n] be determined only  
by who they associate with or the organizations to which they belong.)

REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TO TERRORISM.....1 @

GUILT INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED .....5

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TO TERRORISM [goto CL4a]

<5> GUILD INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED [goto CL4b]

<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE[goto rot3g]

<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] [goto rot3g] <9> REFUSED [goto rot3g]

>cl4flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál de las frases es más cercana a su opinión?

(Debe ser un crimen para una persona pertenecer, o, contribuir dinero a una organización que apoya el terrorismo internacional.)

-o-

(La culpabilidad o la inocencia de las personas no deben ser determinadas solamente por con quien ellos se asocian, o, a cuales organizaciones ellos pertenecen.)

ACERCA DE LOS QUE CONTRIBUYEN AL TERRORISMO.....1 @

LA CULPABILIDAD Y LA INOCENCIA NO TIENEN NADA QUE VER

CON LAS ASOCIACIONES.....5

DEPENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO(R VOLUNTEERS).....7

NO SÉ.....8

NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CL4a<

Suppose, in doing this, people would be judged guilty by association rather than by a crime they may have personally committed.

If that happened, would you be [green]less[n] likely to view such people as committing a crime, [green]more[n] likely to view them as committing a crime, or would it make no difference in your answer?

LESS LIKELY..... .1 @

MORE LIKELY.....5

NO DIFFERENCE .. . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE

<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

[default goto rot3g]

>CL4a.2<

Supongamos, que en haciendo esto, la gente sea juzgada culpable por asociación, en vez de por un crimen cometido personalmente.

¿Si esto ocurriera así, estaría usted [green] menos [n] inclinado a ver a esa gente como cometiendo un crimen, [green] más [n] inclinado a verlos como cometiendo un crimen, o, no le causaría ninguna diferencia en su respuesta?

MENOS INCLINADO.....1 @  
MÁS INCLINADO.....5  
NINGUNA DIFERENCIA.....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CL4b<

Suppose people who contribute to these organizations [green]actually are[n] supporting the activities and goals of terrorist organizations.

If that were true, would you be [green]less[n] likely to view them as innocent, [green]more[n] likely to view them as innocent, or would it make no difference in your answer?

LESS LIKELY.....1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE . . . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE  
          <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>CL4b.2<

Suponiendo que la gente que contribuye a estas organizaciones, actualmente están asistiendo a actividades y metas de organizaciones terroristas.

¿Si esto fuera verdad, estaría usted [green] menos [n] inclinado a verlos como inocentes, [green] más [n] inclinado a verlos como inocentes, o, no le causaría ninguna diferencia en su respuesta?

MENOS INCLINADO.....1 @  
MÁS INCLINADO.....5  
NINGUNA DIFERENCIA.....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3g< [if random3 eq <7> goto CD1]

>CL5<

Some people say the government should be able to arrest and detain a [green]non-citizen[n] indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging to a terrorist organization.



Others say nobody should be held for a long period of time without being formally charged with a crime.

Which of these opinions do you agree with [green]most[n]?

DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES.....1 @  
OPPOSE DETAINING INDIVIDUALS.....5  
  
DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS).....7  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES [goto CL5a]<5> OPPOSE DETAINING INDIVIDUALS [goto CL5b]  
<7> DEPENDS/NOT SURE <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing][goto rot3h]

>CL5.2<

Alguna gente dice, que el gobierno debería poder arrestar y detener al que no es ciudadano indefinidamente si esa persona es sospechada de pertenecer a una organización terrorista.

Otros dicen, que ninguna persona debe ser detenida por un periodo de largo tiempo sin que esa persona sea formalmente acusada con un crimen.

¿Con cuál de estas dos opiniones usted concuerda mas?

DETENERLO POR EL TIEMPO QUE SEA NECESARIO.....1 @  
ME OPONGO A QUE DETENGAN INDIVIDUOS.....5  
  
DEPENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7  
  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>cl5flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

(The government should be able to arrest and detain a [green]non-citizen[n] indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging to a terrorist organization).

- or -

(Nobody should be held for a long period of time without being formally charged with a crime).

DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES.....1 @  
OPPOSE DETAINING INDIVIDUALS.....5  
  
DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES [goto CL5a] <5> OPPOSE DETAINING INDIVIDUALS [goto CL5b]

<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE[goto rot3h]  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing][goto rot3h] <9> REFUSED [goto rot3h]

>cl5flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál opinión es más cercana a la suya?

(El gobierno debería poder arrestar y detener al que no es ciudadano indefinidamente si esa persona es sospechada de pertenecer a una organización terrorista.)

-o-

(Nadie debe ser detenido por un periodo de largo tiempo sin que esa persona sea formablemente acusada con un crimen).

DETENERLO POR EL TIEMPO QUE SEA NECESARIO.....1 @  
ME OPONGO A QUE DETENGAN INDIVIDUOS.....5

DEPENDEN/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CL5a<

Suppose this means that many innocent people could be locked up for a long time without ever be charged with a crime.

If that happened, would you be [green]less[n] likely to support such detention, [green]more[n] likely to support such detention, or would it make no difference in your answer?

LESS LIKELY..... .1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE .. . . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]  
[default goto rot3h]

>CL5a.2<

Suponiendo que esto significa que mucha gente inocente podría ser detenida por un largo tiempo sin ser formalmente acusada con un crimen.

¿Si esto fuera el caso, estaría usted [green] menos [n] inclinado a apoyar esa clase de detención, [green] más [n] inclinado a apoyar esa clase de detención, o, no haría ninguna diferencia en su respuesta?

MENOS INCLINADO.....1 @  
MÁS INCLINADO.....5  
NINGUNA DIFERENCIA.....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CL5b<

Suppose there were strong suspicions that these people are terrorists and detaining them would prevent them from committing other crimes.

If that happened, would you be [green]less[n] likely to oppose such detention, [green]more[n] likely to oppose it, or would it make no difference?

LESS LIKELY.....1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE . . . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>CL5b.2<

Suponiendo que hubiera bastante sospecha que estas gentes son terroristas y arrestándolos podría prevenir que ellos cometan otros crímenes.

¿Si esto fuera el caso, estaría usted [green] menos [n] inclinado en oponerse a esa clase de arresto, [green] más [n] inclinado a ese arresto, o, no le haría ninguna diferencia a usted?

MENOS INCLINADO.....1 @  
MÁS INCLINADO.....5  
NINGUNA DIFERENCIA.....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3h< [if random3 eq <8> goto CD1]

>CL6<

Some people say that law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes. This is called racial profiling.

Others think racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity.

Which of these opinions do you agree with [green]most[n]?

ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING.....1 @  
OPPOSE RACIAL PROFILING.....5  
DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING [goto CL6a] <5> OPPOSE RACIAL PROFILING [goto CL6b]  
<7> DEPENDS/NOT SURE <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing]  
<9> REFUSED [missing][goto rot3i]

>CL6.2<

Algunas personas dicen que la policía judicial debiera de poder parar o detener a cualquier persona de cierta raza o ciertos antecedentes étnicos si estos grupos son considerados como más propensos a cometer crímenes. Esto es llamado perfil racial.

Otros dicen que perfil racial no se debe hacer porque esto acosaría a mucha gente inocente solamente por pertenecer a una raza, o, a un grupo étnico.

¿Con cuál de estas dos opiniones concuerda usted mas?

CONCEDER A PERFIL RACIAL.....1 @

OPONERSE A PERFIL RACIAL.....5

DEPENDEN/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7

NO SÉ.....8

NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>cl6flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

(Law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes.)

- or -

(Racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity).

ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING.....1 @

OPPOSE RACIAL PROFILING.....5

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING [goto CL6a] <5> OPPOSE RACIAL PROFILING[goto CL6b]

<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE[goto rot3i]

<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing][goto rot3i] <9> REFUSED [goto rot3i]

>cl6flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger cual opinión es más cercana a la suya?

(La policía judicial debiera de poder parar o detener a cualquier persona de cierta raza o ciertos antecedentes étnicos si estos grupos son considerados mas propensos a cometer crímenes).

-o-

(Perfil racial no se debe hacer por que esto acosaria a mucha gente inocente solamente por pertenecer a una raza, o, a un grupo étnico).

CONCEDER A PERFIL RACIAL.....1 @  
OPONERSE A PERFIL RACIAL.....5

DEPENDEN/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CL6a<

Suppose this means that racial profiling often leads to unequal treatment of people just because of their race or national origin.

If this happened, would you be [green]less[n] likely to support racial profiling, [green]more[n] likely to support it, or would this not make any difference your answer?

LESS LIKELY..... .1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE .. . . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]  
[default goto rot3i]

>CL6a.2<

Suponiendo que esto significa que perfil racial muchas veces nos lleva a un trato desigual de la gente solamente porque son de otra raza o de otro grupo original.

¿Si esto fuera el caso, estaría usted [green] menos [n] inclinado a apoyar perfil racial, [green] más [n] inclinado en soportar esto, o, esto no haría ninguna diferencia en su respuesta?

MENOS INCLINADO.....1 @  
MAS INCLINADO.....5  
NINGUNA DIFERENCIA.....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CL6b<

Suppose people from certain racial or ethnic backgrounds were [green]actually[n] more likely to commit crimes?

If this were the case, would you be [green]less[n] likely to oppose racial profiling, [green]more[n] likely to oppose it, or would this not make any difference in your answer.

LESS LIKELY..... .1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE . . . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9>[missing]REFUSED

>CL6b.2<

Supongamos que gente de cierto grupo racial o ciertos antecedentes  
étnicos actualmente tienen mas probabilidad de cometer crímenes?

¿Si esto fuera el caso, estaría usted menos inclinado a oponerse a  
perfil racial, más inclinado a oponerse a esto, o, esto no haría  
ninguna diferencia en su respuesta?

MENOS INCLINADO.....1 @  
MÁS INCLINADO.....5  
NINGUNA DIFEFERENCIA.....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3i< [if random3 eq <9> goto CD1]

>CL11<

Which of the following comes closer to your view?

The federal government should place greater restrictions on the public's  
access to information on what the government is doing in the fight  
against terrorism,

-- or ---

Public access to information is essential to making government officials  
responsive to the people?

SHOULD PLACE GREATER RESTRICTIONS.....1 @  
PUBLIC ACCESS TO INFORMATION IS ESSENTIAL.....5

NEITHER.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> SHOULD PLACE GREATER RESTRICTIONS[goto CL11a] <5> PUBLIC ACCESS IS  
ESSENTIAL[goto CL11b]  
<7> NEITHER <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing][goto rot3j]

>CL11.2<

¿Cuál de las siguientes opiniones es más cercana a la suya?

El gobierno federal debe poner más fuertes restriccciones al acceso

que el público tiene a información acerca de lo que el gobierno está haciendo en la guerra contra el terrorismo.

-o-

El acceso público a información es esencial a la responsabilidad que los oficiales del gobierno tienen con la gente.

DEBEN DE PONER MAS RESTRICCIONES.....1 @  
ACCESO PUBLICO A INFORMACION ES ESENCIAL...5

NINGUNA.....7

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>cl11flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

The federal government should place greater restrictions on the public's access to information on what the government is doing in the fight against terrorism,

-- or ---

Public access to information is essential to making government officials responsive to the people?

SHOULD PLACE GREATER RESTRICTIONS.....1 @  
PUBLIC ACCESS TO INFORMATION IS ESSENTIAL.....5

NEITHER.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> SHOULD PLACE GREATER RESTRICTIONS[goto CL11a] <5> PUBLIC ACCESS IS ESSENTIAL[goto CL11b]

<7> NEITHER [goto rot3j] <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto rot3j]

<9> REFUSED[missing][goto rot3j]

>cl11flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál opinión es más cercana a la suya?

El gobierno federal debe poner más fuertes restricciones al acceso que él público tiene a información acerca de lo que el gobierno está haciendo en la guerra contra el terrorismo.

-o-

El acceso publico a información es esencial a la responsabilidad que los oficiales del gobierno tienen con la gente.

DEBEN DE PONER MAS RESTRICCIONES.....1 @

ACCESO PUBLICO A INFORMACION ES ESENCIAL...5

NINGUNA.....7

NO SÉ.....8

NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CL11a<

Suppose this allowed the government to hide acts that were illegal or unconstitutional.

If this were true, would you want to restrict public access to information more, restrict public access less -- or would it not make a difference?

RESTRICT ACCESS MORE..... .1 @

RESTRICT ACCESS LESS.....5

NO DIFFERENCE .. . . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE

<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

[default goto rot3j]

>CL11a.2<

Suponiendo que esto le permita al gobierno esconder actos que fueran ilegales o no constitucionales.

¿Si esto fuera verdad, estaría usted más inclinado a apoyar restricciones de información al acceso publico, menos inclinado a apoyar restricciones, no haría ninguna diferencia?

MÁS INCLINADO.....1 @

MENOS INCLINADO.....5

NINGUNA DIFERENCIA.....7

NO SÉ.....8

NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CL11b<

Suppose this meant that our enemies might be able to use this information against the US.

If this were true, would you want to restrict public access to information more, restrict public access less -- or would it not make a difference?

RESTRICT ACCESS MORE..... .1 @

RESTRICT ACCESS LESS.....5

NO DIFFERENCE .. . . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9



```
[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE
      <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]
```

>CL11b.2<

Suponiendo que esto significa que nuestros enemigos podrían tener la posibilidad de usar esta información contra Los Estados Unidos.

¿Si esto fuera correcto, estaría usted más inclinado a apoyar restricciones de información al acceso público, menos inclinado a apoyar restricciones, o no haría ninguna diferencia?

```
MÁS INCLINADO.....1 @
MENOS INCLINADO.....5
NO HARÍA NINGUNA DIFERENCIA.....7

NO SÉ.....8
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9
```

>rot3j< [if random3 ge <1> goto CL1]

>CD1< [settime civil2][settime core1]

Now, I have a few background questions. These are for statistical analysis purposes only.

```
MALE.....1
FEMALE.....5 @
```

```
[@]<1> MALE <5> FEMALE
```

>CD1.2<

Ahora, tengo unas pocas preguntas acerca de sus antecedentes. Estas son con propósito de análisis estadístico solamente.

```
ES USTED DE GÉNERO MASCULINO.....1 @
ES USTED DE GÉNERO FEMENINO .....5
```

>CD2< [define <d><998>][define <r><999>]

In what year were you born?

```
Year.....19 @

DON'T KNOW.....d
REFUSED.....r
```

```
[@] <00-85> YEAR <d,r>[missing]
```

>CD2.2<

¿En qué año nació usted?

```
A[Q].....19 @

NO SÉ.....d
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....r
```

>CD2a<

Were you born in the United States (or one of its territories, such as Puerto Rico, American Samoa, or Guam)?

YES.....1  
NO.....5 @  
  
DON'T KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> YES <5> NO <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9>[missing]REFUSED

>CD2a.2<

¿Nació usted en Los Estados Unidos (o, en uno de sus territorios, como lo es Puerto Rico, Samoa Americana, o, Guam)?

SI.....1 @  
NO.....5  
  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CD2b<

Do you speak a language other than English at home?

YES.....1  
NO.....5 @  
  
DON'T KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> YES <5> NO <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9>[missing]REFUSED

>CD2b.2<

¿Habla usted otra lengua que Inglés en su casa?

SÍ.....1 @  
NO.....5  
  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CD3<

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

DID NOT GO TO SCHOOL .....0 @  
GRADE.....1-11  
HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR GED HOLDER.....12  
COLLEGE (ONE TO THREE YEARS).....13-15  
COLLEGE GRADUATE (FOUR YEARS) .....16  
SOME POST GRADUATE .....17  
GRADUATE DEGREE.....18  
TECHNICAL/JUNIOR COLLEGE GRADUATE.....20

DON'T KNOW.....98  
 REFUSED.....99

[@] <0> DID NOT GO TO SCHOOL <1-11> GRADE <12> HIGH SCHOOL GRAD OR GED  
 <13-15> COLLEGE <16> COLLEGE GRADUATE <17> SOME POST GRADUATE  
 <18> GRADUATE DEGREE <20> TECHNICAL/JUNIOR COLLEGE GRAD  
 <98> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <99>REFUSED [missing]

>CD3.2<

¿Cuál es el nivel más alto de educación que usted a completado?

NO FUI A LA ESCUELA .....0 @  
 GRADO DE ESCUELA SECUNDARIA.....1-11

GRADUADO DE ESCUELA SECUNDARIA, O, POSESOR DE DIPLOMA  
 DE EDUCACIÓN GENERAL DE DESARROLLO (GED)....12  
 UNIVERSIDAD (UNO A TRES A[Q]S).....13-15  
 GRADUADO DE UNIVERSIDAD (CUATRO A[Q]S).....16  
 ALGO DE POSGRADUADO.....17  
 TÉCNICO/GRADUADO DE UNIVERSIDAD DE DOS A[Q]S..20

NO SÉ.....98  
 NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....99

>CD8<

Are you currently married, divorced, separated, widowed, member of an  
 unmarried couple, or have you never been married?

MARRIED, REMARRIED.....1  
 DIVORCED.....2  
 SEPARATED.....3  
 WIDOWED.....4  
 MEMBER OF AN UNMARRIED COUPLE..... 5  
 SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED.....6  
 OTHER .....0 @  
 MISCELLANEOUS-NEC.....7  
 DON'T KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> MARRIED <2> DIVORCED <3> SEPARATED <4> WIDOWED <5> MEMBERT UNMARRIED COUPLE  
 <6> SINGLE NEVER BEEN MARRIED <7> MISCELLANEOUS - NEC 0 [#specify] <8,9>[missing]

>CD8.2<

¿Está usted actualmente casado, divorciado, separado, viudo, miembro  
 de una pareja no casada, o, nunca se casó?

CASADO, NUEVAMENTE CASADO.....1 @  
 DIVORSIADO.....2  
 SEPARADO.....3  
 VIUDO.....4  
 MIEMBRO DE UNA PAREJA NO CASADA.....5  
 SOLTERO, NUNCA CASADO.....6  
 OTRA.....0

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CD5a<

Are you of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin?

YES- HISPANIC/LATINO/SPANISH ORIGIN.....1  
NO - [green]NOT[n] HISPANIC/LATINO/SPANISH ORIGIN.....5 @  
  
DON'T KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, HISPANIC <5> NO, NOT HISPANIC[goto CD4a] <8,9>[missing][goto CD4a]

>CD5a.2<

¿Es usted de origen Hispánico/Latino/Español?

SI-DE ORIGEN HISPÁNICO/LATINO/ESPAÑOL.....1 @  
NO-DE ORIGEN HISPÁNICO/LATINO/ESPAÑOL.....5  
  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CD5a1<

With which Hispanic or Latino group do you identify most?

Mexican, Mexican American, Chicano, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or something else?

MEXICAN.....1 @  
MEXICAN AMERICAN.....2  
CHICANO.....3  
PUERTO RICAN.....4  
CUBAN.....5  
SOMETHING ELSE.....6  
  
MISCELLANEOUS.....7

[@] <1> MEXICAN <2> MEXICAN AMERICAN <3> CHICANO <4> PUERTO RICAN  
<5> CUBAN <7> MISCELLANEOUS - NEC  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>CD5a1.2<

¿Con cuál de los grupos Hispánicos o Latinos usted se identifica mas?

Mexicano, Americano Mexicano, Chicano, Puertorriqueño, Cubano, o algún otro?

MEXICANO.....1 @  
AMERICANO MEXICANO.....2  
CHICANO.....3  
PUERTORRIQUEÑO.....4

CUBANO.....5  
ALGUN OTRO.....6

NO SÉ.....98  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....99

>CD4a< [open CD4a][optional all][default answer <n> all] [define <y><1>][define  
<n><5>][define <d><8>][define <r><9>]

What is your race?

(IWER: THE R CAN JUST TELL YOU IF THEY ARE WHITE, BLACK, ASIAN,  
ETC, AND YOU CAN JUST HIT ENTER AND IT WILL ENTER AN 'n' FOR NO  
INDICATE WHAT THE R SAYS BY TYPING AN 'y' [n]

y/n[red]d/r[n]  
White or Caucasian?.....@a  
African American or Black?.....@b  
Arab or Middle Eastern?.....@c  
Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander?....@d  
Asian or Asian American?..... @e  
American Indian or Alaska Native?.....@f

[yellow]A HELP SCREEN IS AVAILABLE BY HITTING 'h' [n]

[@a]<y,n><d,r>[missing] <h>[etc <h>][help racehelp]  
[@b]<y,n><d,r>[missing] <h>[etc <h>][help racehelp]  
[@c]<y,n><d,r>[missing] <h>[etc <h>][help racehelp]  
[@d]<y,n><d,r>[missing] <h>[etc <h>][help racehelp]  
[@e]<y,n><d,r>[missing] <h>[etc <h>][help racehelp]  
[@f]<y,n><d,r>[missing] <h>[etc <h>][help racehelp]

>CD4a.2<

¿Cuál es su raza?

Blanca o, Caucásica.....@a  
Americano Africano o Negro.....@b  
Árabe o, del medio oriente.....@c  
Hawaiano o Isle[?] de otra Isla  
del Pacífico.....@d  
Asiático o Americano Asiático.....@e  
Indio Americano o Nativo de Alaska.....@f

>CD6<

What is the religious group which you feel most closely represents your  
religious views?

(Is it Catholic, Islamic, Jewish, Protestant, some other religion, or no  
religion)?

