

ICPSR 36371

The Attack on America and Civil Liberties Trade-Offs: A Three-Wave National Panel Survey, 2001-2004

Darren W. Davis

University of Notre Dame. Department of Political Science

Brian D. Silver

Michigan State University. Department of Political Science

Variable Description and Frequencies

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/36371/terms>

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE
WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

ICPSR PROCESSING NOTES FOR #36371

The Attack on America and Civil Liberties Trade-Offs: A Three-Wave National Panel Survey, 2001-2004

1. **Variable Delineation:** The variable names listed in the Data Collection Instrument are not the same names used in the dataset. Variables in the dataset are delineated by "w" and the wave number to which they correspond (e.g. w1, w2, and w3).
2. **Variable Differences:** Several variables such as **DEALIQ**, **W3DOG1R**, **W3PRIDE** and **W1VPROUD** are present in the dataset but are not present in the Data Collection Instrument or the Methodological Report. Similarly, variables such as **CONSENT** and **I2** are present in either the Data Collection Instrument or the Methodological Report but are not present in the dataset. No additional information was provided.
3. **Duplicate Value Label:** The variable **W2X1** contains duplicate labels for values 4 and 5. No additional information was provided.
4. **Undocumented Codes:**
 - a. The variables **W1AGE**, **CD3**, **CATEG5**, and **CATEG6** contain undocumented values which ICPSR has labeled "Undocumented Code". No additional information was provided.
 - b. The data contain several variables such as **AGE1829**, **W3A4049**, and **W3FIPCOM** that do not contain value labels. No additional information was provided.
5. **Collection Date Discrepancy:** The data file contains collection dates that are not consistent with dates stated in Methodological Report for Waves 2 and 3. The Methodological Report for Wave 2 states all surveys were completed by May 28, 2003, however, the data reflects December 6, 2003 as the last collection date for this wave. Similarly, the Methodological Report for Wave 3 states all surveys were completed by November 1, 2004, however, the data reflects November 18, 2004 as being the last collection date for this wave.

Specifications from Waves I, II, and III of Civil Liberties Survey

	Field Dates	N	Samples	Completion Rate	Language of Interview
Wave 1 (W1)	15 Nov. 2001-- 14 Jan. 2002 (median date: Dec. 12, 2001)	1,448	National RDD, Oversamples of Latinos and African Americans ^a	52.4% of RDD Sample (AAPOR RR4)	English
Wave 2 (W2)	31 Jan. 2003-- 28 May 2003 (median date: March 17, 2003)	1,963	W1-W2 Panel: 679 ^a New W2: 1,284, National RDD, Oversamples of Latinos and African Americans ^a	46.9% of 1,448 W1 R's ^b 41.1% of RDD Sample (AAPOR RR4)	English and Spanish
Wave 3 (W3)	20 July 2004-- 5 Nov. 2004 (median date: Sept. 19, 2004)	1,774 ^c	W2-W3 Panel: 811 W1-W2-W3: 342 ^c New W3: 960, National RDD, Oversamples of Latinos and African Americans ^a	41.3% of 1,963 W2 R's ^d 49.4% of RDD Sample (AAPOR RR4)	English and Spanish

^a The data from each wave are weighted to correct for the oversampling of Latinos and African Americans, as well as to adjust for differences by education, age, and sex between the respondents and the US population for the year 2002. In the unweighted data, in Wave 1 the numbers of respondents by race are 891 White (non-Hispanic), 201 Hispanic (not black), 322 African-American, and 34 Other. In wave 2 (including the panel and the new respondents), the numbers are 1,115 White (non-Hispanic), 413 Hispanic (not Black), 385 African-American, and 50 Other. In Wave 3, the numbers are 1,041 White (non-Hispanic), 351 Hispanic (not Black), 323 African American, and 44 Other.

^b In Wave 2, reinterviews were attempted only with respondents from the first wave who agreed to be recontacted (93 percent of the Wave 1 respondents). Of the 1,298 who agreed, W2 interviews were completed with 679, or 53.2 percent. Most of the attrition was due to respondents who could not be located for reinterviews.

^c The *N* of 1,774 includes 18 partially complete interviews. Three respondents interviewed in Wave 3 were also interviewed in W1 but not in W2.

^d Reinterviews were attempted only with respondents from the second wave who agreed to be recontacted (95 percent of the Wave 2 respondents). Of the 1,832 who agreed, W3 interviews were completed with 811, or 44.3 percent. Most of the attrition was due to respondents who could not be located.

ICPSR 36371

The Attack on America and Civil Liberties Trade-Offs: A Three-Wave National Panel Survey, 2001-2004

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

ID1: Case ID Number

Case ID Number

Based upon 3,661 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 62011.30
- Minimum: 10029.00
- Maximum: 1690796.00
- Standard Deviation: 117039.34

Location: 1-7 (width: 7; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RWAVENEW: Waves in which R interviewed (new, corrected)

Waves in which R interviewed (New)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	W1 only	760	20.8 %
2	W2 only	797	21.8 %
3	W1-W2	330	9.0 %
5	W3 only	927	25.3 %
6	W2-W3	487	13.3 %
7	W1-W2-W3	349	9.5 %
8	W1-W3	11	0.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 3,661 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 8-8 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RWAVEOLD: Waves in which R interviewed (old)

Waves in which R interviewed (old)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Wave 1 only	760	20.8 %
2	Wave 2 only	797	21.8 %
3	Wave 1 & 2	330	9.0 %
5	Wave 3 only	954	26.1 %
6	Wave 2 & 3	469	12.8 %
7	Wave 1, 2 & 3	349	9.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	Wave 1 & 3	2	0.1 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 3,661 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 9-9 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

ALLWAV1: All Wave 1 Cases, N=1450

All Wave 1 Cases, N=1450

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not Wave 1	0	0.0 %
1	Wave 1 case	1450	39.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,450 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 10-10 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

ALLWAV2: All Wave 2 Cases, new and recall, N=1963

All Wave 2 Cases, new and recall, N=1963

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not Wave 2	0	0.0 %
1	Wave 2 case	1963	53.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,963 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 11-11 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

ALLWAV3: All Wave 3 Cases, new and recall, N=1774

All Wave 3 Cases, new and recall, N=1774

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Wave 3 Case	1774	48.5 %
	Missing Data		
-		1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 12-12 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

CATEG5: W3 IW information newly assigned to W3, based on Age-Sex discrepancies, with new ID created for W3

W3 IW information newly assigned to W3, based on Age-Sex discrepancies, with new ID created for W3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	UNDOCUMENTED CODE	18	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
-		3643	99.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 13-13 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

CATEG6: W3 IW information newly assigned to W3 only based on Age-Sex Eth/Race discrepancies

W3 IW information newly assigned to W3 only based on Age-Sex Eth/Race discrepancies

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	UNDOCUMENTED CODE	18	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
-		3643	99.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 14-14 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NEWWAV2: New case in Wave 2, N=1284

New case in Wave 2, N=1284

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	0	0.0 %
1	New Wave 2 case	1284	35.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2377	64.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,284 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 15-15 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NEWWAV3: New case in Wave 3, N=954.

New case in Wave 3, N=954.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	0	0.0 %
1	New Wave 3	954	26.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2707	73.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 954 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 16-16 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: .