NONE; NO RELIGIOUS GROUP.....0  
CATHOLIC; ROMAN CATHOLIC, ORTHODOX.....1  
ISLAMIC/MUSLIM.....2  
JEWISH.....3  
PROTESTANT.....4  
OTHER NON-CHRISTIAN (Hindu, Buddhist, witches)...5



Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or something else?

REPUBLICAN.....1  
INDEPENDENT.....4  
DEMOCRAT.....7  
  
ANOTHER PARTY, THIRD PARTY, ETC....0 @a  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[if CD7@a eq <1>]

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or not a very strong Republican?

STRONG REPUBLICAN.....1  
NOT A VERY STRONG REPUBLICAN.....2 @b

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[endif]

[if CD7@a eq <7>]

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or not a very strong Democrat?

STRONG DEMOCRAT.....7  
NOT A VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT.....6 @c

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[endif]

[if CD7@a eq <4>]

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the Democratic Party or the Republican Party?

REPUBLICAN.....3  
NEITHER (R PROVIDED).....4  
DEMOCRAT.....5 @d

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[endif]

[@a]<1> REPUBLICAN <4> INDEPENDENT <7> DEMOCRAT <0>[#specify] <8> DO NOT KNOW  
[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

[@b]<1> STRONGLY REPUBLICAN <2> NOT VERY STRONG REPUBLICAN <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing]  
<9> REFUSED[missing][default goto partyid]

[@c]<6> NOT VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT <7> STRONG DEMOCRAT <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>  
REFUSED[missing][default goto partyid]

[@d]<3> REPUBLICAN <4> NEITHER <5> DEMOCRAT <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>  
REFUSED[missing][default goto partyid]

>CD7.2<

¿En general, se considera usted un Republicano, un Demócrata, un Independiente, o, algún otro?

REPUBLICANO.....1 @a  
INDEPENDIENTE.....4  
DEMÓCRATA.....7  
  
OTRO PARTIDO, PARTIDO TERCERO, ETC..0  
  
NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

[if CD7@a eq <1>]

¿Se considera usted un fuerte Republicano, o, un Republicano no muy fuerte?

FUERTE REPUBLICANO.....1 @b  
NO UN FUERTE REPUBLICANO.....2  
[endif]  
[if CD7@a eq <7>]

¿Se considera usted un fuerte Demócrata, o, un Demócrata no muy fuerte?

FUERTE DEMÓCRATA.....7 @c  
NO MUY FUERTE DEMÓCRATA.....6  
[endif]  
[if CD7@a eq <4>]

¿Generalmente se considera usted más cerca del partido Demócrata, o, del Partido Republicano?

REPUBLICANO.....3 @d  
NINGUNO.....4  
DEMÓCRATA.....5  
[endif]

>partyid< [allow 1]  
[if CD7@b eq <1>][store <1> in partyid][endif] 1 strong republican  
[if CD7@b eq <2>][store <2> in partyid][endif] 2 not strong rep  
[if CD7@a eq <8>][store <8> in partyid][endif] 3 lean republican  
[if CD7@a eq <9>][store <9> in partyid][endif] 4 neither  
[if CD7@c eq <6>][store <6> in partyid][endif] 5 lean democrat  
[if CD7@c eq <7>][store <7> in partyid][endif] 6 not strong dem  
[if CD7@d eq <3>][store <3> in partyid][endif] 7 strong democrat  
[if CD7@d eq <4>][store <4> in partyid][endif]  
[if CD7@d eq <5>][store <5> in partyid][endif]  
[if CD7@a eq <0>][store <0> in partyid][endif]

>P17<

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a conservative, a moderate, or a liberal?

CONSERVATIVE.....1  
MODERATE.....4



LIBERAL.....7 @a

NEITHER-NONE.....0

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[if P17@a eq <1>]

Would you consider yourself very conservative or somewhat conservative?

VERY CONSERVATIVE.....1

SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE.....2 @b

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[endif]

[if P17@a eq <7>]

Would you consider yourself to be very liberal or somewhat liberal?

VERY LIBERAL.....7

SOMEWHAT LIBERAL.....6 @c

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[endif]

[if P17@a eq <4>]

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the conservative side or the liberal side?

CLOSER TO THE CONSERVATIVE.....3

IN THE MIDDLE.....4

CLOSER TO THE LIBERAL SIDE.....5 @d

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[endif]

[@a]<1>CONSERVATIVE <4> NEITHER <7> LIBERAL <0>[#specify][goto ideology] <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

[@b]<1> VERY CONSERVATIVE <2>SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing][default goto ideology]

[@c]<6> SOMEWHAT LIBERAL <7> VERY LIBERAL <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing][default goto ideology]

[@d]<3> CLOSER CONSERVATIVE <4> IN THE MIDDLE <5> CLOSER LIBERAL <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing][default goto ideology]

>P17.2<

¿Generalmente hablando, se considera ser un conservador, un moderado, o, un liberal?

CONSERVADOR.....1 @a

MODERADO.....4

LIBERAL.....7

```

NINGUNO - NADA.....0

NO SÉ.....8
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

[if P17@a eq <1>]

¿Se considera muy conservador, o, un poco conservador?

MUY CONSERVADOR.....1 @b
UN POCO CONSERVADOR.....2

NO SÉ.....8
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9
[endif]
[if P17@a eq <7>]

¿Se considera muy liberal, o, un poco liberal?

MUY LIBERAL.....7 @c
UN POCO LIBERAL.....6
NO SÉ.....8
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9
[endif]
[if P17@a eq <4>]

¿Generalmente se encuentra más cerca del lado conservativo o del
lado liberal?

CERCA DEL LADO CONSERVATIVO.....3 @d
EN EL MEDIO.....4
CERCA DEL LADO LIBERAL.....5
[endif]

>ideology< [allow 1]
[if P17@b eq <1>][store <1> in ideology][endif] 1 very conservative
[if P17@b eq <2>][store <2> in ideology][endif] 2 somewhat conservative
[if P17@a eq <8>][store <8> in ideology][endif] 3 lean conservative
[if P17@a eq <9>][store <9> in ideology][endif] 4 middle
[if P17@c eq <6>][store <6> in ideology][endif] 5 lean liberal
[if P17@c eq <7>][store <7> in ideology][endif] 6 somewhat liberal
[if P17@d eq <3>][store <3> in ideology][endif] 7 very liberal
[if P17@d eq <4>][store <4> in ideology][endif]
[if P17@d eq <5>][store <5> in ideology][endif]

>v1<
Did you vote in the 2000 Presidential election?

YES.....1 @a
NO.....5

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9

[if v1@a eq <1>]

```

Did you vote for George Bush, Al Gore, Ralph Nader, or someone else?

GEORGE W. BUSH.....1 @b  
AL GORE.....2  
RALPH NADER.....3  
SOMEONE ELSE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[endif]

[@a] <1> YES <5> NO <8> DO <9> [missing]

[@b] <1> GEORGE BUSH <2> AL GORE <3> RALPH NADER <4> SOMEONE ELSE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>v1.2<

¿Votó usted en la elección Presidencial del a□ 2000?

SI.....1 @a  
NO.....5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

[if v1@a eq <1>]

¿Votó usted por George Bush, Al Gore, Ralph Nader, o, algún otro?

GEORGE W. BUSH.....1 @b  
AL GORE.....2  
RALPH NADER.....3  
ALGUIEN MAS.....4

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

[endif]

>interest<

How interested are you in politics?

Would you say you are very interested, somewhat interested, not very interested, or not interested at all?

VERY INTERESTED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT INTERESTED.....2  
NOT VERY INTERESTED.....3  
NOT INTERESTED AT ALL.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED..... 9

[@]<1> VERY INTERESTED <2> SOMEWHAT INTERESTED <3> NOT VERY INTERESTED  
<4> NOT INTERESTED AT ALL <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED

>interest.2<

¿Cuánto interés tiene usted en política? Diría usted muy interesado,  
un poco interesado, no muy interesado, o, no interesado del todo?

MUY INTERESADO.....	1	@
UN POCO INTERESADO.....	2	
NO MUY INTERESADO.....	3	
NO INTERESADO DEL TODO.....	4	
NO SÉ.....	8	
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....	9	

>b1<

Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job  
as president?

APPROVE.....	1	@
DISAPPROVE.....	5	
DO NOT KNOW.....	8	
REFUSED.....	9	
[@]<1> APPROVE <5> DISAPPROVE		
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED		

>b1.2<

¿Usted aprueba o desaprueba de la manera como George W. Bush está  
manejando su trabajo como presidente?

APRUEBO.....	1	@
DESAPRUEBO.....	5	
NO SÉ.....	8	
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....	9	

>b2<

Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is responding to  
the terrorist attacks?

APPROVE.....	1	@
DISAPPROVE.....	5	
DO NOT KNOW.....	8	
REFUSED.....	9	
[@]<1> APPROVE <5> DISAPPROVE		
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED		

>b2.2<

¿Usted aprueba o desaprueba de la manera como George W. Bush está  
respondiendo a los ataques terroristas?

APRUEBO.....	1	@
DESAPRUEBO.....	5	

NO SÉ.....8  
 NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>X1<

Would you say you live in a rural community, a small city or town,  
 a suburb, or an urban community?

RURAL COMMUNITY.....1  
 SMALL CITY OR TOWN, VILLAGE.....2  
 A SUBURB.....3  
 URBAN COMMUNITY.....4 @

OTHER: SPECIFY.....0

DO NOT KNOW.....98  
 REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....99

[yellow]FOR A DEFINITION OF COMMUNITY, HIT 'h' [n]  
 [@] <1> RURAL COMMUNITY <2> SMALL CITY OR TOWN, VILLAGE  
 <3> A SUBURB <4> URBAN COMMUNITY <0>[#specify]  
 <98> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <99>[missing] REFUSED  
 <h>[etc <h>][help defcomm]

>X1.2<

¿Diría usted que vive en una comunidad rural, una ciudad pequea o  
 pueblo, un suburbio, o, una comunidad urbana?

COMUNIDAD RURAL.....1 @  
 CIUDAD PEQUEA O PUEBLO, ALDEA....2  
 UN SUBURBIO.....3  
 COMUNIDAD URBANA.....4

OTRO ESPECÍFICO.....0

NO SÉ.....8  
 NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CD10< [#goto fixCD10]

Including yourself, how many individuals who are 18 years of age or  
 older live in your household?

ADULTS.....1-10 @

DON'T KNOW.....98  
 REFUSED.....99

[@]<1-10> ADULT  
 <98> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <99>[missing] REFUSED

>CD10.2<

¿Incluyéndose, cuantos individuos adultos de 18 (dieciocho) años de edad  
 o de más edad viven en su hogar?

ADULTOS.....1-10 @

NO SÉ.....8

NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>fixCD10< [#store adult in CD10]

>CD15<

We are interested in learning about the different ways people may earn their living. Last week, were you working full-time, part-time, going to school, a home-maker or something else?

WORK FULL TIME, SELF EMPLOYED FULL TIME.....1  
WORK PART TIME, SELF EMPLOYED FULL TIME.....2  
WORK AND GO TO SCHOOL.....3  
IN THE ARMED FORCES.....4  
HAVE A JOB, BUT NOT AT WORK LAST WEEK.....5  
UNEMPLOYED, LAID OFF, LOOK FOR WORK.....6  
RETIRED.....7  
SCHOOL FULL TIME.....8  
HOME-MAKER.....9  
DISABLED.....10  
SOMETHING ELSE (SPECIFY).....0 @  
  
MISCELLANEOUS-NEC.....90  
DON'T KNOW.....98  
REFUSED.....99

[@] <0> [#specify] <1> WORK FULL TIME <2> WORK PART TIME <3> WORK AND GO TO SCHOOL  
<4> IN THE ARMED FORCES <5> JOB, DID NOT WORK LAST WEEK <6> UNEMPLOYED  
<7> RETIRED <8> SCHOOL FULL-TIME <9> HOMEMAKER <10> DISABLED  
<90> MISCELLANEOUS-NEC <98> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <99> REFUSED [missing]

>CD15.2<

Estamos interesados en aprender de las diferentes maneras como la gente se gana la vida. ¿La semana pasada, estaba usted trabajando tiempo completo, parte del tiempo, yendo a la escuela, una ama de casa u otra cosa?

TRABAJO TIEMPO COMPLETO, EMPLEADO DE S? MISMO TIEMPO COMPLETO...1 @  
PARTE DEL TIEMPO, EMPLEADO DE S? MISMO TIEMPO COMPLETO.....2  
TRABAJO Y VOY A LA ESCUELA.....3  
EN LAS FUERZAS ARMADAS.....4  
TENGO UN TRABAJO, PERO NO FUI LA SEMANA PASADA.....5  
SIN TRABAJO, DESEMPLEADO, BUSCANDO TRABAJO.....6  
RETIRADO.....7  
EN LA ESCUELA TIEMPO COMPLETO.....8  
AMA DE CASA.....9  
INCAPACITADO.....10  
OTRA COSA (ESPECIFICO).....0  
  
NO SE.....98  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....99

>milserve<

Have you ever served in the U.S. Armed Forces, military reserves, or National Guard?

[yellow]ARMED FORCES INCLUDE: ARMY, NAVY, AIRFORCE, MARINES, COAST GUARD, EITHER ACTIVE OR RESERVES[n]

YES.....1  
NO.....5 @

DON'T KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> YES <5> NO  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED

>milserve.2<

¿Ha prestado servicio en las fuerzas armadas de Los Estado Unidos, reservas militares, o, guardias nacionales?

DEFINITON: FUERZAS ARMADAS SIGNIFICAN: LA FUEREZA ARMADA, LA FUERZA NAVAL, LA FUERZA AEREA, EL GUARDACOSTAS, COMO ACTIVO O RESERVAS

SI.....1 @  
NO.....5

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>CC3<

How would you rate your household's overall financial situation these days?

Would you say it is excellent, good, just fair, not so good, or poor?

EXCELLENT.....1  
GOOD.....2  
JUST FAIR.....3  
NOT SO GOOD.....4  
POOR.....5 @

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> EXCELLENT <2> GOOD <3> JUST FAIR <4> NOT SO GOOD <5> POOR  
<8,9>[missing]

>CC3.2<

¿Cómo calificaría la situación general financiera de su hogar en estos días?

¿Diría usted que es excelente, buena, solamente un poco buena, no muy buena, o pobre?

EXCELENTE.....1 @  
BUENA.....2

SOLAMENTE UN POCO BUENA.....3  
 NO MUY BUENA.....4  
 POBRE.....5

NO SÉ.....8  
 NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR/SIN CONTESTAR..9

>CC3a<

How have the events of the September 11th terrorist attacks affected the economic well-being of you and your family?

Would you say your financial situation has gotten much better, somewhat better, somewhat worse, or much worse?

MUCH BETTER.....1 @  
 SOMEWHAT BETTER.....2  
 SOMEWHAT WORSE.....3  
 MUCH WORSE.....4

NO CHANGE IN FINANCIAL SITUATION..7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> MUCH BETTER <2> SOMEWHAT BETTER <3> SOMEWHAT WORSE  
 <4> MUCH WORSE <7> NO CHANGE IN FINANCIAL SITUATION  
 <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED

>CC3a.2<

¿De qué manera los eventos de ataques terroristas del once de Septiembre, afectaron el bienestar económico de usted y su familia?

¿Diría que su situación financiera se ha puesto mucho mejor, un poco mejor, un poco peor o, mucho peor?

MUCHO MEJOR.....1 @  
 UN POCO MEJOR.....2  
 UN POCO MALA.....3  
 MUCHO PEOR.....4

NO CAMBIA LA SITUACIÓN FINANCIAL..7

NO SÉ.....8  
 NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR/SIN CONTESTAR..9

>CD15a<

How concerned are you that you or someone contributing to your household income might lose your job within the next 3 months?

Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, not concerned at all?



VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
 SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
 NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
 NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4

ALREADY LOST A JOB.....7

DON'T KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
 <4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL <7> ALREADY LOST A JOB  
 <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED

>CD15a.2<

¿Cuán preocupado está de que usted o alguien que contribuye a sus  
 entradas domésticas pierda el trabajo en los próximos tres meses?

¿Diría usted que está muy preocupado, algo preocupado, no muy preocupado,  
 o no preocupado del todo?

MUY PREOCUPADO.....1 @  
 UN POCO PREOCUPADO.....2  
 NO MUY PREOCUPADO.....3  
 NO PREOCUPADO DEL TODO.....4

YA PERDI EL TRABAJO.....7

NO SÉ.....8  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>inca<

To get a picture of people's financial situations, we'd like to know the  
 general [green]range of incomes[n] of all households we interview. This is  
 for statistical analysis purposes and your answers will be kept strictly  
 confidential. Now, thinking about your [green]household's[n] total annual  
 income from all sources (including your job), did your household receive  
 \$30,000 or more in 2002?

YES..... 1  
 NO.....5 @  
 +-----+  
 DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@]<1>YES [goto incd]  
 <5>NO [goto incb]  
 <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto income] <9>[missing][goto income]

>inca.2<

Para tener una idea de la situación de finanzas de la gente, nos  
 gustaría saber generalmente la gama de entradas financieras de todos  
 los entrevistados. Esto es con propósitos de análisis estadísticos  
 y sus respuestas serán guardadas estrictamente en forma confidencial.

¿Ahora, pensando en las entradas totales anuales de su hogar (incluyendo su trabajo), recibió su hogar \$30,000 (treinta mil) dólares o más en el año 2002 (dos mil dos)?

SÍ.....1 @  
NO.....5

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>incb<

Was it less than \$20,000?

YES..... 1  
NO.....5 @ (\$20,000-29,999)  
+-----+  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1>YES [goto incc]  
<5>NO [goto income]  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto income] <9>[missing][goto income]

>incb.2<

¿Fue esto menos de \$20,000 (veinte mil) dólares?

SÍ.....1 @  
NO.....5

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>incc<

Was it less than \$10,000?

YES..... 1 (less than \$10,000)  
NO.....5 @ (\$10,000 - 19,999)  
+-----+  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> YES  
<5> NOT  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto income] <9>[missing][goto income]  
[default goto income]

>incc.2<

¿Fue esto menos de \$10,000 (diez mil) dólares?

SÍ.....1 @  
NO.....5

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>inccd<

Was it \$60,000? or more?

YES.....	1
NO.....	5 @
+-----+	
DO NOT KNOW.....	8
REFUSED.....	9

[@]<1> YES [goto incg]  
<5> NO [goto ince]  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto income] <9>[missing][goto income]

>incd.2<

¿Fue esto \$60,000 (sesenta mil) dólares o más?

SÍ.....	1 @
NO.....	5
NO SÉ.....	8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....	9

>ince<

Was it \$40,000 or more?

YES.....	1	
NO.....	5 @	(\$30,000-39,999)
+-----+		
DO NOT KNOW.....	8	
REFUSED.....	9	

[@]<1> YES  
<5> NO[goto income]  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto income] <9>[missing][goto income]

>ince.2<

¿Fue esto \$40,000 (cuarenta mil) dólares o más?

SÍ.....	1 @
NO.....	5
NO SÉ.....	8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....	9

>incf<

Was it \$50,000 or more?

YES.....	1	(\$50,000-59,999)
NO.....	5 @	(\$40,000-49,999)
+-----+		
DO NOT KNOW.....	8	
REFUSED.....	9	

[@]<1> YES[goto income]  
<5> NO[goto income]  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto income] <9>[missing][goto income]

```

>incf.2<
¿Fue esto $50,000 (cincuenta mil) dólares o más?

    Sí.....1 @
    NO.....5

    NO SÉ.....8
    NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>incg<

    Was it more than $70,000?

        YES..... 1      ($70,000 or more
        NO.....5 @    ($60,000 - 69,999)
    +-----+
        DO NOT KNOW.....8
        REFUSED.....9

    [@]<1> YES
    <5> NO
    <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto income] <9>[missing][goto income]

>incg.2<

¿Fue esto mas de $70,000 (setenta mil) dólares?

    Sí.....1 @
    NO.....5

    NO SÉ.....8
    NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>income< [allow 1]
    [if inca ge <8>][store <9> in income][endif]
    [if incb ge <8>][store <9> in income][endif]
    [if incc ge <8>][store <9> in income][endif]
    [if incd ge <9>][store <9> in income][endif]
    [if ince ge <9>][store <9> in income][endif]
    [if incf ge <9>][store <9> in income][endif]
    [if incg ge <9>][store <9> in income][endif] missing
    [if incc eq <1>][store <1> in income][endif] $10,000 or less
    [if incc eq <5>][store <2> in income][endif] $10,000-19,999
    [if incb eq <5>][store <3> in income][endif] $20,000-29,999
    [if ince eq <5>][store <4> in income][endif] $30,000-39,999
    [if incf eq <1>][store <6> in income][endif] $40,000-49,999
    [if incf eq <5>][store <5> in income][endif] $50,000-59,999
    [if incg eq <5>][store <7> in income][endif] $60,000-69,999
    [if incg eq <1>][store <8> in income][endif] $70,000 or more

>CD26<

    How many [green]different[n] phone numbers does your household have?

        DIFFERENT PHONE NUMBERS.....1-7 @

```

```
[@]<1-7> DIFFERENT PHONE NUMBERS
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED
```

>CD26.2<

¿Cuántos teléfonos con diferente números telefónicos se hayan en su casa?

DIFERENTE NÚMEROS TELEFÓNICOS.....1-7 @

NO SÉ.....8

NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>machine<

Does the phone number that I reached you at ([fill AREA]-[fill PRFX]-[fill SUFX])  
have an answering machine or voice mail attached to it?

YES.....1

NO.....5 @

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> YES <5> NO

<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED

>machine.2<

¿Está el número telefónico de este teléfono donde lo encontré conectado a  
una máquina de contestar o conectado a una grabadora de voz para grabar  
recados?