RECWAV2: W2 Recall case: R previously interviewed in W1, N=679

W2 Recall case: R previously interviewed in W1, N=679

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	R previously interviewed in W1, N=679	679	18.5 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	2982	81.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 679 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 17-17 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RECWA3: W3 Recall case: R prev iw in W1, W2 or both, N=820

W3 Recall case: R prev iw in W1, W2 or both, N=820

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	R prev iw in W1, W2 or both, N=820	820	22.4 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	2841	77.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 820 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 18-18 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PANEL120: Panel: W1-W2 (may also be in W3), N=679

Panel: W1-W2 (may also be in W3), N=679

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	0	0.0 %
1	WV1 + WV2 both	679	18.5 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	2982	81.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 679 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 19-19 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PANEL023: Panel: W2-W3 (may also be in W1), N=818

Panel: W2-W3 (may also be in W1), N=818

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	0	0.0 %
1	Waves 2&3	818	22.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2843	77.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 818 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 20-20 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PANEL123: Panel: Wave 1,2,3, N=349

Panel: Wave 1,2,3, N=349

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	0	0.0 %
1	WV1-2-3 Panel	349	9.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	3312	90.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 349 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 21-21 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PANEL103: Panel: Wave 1 & 3, **NOTE ONLY N=11**

Panel: Wave 1 & 3, **NOTE ONLY N=11**

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	0	0.0 %
1	WV 1 & 3	11	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	3650	99.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 11 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 22-22 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1STRATA: Sample Stratum (W1): 1=Hispanic, 2=Afr-Amer, 3=Genrl

Sample Stratum (W1): 1=Hispanic, 2=Afr-Amer, 3=Genrl

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	hispanic	263	7.2 %
2	black	286	7.8 %
3	general	901	24.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,450 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 23-23 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2STRATA: Sample Stratum (W2): 1=Hispanic, 2=Afr-Amer, 3=Genrl

Sample Stratum (W2): 1=Hispanic, 2=Afr-Amer, 3=Genrl

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	hispanic	491	13.4 %
2	black	409	11.2 %
3	general	1063	29.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,963 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 24-24 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3STRATA: Sample Stratum (W3): 1=Hispanic, 2=African-American, 3=General

Sample Stratum (W3): 1=Hispanic, 2=African-American, 3=General

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	hispanic	409	11.2 %
2	black	434	11.9 %
3	general	932	25.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1886	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,775 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 25-25 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1STATE: State (W1)

State (W1)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AL	41	1.1 %
2	AK	3	0.1 %
4	AZ	50	1.4 %
5	AR	16	0.4 %
6	CA	221	6.0 %
8	CO	27	0.7 %
9	CT	19	0.5 %
10	DE	2	0.1 %
11	DC	45	1.2 %
12	FL	168	4.6 %
13	GA	93	2.5 %
15	HI	3	0.1 %
16	ID	10	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
17	IL	136	3.7 %
18	IN	43	1.2 %
19	IA	21	0.6 %
20	KS	18	0.5 %
21	KY	26	0.7 %
22	LA	46	1.3 %
23	ME	12	0.3 %
24	MD	87	2.4 %
25	MA	41	1.1 %
26	MI	127	3.5 %
27	MN	39	1.1 %
28	MS	25	0.7 %
29	MO	68	1.9 %
30	MT	7	0.2 %
31	NE	14	0.4 %
32	NV	6	0.2 %
33	NH	9	0.2 %
34	NJ	66	1.8 %
35	NM	54	1.5 %
36	NY	207	5.7 %
37	NC	60	1.6 %
38	ND	2	0.1 %
39	OH	87	2.4 %
40	OK	25	0.7 %
41	OR	21	0.6 %
42	PA	107	2.9 %
44	RI	3	0.1 %
45	SC	18	0.5 %
46	SD	6	0.2 %
47	TN	42	1.1 %
48	TX	437	11.9 %
49	UT	11	0.3 %
50	VT	4	0.1 %
51	VA	48	1.3 %
53	WA	36	1.0 %
54	WV	12	0.3 %
55	WI	57	1.6 %
.	Missing Data	932	25.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,729 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 56.00

Location: 26-27 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3STATE: State (W3)

State (W3)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	AL	7	0.2 %
2	AK	1	0.0 %
4	AZ	13	0.4 %
5	AR	4	0.1 %
6	CA	64	1.7 %
8	CO	12	0.3 %
9	CT	4	0.1 %
10	DE	0	0.0 %
11	DC	13	0.4 %
12	FL	40	1.1 %
13	GA	25	0.7 %
15	HI	1	0.0 %
16	ID	2	0.1 %
17	IL	36	1.0 %
18	IN	20	0.5 %
19	IA	9	0.2 %
20	KS	9	0.2 %
21	KY	8	0.2 %
22	LA	17	0.5 %
23	ME	2	0.1 %
24	MD	27	0.7 %
25	MA	12	0.3 %
26	MI	33	0.9 %
27	MN	18	0.5 %
28	MS	4	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
29	MO	22	0.6 %
30	MT	3	0.1 %
31	NE	6	0.2 %
32	NV	2	0.1 %
33	NH	6	0.2 %
34	NJ	23	0.6 %
35	NM	14	0.4 %
36	NY	66	1.8 %
37	NC	20	0.5 %
38	ND	1	0.0 %
39	OH	34	0.9 %
40	OK	11	0.3 %
41	OR	9	0.2 %
42	PA	32	0.9 %
44	RI	1	0.0 %
45	SC	6	0.2 %
46	SD	1	0.0 %
47	TN	8	0.2 %
48	TX	122	3.3 %
49	UT	3	0.1 %
50	VT	3	0.1 %
51	VA	20	0.5 %
53	WA	17	0.5 %
54	WV	3	0.1 %
55	WI	21	0.6 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2824	77.1 %
Total		3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 837 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 56.00

Location: 28-29 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1COUNTY: County code (W1)

County code (W1)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1039	-	1	0.0 %
1047	-	1	0.0 %
1053	-	1	0.0 %
1063	-	1	0.0 %
1073	-	2	0.1 %
1081	-	1	0.0 %
1083	-	1	0.0 %
1085	-	1	0.0 %
1087	-	3	0.1 %
1089	-	2	0.1 %
1097	-	3	0.1 %
1103	-	1	0.0 %
1113	-	3	0.1 %
1117	-	1	0.0 %
1123	-	1	0.0 %
1125	-	1	0.0 %
2020	-	1	0.0 %
2090	-	1	0.0 %
2110	-	1	0.0 %
4003	-	5	0.1 %
4005	-	1	0.0 %
4012	-	1	0.0 %
4013	-	5	0.1 %
4017	-	1	0.0 %
4019	-	3	0.1 %
4021	-	2	0.1 %
4023	-	2	0.1 %
4025	-	1	0.0 %
4027	-	2	0.1 %
5007	-	1	0.0 %
5045	-	1	0.0 %
5051	-	1	0.0 %
5131	-	1	0.0 %
5143	-	2	0.1 %
5145	-	1	0.0 %
6001	-	3	0.1 %
6009	-	1	0.0 %
6017	-	2	0.1 %
6019	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6023	-	1	0.0 %
6025	-	1	0.0 %
6029	-	4	0.1 %
6037	-	50	1.4 %
6041	-	1	0.0 %
6045	-	2	0.1 %
6047	-	1	0.0 %
6053	-	3	0.1 %
6059	-	6	0.2 %
6065	-	5	0.1 %
6067	-	2	0.1 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,450 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 29740.42
- Minimum: 1039.00
- Maximum: 56025.00
- Standard Deviation: 15510.69

Location: 30-34 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2COUNTY: County code (W2)