SÍ.....1 @

NO.....5

NO SÉ.....8

NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>zipcode< [#if zip ne <>][#store zip in zipcode][#endif][#goto RI2][allow int 5]

What is your zip code?

(IF R ASKS WHY: We want to know the general area in the State  
where people live so that we can compare information  
from residents in different areas of the state.)

[yellow]IWER: USE 99998 FOR DON'T KNOW AND 99999 FOR REFUSED[n]

Zip code.....00000-99997 @

[@] <00000-99997> ZIP CODE

<99998,99999> [missing]

>zipcode.2<

¿Cuál es su número de código postal (ZIP code)?

(IF R ASKS WHY) (Sí R contesta porque: Queremos saber el área general en el estado donde la gente vive, de esa manera podamos comparar información de residentes en diferentes áreas del estado.)

Código postal (ZIP).....00000-99997 @

[yellow]IWER: USE 99998 FOR DON'T KNOW AND 99999 FOR REFUSED[n]

>RI2<

Sometime next year, we'd like to recontact you again for another interview. That interview would take less time than this one. Would you be willing to participate again sometime next year?

YES..... 1  
NO.....5 @a

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[if RI2@a eq <1>]

R's first name..... @b

[endif]  
[@a]<1> <5>[goto raceperc] <8,9>[goto raceperc]  
[@b] [allow 15][goto raceperc]

>RI2.2<

En algún momento, el próximo a□, nos gustaría repetir este contacto con algunas de las gente con quien hemos hablado para hacerle otra entrevista. Esa entrevista tomará menos tiempo que esta. ¿Estaría usted dispuesto a participar de nuevo en algún momento el próximo a□ si la entrevista es mas corta?

SÍ.....1 @a  
NO.....5

NO SÉ.....8  
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

[if RI2@a eq <1>]

Para saber por quien preguntar cuando le llamemos de nuevo, podría obtener su primer nombre?

R's primer nombre?.....@b  
[endif]

>raceperc<[settime core2]

Finally, what do you think is my racial background?

White or Caucasian.....1 @  
African American or Black.....2  
Arabic or Middle Eastern .....3

```

Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander.....4
Asian or Asian American..... 5
American Indian or Alaska Native .....6

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> WHITE OR CAUCASIAN <2> AFRICAN AMERICAN <3> ARABIC/MIDDLE EASTERN
<4> HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER <5> ASIAN AMERICAN <6> AMERICAN INDIAN
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]
[default goto end]

>raceperc.2<

¿Finalmente, cuál cree que es mi antecedente racial?

Blanco o Caucásico.....1 @
Americano Africano o Negro.....2
Arabe o medio-este.....3
Hawaiano o Isle[ ] de otra Isla del Pacifico.4
Asiático o Americano Asiático.....5
Indio Americano o Nativo de Alaska.....6

NO SÉ.....8
NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>end<
[#subtime sectime1 from sectime2 into sectime]
[#subtime causel from cause2 into causetime]
[#subtime dog1 from dog2 into dogtime]
[#subtime therm1 from therm2 into thermtime]
[#subtime at1 from at2 into attime]
[#subtime civill1 from civil2 into civiltime]
[#subtime core1 from core2 into coretime]
[#subtime trust1 from trust2 into trusttime]
[goto MOD7]

>intlang< [equiv iwer4@b position 2]

Was this interview completed in English or in Spanish?

ALL OR MOSTLY ENGLISH.....1 @
ALL OR MOSTLY SPANISH.....2
HALF ENGLISH HALF SPANISH.....3
NOT ASKED/BLANK/MISSING.....9

[@] <1> ALL OR MOSTLY ENGLISH <2> ALL OR MOSTLY SPANISH <3> HALF ENGLISH/HALF SPANISH <9>
NOT ASKED
[default goto T730]

>spanish1< [loc 22/1][allow 60]

[if RANK eq <oldest female>][store <la persona de g,nero femenino de m s edad> in
spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <second oldest female>][store <segunda persona de g,nero femenino m s
adulta> in spanish1] [endif]

```

```

[if RANK eq <third oldest female>][store <la tercera persona de g,nero femenino m
s adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <fourth oldest female>][store <la quarta persona g,nero femenino m s
adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <fifth oldest female>][store <la quinta persona de g,nero femenino m
s adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <sixth oldest female>][store <sexta persona de g,nero femenino m s
adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <youngest female>][store <la persona g,nero femenino m s joven> in
spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <only female>][store <solamente las personas de g,nero femenino> in
spanish1][endif]

[if RANK eq <oldest male>][store <la persona de g,nero masculino de m s edad> in
spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <second oldest male>][store <segunda persona de g,nero masculino m s
adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <third oldest male>][store <la tercera persona de g,nero masculino m
s adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <fourth oldest male>][store <la quarta persona g,nero masculino m s
adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <fifth oldest male>][store <la quinta persona de g,nero masculino m s
adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <sixth oldest male>][store <sexta persona de g,nero masculino m s adulta>
in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <youngest male>][store <la persona g,nero masculino m s joven> in
spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <only male>][store <solamente las personas de g,nero masculino> in
spanish1] [endif]
[goto mod3]

>iwdate< [allow 8][loc 21/1]
>contacts< [allow 2]
>length< [allow 4]
>rstate< [allow 2][copy state in rstate]
>rzip< [allow 5][copy zip in rzip]
>nstate< [allow char 2] [equiv county position 1]

```



**CIVIL LIBERTIES, WAVE 3 QUESTIONNAIRE**  
**February 23, 2005**  
**Darren W. Davis and Brian D. Silver**  
**Dept. of Political Science**  
**Michigan State University**

>CONSENT< [loc 0/500]

Before we begin let me tell you that this interview is completely voluntary. Let me also tell you that this interview is completely confidential. Your privacy will be protected to the maximum extent allowable by law. Should we come to any question that makes you feel too uncomfortable or you don't want to answer, just let me know and we can go on to the next question.

For quality control purposes, this interview may be monitored by my supervisor.

[yellow]READ ONLY IF NECESSARY:

(If you have any questions about your rights or role as a subject of research, you may contact Dr. Ashir Kumar, Chair of the University Committee for Research Involving Human Subjects at 517.355.2180. Should you have any questions about this study or your participation in it, you are welcome to contact Karen Clark at 517.355.6672.) [n]

type g to proceed @  
[@] <g>

>CONSENT.2<

Antes de empezar déjeme decirle que esta entrevista es completamente voluntaria. También déjeme decirle que esta entrevista es completamente confidencial. Su privacidad será completamente protegida a lo máximo que es permitido por la ley. Si llegamos a una pregunta que lo(a) hace a usted incómodo - o que usted no quisiera contestar - déjeme saber y así continuamos con la siguiente pregunta.

Por propósitos de control y calidad, esta entrevista puede ser supervisada.

(Si usted tiene alguna pregunta de sus derechos o papel como sujeto en investigaciones humanas, usted puede ponerse en contacto con el Doctor Ashir Kumar al teléfono 517-355-2180, director del comité de estudios de la Universidad que incluyen a personas humanas. Si usted tiene alguna pregunta acerca de este estudio o su participación en él, usted está bienvenido a llamar a Karen Clark al número de teléfono 517-355-6672.)

type g to proceed @

>sectime1< [allow 4]  
>sectime2< [allow 4]  
>sectime< [allow 4]  
>causel< [allow 4]  
>cause2< [allow 4]  
>causetime< [allow 4]

```

>dog1< [allow 4]
>dog2< [allow 4]
>dogtime< [allow 4]
>trust1< [allow 4]
>trust2< [allow 4]
>trusttime< [allow 4]
>at1< [allow 4]
>at2< [allow 4]
>attime< [allow 4]
>civil1< [allow 4]
>civil2< [allow 4]
>civiltime< [allow 4]
>core1< [allow 4]
>core2< [allow 4]
>coretm1< [allow 4]
>core3< [allow 4]
>core4< [allow 4]
>coretm2< [allow 4]
>elect1< [allow 4]
>elect2< [allow 4]
>election< [allow 4]

>flag< [allow 1][inputloc 1/234]~
>bank< [allow 1][inputloc 1/236]
>ID1< [allow 5][loc 18/1][store csid in ID1]

>random1< [allow 1][inputloc 1/190] 1-6 rotates the im questions
>random2< [allow 1][inputloc 1/192] 1-8 rotates the ci questions
>random3< [allow 1][inputloc 1/194] 0-9 rotates the cl questions
>random< [allow 1][inputloc 1/196] 1,2
>cell< [allow 1] [inputloc 1/232] 1=unlisted 2=listed
>strata< [allow 1] [inputloc 1/230] 1=hispanic 2=black 3=general
>county< [allow 5][inputloc 1/238] county code
[open ref][open cb]

>SEC4< [settime sectime1]

```

I'd like to start by asking you some questions about your feelings and concerns since the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon (that occurred on September 11, 2001).

All in all, how concerned are you that the United States might suffer another terrorist attack in the next 3 months?

Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all?

```

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4

```

```

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

```

```

[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL

```

<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>SEC4.2<

Me gustaría comenzar haciéndole algunas preguntas acerca de sus sentimientos y preocupaciones acerca de los ataques terroristas en el "World Trade Center" y el Pentágono (que ocurrió el 11 de Sept).

¿Después de todo, que preocupado está usted que los Estados Unidos pudiera sufrir otro ataque terrorista en los próximos tres meses?

¿Está usted muy preocupado(a), un poco preocupado(a), no preocupado(a) - o, no preocupado(a) del todo?

MUY PREOCUPADO(A) .....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO(A) .....2  
NO PREOCUPADO(A) .....3  
NO PREOCUPADO(A) DEL TODO .....4  
  
NO SE .....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR .....9

>SEC5<

How concerned are you that [green]the area[n] in which you live might suffer a terrorist attack in the next 3 months?

(Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED .....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED .....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED .....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL .....4  
  
DO NOT KNOW .....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER .....9

[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL  
<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>SEC5.2<

¿Qué preocupado está usted que el lugar donde usted vive pudiera sufrir un ataque terrorista en los próximos tres meses?

(¿Diría que está muy preocupado(a), un poco preocupado(a), no preocupado(a) - o, no preocupado(a) del todo?)

MUY PREOCUPADO(A) .....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO(A) .....2  
NO PREOCUPADO(A) .....3  
NO PREOCUPADO(A) DEL TODO .....4

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>SEC8<

(How concerned are you) that you or someone in your family might become a victim of a bio-terrorist attack (such as anthrax or smallpox)?

(Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL  
<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>SEC8.2<

¿Qué preocupado está usted que alguien en su familia pudiera ser víctima de un ataque bioquímico (como ántrax o viruela)?

(¿Diría que está muy preocupado(a), un poco preocupado(a), no preocupado(a), o no preocupado(a) del todo?)

MUY PREOCUPADO(A).....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO(A).....2  
NO PREOCUPADO(A).....3  
NO PREOCUPADO(A) DEL TODO.....4

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>f1<

How concerned are you about flying on an airplane?

(Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL  
<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>f1.2<

¿Qué preocupado(a) está usted de volar por avión?

(¿Diría que está muy preocupado(a), un poco preocupado(a), no preocupado(a), o no preocupado(a) del todo?)

MUY PREOCUPADO(A).....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO(A).....2  
NO PREOCUPADO(A).....3  
NO PREOCUPADO(A) DEL TODO.....4  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>f2<

(How concerned are you about) opening your mail?

(Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL  
<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>f2.2<

¿Cuánto le preocupa a usted abrir su correo?

(¿Diría que está muy preocupado(a), un poco preocupado(a), no preocupado(a), o no preocupado(a) del todo?)

MUY PREOCUPADO(A).....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO(A).....2  
NO PREOCUPADO(A).....3  
NO PREOCUPADO(A) DEL TODO.....4  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>f3<

(How concerned are you about) the safety of food and drinking water?

(Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9  
[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL  
<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>f3.2<

¿Cuánto le preocupa a usted la seguridad de la comida y el agua que usted consume?

(¿Diría que está muy preocupado(a), un poco preocupado(a), no preocupado(a), o no preocupado(a) del todo?)

MUY PREOCUPADO(A).....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO(A).....2  
NO PREOCUPADO(A).....3  
NO PREOCUPADO(A) DEL TODO.....4  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>f5<

(How concerned are you about) going into tall buildings?

(Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9  
[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL

<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>f5.2<

¿Qué preocupado(a) está usted de entrar a edificios muy altos como los rascacielos?

(¿Diría que está muy preocupado(a), un poco preocupado(a), no preocupado(a), o no preocupado(a) del todo?)

MUY PREOCUPADO(A).....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO(A).....2  
NO PREOCUPADO(A).....3  
NO PREOCUPADO(A) DEL TODO.....4  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>f6<

(How concerned are you about) being in large crowds or stadiums?

(Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

VERY CONCERNED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT CONCERNED.....2  
NOT VERY CONCERNED.....3  
NOT CONCERNED AT ALL.....4  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9  
[@]<1> VERY CONCERNED <2> SOMEWHAT CONCERNED <3> NOT VERY CONCERNED  
<4> NOT CONCERNED AT ALL  
<8>[missing] DON'T KNOW <9>[missing] REFUSED

>f6.2<

¿Qué preocupado(a) está usted de estar en lugares con multitud de gente, o estar en estadios llenos de gente?

(¿Diría que está muy preocupado(a), un poco preocupado(a), no preocupado(a), o no preocupado(a) del todo?)

MUY PREOCUPADO(A).....1 @  
UN POCO PREOCUPADO(A).....2  
NO PREOCUPADO(A).....3  
NO PREOCUPADO(A) DEL TODO.....4  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CT1a< [settime sectime2][settime cause1]

How much responsibility do you [green]personally[n] believe the U.S. bears for the hatred that led to the terrorist attacks?

Would you say a lot of responsibility, some, a little, or none at all?

A LOT.....1 @  
SOME.....2  
A LITTLE.....3  
NONE AT ALL.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> A LOT <2> SOME <3> A LITTLE <4> NONE AT ALL  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>CT1a.2<

¿Cuánta responsabilidad usted personalmente cree que Los Estados Unidos tiene por el odio que llevó a los ataques terroristas?

¿Diría usted una gran responsabilidad, alguna, poca, o ninguna?

GRAN RESPONSABILIDAD.....1 @  
ALGUNA.....2  
POCA.....3  
NINGUNA.....4

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>IR1<

Now, thinking about the 2003 American invasion of Iraq, do you think it was justified or [green]not[n] justified?

JUSTIFIED.....1 @  
NOT JUSTIFIED.....5

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED .....9

[@] <1> JUSTIFIED <5> NOT JUSTIFIED  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>IR1.2<

¿Ahora, pensando acerca de la invasión Americana del 2003 a Iraq, piensa usted que fue justificada o no fue justificada?

JUSTIFICADA.....1 @  
NO JUSTIFICADA.....5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9



>IR2<

Do you think the potential [green]benefits[n] of the war in Iraq outweigh the [green]costs[n] that Americans are bearing?

YES.....1 @  
NO.....5

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED .....9

[@] <1> YES <5> NO  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>IR2.2<

¿Cree usted que los beneficios potenciales de la guerra en Iraq compensan los costos que los Americanos están soportando?

SI.....1 @  
NO.....5

NO SE.....8  
NEGAR A CONTESTAR.....9

>IS1<

In the dispute between the Israelis and the Palestinians, which side do you sympathize with more ---- the Israelis or the Palestinians?

ISRAELI.....1 @  
PALESTINIANS.....5

NEITHER/BOTH.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> ISRAEL[goto dg1] <5> PALESTINE [goto dg1]  
<7> NEITHER/BOTH  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>IS1.2<

¿En la disputa entre Israel y Los Palestinos, con cuál lado usted simpatiza más - con el lado de Israel, o con el lado de Los Palestinos?

ISRAEL.....1 @  
LOS PALESTINOS.....5

CON NINGUNO/CON AMBOS.....7

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>isflup<

If you had to choose, which side do you sympathize with more, the Israelis or the Palestinians?

ISRAEL.....1 @  
PALESTINE.....5  
  
NEITHER/BOTH.....7  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> ISRAEL <5> PALESTINE  
<7> NEITHER/BOTH  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>isflup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, con cuál lado usted simpatizaría más, con los Israelíes o con los Palestinos?

LOS ISRAELÍES.....1 @  
LOS PALESTINOS.....5  
  
CON NINGUNO/CON AMBOS.....7  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>dg1< [settime cause2][settime dog1]

Next, I would like to read you a series of statements and have you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with each.

The first is, there are two kinds of people in this world: those who are for the truth and those who are against it.

Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> STRONGLY AGREE <2> SOMEWHAT AGREE <3> SOMEWHAT DISAGREE  
<4> STRONGLY DISAGREE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>dg1.2<

Enseguida, me gustaría leerle unas series de frases y usted

me tiene que decir a que grado está usted en acuerdo o en desacuerdo.

La primera es - Hay dos clases de personas en este mundo: unos que van [green] por [n] la verdad, y otros que van [green] contra [n] la verdad.

¿Diría usted que está vigorosamente de acuerdo, un poco de acuerdo, un poco desacuerdo, o vigorosamente desacuerdo con esta frase?

VIGOROSAMENTE DE ACUERDO.....1 @  
UN POCO DE ACUERDO.....2  
UN POCO DESACUERDO.....3  
VIGOROSAMENTE DESACUERDO.....4

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>dg2<

A group which tolerates too many differences of opinion among its members cannot exist for long.

(Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> STRONGLY AGREE <2> SOMEWHAT AGREE <3> SOMEWHAT DISAGREE  
<4> STRONGLY DISAGREE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>dg2.2<

Un grupo que tolera muchas opiniones diferentes entre sus miembros no puede existir por largo tiempo.

(¿Diría usted que vigorosamente está de acuerdo, un poco de acuerdo, un poco desacuerdo, o vigorosamente desacuerdo con esta frase?)

VIGOROSAMENTE DE ACUERDO.....1 @  
UN POCO DE ACUERDO.....2  
UN POCO DESACUERDO.....3  
VIGOROSAMENTE DESACUERDO.....4

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>dg3<

To compromise with our political opponents is dangerous because it usually leads to the betrayal of our own side.

(Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> STRONGLY AGREE <2> SOMEWHAT AGREE <3> SOMEWHAT DISAGREE  
<4> STRONGLY DISAGREE

<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>dg3.2<

Es peligroso comprometerse con nuestros oponentes políticos porque usualmente esto nos lleva a traicionar a nuestro lado.

(¿Diría que está usted vigorosamente de acuerdo, un poco de acuerdo, un poco desacuerdo, o vigorosamente desacuerdo con esta frase?)

VIGOROSAMENTE DE ACUERDO.....1 @  
UN POCO DE ACUERDO.....2  
UN POCO DESACUERDO.....3  
VIGOROSAMENTE DESACUERDO.....4

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>dg4<

Of all the different philosophies that exist in the world there is probably only one that is correct.

(Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> STRONGLY AGREE <2> SOMEWHAT AGREE <3> SOMEWHAT DISAGREE  
<4> STRONGLY DISAGREE

<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>dg4.2<

De todas las diferentes filosofías que existen en el mundo, probablemente

hay una sola que es correcta.

(¿Diría que está usted vigorosamente de acuerdo, un poco de acuerdo, un poco desacuerdo, o vigorosamente desacuerdo con esta frase?)

VIGOROSAMENTE DE ACUERDO.....1 @  
UN POCO DE ACUERDO.....2  
UN POCO DESACUERDO.....3  
VIGOROSAMENTE DESACUERDO.....4

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>dg5<

In the long run the best way to live is to pick friends and associates whose tastes and beliefs are the same as one's own.

(Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> STRONGLY AGREE <2> SOMEWHAT AGREE <3> SOMEWHAT DISAGREE  
<4> STRONGLY DISAGREE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>dg5.2<

A largo plazo, la mejor manera de vivir es escoger amigos y asociados que tienen el mismo gusto y creen en las mismas cosas que uno.

(¿Diría que está usted vigorosamente de acuerdo, un poco de acuerdo, un poco desacuerdo, o vigorosamente desacuerdo con esta frase?)

VIGOROSAMENTE DE ACUERDO.....1 @  
UN POCO DE ACUERDO.....2  
UN POCO DESACUERDO.....3  
VIGOROSAMENTE DESACUERDO.....4

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>dg6<

Most of the ideas that get printed nowadays aren't worth the paper they are printed on.

(Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or

strongly disagree with the statement?)

STRONGLY AGREE.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT AGREE.....2  
SOMEWHAT DISAGREE.....3  
STRONGLY DISAGREE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> STRONGLY AGREE <2> SOMEWHAT AGREE <3> SOMEWHAT DISAGREE  
<4> STRONGLY DISAGREE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>dg6.2<

La mayoría de las ideas que son escritas hoy día, no valen ni el papel en el que están escritas.

(¿Diría que está usted vigorosamente de acuerdo, un poco de acuerdo, un poco desacuerdo, o vigorosamente desacuerdo con esta frase?)

VIGOROSAMENTE DE ACUERDO.....1 @  
UN POCO DE ACUERDO.....2  
UN POCO DESACUERDO.....3  
VIGOROSAMENTE DESACUERDO.....4

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>gt1< [settime dog2][settime trust1]

The next set of questions are about trust.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the [green]federal[n] government (in Washington) to do what is right?

Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time?

JUST ABOUT ALWAYS.....1 @  
MOST OF THE TIME.....2  
SOME OF THE TIME.....3  
NONE OF THE TIME.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> JUST ABOUT ALWAYS <2> MOST OF THE TIME <3> SOME OF THE TIME  
<4> NONE OF THE TIME  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>gt1.2<

El siguiente grupo de preguntas son acerca de la confianza.

¿Cuánto del tiempo usted considera que puede confiar en que el gobierno  
[green]federal[n] haga lo que es correcto?

¿Diría usted justamente todo el tiempo, la mayoría del tiempo, solamente  
un poco tiempo, o en ningún tiempo?

JUSTAMENTE TODO EL TIEMPO.....1 @  
LA MAYORIA DEL TIEMPO.....2  
UN POCO DE TIEMPO.....3  
EN NINGUN TIEMPO.....4

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CG1a<

How much of the time do you think you can trust the [green]FBI[n]  
to do what is right?

(Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time,  
or none of the time?)

JUST ABOUT ALWAYS.....1 @  
MOST OF THE TIME.....2  
SOME OF THE TIME.....3  
NONE OF THE TIME.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> JUST ABOUT ALWAYS <2> MOST OF THE TIME <3> SOME OF THE TIME  
<4> NONE OF THE TIME  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>CG1a.2<

¿Cuánto del tiempo considera usted que puede confiar en que [green]el FBI[n]  
(el Departamento de Investigaciones Federales) haga lo que es correcto?

(¿Diría usted justamente todo el tiempo, la mayoría del tiempo, solamente  
un poco tiempo, o en ningún tiempo?)

JUSTAMENTE TODO EL TIEMPO.....1 @  
LA MAYORIA DEL TIEMPO.....2  
UN POCO DE TIEMPO.....3  
EN NINGUN TIEMPO.....4

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CG1c<

(How much of the time do you think you can trust) the [green]CIA[n]  
(to do what is right?)

(Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time,

or none of the time?)

JUST ABOUT ALWAYS.....1 @  
MOST OF THE TIME.....2  
SOME OF THE TIME.....3  
NONE OF THE TIME.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> JUST ABOUT ALWAYS <2> MOST OF THE TIME <3> SOME OF THE TIME  
<4> NONE OF THE TIME  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>CG1c.2<

¿Cuánto del tiempo considera usted que puede confiar en que [green]la CIA[n]  
(la Agencia Central de Inteligencia) haga lo que es correcto?

(¿Diría usted justamente todo el tiempo, la mayoría del tiempo, solamente  
un poco tiempo, o en ningún tiempo?)

JUSTAMENTE TODO EL TIEMPO.....1 @  
LA MAYORIA DEL TIEMPO.....2  
UN POCO DE TIEMPO.....3  
EN NINGUN TIEMPO.....4

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>gt3<

(How much of the time do you think you can trust) [green]law enforcement[n]  
(to do what is right)?

(Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time,  
or none of the time)?

JUST ABOUT ALWAYS.....1 @  
MOST OF THE TIME.....2  
SOME OF THE TIME.....3  
NONE OF THE TIME.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> JUST ABOUT ALWAYS <2> MOST OF THE TIME <3> SOME OF THE TIME  
<4> NONE OF THE TIME  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>gt3.2<

¿Cuánto del tiempo considera usted que puede confiar en que el  
departamento de policia judicial haga lo que es correcto?

(¿Diría usted justamente todo el tiempo, la mayoría del tiempo, solamente  
un poco tiempo, o en ningún tiempo?)



JUSTAMENTE TODO EL TIEMPO.....1 @  
 LA MAYORIA DEL TIEMPO.....2  
 UN POCO DE TIEMPO.....3  
 EN NINGUN TIEMPO.....4  
  
 NO SE.....8  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>gt2<

Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves, or that it is run for the benefit of all people?

RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS.....1 @  
 RUN FOR BENEFIT OF ALL PEOPLE.....5  
  
 DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS <5> RUN FOR BENEFIT OF ALL PEOPLE  
 <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>gt2.2<

¿Diría usted que el gobierno es manejado por unos pocos intereses para el beneficio propio, o que es manejado para el beneficio de toda la gente?

MANEJADO POR UNOS POCOS INTERESES PARA EL BENEFICIO PROPIO.....1 @  
 MANEJADO PARA EL BENEFICIO DE TODA LA GENTE.....5  
  
 NO SE.....8  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>fip1<

Some people say that most people can be trusted. Others say you can't be too careful in your dealings with people.

Which of these opinions comes closest to your own?

(Most people can be trusted or you can't be too careful in your dealings with people?)

MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED.....1 @  
 YOU CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL.....5  
 DEPENDS/ (R VOLUNTEERS) .....7  
  
 DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED <5> YOU CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL  
 <7> DEPENDS (R VOLUNTEERS)  
 <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>fip1.2<

Algunas personas dicen que la mayoría de la gente son confiables. Otras dicen que usted tiene que tener muchísimo cuidado en que gente se puede confiar.

¿Con cuál de estas dos opiniones usted está de acuerdo?

SE PUEDE CONFIAR EN LA MAYORIA DE LA GENTE.....1 @  
NO SE PUEDE TENER MUCHA CONFIANZA EN TODA LA GENTE.....5

DEPENDE/ (R VOLUNTARIOS) .....7

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>fip2<

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?

PEOPLE TRY TO BE HELPFUL.....1 @  
LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES.....5  
DEPENDS/ (R VOLUNTEERS) .....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> PEOPLE TRY TO BE HELPFUL <5> LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES  
<7> DEPENDS  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>fip2.2<

¿Diría usted que la mayoría de la gente trata de ayudarse entre si mismos, o que la mayoría de la gente cuida solo sus propios intereses?

LA GENTE TRATA DE AYUDARSE ENTRE SI MISMOS.....1 @  
SE AYUDAN ENTRE ELLOS MISMOS.....5  
DEPENDE/ (R VOLUNTARIOS) .....7

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>AT4< [settime trust2][settime at1]

The next set of questions focus on the U.S. government's fight against terrorism.

How would you rate the job the federal government (in Washington) has done in preventing or preparing for the possibility of another terrorist attack?

Would you say excellent, good, only fair, or poor?

EXCELLENT.....1

GOOD.....2  
FAIR.....3  
POOR.....4 @

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> EXCELLENT <2> GOOD <3> FAIR <4> POOR  
<8> DO NOT KNOW <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT4.2<

Las próximas preguntas se enfocan en la lucha del gobierno de los Estados Unidos contra el terrorismo.

¿Cómo evaluaría usted el trabajo que el gobierno federal en Washington ha hecho para prevenir o prepararse para la posibilidad de otro ataque terrorista?

¿Diría usted excelente, bueno, lo justo, o pobre?

EXCELENTE.....1 @  
BUENO.....2  
LO JUSTO.....3  
POBRE.....4

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>b2<

Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is responding to the terrorist attacks?

APPROVE.....1 @  
DISAPPROVE.....5

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> APPROVE <5> DISAPPROVE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED

>b2.2<

¿Usted aprueba o desaprueba de la manera como George W. Bush está respondiendo a los ataques terroristas?

APRUEBO.....1 @  
DESAPRUEBO.....5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>AT11<

Which political party do you trust to do a better job fighting

terrorism, the Republican Party or the Democratic Party?

REPUBLICAN PARTY.....1 @  
DEMOCRATIC PARTY.....5

NEITHER/BOTH/OTHER.....7

DO NOT KNOW .....8  
REFUSED .....9

[@] <1> REPUBLICAN PARTY <5> DEMOCRATIC PARTY <7> NEITHER/BOTH  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT11.2<

¿Cuál de los dos partidos políticos usted confía que puede hacer un  
mejor trabajo en la lucha contra el terrorismo? El Partido Republicano,  
o El Partido Demócrata?

EL PARTIDO REPUBLICANO.....1 @  
EL PARTIDO DEMOCRATA.....5

NINGUNO/AMBOS/OTRO PARTIDO....7

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>AT16<

The next two questions are about the USA Patriot Act and other laws  
passed after the 9-11 attack on the US.

Thinking about these laws, do you think that they increase your  
security against terrorists, decrease your security  
against terrorists, or have little or no impact either way?

[r]IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "The US Patriot Act is a series of laws  
and guidelines passed after September 11th designed to ease  
restrictions on surveillance of suspected terrorism".[n]

INCREASE SECURITY .....1 @  
DECREASE SECURITY.....3  
LITTLE/NO IMPACT.....5

DO NOT KNOW .....8  
REFUSED .....9

[@] <1> INCREASE SECURITY <3> DECREASE SECURITY <5> LITTE OR NO IMPACT  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT16.2<

Las siguientes dos preguntas son acerca del acto patriótico de Los  
Estados Unidos (El "USA Patriot Act") y otras leyes pasadas después del  
ataque del Once de Sept (9-11) contra Los Estados Unidos.

¿Pensando acerca de estas leyes, usted cree que ellas [green] aumentan[n]

su seguridad contra los terroristas, [green] desminuyen [n] su seguridad contra los terroristas, o tienen poco o ningún impacto?

[r] (IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: La Ley Patriota de los Estados Unidos es una serie de leyes y pautas que fueron pasadas después de los ataques del once de Septiembre. Estas leyes fueron diseñadas para disminieir las restricciones en la vigilancia de personas en sospecha de ser terroristas. [n]

AUMENTAN LA SEGURIDAD.....1 @  
DESMINUYEN LA SEGURIDAD.....3  
POCO IMPACTO/NINGUN IMPACTO...5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>AT17<

Do you think that these laws increase your civil rights and liberties, decrease your civil rights and liberties, or have little or no effect either way?

[r]IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "The US Patriot Act is a series of laws and guidelines passed after September 11th designed to ease restrictions on surveillance of suspected terrorism".[n]

INCREASE CIVIL RIGHTS .....1 @  
DECREASE CIVIL RIGHTS.....3  
LITTLE/NO EFFECT CIVIL RIGHTS.....5

DO NOT KNOW .....8  
REFUSED .....9

[@] <1> INCREASE CIVIL RIGHTS <3> DECREASE CIVIL RIGHTS <5> LITTE OR NO EFFECT  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT17.2<

¿Cree usted que estas leyes aumentan sus derechos civiles, desminuyen sus derechos civiles, o tienen poco o ningún efecto?

[r] (IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: La Ley Patriota de los Estados Unidos es una serie de leyes y pautas que fueron pasadas después de los ataques del once de Septiembre. Estas leyes fueron diseñadas para disminieir las restricciones en la vigilancia de personas en sospecha de ser terroristas. [n]

AUMENTAN SUS DERECHOS CIVILES.....1 @  
DESMINUYE SUS DERECHOS.....3  
PRODUCEN POCO/NO EFECTO EN DERECHOS CIVILES...5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>AT13<

Which political party do you trust to do a better job protecting

your civil liberties, the Republican Party or the Democratic Party?

[r]IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "Civil liberties are the basic rights guaranteed to all Americans by the constitution - such as the freedoms of speech, assembly, religion, etc" [n]

REPUBLICAN PARTY.....1 @  
DEMOCRATIC PARTY.....5  
  
NEITHER/BOTH/OTHER.....7  
  
DO NOT KNOW .....8  
REFUSED .....9

[@] <1> REPUBLICAN PARTY <5> DEMOCRATIC PARTY <7> NEITHER/BOTH  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT13.2<

¿Cuál partido político usted confía que hace mejor trabajo en proteger sus derechos civiles? El Partido Republicano o El Partido Demócrata?

EL PARTIDO REPUBLICANO.....1 @  
EL PARTIDO DEMOCRATA.....5  
  
NINGUNO/LOS DOS/OTRO PARTIDO...7  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>AT15<

How likely is it that you personally will have to give up some of your rights and liberties in order to curb terrorism?

Would you say very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely or very unlikely?

VERY LIKELY.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT LIKELY.....2  
SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY.....3  
VERY UNLIKELY.....4  
  
DO NOT KNOW .....8  
REFUSED .....9

[@] <1> VERY LIKELY <2> SOMEWHAT LIKELY <3> SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY  
<4> VERY UNLIKELY  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT15.2<

¿Cuál es la probabilidad que usted personalmente tenga que ceder varios de sus derechos y libertades para parar el terrorismo? ¿Diría usted muy probable, un poco probable, un poco improbable, o muy improbable?

MUY PROBABLE.....1 @  
 UN POCO PROBABLE.....2  
 UN POCO IMPROBABLE.....3  
 MUY IMPROBABLE.....4  
  
 NO SE.....8  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>AT19<

How willing would you personally be to give up some of your rights and liberties in order to curb terrorism?

Would you say very willing, somewhat willing, somewhat unwilling or very unwilling?

VERY WILLING.....1 @  
 SOMEWHAT WILLING.....2  
 SOMEWHAT UNWILLING.....3  
 VERY UNWILLING.....4  
  
 DO NOT KNOW .....8  
 REFUSED .....9

[@] <1> VERY WILLING <2> SOMEWHAT WILLING <3> SOMEWHAT UNWILLING  
 <4> VERY UNWILLING  
 <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>AT19.2<

¿Qué dispuesto estaría usted a ceder varios de sus derechos y libertades para parar el terrorismo? ¿Estaría usted muy dispuesto(a), un poco dispuesto(a), un poco indispuesto(a), o muy indispuesto(a)?

MUY DISPUESTO(A).....1 @  
 UN POCO DISPUESTO(A).....2  
 UN POCO INDISPUESTO(A).....3  
 MUY INDISPUESTO(A).....4  
  
 NO SE.....8  
 NEGARSE EN CONTESTAR.....9

>p1< [settime at2][settime civil1]

How proud are you to be an American?

Would you say very proud, proud, somewhat proud, not very proud, or not proud at all?

VERY PROUD.....1 @  
 PROUD.....2  
 SOMEWHAT PROUD.....3  
 NOT VERY PROUD.....4  
 NOT PROUD AT ALL.....5

RESPONDENT NOT AN AMERICAN.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> VERY PROUD <2> PROUD <3> SOMEWHAT PROUD <4> NOT VERY PROUD  
<5> NOT PROUD AT ALL <7> RESPONDENT NOT AN AMERICAN  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>p1.2<

¿Qué orgulloso(a) se siente usted de ser un(a) Americano(a)?

¿Diría usted muy orgulloso(a), orgulloso(a), un poco orgulloso(a), no muy orgulloso(a), o no orgulloso(a) del todo?

MUY ORGULLOSO(A).....1 @  
ORGULLOSO(A).....2  
UN POCO ORGULLOSO(A).....3  
NO MUY ORGULLOSO(A).....4  
NO ORGULLOSO(A) DEL TODO.....5

RESPONDIENTE NO ES AMERICANO....7

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>cl< [settime civil1]

Next I am going to read you a series of two statements. Please tell me which one you agree with [green]most[n].

[nodata] @

>cl.2<

Enseguida le voy a leer dos series de frases. Por favor dígame con cuál de las dos usted concuerda más.

@

>rotatel< [if random3 eq <0> goto CL1]  
[if random3 eq <1> goto CL2]  
[if random3 eq <2> goto CL7]  
[if random3 eq <3> goto CL8]  
[if random3 eq <4> goto CL9]  
[if random3 eq <5> goto CL10]  
[if random3 eq <6> goto CL4]  
[if random3 eq <7> goto CL5]  
[if random3 eq <8> goto CL6]  
[if random3 eq <9> goto CL11]

>CL1< [settime civil1]

In order to curb terrorism in this country, it will be necessary to give up some civil liberties. -or-

We should preserve our freedoms above all, even if there remains some



risk of terrorism.

Which statement do you agree with [green]most[n]?

[r]IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "Civil liberties are the basic rights guaranteed to all Americans by the constitution - such as the freedoms of speech, assembly, religion, etc" [n]

Necessary to give up some civil liberties.....1 @  
We should preserve our freedoms.....5  
IT DEPENDS/NEITHER (R volunteers).....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> NECESSARY GIVE UP CIVIL LIBERTIES[goto rot3a] <5>[goto rot3a] PRESERVE  
OUR FREEDOMS[goto rot3a]  
<7> IT DEPENDS/NEITHER <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing]  
<9> REFUSED [missing][goto rot3a]  
<h>[etc <h>][help civil]

>CL1.2<

La primera es, para poder parar el terrorismo en este país será necesario ceder algunas libertades civiles.

-o-

Nosotros debemos preservar nuestros derechos civiles sobre todo, aunque quede algún riesgo del terrorismo.

(¿Con cuál de las dos frases usted concuerda más?)

Necesario ceder algunas libertades civiles.....1 @  
Nosotros debemos preservar nuestros derechos.....5  
ESO DEPENDE/NINGUNO.....7  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>clflup<

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion?

(In order to curb terrorism in this country, it will be necessary to give up some civil liberties). -or-

(We should preserve our freedoms above all, even if there remains some risk of terrorism.)

[r]IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "Civil liberties are the basic rights guaranteed to all Americans by the constitution - such as the freedoms of speech, assembly, religion, etc" [n]

Necessary to give up some civil liberties.....1 @  
We should preserve our freedoms.....5

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[yellow]FOR A DEFINITION OF CIVIL LIBERTIES HIT 'h'[n]

[@]<1> NECESSARY GIVE UP LIBERTIES <5> PRESERVE OUR FREEDOMS  
<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]  
<h>[etc <h>][help civil]

>clflup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál de las dos frases es más cercana a su opinión?

(Para poder parar el terrorismo en este país, será necesario ceder algunas libertades civiles.)

-o-

(Nosotros debemos preservar nuestros derechos sobre todo, aunque quede algún riesgo de terrorismo.)

Necesario ceder algunas libertades civiles.....1 @  
Nosotros debemos preservar nuestros derechos.....5  
  
ESO DEPENDE/NINGUNO.....7  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3a< [if random3 eq <1> goto CD1]

>CL2<

Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times to show to a police officer upon request. -or-

Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's freedom of association and right to privacy.

Which statement do you agree with [green]most[n]?

People required to carry national ID card . . . 1 @  
ID cards violate freedom of association  
and right of privacy.....5  
IT DEPENDS/NEITHER (R volunteers).....7  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> PEOPLE REQUIRED TO CARRY ID[goto rot3b]  
<5>[goto rot3b] ID CARDS VIOLATE FREEDOM ASSOCIATION

<7> IT DEPENDS/NEITHER <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED [goto  
rot3b]

>CL2.2<

Cada uno debe de llevar una tarjeta de identificación nacional todo  
el tiempo para mostrársela a un oficial de la policía cuando la requiera.

-o-

Ser forzado a llevar una tarjeta de identificación nacional viola los  
derechos humanos de asociación y los derechos de privacidad.

(¿Con cuál de las dos frases usted concuerda más?)

La gente debe llevar tarjeta de identificación.....1 @  
Llevar tarjetas de identificación viola los derechos  
humanos de asociación y los derechos de privacidad.....5

TODO DEPENDE/NINGUNA.....7  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>cl2flup<

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion?

(Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times  
to show to a police officer on request. -or-

Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's  
freedom of association and right to privacy).

People required to carry national ID card . . . 1 @  
ID cards violate freedom of association  
and right of privacy.....5

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> PEOPLE REQUIRED TO CARRY ID <5> ID CARDS VIOLATE FREEDOM ASSOCIATION  
<7> DEPENDS/CANNOT CHOOSE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>cl2flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál de las dos frases es más cercana a  
su opinión?

(Cada uno debe de llevar una tarjeta de identificación nacional todo  
el tiempo para mostrársela a un oficial de la policía cuando la requiera.)

-o-

(Ser forzado a llevar una tarjeta de identificación nacional viola  
los derechos humanos de asociación y los derechos de privacidad.)

Gente debe llevar tarjeta de identificación.....1 @

Llevar tarjetas de identificación viola los  
derechos de privacidad...5

DEPENDENDE/NINGUNA/NO PUEDO ESCOGER.....7  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3b< [if random3 eq <2> goto CD1]

>CL7<

Some people say high school teachers have the right to criticize America's  
policies toward terrorism.

Others say that [green]all[n] high school teachers should defend America's  
policies in order to promote loyalty to our country.

Which of these opinions do you agree with [green]most[n]?

SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES.....1 @  
HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY.....5  
DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES[goto rot3c] <5> HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY [goto  
rot3c]  
<7> DEPENDS/NOT SURE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing][goto  
rot3c]

>CL7.2<

Algunas personas dicen que los maestros de escuela secundaria tienen  
el derecho de criticar las leyes Americanas en relación con la guerra contra  
el terrorismo.

Otros dicen que todos los maestros de escuela secundaria deben defender  
las leyes Americanas para que de esa forma se puede promover la lealtad  
hacia nuestro país.

¿Con cuál de estas dos opiniones usted concuerda más?

HABLAR CONTRA LAS LEYES.....1 @  
AYUDAR A DESARROLLAR LEALTAD.....5

DEPENDENDE/NINGUNA/NO PUEDO ESCOGER.....7  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>cl7flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

(High school teachers have the right to criticize America's policies  
toward terrorism)

- or -

([green]All[n] high school teachers should defend America's policies  
in order to promote loyalty to our country).

SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES.....1 @  
HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY.....5

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES <5> HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY  
<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED  
[missing][goto CL8]

>cl7flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál opinión es más cercana a la suya?

(Los maestros de escuela secundaria tienen el derecho de criticar las  
leyes Americanas contra el terrorismo.)

-o-

(Todos los maestros deben defender las leyes Americanas para promover  
la lealtad hacia nuestro país.)

HABLAR CONTRA LAS LEYES.....1 @  
AYUDAR A DESARROLLAR LEALTAD.....5

DEPENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3c< [if random3 eq <3> goto CD1]

>CL8<

Some people say that law enforcement should be free to search a property  
without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act  
is being planned there.

Others say that protection against searches without a warrant is a basic  
right that should not be given up for any reason.