County code (W2)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1003	-	2	0.1 %
1071	-	1	0.0 %
1073	-	8	0.2 %
1087	-	1	0.0 %
1089	-	2	0.1 %
1097	-	1	0.0 %
1099	-	1	0.0 %
1131	-	1	0.0 %
1301	-	1	0.0 %
1844	-	1	0.0 %
2020	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2035	-	1	0.0 %
2143	-	1	0.0 %
2346	-	1	0.0 %
2536	-	1	0.0 %
2726	-	1	0.0 %
3051	-	1	0.0 %
3222	-	1	0.0 %
3751	-	1	0.0 %
4003	-	3	0.1 %
4013	-	11	0.3 %
4019	-	4	0.1 %
4023	-	7	0.2 %
4027	-	2	0.1 %
4667	-	1	0.0 %
4901	-	1	0.0 %
5001	-	1	0.0 %
5007	-	1	0.0 %
5015	-	1	0.0 %
5023	-	1	0.0 %
5035	-	1	0.0 %
5057	-	1	0.0 %
5071	-	1	0.0 %
5133	-	1	0.0 %
5145	-	1	0.0 %
6001	-	2	0.1 %
6007	-	1	0.0 %
6017	-	1	0.0 %
6019	-	1	0.0 %
6025	-	3	0.1 %
6029	-	4	0.1 %
6037	-	56	1.5 %
6045	-	2	0.1 %
6047	-	1	0.0 %
6053	-	3	0.1 %
6059	-	3	0.1 %
6061	-	2	0.1 %
6063	-	1	0.0 %
6065	-	6	0.2 %
6067	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,963 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 36937.29
- Minimum: 1003.00
- Maximum: 99999.00
- Standard Deviation: 23665.68

Location: 35-39 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3COUNTY: County Code (W3)

County Code (W3)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1001	-	1	0.0 %
1003	-	1	0.0 %
1021	-	1	0.0 %
1027	-	1	0.0 %
1045	-	1	0.0 %
1055	-	1	0.0 %
1073	-	5	0.1 %
1087	-	2	0.1 %
1097	-	1	0.0 %
1111	-	1	0.0 %
1115	-	1	0.0 %
1117	-	1	0.0 %
2122	-	1	0.0 %
4003	-	2	0.1 %
4009	-	1	0.0 %
4013	-	7	0.2 %
4019	-	3	0.1 %
4021	-	1	0.0 %
4023	-	1	0.0 %
4025	-	1	0.0 %
4027	-	1	0.0 %
5109	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5111	-	1	0.0 %
5119	-	1	0.0 %
6013	-	1	0.0 %
6017	-	1	0.0 %
6019	-	4	0.1 %
6025	-	3	0.1 %
6029	-	5	0.1 %
6031	-	1	0.0 %
6037	-	35	1.0 %
6041	-	1	0.0 %
6043	-	1	0.0 %
6053	-	3	0.1 %
6059	-	8	0.2 %
6065	-	7	0.2 %
6067	-	3	0.1 %
6073	-	4	0.1 %
6081	-	1	0.0 %
6083	-	1	0.0 %
6085	-	1	0.0 %
6087	-	1	0.0 %
6093	-	1	0.0 %
6099	-	1	0.0 %
6107	-	1	0.0 %
6111	-	5	0.1 %
8001	-	1	0.0 %
8005	-	2	0.1 %
8041	-	2	0.1 %
8043	-	1	0.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2724	74.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 937 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 28574.01
- Minimum: 1001.00
- Maximum: 56039.00
- Standard Deviation: 16063.47

Location: 40-44 (*width:* 5; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

CENSDIV: 9 US Census Divisions (W1-W2)

9 US Census Divisions (W1-W2)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	New England	88	2.4 %
12	Mid Atlantic	382	10.4 %
21	E.N. Central	450	12.3 %
22	W. N. Central	168	4.6 %
31	S. Atlantic	535	14.6 %
32	E. S. Central	134	3.7 %
33	W. S. Central	525	14.3 %
41	Mountain	168	4.6 %
42	Pacific	284	7.8 %
Missing Data			
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 42.00

Location: 45-46 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

CENSREGN: 4 US Census Regions (W1-W2)

4 US Census Regions (W1-W2)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Northeast	470	12.8 %
2	Midwest	618	16.9 %
3	South	1194	32.6 %
4	West	452	12.3 %
Missing Data			
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 47-47 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CENSDIV: 9 US Census Divisions (W3)