Which of these opinions do you agree with [green]most[n]?

ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT.....1 @  
OPPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT .....5  
DEPENDS/NOT SURE. (R VOLUNTEERS).....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT[goto rot3d] <5> OPPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT [goto rot3d]  
<7> DEPENDS/NOT SURE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing][goto rot3d]

>CL8.2<

Alguna gente dice que la policía judicial debería de ser libre de examinar una propiedad sin ninguna autorización, solamente con la sospecha que un crimen o un acto terrorista está planeando en ese lugar.

Otros dicen que la protección contra examinaciones sin una autorización es un derecho básico que no se debe ceder por ninguna razón.

¿Con cuál de estas opiniones usted concuerda más?

DEJAR QUE EXAMINACIONES SE HAGAN SIN AUTORIZACION.....1 @  
ME OPONGO A QUE EXAMINACIONES SE HAGAN SIN AUTORIZACION....5  
DEPENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>cl8flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

(Law enforcement should be free to search a property without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act is being planned there).

- or -

(Protection against searches without a warrant is a basic right that should not be given up for any reason).

ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT.....1 @  
OPPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT .....5  
  
DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED... .....9

[@]<1> ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT <5> OPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT  
<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED  
[goto CL9]

>cl8flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál de estas opiniones es más cercana a la suya?

(Dejar que la policía judicial haga examinaciones a una propiedad sin autorización, solamente con sospecha que un crimen o que un acto terrorista se está planeando en ese lugar).

- o -

(Protección contra exámenes sin una autorización es un derecho básico que no se debe ceder por ninguna razón).

DEJAR QUE EXAMINACIONES SE HAGAN SIN AUTORIZACION.....1 @  
ME OPONGO A QUE EXAMINACIONES SE HAGAN SIN AUTORIZACION....5  
  
DEPENDENDE/NINGUNA/NO PUEDO ESCOGER.....7  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3d< [if random3 eq <4> goto CD1]

>CL9<

Some people say that government [green]should be[n] allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts.

Others say that people's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution.

Which of these opinions do you agree with [green]most[n]?

ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL...1 @  
OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL..5  
  
DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS).....7  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS[goto rot3e] <5> OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATIONS [goto rot3e]  
<7> DEPENDS/NOT SURE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing][goto rot3e]

>CL9.2<

Algunos dicen que el gobierno debería de ser libre de grabar llamadas telefónicas y monitorear el correo electrónico (E-Mail), para que de esa forma se puede prevenir que la gente pueda planear actos terroristas o criminales.

Otros dicen que las conversaciones y el correo electrónico son cosas privadas y deben ser protegidas por la constitución.

¿Con cuál de estas dos opiniones usted concuerda más?

DEJAR GRABACIONES DE LLAMADAS TELEFONICAS/CORREO ELECTRONICO.....1 @  
ME OPONGO A GRABACIONES DE LLAMADAS TELEFONICAS/ CORREO ELECTRONICO (E-MAIL).....5  
  
DEPENDENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7

NO SE.....8  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>cl9flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

(The government [green]should be[n] allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts).

- or -

(People's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution).

ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL...1 @  
 OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL..5

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
 DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED... ..9

[@]<1> ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS <5> OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATION  
 <7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing]  
 <9> REFUSED

>cl9flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál de estas opiniones es más cercana a la suya?

El gobierno debe ser libre en grabar llamadas telefónicas y monitorear el correo electrónico para que de esa manera se puede prevenir que la gente pueda planear actos terroristas o criminales.

-o-

Que las conversaciones de la gente y el correo electrónico son cosas privadas y deben de ser protegidas por la constitución.

DEJAR GRABACIONES DE LLAMADAS TELEFONICAS/CORREO  
 ELECTRONICO.....1 @  
 ME OPONGO A GRABACIONES DE LLAMADAS TELEFONICAS/  
 CORREO ELECTRONICO (E-MAIL).....5

DEPENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7  
 NO SE.....8  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3e< [if random3 eq <5> goto CD1]



>CL10<

Some say that people who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated.

Others say that people have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law.

Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS.....1 @  
RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC.....5

DEPENDS/NOT SURE. (R VOLUNTEERS) .....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS[goto rot3f] <5> RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC[goto  
rot3f]  
<7> DEPENDS/NOT SURE <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing] [goto  
rot3f]

>CL10.2<

Algunos dicen que la gente que participa en protestas que no son violentas contra el gobierno de los Estados Unidos deben ser investigados.

Otros dicen que la gente tiene el derecho de reunirse en lugares públicos y expresar puntos de vista que no son populares mientras no están quebrando la ley.

¿Cuál de estas dos opiniones usted concuerda más?

INVESTIGAR A LOS QUE PROTESTAN.....1 @  
DERECHO A REUNIRSE EN LUGARES PUBLICOS.....5

DEPENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>cl10flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

(People who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated).

- or -

(People have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law).

INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS.....1 @  
RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC.....5

```

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7
DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED... .....9

[<1> INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS <5> RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC
<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED

>cl10flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál opinión es más cercana a la suya?

(Gente que participa en protestas que no son violentas contra el
gobierno de Los Estados Unidos deben ser investigados).

- o -

(La gente tiene el derecho a reunirse en lugares públicos y expresar
puntos de vista que no son populares mientras no están quebrando la ley).

INVESTIGAR A LOS QUE PROTESTAN.....1 @
DERECHO A REUNIRSE EN LUGARES PUBLICOS.....5

DEPENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3f< [if random3 eq <6> goto CD1]

>CL4<

Some people say it should be a crime for anyone to belong to or
contribute money to any organization that supports international terrorism.

Others say that a person's guilt or innocence [green]should not[n] be
determined only by who they associate with or the organizations to which they
belong.

Which of these opinions do you agree with [green]most[n]?

REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TO TERRORISM.....1 @
GUILT INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED .....5

DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS) .....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9

[<1> REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TERRORISM [goto CL4a]
<5> GUILT INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED[goto CL4b]
<7> DEPENDS/NOT SURE <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing][goto
rot3g]
```

>CL4.2<

Alguna gente dice que debería ser un crimen el que una persona pertenezca o contribuya dinero a una organización que apoya el terrorismo internacional.

Otros dicen que la culpabilidad o la inocencia de las personas no deberían ser determinadas solamente por con quien ellos se asocian o a que organizaciones ellos pertenecen.

¿Con cuál de estas dos opiniones usted concuerda más?

ACERCA DE LOS QUE CONTRIBUYEN AL TERRORISMO.....1 @  
LA CULPABILIDAD Y LA INOCENCIA NO TIENE NADA QUE VER  
CON LAS ASOCIACIONES.....5  
DEPENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO (R VOLUNTEERS) .....7  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>cl4flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

(It should be a crime for anyone to belong to or contribute money to any organization that supports international terrorism.)

- or -

(A person's guilt or innocence [green]should not[n] be determined only by who they associate with or the organizations to which they belong.)

REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TO TERRORISM.....1 @  
GUILT INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED .....5  
DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TO TERRORISM [goto CL4a]  
<5> GUILT INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED [goto CL4b]  
<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE[goto rot3g]  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] [goto rot3g] <9> REFUSED [goto rot3g]

>cl4flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál de las frases es más cercana a su opinión?

(Debe ser un crimen para una persona pertenecer o contribuir dinero a una organización que apoya el terrorismo internacional.)

-o-

(La culpabilidad o la inocencia de las personas no deben ser determinadas

solamente por con quien ellos se asocian o a que organizaciones ellos pertenecen.)

ACERCA DE LOS QUE CONTRIBUYEN AL TERRORISMO.....1 @  
LA CULPABILIDAD Y LA INOCENCIA NO TIENE NADA QUE VER  
CON LAS ASOCIACIONES.....5  
  
DEPENDEN/NO ESTOY SEGURO (R VOLUNTEERS).....7  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CL4a<

Suppose, in doing this, people would be judged guilty by association rather than by a crime they may have personally committed.

If that happened, would you be [green]less[n] likely to view such people as committing a crime, [green]more[n] likely to view them as committing a crime, or would it make no difference in your answer?

LESS LIKELY..... .1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE .. . . . . 7  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]  
[default goto rot3g]

>CL4a.2<

Supongamos, que haciendo esto, la gente sería juzgada culpable por asociación, en vez de por un crimen que haya cometido.

¿Si esto ocurriera así, estaría usted [green] menos [n] inclinado(a) a ver a esa gente como cometiendo un crimen, [green] más [n] inclinado(a) a verlos como cometiendo un crimen, o no le causaría ninguna diferencia en su respuesta?

UN POCO INCLINADO (A).....1 @  
MUY INCLINADO (A).....5  
NINGUNA DIFERENCIA.....7  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CL4b<

Suppose people who contribute to these organizations [green]actually are[n] supporting the activities and goals of terrorist organizations.

If that were true, would you be [green]less[n] likely to view them as innocent, [green]more[n] likely to view them as innocent, or would it make

no difference in your answer?

LESS LIKELY.....1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE . . . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>CL4b.2<

Supongamos que la gente que contribuye a estas organizaciones  
están apoyando actividades y metas de organizaciones terroristas.

¿Si esto fuera verdad, estaría usted [green] menos [n] inclinado(a) a  
verlos como inocentes, [green] más [n] inclinado(a) a verlos como  
inocentes, o no le causaría ninguna diferencia en su respuesta?

MENOS INCLINADO(A).....1 @  
MAS INCLINADO(A).....5  
NINGUNA DIFERENCIA.....7

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3g< [if random3 eq <7> goto CD1]

>CL5<

Some people say the government should be able to arrest and detain a  
[green]non-citizen[n] indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging  
to a terrorist organization.

Others say nobody should be held for a long period of time without  
being formally charged with a crime.

Which of these opinions do you agree with [green]most[n]?

DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES.....1 @  
OPPOSE DETAINING INDIVIDUALS.....5

DEPENDS/NOT SURE. (R VOLUNTEERS).....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES [goto CL5a]<5> OPPOSE DETAINING  
INDIVIDUALS [goto CL5b]  
<7> DEPENDS/NOT SURE <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED  
[missing][goto rot3h]

>CL5.2<

Alguna gente dice que el gobierno debería poder arrestar y detener

indefinitivamente lo que no es ciudadano, si se sospecha que esa persona pertenece a una organización terrorista.

Otros dicen que ninguna persona debe ser detenida por largo tiempo sin ser formalmente acusada con un crimen.

¿Con cuál de estas dos opiniones usted concuerda más?

DETENERLO POR EL TIEMPO QUE SEA NECESARIO.....1 @  
ME OPONGO A QUE DETENGAN INDIVIDUOS.....5

DEPENDENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>cl5flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

(The government should be able to arrest and detain a [green]non-citizen[n] indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging to a terrorist organization).

- or -

(Nobody should be held for a long period of time without being formally charged with a crime).

DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES.....1 @  
OPPOSE DETAINING INDIVIDUALS.....5

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES [goto CL5a] <5> OPPOSE DETAINING  
INDIVIDUALS [goto CL5b]  
<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE[goto rot3h]  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing][goto rot3h] <9> REFUSED [goto rot3h]

>cl5flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál opinión es más cercana a la suya?

(El gobierno debería poder arrestar y detener indefinitivamente al que no es ciudadano si se sospecha que esa persona pertenece a una organización terrorista.)

-o-

(Nadie debe ser detenido por largo tiempo sin que esa persona sea formablemente acusada con un crimen).

DETENERLO POR EL TIEMPO QUE SEA NECESARIO.....1 @  
ME OPONGO A QUE DETENGAN INDIVIDUOS.....5

DEPENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7  
 NO SE.....8  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CL5a<

Suppose this means that many innocent people could be locked up for a long time without ever be charged with a crime.

If that happened, would you be [green]less[n] likely to support such detention, [green]more[n] likely to support such detention, or would it make no difference in your answer?

LESS LIKELY..... .1 @  
 MORE LIKELY.....5  
 NO DIFFERENCE .. . . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE  
 <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]  
 [default goto rot3h]

>CL5a.2<

Supongamos que esto significa que mucha gente inocente podría ser detenida por un largo tiempo sin ser formalmente acusada de un crimen.

¿Si esto fuera el caso, estaría usted [green] menos [n] inclinado(a) a apoyar esa clase de detención, [green] más [n] inclinado(a) a apoyar esa clase de detención, o no haría ninguna diferencia en su respuesta?

MENOS INCLINADO(A).....1 @  
 MAS INCLINADO(A).....5  
 NINGUNA DEFERENCIA.....7

NO SE.....8  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CL5b<

Suppose there were strong suspicions that these people are terrorists and detaining them would prevent them from committing other crimes.

If that happened, would you be [green]less[n] likely to oppose such detention, [green]more[n] likely to oppose it, or would it make no difference?

LESS LIKELY..... .1 @  
 MORE LIKELY.....5  
 NO DIFFERENDCE . . . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>CL5b.2<

Supongamos que hubiera bastante sospecha que esta gente son terroristas y arrestándolos podría prevenir que ellos cometan otros crímenes.

¿Si esto fuera el caso, estaría usted [green] menos [n] inclinado(a) en oponerse a esa clase de arresto, [green] más [n] inclinado(a) a ese arresto, o no le haría ninguna diferencia a usted?

MENOS INCLINADO(A).....1 @  
MAS INCLINADO(A).....5  
NINGUNA DIFEERENCIA.....7

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3h< [if random3 eq <8> goto CD1]

>CL6<

Some people say that law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes. This is called racial profiling.

Others think racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity.

Which of these opinions do you agree with [green]most[n]?

ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING.....1 @  
OPPOSE RACIAL PROFILING.....5  
DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING [goto CL6a] <5> OPPSE RACIAL PROFILING [goto CL6b]

<7> DEPENDS/NOT SURE <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing]  
<9> REFUSED [missing][goto rot3i]

>CL6.2<

Algunas personas dicen que la policía judicial debería de poder parar o detener a cualquier persona de cierta raza o ciertos antecedentes étnicos si estos grupos son considerados como más propensos a cometer crímenes. Esto es llamado perfil racial.

Otros dicen que perfil racial no se debe hacer porque esto acosaría a mucha gente inocente solamente por pertenecer a una raza o a un



grupo étnico.

¿Con cuál de estas dos opiniones usted concuerda más?

CONCEDER A PERFIL RACIAL.....1 @  
OPONERSE A PERFIL RACIAL.....5  
  
DEPENDENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>cl6flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

(Law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes.)

- or -

(Racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity).

ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING.....1 @  
OPPOSE RACIAL PROFILING.....5

DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE.....7  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING [goto CL6a] <5> OPPOSE RACIAL PROFILING[goto CL6b]

<7> DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE[goto rot3i]

<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing][goto rot3i] <9> REFUSED [goto rot3i]

>cl6flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger cuál opinión es más cercana a la suya?

(La policía judicial debería de poder parar o detener a cualquiera persona de cierta raza o ciertos antecedentes étnicos si estos grupos son considerados más propensos a cometer crímenes).

-o-

(Perfil racial no se debe hacer por que esto acosaría a mucha gente inocente solamente por pertenecer a una raza o a un grupo étnico).

CONCEDER A PERFIL RACIAL.....1 @  
OPONERSE A PERFIL RACIAL.....5  
  
DEPENDENDE/NO ESTOY SEGURO.....7  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CL6a<

Suppose this means that racial profiling often leads to unequal treatment of people just because of their race or national origin.

If this happened, would you be [green]less[n] likely to support racial profiling, [green]more[n] likely to support it, or would this not make any difference your answer?

LESS LIKELY..... .1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE .. . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]  
[default goto rot3i]

>CL6a.2<

Supongamos que esto significa que perfil racial muchas veces nos lleva a un trato desigual de la gente solamente porque son de otra raza o de otro origen nacional.

¿Si esto fuera el caso, estaría usted [green] menos [n] inclinado(a) a apoyar perfil racial, [green] más [n] inclinado(a) en soportarlo, o esto no haría ninguna diferencia en su respuesta?

MENOS INCLINADO(A).....1 @  
MAS INCLINADO(A) .....5  
NINGUNA DIFEFERENCIA.....7

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CL6b<

Suppose people from certain racial or ethnic backgrounds were [green]actually[n] more likely to commit crimes.

If this were the case, would you be [green]less[n] likely to oppose racial profiling, [green]more[n] likely to oppose it, or would this not make any difference in your answer.

LESS LIKELY..... .1 @  
MORE LIKELY.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE . . . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE

<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9>[missing]REFUSED

>CL6b.2<

Supongamos que gente de cierto grupo racial o ciertos antecedentes  
Étnicos, en efecto, tienen más probabilidad de cometer crímenes.

¿Si esto fuera el caso, estaría usted menos inclinado(a) a oponerse a  
perfil racial, más inclinado(a) a oponerse a esto, o esto no haría  
ninguna diferencia en su respuesta?

MENOS INCLINADO(A).....1 @  
MAS INCLINADO(A).....5  
NINGUNA DIFERENCIA.....7

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3i< [if random3 eq <9> goto CD1]

>CL11<

Which of the following comes closer to your view?

The federal government should place greater restrictions on the public's  
access to information on what the government is doing in the fight  
against terrorism.

-- or ---

Public access to information is essential to making government officials  
responsive to the people.

SHOULD PLACE GREATER RESTRICTIONS.....1 @  
PUBLIC ACCESS TO INFORMATION IS ESSENTIAL.....5

NEITHER.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> SHOULD PLACE GREATER RESTRICTIONS[goto CL11a] <5> PUBLIC ACCESS  
IS ESSENTIAL[goto CL11b]  
<7> NEITHER <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing][goto  
rot3j]

>CL11.2<

¿Cuál de las siguientes opiniones es más cercana a la suya?

El gobierno federal debe poner más fuertes restricciones al acceso  
que el público tiene a información acerca de lo que el gobierno está  
haciendo en la guerra contra el terrorismo.

-o-

El acceso público a información es esencial para que los oficiales del gobierno tengan responsabilidad con la gente.

DEBEN DE PONER MAS RESTRICCIONES.....1 @  
ACCESO PUBLICO A INFORMACION ES ESENCIAL...5  
  
NINGUNA.....7  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>cl11flup<

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own?

The federal government should place greater restrictions on the public's access to information on what the government is doing in the fight against terrorism.

-- or ---

Public access to information is essential to making government officials responsive to the people.

SHOULD PLACE GREATER RESTRICTIONS.....1 @  
PUBLIC ACCESS TO INFORMATION IS ESSENTIAL.....5  
  
NEITHER.....7  
  
DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> SHOULD PLACE GREATER RESTRICTIONS[goto CL11a] <5> PUBLIC ACCESS  
IS ESSENTIAL[goto CL11b]  
    <7> NEITHER [goto rot3j] <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto rot3j]  
    <9> REFUSED[missing][goto rot3j]

>cl11flup.2<

¿Si usted tuviera que escoger, cuál opinión es más cercana a la suya?

El gobierno federal debe poner más fuertes restricciones al acceso que el público tiene a información acerca de lo que el gobierno está haciendo en la guerra contra el terrorismo.

-o-

El acceso público a información es esencial para que los oficiales del gobierno tengan responsabilidad con la gente.

DEBEN DE PONER MAS RESTRICCIONES.....1 @  
ACCESO PUBLICO A INFORMACION ES ESENCIAL...5  
  
NINGUNA.....7  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CL11a<

Suppose this allowed the government to hide acts that were illegal or unconstitutional.

If this were true, would you want to restrict public access to information more, restrict public access less -- or would it not make a difference?

RESTRICT ACCESS MORE..... .1 @  
RESTRICT ACCESS LESS.....5

NO DIFFERENCE .. . . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]  
[default goto rot3j]

>CL11a.2<

Supongamos que esto permitiera al gobierno esconder actos que fueron ilegales o no constitucionales.

¿Si esto fuera verdad, estaría usted más inclinado(a) a apoyar restricciones de información al acceso público, menos inclinado(a) a apoyar restricciones, o no haría ninguna diferencia?

MAS INCLINADO(A).....1 @  
MENOS INCLINADO(A).....5  
NINGUNA DIFEFERENCIA.....7

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CL11b<

Suppose this meant that our enemies might be able to use this information against the US.

If this were true, would you want to restrict public access to information more, restrict public access less -- or would it not make a difference?

RESTRICT ACCESS MORE..... .1 @  
RESTRICT ACCESS LESS.....5  
NO DIFFERENCE .. . . . . 7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> LESS LIKELY <5> MORE LIKELY <7> NO DIFFERENCE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>CL11b.2<

Supongamos que esto significa que nuestros enemigos podrían tener la posibilidad de usar esta información contra Los Estados Unidos.

¿Si esto fuera correcto, estaría usted más inclinado(a) a apoyar restricciones de información al acceso público, menos inclinado(a) a apoyar restricciones, o no haría ninguna diferencia?

MAS INCLINADO(A).....1 @  
MENOS INCLINADO(A).....5  
NO HARIA NINGUNA DIFERENCIA.....7

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3j< [if random3 ge <1> goto CL1]

>CD1< [loc 19/1][settime civil2][settime core1]

Now, I have a few background questions. These are for statistical analysis purposes only.

MALE.....1  
FEMALE.....5 @

[@]<1> MALE <5> FEMALE

>CD1.2<

Ahora, tengo unas pocas preguntas acerca de sus antecedentes. Estas son con propósito de análisis estadístico solamente.

ES USTED DE GENERO MASCULINO.....1 @  
ES USTED DE GENERO FEMENINO .....5

>CD2< [define <d><998>][define <r><999>]  
In what year were you born?

Year.....19 @

DON'T KNOW.....d  
REFUSED.....r

[@] <00-86> YEAR <d,r>[missing]

>CD2.2<

¿En qué año nació usted?

ANO.....19 @

NO SE.....d  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....r

>CD2a<

Were you born in the United States (or one of its territories, such as Puerto Rico, American Samoa, or Guam)?

YES.....1  
NO.....5 @

DON'T KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> YES <5> NO <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9>[missing]REFUSED

>CD2a.2<

¿Nació usted en los Estados Unidos (o, en uno de sus territorios, como lo es Puerto Rico, Samoa Americana, o Guam)?

SI.....1 @  
NO.....5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CD2b<

Do you speak a language other than English at home?

YES.....1  
NO.....5 @

DON'T KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> YES <5> NO <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9>[missing]REFUSED

>CD2b.2<

¿Habla usted otra lengua que Español en su casa?

SI.....1 @  
NO.....5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CD3<

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

DID NOT GO TO SCHOOL .....0 @  
GRADE.....1-11  
HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE OR GED HOLDER.....12  
COLLEGE (ONE TO THREE YEARS).....13-15  
COLLEGE GRADUATE (FOUR YEARS) .....16  
SOME POST GRADUATE .....17  
GRADUATE DEGREE.....18  
TECHNICAL/JUNIOR COLLEGE GRADUATE.....20

DON'T KNOW.....98  
 REFUSED.....99

[@] <0> DID NOT GO TO SCHOOL <1-11> GRADE <12> HIGH SCHOOL GRAD OR GED  
 <13-15> COLLEGE <16> COLLEGE GRADUATE <17> SOME POST GRADUATE  
 <18> GRADUATE DEGREE <20> TECHNICAL/JUNIOR COLLEGE GRAD  
 <98> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <99>REFUSED [missing]

>CD3.2<

¿Cuál es el nivel más alto de educación que usted completó?

NO FUI A LA ESCUELA .....0 @  
 GRADO DE ESCUELA SECUNDARIA.....1-11

GRADUADO DE ESCUELA SECUNDARIA, O POSESOR DE DIPLOMA  
 DE EDUCACION GENERAL DE DESARROLLO (GED)....12  
 UNIVERSIDAD (UNO A TRES ANOS).....13-15  
 GRADUADO DE UNIVERSIDAD (QUATRO ANOS).....16  
 ALGO DE POSGRADUADO.....17  
 TECNICO/GRADUADO DE UNIVERSIDAD DE DOS ANOS..20

NO SE.....98  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....99

>CD8<

Are you currently married, divorced, separated, widowed, member of an  
 unmarried couple, or have you never been married?

MARRIED, REMARRIED.....1  
 DIVORCED.....2  
 SEPARATED.....3  
 WIDOWED.....4  
 MEMBER OF AN UNMARRIED COUPLE..... 5  
 SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED.....6  
 OTHER .....0 @

DON'T KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> MARRIED <2> DIVORCED <3> SEPARATED <4> WIDOWED <5> MEMBERT  
 UNMARRIED COUPLE  
 <6> SINGLE NEVER BEEN MARRIED <0> [specify] <8,9>[missing]

>CD8.2<

¿Está usted, al presente, casado(a), divorciado(a), separado(a), viudo(a),  
 miembro de una pareja no casada, o nunca se casó?

CASADO (A), NUEVAMENTE CASADO (A) .....1 @  
 DIVORSIADO (A) .....2  
 SEPARADO (A) .....3  
 VIUDO (A) .....4  
 MIEMBRO DE UNA PAREJA NO CASADA.....5  
 SOLTERO (A), NUNCA CASADO (A) .....6



OTRA.....0  
 NO SE.....8  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CD5a<

Are you of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin?

YES- HISPANIC/LATINO/SPANISH ORIGIN.....1  
 NO - [green]NOT[n] HISPANIC/LATINO/SPANISH ORIGIN.....5 @  
 DON'T KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, HISPANIC <5> NO, NOT HISPANIC[goto CD4a] <8,9>[missing][goto CD4a]

>CD5a.2<

¿Es usted de origen Hispánico/Latino/Español?

SI-DE ORIGEN HISPANICO/LATINO/ESPANOL.....1 @  
 NO-DE ORIGEN HISPANICO/LATINO/ESPANOL.....5  
 NO SE.....8  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CD5a1<

With which Hispanic or Latino group do you identify with most?

Mexican, Mexican American, Chicano, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or something else?

MEXICAN.....1 @  
 MEXICAN AMERICAN.....2  
 CHICANO.....3  
 PUERTO RICAN.....4  
 CUBAN.....5  
 SOMETHING ELSE.....6

DO NOT KNOW.....98  
 REFUSED.....99

[@] <1> MEXICAN <2> MEXICAN AMERICAN <3> CHICANO <4> PUERTO RICAN  
 <5> CUBAN <6> SOMETHING ELSE [specify]

<98> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <99> REFUSED [missing]

>CD5a1.2<

¿Con cuál de los grupos Hispánicos o Latinos usted se identifica más?

¿Mexicano, Americano Mexicano, Chicano, Puertorriqueño, Cubano, o algún otro?

MEXICANO.....1 @  
AMERICANO MEXICANO.....2  
CHICANO.....3  
PUERTORRIQUENO.....4  
CUBANO.....5  
ALGUN OTRO.....6  
  
NO SE.....98  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....99

>CD4a< [open CD4a][optional all][default answer <n> all] [define <y><1>][define <n><5>][define <d><8>][define <r><9>]

What is your race?

(IWER: THE R CAN JUST TELL YOU IF THEY ARE WHITE, BLACK, ASIAN,  
ETC, AND YOU CAN JUST HIT ENTER AND IT WILL ENTER AN 'n' FOR NO  
INDICATE WHAT THE R SAYS BY TYPING AN 'y'[n]

y/n[red]d/r[n]  
White or Caucasian?.....@a  
African American or Black?.....@b  
Arab or Middle Eastern?.....@c  
Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander?....@d  
Asian or Asian American?..... @e  
American Indian or Alaska Native?.....@f

[yellow]A HELP SCREEN IS AVAILABLE BY HITTING 'h'[n]

[@a]<y,n><d,r>[missing] <h>[etc <h>][help racehelp]  
[@b]<y,n><d,r>[missing] <h>[etc <h>][help racehelp]  
[@c]<y,n><d,r>[missing] <h>[etc <h>][help racehelp]  
[@d]<y,n><d,r>[missing] <h>[etc <h>][help racehelp]  
[@e]<y,n><d,r>[missing] <h>[etc <h>][help racehelp]  
[@f]<y,n><d,r>[missing] <h>[etc <h>][help racehelp]

>CD4a.2<

¿Cuál es su raza?

Blanca, o Caucásica.....@a  
Americano Africano o Negro.....@b  
Arabe, o del medio oriente.....@c  
Hawaiano o Isleno de otra Isla  
del Pacifico.....@d  
Asiático o Americano Asiático.....@e  
Indio Americano o Nativo de Alaska.....@f

>CD6<

What is the religious group which you feel most closely represents your  
religious views?

(Is it Catholic, Islamic, Jewish, Protestant, some other religion, or no religion)?

NONE; NO RELIGIOUS GROUP.....0  
CATHOLIC; ROMAN CATHOLIC, ORTHODOX.....1  
ISLAMIC/MUSLIM.....2  
JEWISH.....3  
PROTESTANT.....4  
OTHER NON-CHRISTIAN (Hindu, Buddhist, witches)...5  
OTHER CHRISTIAN.....6 @  
BAPTISTS.....7  
  
DON'T KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<0> NONE [goto b1] <1> CATHOLIC/ROMAN CATHOLIC[goto b1] <2>  
ISLAMIC/MUSLIM[goto b1]  
    <3> JEWISH [goto b1] <4> PROTESTANT <5> OTHER NON-CHRISTIAN [goto b1] <7>  
BAPTIST  
    <6>[specify] OTHER  
  
    <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing][goto b1] <9> REFUSED [missing][goto b1]  
        <h>[etc <h>][help relhelp]

>CD6.2<

¿Cuál grupo religioso cree usted que se acerca más a representar sus creencias religiosas?

(¿Es el Católico, El Islámico, El Judío, El Protestante, alguna otra religión, o, no religión)?

NINGUNO; NO GRUPO RELIGIOSO.....0 @  
CATOLICO; CATOLICO ROMANO, CATOLICO ORTODOXO..1  
ISLAMICO/MUSULMAN.....2  
JUDIO.....3  
PROTESTANTE.....4  
OTRO QUE NO SON CHRISTIANOS (HINDU,  
                                  BUDISTA, BRUJERIA).....5  
OTRO QUE SON CHRISTIANOS.....6  
BAUTISTAS.....7  
  
NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CD6a<

Are you a born-again Christian?

YES.....1 @  
NO.....5  
  
DO NOT NOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> YES <5> NO <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9>[missing]REFUSED

>CD6a.2<

¿Es usted un renacido Cristiano?

SI.....1 @  
NO.....5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>b1< [settime core2][settime elect1]

Next, I have some questions about politics.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president?

APPROVE.....1 @  
DISAPPROVE.....5

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9  
[@]<1> APPROVE <5> DISAPPROVE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED

>b1.2<

¿Usted aprueba o desaprueba de la manera como George W. Bush está manejando su trabajo como presidente?

APRUEBO.....1 @  
DESAPRUEBO.....5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>interest<

How interested are you in politics?

Would you say you are very interested, somewhat interested, not very interested, or not interested at all?

VERY INTERESTED.....1 @  
SOMEWHAT INTERESTED.....2  
NOT VERY INTERESTED.....3  
NOT INTERESTED AT ALL.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED..... 9

[@]<1> VERY INTERESTED <2> SOMEWHAT INTERESTED <3> NOT VERY  
INTERESTED  
<4> NOT INTERESTED AT ALL <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>[missing]  
REFUSED

>interest.2<

¿Qué interesado(a) está usted en política? ¿Diría usted muy interesado(a), un poco interesado(a), no muy interesado(a), o no interesado(a) del todo?

MUY INTERESADO(A).....1 @  
 UN POCO INTERESADO(A).....2  
 NO MUY INTERESADO(A).....3  
 NO INTERESADO DEL TODO(A).....4  
  
 NO SE.....8  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>vi2<

Are you registered to vote?

YES.....1 @  
 NO .....5

DO NOT KNOW....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES <5> NO  
 <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>vi2.2<

¿Está usted registrado para votar?

SI.....1 @  
 NO.....2

NO SE.....8  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>v1<

Did you vote in the 2000 Presidential election?

YES.....1 @a  
 NO.....5

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[if v1@a eq <1>]

Did you vote for George Bush, Al Gore, Ralph Nader, or someone else?

GEORGE W. BUSH.....1 @b  
 AL GORE.....2  
 RALPH NADER.....3  
 SOMEONE ELSE.....4

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[endif]

```

[@a] <1> YES <5> NO <8> DO <9> [missing]
[@b] <1> GEORGE BUSH <2> AL GORE <3> RALPH NADER <4> SOMEONE ELSE
      <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

```

>v1.2<

¿Votó usted en la elección Presidencial del año 2000?

```

SI.....1 @a
NO.....5

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

```

```
[if v1@a eq <1>]
```

¿Votó usted por George Bush, Al Gore, Ralph Nader, o algun otro?

```

GEORGE W. BUSH.....1 @b
AL GORE.....2
RALPH NADER.....3
ALGUIEN MAS.....4

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

```

```
[endif]
```

>vi3< [goto PE1]

Do you expect to vote in the Presidential election in November?

```

YES.....1 @
NO .....5

DO NOT KNOW....8
REFUSED.....9

```

```

[@] <1> YES <5> NO
      <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

```

>vi3.2<

¿Usted va a votar en la elección Presidencial en Noviembre?

```

SI.....1 @
NO .....5

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

```

```

>rotate5< [if random eq <1> goto im1]
           [if random eq <2> goto v2im1]

```

>im1<

Next, I have some questions about the [green]2004[n] presidential election and the candidates, George Bush and John Kerry.

I am going to read you some statements and I would like you to tell me if you think the statement applies to George Bush.

[yellow]IWER: BECAUSE THESE QUESTIONS ARE ROTATED, YOU CAN AT YOUR JUDGEMENT DETERMINE IF "Does this statement apply . . . NEEDS TO BE REPEATED WITH EACH QUESTION[n]

[nodata]@

>im1.2<

Enseguida, le tengo unas preguntas acerca de la elección presidencial del año 2004.

Le voy a leer unas declaraciones y me gustaría que usted me dijera si la declaración es aplicable a George Bush.

[yellow]IWER: BECAUSE THESE QUESTIONS ARE ROTATED, YOU CAN AT YOUR JUDGEMENT DETERMINE IF "Does this statement apply . . . NEEDS TO BE REPEATED WITH EACH QUESTION[n]

@

>rotate2< [if random1 eq <1> goto im1a]  
[if random1 eq <2> goto im2a]  
[if random1 eq <3> goto im3a]  
[if random1 eq <4> goto im4a]  
[if random1 eq <5> goto im5a]  
[if random1 eq <6> goto im6a]

>im1a<

He is honest and trustworthy?

Does this statement apply to George Bush?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO BUSH.....1 @  
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO BUSH <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>im1a.2<

¿Él es honesto y digno de confianza?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a George Bush?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....1 @  
NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A BUSH...5

NO SE.....8

NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot1a< [if random1 eq <2> goto imb]

>im2a<

He understands the problems of people like you?

Does this statement apply to George Bush?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO BUSH.....1 @  
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO BUSH <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>im2a.2<

¿Él entiende los problemas de la gente como usted?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a George Bush?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....1 @  
NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A BUSH...5

NO SE.....8

NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot1b< [if random1 eq <3> goto imb]

>im3a<

He is a strong leader?

Does this statement apply to George Bush?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO BUSH.....1 @  
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO BUSH <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>im3a.2<

¿Él es un líder fuerte?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a George Bush?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....1 @  
NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A BUSH....5

NO SE.....8

NEGAR A CONTESTAR.....9



```

>rot1c< [if random1 eq <4> goto imb]

>im4a<

He takes a position and sticks with it?

Does this statement apply to George Bush?

      YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO BUSH.....1 @
      NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH.....5

      DO NOT NOW.....8
      REFUSED.....9
[ @] <1> YES, APPLIES TO BUSH <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>im4a.2<

¿Él toma una posición y cumple con ella?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a George Bush?

      SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....1 @
      NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....5

      NO SE.....8
      NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot1d< [if random1 eq <5> goto imb]

>im5a<

He is willing to listen to different points of view?

Does this statement apply to George Bush?

      YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO BUSH.....1 @
      NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH.....5

      DO NOT NOW.....8
      REFUSED.....9
[ @] <1> YES, APPLIES TO BUSH <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>im5a.2<

¿Él está dispuesto a escuchar a diferentes puntos de vista?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a George Bush?

      SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....1 @
      NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....5

      NO SE.....8
      NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9
>rot1e< [if random1 eq <6> goto imb]

```

>im6a<

He shares your values?

Does this statement apply to George Bush?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO BUSH.....1 @

NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO BUSH <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH

<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>im6a.2<

¿Él comparte sus valores?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a George Bush?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....1 @

NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....5

NO SE.....8

NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot1f< [if random1 ge <2> goto im1a]

>imb<

Next, I would like to read you the same statements and have you tell me if they apply to John Kerry.

[yellow]IWER: BECAUSE THESE QUESTIONS ARE ROTATED, YOU CAN AT YOUR JUDGEMENT DETERMINE IF "Does this statement apply . . . NEEDS TO BE REPEATED WITH EACH QUESTION[n]

[nodata] @

>imb.2<

A continuación, le voy a leer algunas declaraciones y me gustaría que usted me dijera si son aplicables a John Kerry.

[yellow]IWER: BECAUSE THESE QUESTIONS ARE ROTATED, YOU CAN AT YOUR JUDGEMENT DETERMINE IF "Does this statement apply . . . NEEDS TO BE REPEATED WITH EACH QUESTION[n]

@

>rotate3< [if random1 eq <1> goto im1b]  
[if random1 eq <2> goto im2b]  
[if random1 eq <3> goto im3b]  
[if random1 eq <4> goto im4b]  
[if random1 eq <5> goto im5b]

```

[if random1 eq <6> goto im6b]

>im1b<

He is honest and trustworthy?

Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO KERRY.....1 @
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8
REFUSED.....9
[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO KERRY <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>im1b.2<

¿Él es honesto y digno de confianza?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a John Kerry?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A KERRY.....1 @
NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A KERRY..5

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot2a< [if random1 eq <2> goto cil]

>im2b<

He understands the problems of people like you?

Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO KERRY.....1 @
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8
REFUSED.....9
[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO KERRY <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>im2b.2<

¿Él entiende los problemas de la gente como usted?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a John Kerry?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A KERRY.....1 @
NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A KERRY..5

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot2b< [if random1 eq <3> goto cil]

```

>im3b<

He is a strong leader?

Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO KERRY.....1 @  
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO KERRY <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>im3b.2<

¿Él es un líder fuerte?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a John Kerry?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A KERRY.....1 @  
NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A KERRY..5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot2c< [if random1 eq <4> goto cil]

>im4b<

He takes a position and sticks with it?

Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO KERRY.....1 @  
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO KERRY <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>im4b.2<

¿Él toma una posición y cumple con ella?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a John Kerry?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A KERRY.....1 @  
NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A KERRY..5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot2d< [if random1 eq <5> goto cil]

>im5b<

He is willing to listen to different points of view?

Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO KERRY.....1 @

NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO KERRY <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY

<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>im5b.2<

¿Él está dispuesto a escuchar a diferentes puntos de vista?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a John Kerry?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A KERRY.....1 @

NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A KERRY..5

NO SE.....8

NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot2e< [if random1 eq <6> goto cil]

>im6b<

He shares your values?

Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO KERRY.....1 @

NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO KERRY <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY

<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>im6b.2<

¿Él comparte sus valores?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a John Kerry?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A KERRY.....1 @

NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A KERRY..5

NO SE.....8

NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot2f< [if random1 ge <2> goto im1b]  
[goto cil]

>v2im1<

Next, I have some questions about the upcoming 2004 presidential election and the candidates, George Bush and John Kerry.

I am going to read you some statements and I would like you to tell me if you think the statement applies to John Kerry.

[yellow]IWER: BECAUSE THESE QUESTIONS ARE ROTATED, YOU CAN AT YOUR JUDGEMENT DETERMINE IF "Does this statement apply . . . NEEDS TO BE REPEATED WITH EACH QUESTION[n]

[nodata]@

>v2im1.2<

A continuación, le tengo unas preguntas acerca de la elección presidencial del año 2004.

Le voy a leer unas declaraciones y me gustaría que usted me dijera si cree que la declaración es aplicable a John Kerry.

[yellow]IWER: BECAUSE THESE QUESTIONS ARE ROTATED, YOU CAN AT YOUR JUDGEMENT DETERMINE IF "Does this statement apply . . . NEEDS TO BE REPEATED WITH EACH QUESTION[n]

@

>v2rotate3< [if random1 eq <1> goto v2im1b]  
[if random1 eq <2> goto v2im2b]  
[if random1 eq <3> goto v2im3b]  
[if random1 eq <4> goto v2im4b]  
[if random1 eq <5> goto v2im5b]  
[if random1 eq <6> goto v2im6b]

>v2im1b< [equiv im1b]

He is honest and trustworthy?

Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO KERRY.....1 @  
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO KERRY <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>v2im1b.2<

¿Él es honesto y digno de confianza?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a John Kerry?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A KERRY.....1 @  
NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A KERRY..5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>v2rot2a< [if random1 eq <2> goto v2imb]

>v2im2b< [equiv im2b]

He understands the problems of people like you?

Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO KERRY.....1 @  
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO KERRY <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>v2im2b.2<

¿Él entiende los problemas de la gente como usted?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a John Kerry?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A KERRY.....1 @  
NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A KERRY..5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>v2rot2b< [if random1 eq <3> goto v2imb]

>v2im3b< [equiv im3b]

He is a strong leader?

Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO KERRY.....1 @  
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO KERRY <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>v2im3b.2<

¿Él es un líder fuerte?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a John Kerry?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A KERRY.....1 @  
NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A KERRY..5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>v2rot2c< [if random1 eq <4> goto v2imb]

>v2im4b< [equiv im4b]

He takes a position and sticks with it?

Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO KERRY.....1 @  
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO KERRY <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>v2im4b.2<

¿Él toma una posición y cumple con ella?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a John Kerry?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A KERRY.....1 @  
NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A KERRY..5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>v2rot2d< [if random1 eq <5> goto v2imb]

>v2im5b< [equiv im5b]

He is willing to listen to different points of view?

Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO KERRY.....1 @  
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO KERRY <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>v2im5b.2<

¿Él está dispuesto a escuchar a diferentes puntos de vista?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a John Kerry?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A KERRY.....1 @



```

NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A KERRY..5

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>v2rot2e< [if random1 eq <6> goto v2imb]

>v2im6b< [equiv im6b]

He shares your values?

Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO KERRY.....1 @
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8
REFUSED.....9
[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO KERRY <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>v2im6b.2<

¿El comparte sus valores?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a John Kerry?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A KERRY.....1 @
NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A KERRY..5

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>v2rot2f< [if random1 ge <2> goto v2im1b]

>v2imb<

Next, I would like to read you the same statements and have you tell me
if they apply to George Bush.

[yellow]IWER: BECAUSE THESE QUESTIONS ARE ROTATED, YOU CAN AT YOUR
JUDGEMENT DETERMINE IF "Does this statement apply . . .
NEEDS TO BE REPEATED WITH EACH QUESTION[n]

[nodata] @

>v2imb.2<

A continuación, le voy a leer algunas declaraciones y
me gustaría que usted me dijera si son aplicables a George Bush.

[yellow]IWER: BECAUSE THESE QUESTIONS ARE ROTATED, YOU CAN AT YOUR
JUDGEMENT DETERMINE IF "Does this statement apply . . .
NEEDS TO BE REPEATED WITH EACH QUESTION[n]

```

```

@
>v2rotate2< [if random1 eq <1> goto v2im1a]
    [if random1 eq <2> goto v2im2a]
    [if random1 eq <3> goto v2im3a]
    [if random1 eq <4> goto v2im4a]
    [if random1 eq <5> goto v2im5a]
    [if random1 eq <6> goto v2im6a]

>v2im1a< [equiv im1a]

    He is honest and trustworthy?

    Does this statement apply to George Bush?

        YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO BUSH.....1 @
        NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH.....5

            DO NOT NOW.....8
            REFUSED.....9
        [@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO BUSH <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH
        <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>v2im1a.2<

    ¿Él es honesto y digno de confianza?

    ¿Es esta declaración aplicable a George Bush?

        SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....1 @
        NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A BUSH...5

            NO SE.....8
            NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>v2rot1a< [if random1 eq <2> goto cil]

>v2im2a< [equiv im2a]

    He understands the problems of people like you?

    Does this statement apply to George Bush?

        YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO BUSH.....1 @
        NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH.....5

            DO NOT NOW.....8
            REFUSED.....9
        [@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO BUSH <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH
        <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>v2im2a.2<

    ¿Él entiende los problemas de la gente como usted?

    ¿Es esta declaración aplicable a George Bush?

```

```

    SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....1 @
    NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A BUSH....5

        NO SE.....8
        NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>v2rot1b< [if random1 eq <3> goto cil]

>v2im3a< [equiv im3a]

    He is a strong leader?

    Does this statement apply to George Bush?

        YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO BUSH.....1 @
        NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH.....5

            DO NOT NOW.....8
            REFUSED.....9
        [@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO BUSH <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH
        <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>v2im3a.2<

    ¿Él es un líder fuerte?

    ¿Es esta declaración aplicable a George Bush?

        SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....1 @
        NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A BUSH....5

            NO SE.....8
            NEGAR A CONTESTAR.....9

>v2rot1c< [if random1 eq <4> goto cil]

>v2im4a< [equiv im4a]

    He takes a position and sticks with it?

    Does this statement apply to George Bush?

        YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO BUSH.....1 @
        NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH.....5

            DO NOT NOW.....8
            REFUSED.....9
        [@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO BUSH <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH
        <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>v2im4a.2<

    ¿Él toma una posición y cumple con ella?

    ¿Es esta declaración aplicable a George Bush?

        SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....1 @

```

NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A BUSH....5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>v2rot1d< [if random1 eq <5> goto cil]

>v2im5a< [equiv im5a]

He is willing to listen to different points of view?

Does this statement apply to George Bush?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO BUSH.....1 @  
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO BUSH <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>v2im5a.2<

¿Él está dispuesto a escuchar a diferentes puntos de vista?

(¿Es esta declaración aplicable a George Bush?)

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....1 @  
NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>v2rot1e< [if random1 eq <6> goto cil]

>v2im6a< [equiv im6a]

He shares your values?

Does this statement apply to George Bush?

YES, STATEMENT APPLIES TO BUSH.....1 @  
NO, STATEMENT DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH.....5

DO NOT NOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, APPLIES TO BUSH <5> NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]

>v2im6a.2<

¿Él comparte sus valores?

¿Es esta declaración aplicable a George Bush?

SI, LA DECLARACION ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....1 @  
NO, LA DECLARACION NO ES APLICABLE A BUSH.....5

```

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>v2rot1f< [if random1 ge <2> goto v2im1a]

>cil<
  I would like to read you several policy areas and have you tell
  me which candidate, George Bush or John Kerry, you think can do a better
  job in that policy area.

[yellow]IWER:  BECAUSE THESE QUESTIONS ARE ROTATED, YOU CAN AT YOUR
                JUDGEMENT DETERMINE IF "Which candiate do you think can do ."
                NEEDS TO BE REPEATED WITH EACH QUESTION[n]

  [nodata] @

>cil.2<

  Me gustaría leerle varias áreas de leyes y que usted me diga cual
  candidato, George Bush o John Kerry, cree usted que puede hacer un
  mejor trabajo en esa área de leyes.

[yellow]IWER:  BECAUSE THESE QUESTIONS ARE ROTATED, YOU CAN AT YOUR
                JUDGEMENT DETERMINE IF "Which candiate do you think can do ."
                NEEDS TO BE REPEATED WITH EACH QUESTION[n]

  @

>rotate4< [if random2 eq <1>][goto cila][endif]
           [if random2 eq <2>][goto cilb][endif]
           [if random2 eq <3>][goto cilc][endif]
           [if random2 eq <4>][goto cild][endif]
           [if random2 eq <5>][goto cile][endif]
           [if random2 eq <6>][goto cilf][endif]
           [if random2 eq <7>][goto cilg][endif]
           [if random2 ge <8>][goto cilh][endif]

>cila< [equiv im6b position 2]

  Which candidate do you think can do a better job of . . .

  Protecting America from terrorism on our own soil?

  [if random eq <1>]
  George Bush or John Kerry?

      GEORGE BUSH.....b
      JOHN KERRY.....k
  [endif]
  [if random eq <2>]
  John Kerry or George Bush?

      JOHN KERRY.....k
      GEORGE BUSH.....b

```

```

[endif]

    BOTH EQUALLY.....5  @

    NEITHER.....6
    SOMEONE ELSE.....7

    DO NOT KNOW.....8
    REFUSED.....9

    [@] <b> GEORGE BUSH  <k> JOHN KERRY  <5> BOTH EQUALLY <6> NEITHER
    <7> SOMEONE ELSE
    <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing]  <9> REFUSED [missing]

>cila.2<

¿Cuál candidato cree usted que puede hacer un mejor trabajo
de . . .

Proteger América del terrorismo sobre nuestro suelo?

[if random eq <1>]
¿George Bush o John Kerry?

    GEORGE BUSH.....b
    JOHN KERRY.....k
[endif]
[if random eq <2>]
¿John Kerry o George Bush?

    JOHN KERRY.....k
    GEORGE BUSH.....b
[endif]

    LOS DOS IGUALMENTE.....5 @
    NINGUNO DE LOS.....6
    ALGUNA OTRA PERSONA.....7

    NO SE.....8
    NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot1< [if random2 eq <2> goto vil]

>cilb<

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of . . . .

Conducting America's foreign policy?

[if random eq <1>]
George Bush or John Kerry?

    GEORGE BUSH.....b
    JOHN KERRY.....k
[endif]
[if random eq <2>]
John Kerry or George Bush?

```

```

        JOHN KERRY.....k
        GEORGE BUSH.....b
[endif]

        BOTH EQUALLY.....5  @
        NEITHER.....6
        SOMEONE ELSE.....7

        DO NOT KNOW.....8
        REFUSED.....9

        [@] <b> GEORGE BUSH  <k> JOHN KERRY  <5> BOTH EQUALLY <6> NEITHER
        <7> SOMEONE ELSE
        <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing]  <9> REFUSED [missing]

>cilb.2<

¿Cuál candidato cree usted que puede hacer un mejor trabajo
de . . .

Conducir las leyes Americanas en el extranjero?

[if random eq <1>]
¿George Bush o John Kerry?

        GEORGE BUSH.....b
        JOHN KERRY.....k
[endif]
[if random eq <2>]
¿John Kerry o George Bush?

        JOHN KERRY.....k
        GEORGE BUSH.....b
[endif]
        LOS DOS IGUALMENTE.....5 @
        NINGUNO DE LOS.....6
        ALGUNA OTRA PERSONA.....7

        NO SE.....8
        NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot2<  [if random2 eq <3>][goto vil][endif]

>cilc<

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of . . . .

Managing the economy?

[if random eq <1>]
George Bush or John Kerry?

        GEORGE BUSH.....b
        JOHN KERRY.....k
[endif]

```

```

[if random eq <2>]
John Kerry or George Bush?

    JOHN KERRY.....k
    GEORGE BUSH.....b
[endif]

    BOTH EQUALLY.....5  @
    NEITHER.....6
    SOMEONE ELSE.....7

    DO NOT KNOW.....8
    REFUSED.....9

[@] <b> GEORGE BUSH  <k> JOHN KERRY  <5> BOTH EQUALLY <6> NEITHER
<7> SOMEONE ELSE
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing]  <9> REFUSED [missing]

>cilc.2<

¿Cuál candidato cree usted que puede hacer un mejor trabajo
de.....

Manejar la economía?

[if random eq <1>]
¿George Bush o John Kerry?

    GEORGE BUSH.....b
    JOHN KERRY.....k
[endif]
[if random eq <2>]
¿John Kerry o George Bush?

    JOHN KERRY.....k
    GEORGE BUSH.....b
[endif]

    LOS DOS IGUALMENTE.....5 @
    NINGUNO DE LOS.....6
    ALGUNA OTRA PERSONA.....7

    NO SE.....8
    NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot3<  [if random2 eq <4> goto vil]

>cild<

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of . . . .

Protecting your civil liberties?

[if random eq <1>]
George Bush or John Kerry?

    GEORGE BUSH.....b

```



```

        JOHN KERRY.....k
[endif]
[if random eq <2>]

John Kerry or George Bush?

        JOHN KERRY.....k
        GEORGE BUSH.....b
[endif]

        BOTH EQUALLY.....5  @
        NEITHER.....6
        SOMEONE ELSE.....7

        DO NOT KNOW.....8
        REFUSED.....9

[ @] <b> GEORGE BUSH  <k> JOHN KERRY  <5> BOTH EQUALLY <6> NEITHER
<7> SOMEONE ELSE
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing]  <9> REFUSED [missing]

>cild.2<

¿Cuál candidato cree usted que puede hacer un mejor trabajo
de . . .

Proteger sus libertades civiles?

[if random eq <1>]
¿George Bush o John Kerry?

        GEORGE BUSH.....b
        JOHN KERRY.....k
[endif]
[if random eq <2>]
¿John Kerry o George Bush?

        JOHN KERRY.....k
        GEORGE BUSH.....b
[endif]

        LOS DOS IGUALMENTE.....5 @
        NINGUNO DE LOS.....6
        ALGUNA OTRA PERSONA.....7

        NO SE.....8
        NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot4< [if random2 eq <5>][goto vi1][endif]

>cile<

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of . . . .

Protecting the environment?

[if random eq <1>]

```

George Bush or John Kerry?

GEORGE BUSH.....b  
JOHN KERRY.....k

[endif]

[if random eq <2>]

John Kerry or George Bush?

JOHN KERRY.....k  
GEORGE BUSH.....b

[endif]

BOTH EQUALLY.....5 @  
NEITHER.....6  
SOMEONE ELSE.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <b> GEORGE BUSH <k> JOHN KERRY <5> BOTH EQUALLY <6> NEITHER  
<7> SOMEONE ELSE  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>cile.2<

¿Cuál candidato cree usted que puede hacer un mejor trabajo  
de . . .

Proteger el medio ambiente?

[if random eq <1>]

¿George Bush o John Kerry?

GEORGE BUSH.....b  
JOHN KERRY.....k

[endif]

[if random eq <2>]

¿John Kerry o George Bush?

JOHN KERRY.....k  
GEORGE BUSH.....b

[endif]

LOS DOS IGUALMENTE.....5 @  
NINGUNO DE LOS.....6  
ALGUNA OTRA PERSONA.....7

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot5< [if random2 eq <6>][goto vi1][endif]

>cilf<

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of . . . .

Being a strong leader in time of crisis?

```

[if random eq <1>]
George Bush or John Kerry?

    GEORGE BUSH.....b
    JOHN KERRY.....k
[endif]
[if random eq <2>]
John Kerry or George Bush?

    JOHN KERRY.....k
    GEORGE BUSH.....b
[endif]

    BOTH EQUALLY.....5  @
    NEITHER.....6
    SOMEONE ELSE.....7

    DO NOT KNOW.....8
    REFUSED.....9

[ @] <b> GEORGE BUSH  <k> JOHN KERRY  <5> BOTH EQUALLY <6> NEITHER
<7> SOMEONE ELSE
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing]  <9> REFUSED [missing]

>cilf.2<

¿Cuál candidato cree usted que puede hacer un mejor trabajo
de . . .

Ser un líder fuerte en tiempo de crisis?

[if random eq <1>]
¿George Bush o John Kerry?

    GEORGE BUSH.....b
    JOHN KERRY.....k
[endif]
[if random eq <2>]
¿John Kerry o George Bush?

    JOHN KERRY.....k
    GEORGE BUSH.....b
[endif]

    LOS DOS IGUALMENTE.....5 @
    NINGUNO DE LOS.....6
    ALGUNA OTRA PERSONA.....7

    NO SE.....8
    NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot6< [if random2 ge <7> goto vil]

>cilg<

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of . . .

```

Reducing unemployment and creating more jobs

[if random eq <1>]

George Bush or John Kerry?

GEORGE BUSH.....b

JOHN KERRY.....k

[endif]

[if random eq <2>]

John Kerry or George Bush?

JOHN KERRY.....k

GEORGE BUSH.....b

[endif]

BOTH EQUALLY.....5 @

NEITHER.....6

SOMEONE ELSE.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@] <b> GEORGE BUSH <k> JOHN KERRY <5> BOTH EQUALLY <6> NEITHER

<7> SOMEONE ELSE

<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>cilg.2<

¿Cuál candidato cree usted que puede hacer un mejor trabajo  
de . . .

Reducir el desempleo y crear más empleos?

[if random eq <1>]

¿George Bush o John Kerry?

GEORGE BUSH.....b

JOHN KERRY.....k

[endif]

[if random eq <2>]

¿John Kerry o George Bush?

JOHN KERRY.....k

GEORGE BUSH.....b

[endif]

LOS DOS IGUALMENTE.....5 @

NINGUNO DE LOS.....6

ALGUNA OTRA PERSONA.....7

NO SE.....8

NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>rot7< [if random2 ge <8> goto vil]

>cilh<

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of . . .

Dealing with the situation in Iraq?

[if random eq <1>]

George Bush or John Kerry?

GEORGE BUSH.....b

JOHN KERRY.....k

[endif]

[if random eq <2>]

John Kerry or George Bush?

JOHN KERRY.....k

GEORGE BUSH.....b

[endif]

BOTH EQUALLY.....5 @

NEITHER.....6

SOMEONE ELSE.....7

DO NOT KNOW.....8

REFUSED.....9

[@] <b> GEORGE BUSH <k> JOHN KERRY <5> BOTH EQUALLY <6> NEITHER

<7> SOMEONE ELSE

<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]

>cilh.2<

¿Cuál candidato cree usted que puede hacer un mejor trabajo  
de . . .

Manejar la situación en Iraq?

[if random eq <1>]

¿George Bush o John Kerry?

GEORGE BUSH.....b

JOHN KERRY.....k

[endif]

[if random eq <2>]

¿John Kerry o George Bush?

JOHN KERRY.....k

GEORGE BUSH.....b

[endif]

LOS DOS IGUALMENTE.....5 @

NINGUNO DE LOS.....6

ALGUNA OTRA PERSONA.....7

NO SE.....8

NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

```
>rot8< [if random2 ge <2> goto cila]
```

```
>vil< [goto CD7][define <b><1>][define <k><2>][define <n><5>]
```

```
[if random eq <2>]
```

```
If the presidential election were held today, who would you vote for --  
George Bush, John Kerry, or someone else?
```

```
GEORGE W. BUSH.....b
```

```
JOHN KERRY.....k
```

```
[endif]
```

```
[if random eq <1>]
```

```
If the presidential election were held today, who would you vote for --  
John Kerry, George Bush, or someone else?
```

```
JOHN KERRY.....k
```

```
GEORGE W. BUSH.....b
```

```
[endif]
```

```
RALPH NADER.....n @
```

```
SOMEONE ELSE.....0
```

```
DON'T PLAN TO VOTE.....7
```

```
DO NOT KNOW.....8
```

```
REFUSED.....9
```

```
[@] <b> GEORGE BUSH[goto vilflupa] <k> JOHN KERRY[goto vilflupa] <n> RALPH  
NADER[goto vilflupa]
```

```
<0> SOMEONE ELSE[goto vilflupa]
```

```
<7> DO NOT PLAN TO VOTE [goto CD7]
```

```
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing][goto vilflupb] <9> REFUSED [missing][goto  
vilflupb]
```

```
>vil.2<
```

```
[if random eq <2>]
```

```
¿Si la elección presidencial se hiciera hoy, por quién votaría usted --  
George Bush, John Kerry, o alguna otra persona?
```

```
GEORGE W. BUSH.....b
```

```
JOHN KERRY.....k
```

```
[endif]
```

```
[if random eq <1>]
```

```
¿Si la elección presidencial se hiciera hoy, por quien votaría usted --  
John Kerry, George Bush, o alguna otra persona?
```

```
GEORGE W. BUSH.....b
```

```
JOHN KERRY.....k
```

```

[endif]

    RALPH NADER.....n  @
    ALGUNA OTRA PERSONA.....0

    NO TENGO PLANES EN VOTAR.....7
    NO SE.....8
    NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>vilflupa<

How likely is it that you might change your mind about who you're
going to vote for before Election Day in November?

Would you say it is very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely,
or very unlikely?

    VERY LIKELY.....1 @
    SOMEWHAT LIKELY.....2
    SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY.....3
    VERY UNLIKELY.....4

    DO NOT KNOW.....8
    REFUSED.....9

[ @] <1> VERY LIKELY <2> SOMEWHAT LIKELY <3> SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY <4> VERY
UNLIKELY
    <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]
    [default goto CD7]

>vilflupa.2<

¿Qué probable es que usted cambiará de opinión por quien votará
antes del día de la elección en noviembre?

¿Diría usted muy probable, un poco probable, un poco
improbable, o muy improbable?

    MUY PROBABLE.....1 @
    UN POCO PROBABLE.....2
    UN POCO IMPROBABLE.....3
    MUY IMPROBABLE.....4

    NO SE.....8
    NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>vilflupb<

[if random eq <1>]
As of [green]today[n], do you [green]lean[n] more toward Bush, more
towards Kerry, or more toward someone else?

    GEORGE BUSH.....b
    JOHN KERRY.....k

[endif]

```

```
[if random eq <2>]
As of [green]today[n], do you [green]lean[n] more toward Kerry, more
towards Bush, or more toward someone else?
```

```
JOHN KERRY.....k
GEORGE BUSH.....b
[endif]
```

```
RALPH NADER.....n @
SOMEONE ELSE.....0
```

```
DON'T PLAN TO VOTE.....7
DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9
```

```
[@] <b> GEORGE BUSH <k> JOHN KERRY    <n> RALPH NADER
    <0> SOMEONE ELSE
    <7> DO NOT PLAN TO VOTE
    <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]
```

>vilflupb.2<

```
[if random eq <2>]
¿En el día de hoy, se inclina usted más hacia Bush, más hacia Kerry, o
más hacia alguna otra persona?
```

```
GEORGE BUSH.....b
JOHN KERRY.....k
[endif]
[if random eq <1>]
```

```
¿Al día presente, se inclina usted más hacia Kerry, más hacia Bush, o más
hacia alguna otra persona?
```

```
JOHN KERRY.....k
GEORGE BUSH.....b
[endif]
```

```
RALPH NADER.....n @
ALGUNA OTRA PERSONA.....0
```

```
NO TENGO PLANES EN VOTAR.....7
NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9
```

```
>CD7< [settime elect2][settime core3]
Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a
Democrat, an Independent or something else?
```

```
REPUBLICAN.....1
INDEPENDENT.....4
DEMOCRAT.....7
```

```
ANOTHER PARTY, THIRD PARTY, ETC....0 @a
```

```
DO NOT KNOW.....8
```



```

REFUSED.....9

[if CD7@a eq <1>]
Would you call yourself a strong Republican or not a very strong
Republican?

STRONG REPUBLICAN.....1
NOT A VERY STRONG REPUBLICAN.....2 @b

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9
[endif]

[if CD7@a eq <7>]
Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or not a very strong
Democrat?

STRONG DEMOCRAT.....7
NOT A VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT.....6 @c

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9

[endif]
[if CD7@a eq <4>]

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the Democratic Party
or the Republican Party?

REPUBLICAN.....3
NEITHER (R PROVIDED).....4
DEMOCRAT.....5 @d

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9
[endif]

[@a]<1> REPUBLICAN <4> INDEPENDENT <7> DEMOCRAT <0>[specify] <8> DO NOT
KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]
[@b]<1> STRONGLY REPUBLICAN <2> NOT VERY STRONG REPUBLICAN <8> DO NOT
KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing][default goto partyid]
[@c]<6> NOT VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT <7> STRONG DEMOCRAT <8> DO NOT KNOW
[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing][default goto partyid]
[@d]<3> REPUBLICAN <4> NEITHER <5> DEMOCRAT <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing]
<9> REFUSED[missing][default goto partyid]

>CD7.2<

¿En general, se considera usted un(a) Republicano(a), un(a) Demócrata, un(a)
Independiente, o algún otro?

REPUBLICANO (A) .....1 @a
INDEPENDIENTE.....4
DEMOCRATA.....7

OTRO PARTIDO, PARTIDO TERCERO, ETC..0

```

```

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

[if CD7@a eq <1>]

¿Se considera usted un(a) fuerte Republicano(a), o un(a) Republicano(a) no
muy fuerte?

FUERTE REPUBLICANO(A).....1 @b
NO UN FUERTE REPUBLICANO(A).....2
[endif]
[if CD7@a eq <7>]

¿Se considera usted un(a) fuerte Demócrata, o un(a) Demócrata no muy fuerte?

FUERTE DEMOCRATA.....7 @c
NO MUY FUERTE DEMOCRATA.....6
[endif]
[if CD7@a eq <4>]

¿Generalmente se considera usted más cercano(a) al partido Demócrata,
o al Partido Republicano?

REPUBLICANO.....3 @d
NINGUNO.....4
DEMOCRATA.....5
[endif]

>partyid< [allow 1]
[if CD7@b eq <1>][store <1> in partyid][endif] 1 strong republican
[if CD7@b eq <2>][store <2> in partyid][endif] 2 not strong rep
[if CD7@a eq <8>][store <8> in partyid][endif] 3 lean republican
[if CD7@a eq <9>][store <9> in partyid][endif] 4 neither
[if CD7@c eq <6>][store <6> in partyid][endif] 5 lean democrat
[if CD7@c eq <7>][store <7> in partyid][endif] 6 not strong dem
[if CD7@d eq <3>][store <3> in partyid][endif] 7 strong democrat
[if CD7@d eq <4>][store <4> in partyid][endif]
[if CD7@d eq <5>][store <5> in partyid][endif]
[if CD7@a eq <0>][store <0> in partyid][endif]

>P17<
Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a conservative, a
moderate, or a liberal?

CONSERVATIVE.....1
MODERATE.....4
LIBERAL.....7 @a

NEITHER-NONE.....0

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9

[if P17@a eq <1>]

```

Would you consider yourself very conservative or somewhat conservative?

VERY CONSERVATIVE.....1  
SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE.....2 @b

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9  
[endif]  
[if P17@a eq <7>]

Would you consider yourself to be very liberal or somewhat liberal?

VERY LIBERAL.....7  
SOMEWHAT LIBERAL.....6 @c

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9  
[endif]

[if P17@a eq <4>]

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the conservative side or the liberal side?

CLOSER TO THE CONSERVATIVE.....3  
IN THE MIDDLE.....4  
CLOSER TO THE LIBERAL SIDE.....5 @d

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9  
[endif]

[@a]<1>CONSERVATIVE <4> NEITHER <7> LIBERAL <0>[specify][goto ideology]  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing]  
[<1> VERY CONSERVATIVE <2>SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE <8> DO NOT KNOW  
[missing] <9> REFUSED[missing][default goto ideology]  
[<6> SOMEWHAT LIBERAL <7> VERY LIBERAL <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>  
REFUSED[missing][default goto ideology]  
[<3> CLOSER CONSERVATIVE <4> IN THE MIDDLE <5> CLOSER LIBERAL <8> DO  
NOT KNOW [missing] <9> REFUSED[missing][default goto ideology]

>P17.2<

¿Generalmente, se considera usted un(a) conservador(a), un(a) moderado(a),  
o un(a) liberal?

CONSERVADOR(A) .....1 @a  
MODERADO(A) .....4  
LIBERAL.....7

NINGUNO.....0

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

[if P17@a eq <1>]

¿Se considera usted muy conservador(a), o un poco conservador(a)?

```

MUY CONSERVADOR(A) .....1    @b
UN POCO CONSERVADOR(A) .....2

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9
[endif]
[if P17@a eq <7>]

¿Se considera muy liberal, o un poco liberal?

MUY LIBERAL.....7    @c
UN POCO LIBERAL.....6

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9
[endif]
[if P17@a eq <4>]

¿Generalmente, es usted más cercano(a) al lado conservativo o al
lado liberal?

CERCANO(A) AL LADO CONSERVATIVO....3 @d
EN EL MEDIO.....4
CERCANO(A) AL LADO LIBERAL.....5
[endif]

>ideology<  [allow 1]
[if P17@b eq <1>][store <1> in ideology][endif] 1 very conservative
[if P17@b eq <2>][store <2> in ideology][endif] 2 somewhat conservative
[if P17@a eq <8>][store <8> in ideology][endif] 3 lean conservative
[if P17@a eq <9>][store <9> in ideology][endif] 4 middle
[if P17@c eq <6>][store <6> in ideology][endif] 5 lean liberal
[if P17@c eq <7>][store <7> in ideology][endif] 6 somewhat liberal
[if P17@d eq <3>][store <3> in ideology][endif] 7 very liberal
[if P17@d eq <4>][store <4> in ideology][endif]
[if P17@d eq <5>][store <5> in ideology][endif]

>X1<

Would you say you live in a rural community, a small city or town,
a suburb, or an urban community?

RURAL COMMUNITY.....1
SMALL CITY OR TOWN, VILLAGE.....2
A SUBURB.....3
URBAN COMMUNITY.....4 @

OTHER: SPECIFY.....0

DO NOT KNOW.....98
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....99

[yellow]FOR A DEFINITION OF COMMUNITY, HIT 'h'[n]
[@] <1> RURAL COMMUNITY <2> SMALL CITY OR TOWN, VILLAGE
<3> A SUBURB <4> URBAN COMMUNITY <0>[specify]
<98> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <99>[missing] REFUSED

```

<h>[etc <h>][help defcomm]

>X1.2<

¿Diría usted que vive en una comunidad rural, una ciudad pequeña o pueblo, un suburbio, o una comunidad urbana?

COMUNIDAD RURAL.....1 @  
CIUDAD PEQUEÑA O PUEBLO, ALDEA....2  
UN SUBURBIO.....3  
COMUNIDAD URBANA.....4

OTRO ESPECIFICO.....0

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CD10< [goto fixCD10]

Including yourself, how many individuals who are 18 years of age or older live in your household?

ADULTS.....1-10 @

DON'T KNOW.....98  
REFUSED.....99

[@]<1-10> ADULT

<98> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <99>[missing] REFUSED

>CD10.2<

¿Incluyéndose a si mismo(a), cuántas personas mayores de dieciocho años de edad residen en su hogar?

ADULTOS.....1-10 @

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>fixCD10< [store adult in CD10]

>CD15<

We are interested in learning about the different ways people may earn their living. Last week, were you working full-time, part-time, going to school, a home-maker or something else?

WORK FULL TIME, SELF EMPLOYED FULL TIME.....1  
WORK PART TIME, SELF EMPLOYED FULL TIME.....2  
WORK AND GO TO SCHOOL.....3  
IN THE ARMED FORCES.....4  
HAVE A JOB, BUT NOT AT WORK LAST WEEK.....5  
UNEMPLOYED, LAID OFF, LOOK FOR WORK.....6  
RETIRED.....7  
SCHOOL FULL TIME.....8  
HOME-MAKER.....9  
DISABLED.....10  
SOMETHING ELSE (SPECIFY).....0 @

DON'T KNOW.....98  
 REFUSED.....99

[@] <0> [specify] <1> WORK FULL TIME <2> WORK PART TIME <3> WORK AND GO  
 TO SCHOOL  
 <4> IN THE ARMED FORCES <5> JOB, DID NOT WORK LAST WEEK <6>  
 UNEMPLOYED  
 <7> RETIRED <8> SCHOOL FULL-TIME <9> HOMEMAKER <10> DISABLED  
 <98> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <99> REFUSED [missing]

>CD15.2<

Estámos interesados en aprender las diferentes maneras como la  
 gente se gana la vida. ¿La semana pasada, estaba usted trabajando  
 tiempo completo, parte del tiempo, llendo a la escuela, una ama de  
 casa u otra cosa?

TRABAJO TIEMPO COMPLETO, EMPLEADO DE SI MISMO TIEMPO COMPLETO...1 @  
 PARTE DEL TIEMPO, EMPLEADO DE SI MISMO TIEMPO COMPLETO.....2  
 TRABAJO Y VOY A LA ESCUELA.....3  
 EN LAS FUERZAS ARMADAS.....4  
 TENGO UN TRABAJO, PERO NO FUI LA SEMANA PASADA.....5  
 SIN TRABAJO, DESEMPLEADO, BUSCANDO TRABAJO.....6  
 RETIRADO.....7  
 EN LA ESCUELA TIEMPO COMPLETO.....8  
 AMA DE CASA.....9  
 INCAPACITADO.....10  
 OTRA COSA (ESPECIFICO).....0

NO SE.....98  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....99

>milserve<

Have you ever served in the U.S. Armed Forces, military reserves, or  
 National Guard?

[yellow]ARMED FORCES INCLUDE: ARMY, NAVY, AIRFORCE, MARINES, COAST GUARD,  
 EITHER ACTIVE OR RESERVES[n]

YES.....1  
 NO.....5 @

DON'T KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> YES <5> NO  
 <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED

>milserve.2<

¿Ha prestado servicio en las fuerzas armadas de Los Estado Unidos,  
 reservas militares, o guardias nacionales?

DEFINITON: FUERZAS ARMADAS SIGNIFICAN: LA FUEREZA ARMADA, LA FUERZA  
 NAVAL, LA FUERZA AEREA, EL GUARDACOSTAS, COMO ACTIVO O RESERVAS

SI.....1 @  
NO.....5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>CC3<

How would you rate your household's overall financial situation these days?

Would you say it is excellent, good, just fair, not so good, or poor?

EXCELLENT.....1  
GOOD.....2  
JUST FAIR.....3  
NOT SO GOOD.....4  
POOR.....5 @

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED/NO ANSWER.....9

[@]<1> EXCELLENT <2> GOOD <3> JUST FAIR <4> NOT SO GOOD <5> POOR  
<8,9>[missing]

>CC3.2<

¿Cómo calificaría la situación general financiera de su hogar en estos días?

¿Diría usted que es excelente, buena, solamente un poco buena, no muy buena, o pobre?

EXCELENTE.....1 @  
BUENA.....2  
SOLAMENTE UN POCO BUENA.....3  
NO MUY BUENA.....4  
POBRE.....5

NO SE.....8  
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR./NO RESPUESTA...9

>inca<

To get a picture of people's financial situations, we'd like to know the general [green]range of incomes[n] of all households we interview. This is for statistical analysis purposes and your answers will be kept strictly confidential. Now, thinking about your [green]household's[n] total annual income from all sources (including your job), did your household receive \$30,000 or more in 2003?

YES..... 1  
NO.....5 @

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1>YES [goto incd]

```

<5>NO [goto incb]
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto income] <9>[missing][goto income]

```

>inca.2<

Para tener una idea de la situacion financiera de la gente, nos gustaría saber generalmente las ganancias financieras de todas las entradas de los entrevistados. Esto es con propósitos de análisis estadísticos y sus respuestas serán guardadas estrictamente en forma confidencial.

¿Ahora, pensando en todas las entradas anuales de su hogar (incluyendo su trabajo), recibió su hogar \$30,000 (treintamil) dólares o más en el año 2003 (dosmiltres)?

```

SI.....1 @
NO.....5

```

```

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

```

>incb<

Was it less than \$20,000?

```

YES..... 1
NO.....5 @      ($20,000-29,999)

```

```

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9

```

```

[@]<1>YES [goto incc]
<5>NO [goto income]
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto income] <9>[missing][goto income]

```

>incb.2<

¿Fue esto menos de \$20,000 (veinte mil) dólares?

```

SI.....1 @
NO.....5

```

```

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

```

>incc<

Was it less than \$10,000?

```

YES..... 1      (less than $10,000)
NO.....5 @      ($10,000 - 19,999)

```

```

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9

```

```

[@]<1> YES
<5> NOT
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto income] <9>[missing][goto income]

```



```

[default goto income]

>incc.2<

¿Fue esto menos de $10,000 (diezmil) dólares?

SI.....1 @
NO.....5

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>incd<

Was it $60,000? or more?

YES..... 1
NO.....5 @

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> YES [goto incg]
<5> NO [goto ince]
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto income] <9>[missing][goto income]

>incd.2<

¿Fue esto $60,000 (sesentamil) dólares o más?

SI.....1 @
NO.....5

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>ince<

Was it $40,000 or more?

YES..... 1
NO.....5 @ ($30,000-39,999)

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> YES
<5> NO[goto income]
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto income] <9>[missing][goto income]

>ince.2<

¿Fue esto $40,000 (quarentamil) dólares o más?

SI.....1 @
NO.....5

NO SE.....8

```

```

NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>incf<

Was it $50,000 or more?

YES..... 1      ($50,000-59,999)
NO.....5 @     ($40,000-49,999)

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> YES[goto income]
<5> NO[goto income]
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto income] <9>[missing][goto income]

>incf.2<

¿Fue esto $50,000 (cincuentamil) dólares o más?

SI.....1 @
NO.....5

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>incg<

Was it more than $70,000?

YES..... 1      ($70,000 or more
NO.....5 @     ($60,000 - 69,999)

DO NOT KNOW.....8
REFUSED.....9

[@]<1> YES
<5> NO
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto income] <9>[missing][goto income]

>incg.2<

¿Fue esto más de $70,000 (setentamil) dólares?

SI.....1 @
NO.....5

NO SE.....8
NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>income< [allow 1]
[if inca ge <8>][store <9> in income][endif]
[if incb ge <8>][store <9> in income][endif]
[if incc ge <8>][store <9> in income][endif]
[if incd ge <9>][store <9> in income][endif]
[if ince ge <9>][store <9> in income][endif]
[if incf ge <9>][store <9> in income][endif]
[if incg ge <9>][store <9> in income][endif] missing

```

```

[if incc eq <1>][store <1> in income][endif] $10,000 or less
[if incc eq <5>][store <2> in income][endif] $10,000-19,999
[if incb eq <5>][store <3> in income][endif] $20,000-29,999
                                           $30,000-39,999
[if ince eq <5>][store <4> in income][endif] $40,000-49,999
[if incf eq <1>][store <6> in income][endif] $50,000-59,999
[if incf eq <5>][store <5> in income][endif]
[if incg eq <5>][store <7> in income][endif] $60,000-69,999
[if incg eq <1>][store <8> in income][endif] $70,000 or more

```

```

>CD26<[loc 20/1]
    How many [green]different[n] phone numbers does your household have?

```

```

    DIFFERENT PHONE NUMBERS.....1-7 @

```

```

    [@]<1-7> DIFFERENT PHONE NUMBERS
        <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED

```

```

>CD26.2<

```

```

    ¿Cuántos teléfonos con diferentes números se encuentran en su hogar?

```

```

    DIFERENTE NUMEROS TELEFONICOS.....1-7 @

```

```

    NO SE.....8
    NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

```

```

>machine<

```

```

    Does the phone number that I reached you at ([fill AREA]-[fill PRFX]-[fill
    SUFX]) have an answering machine or voice mail attached to it?

```

```

    YES.....1
    NO.....5 @

```

```

    DO NOT KNOW.....8
    REFUSED.....9

```

```

    [@]<1> YES <5> NO
        <8> DO NOT KNOW [missing] <9>[missing] REFUSED

```

```

>machine.2<

```

```

    ¿El teléfono donde le alcance, está conectado a una maquina de contestar o
    a una grabadora de recados?

```

```

    SI.....1 @
    NO.....5

```

```

    NO SE.....8
    NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

```

```

>zipcode< [if zip ne <>][store zip in zipcode][goto raceperc][endif][allow int
5]

```

```

    What is your zip code?

```

(IF R ASKS WHY: We want to know the general area in the State  
 where people live so that we can compare information  
 from residents in different areas of the state.)  
 [yellow]IWER: USE 99998 FOR DON'T KNOW AND 99999 FOR REFUSED[n]

Zip code.....00000-99997 @

[@] <00000-99997> ZIP CODE  
 <99998,99999> [missing]

>zipcode.2<

¿Cuál es su código postal (ZIP code)?

(IF R ASKS WHY) (Si R contesta porque: Queremos saber el área general en  
 el estado donde la gente vive, de esa manera podamos comparar información  
 de residentes en diferentes áreas del estado.)

Código postal (ZIP).....00000-99997 @

[yellow]IWER: USE 99998 FOR DON'T KNOW AND 99999 FOR REFUSED[n]

>RI2<

Sometime next year, we'd like to recontact you again for another  
 interview. That interview would take less time than this one. Would  
 you be willing to participate again sometime next year?

YES..... 1  
 NO.....5 @a

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
 REFUSED.....9

[if RI2@a eq <1>]

Can I get your first name so we know who to ask for when we call back?

R's first name..... @b

[endif]  
 [@a]<1> <5>[goto raceperc] <8,9>[goto raceperc]  
 [@b] [allow 15][goto raceperc]

>RI2.2<

En algún momento del próximo año, nos gustaría contactarle para otra  
 entrevista. Esa entrevista tomará menos tiempo que esta. ¿Estaría  
 usted dispuesto(a) a participar de nuevo si la entrevista es más corta?

SI.....1 @a  
 NO.....5

NO SE.....8  
 NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

```

[if RI2@a eq <1>]

¿Podría obtener su primer nombre, para saber por quien preguntar la próxima vez
que llamo?

      R's primer nombre?.....@b
[endif]

>raceperc<[settime core4]

      Finally, what do you think is my racial background?

[yellow]IWER:  YOU MUST TRY TO GET A RESPONSE - IF THEY SAY DON'T KNOW
YOU MUST PROBE TO GET A RESPONSE [n]

      White or Caucasian.....1 @
      African American or Black.....2
      Arabic or Middle Eastern .....3
      Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander.....4
      Asian or Asian American..... 5
      American Indian or Alaska Native .....6

      DO NOT KNOW.....8
      REFUSED.....9
[@]<1> WHITE OR CAUCASIAN <2> AFRICAN AMERICAN <3> ARABIC/MIDDLE EASTERN
<4> HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER <5> ASIAN AMERICAN <6> AMERICAN INDIAN
      <8> DO NOT KNOW[missing] <9> REFUSED [missing]
[default goto end]

>raceperc.2<

¿Finalmente, cuál cree que es mi antecedente racial?

      Blanco o Caucásico.....1 @
      Americano Africano o Negro.....2
      Arabe o medio-este.....3
      Hawaiano o Isleño de otra Isla del Pacifico.4
      Asiático o Americano Asiático.....5
      Indio Americano o Nativo de Alaska.....6

      NO SE.....8
      NEGARSE A CONTESTAR.....9

>end<
[subtime sectime1 from sectime2 into sectime]
[subtime causel from cause2 into causetime]
[subtime dog1 from dog2 into dogtime]
[subtime at1 from at2 into attime]
[subtime civil1 from civil2 into civiltime]
[subtime core1 from core2 into coretm1]
[subtime core3 from core4 into coretm2]
[subtime elect1 from elect2 into election]
[subtime trust1 from trust2 into trusttime]
[goto MOD7]

```

```
>spanish1< [loc 22/1][allow 60]
```

```
[if RANK eq <oldest female>][store <la persona de género femenino de más edad>
in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <second oldest female>][store <segunda persona de género femenino
más adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <third oldest female>][store <la tercera persona de género femenino
más adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <fourth oldest female>][store <la cuarta persona género femenino
más adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <fifth oldest female>][store <la quinta persona de género femenino
más adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <sixth oldest female>][store <sexta persona de género femenino más
adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <youngest female>][store <la persona género femenino más joven> in
spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <only female>][store <solamente las personas de género femenino> in
spanish1][endif]
```

```
[if RANK eq <oldest male>][store <la persona de género masculino de más edad>
in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <second oldest male>][store <segunda persona de género masculino
más adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <third oldest male>][store <la tercera persona de género masculino
más adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <fourth oldest male>][store <la cuarta persona género masculino más
adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <fifth oldest male>][store <la quinta persona de género masculino
más adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <sixth oldest male>][store <sexta persona de género masculino más
adulta> in spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <youngest male>][store <la persona género masculino más joven> in
spanish1] [endif]
[if RANK eq <only male>][store <solamente las personas de género masculino> in
spanish1] [endif]
[goto mod3]
```

```
>chlang<
```

```
to change language 0=english, 1=spanish, @
```

```
[@] <0,1,2>
```

```
>language1< [allow 8]
>langtsk< [if chlang eq <0>][store <0> in language][store <English> in
language1][endif]
[if chlang eq <1>][store <1> in language][store <Spanish> in
language1][endif]
[goto rt]
```

```
>zout< [allow 1]
```

```
>PE1<
```

Sometimes people who may have been planning to vote on election day were

not able to vote for one reason or another.

Did you vote in the 2004 Presidential election on November 2nd?

YES, DID VOTE.....1 @  
NO, DID NOT VOTE.....3

STILL PLANNING ON VOTING.....5

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> YES, DID VOTE <3> NO DID NOT VOTE[goto im1] <5> STILL PLANNING  
ON VOTING  
<8> DO NOT KNOW [missing][goto rotate5] <9> REFUSED[missing][goto  
rotate5]

>PE2<

[if PE1 eq <1>]  
Did you vote for George Bush, John Kerry, Ralph Nader, or someone else?  
[endif]  
[if PE1 eq <5>]  
Do you plan to vote for George Bush, John Kerry, Ralph Nader, or someone  
else?  
[endif]

GEORGE BUSH.....1 @  
JOHN KERRY.....2

RALPH NADER.....5  
SOMEONE ELSE.....0

DO NOT KNOW.....8  
REFUSED.....9

[@] <1> GEORGE BUSH <2> JOHN KERRY <5> RALPH NADER  
<0> SOMEONE ELSE [specify]  
<8> DO NOT KNOW[missing]<9> REFUSED [missing]  
[default goto rotate5]]