9 US Census Divisions (W3)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	New England	54	1.5 %
12	Mid Atlantic	230	6.3 %
21	E.N. Central	285	7.8 %
22	W. N. Central	134	3.7 %
31	S. Atlantic	349	9.5 %
32	E. S. Central	80	2.2 %
33	W. S. Central	322	8.8 %
41	Mountain	101	2.8 %
42	Pacific	201	5.5 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1905	52.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,756 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 42.00

Location: 48-49 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CENSREGN: 4 US Census Regions (W3)

4 US Census Regions (W3)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Northeast	284	7.8 %
2	Midwest	419	11.4 %
3	South	751	20.5 %
4	West	302	8.2 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1905	52.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,756 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 50-50 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WWWAGE: Age in single years--at time of W1-2

Age in single years--at time of W1-2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
16	-	4	0.1 %
17	-	10	0.3 %
18	-	42	1.1 %
19	-	47	1.3 %
20	-	44	1.2 %
21	-	55	1.5 %
22	-	36	1.0 %
23	-	54	1.5 %
24	-	58	1.6 %
25	-	60	1.6 %
26	-	53	1.4 %
27	-	62	1.7 %
28	-	55	1.5 %
29	-	72	2.0 %
30	-	70	1.9 %
31	-	61	1.7 %
32	-	55	1.5 %
33	-	65	1.8 %
34	-	65	1.8 %
35	-	58	1.6 %
36	-	61	1.7 %
37	-	51	1.4 %
38	-	60	1.6 %
39	-	65	1.8 %
40	-	71	1.9 %
41	-	65	1.8 %
42	-	72	2.0 %
43	-	73	2.0 %
44	-	70	1.9 %
45	-	70	1.9 %
46	-	67	1.8 %
47	-	79	2.2 %
48	-	83	2.3 %
49	-	73	2.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
50	-	69	1.9 %
51	-	65	1.8 %
52	-	72	2.0 %
53	-	66	1.8 %
54	-	53	1.4 %
55	-	74	2.0 %
56	-	72	2.0 %
57	-	53	1.4 %
58	-	48	1.3 %
59	-	46	1.3 %
60	-	53	1.4 %
61	-	44	1.2 %
62	-	42	1.1 %
63	-	48	1.3 %
64	-	48	1.3 %
65	-	51	1.4 %
Missing Data			
.	-	109	3.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 3,552 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 47.69
- Median: 47.00
- Mode: 48.00
- Minimum: 16.00
- Maximum: 93.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.54

Location: 51-52 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WWWAGEC1: Age in 7 categories: some missing

Age in 7 categories: some missing

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	18-24	350	9.6 %
2	25-29	302	8.2 %
3	30-39	611	16.7 %
4	40-49	723	19.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	50-59	618	16.9 %
6	60-64	235	6.4 %
7	65+	713	19.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	109	3.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 3,552 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 53-53 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WWWSEX: Unified Gender, 1=Male, 5=Female

Unified Gender, 1=Male, 5=Female

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Male	1492	40.8 %
5	Female	2169	59.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 3,661 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 54-54 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WWWMALE: Dummy: 1 if male, 0 if female

Dummy: 1 if male, 0 if female

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Female	2169	59.2 %
1	Male	1492	40.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 3,661 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 55-55 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WWWEDU5: Educ in 5 Cats: 4=AdvDeg,3=BA/BS,2=SomCol,1=CompHS, 0=<HS

Educ in 5 Cats: 4=AdvDeg,3=BA/BS,2=SomCol,1=CompHS, 0=<HS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	HS	445	12.2 %
1	CompHS	934	25.5 %
2	SomCol	994	27.2 %
3	BA/BS	837	22.9 %
4	Adv Degree	390	10.7 %
Missing Data			
.	-	61	1.7 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 3,600 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 56-56 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WWWEDU3: Educ in 3 Cats: 2=CompColl,1=CompHS,0=<CompHS

Educ in 3 Cats: 2=CompColl,1=CompHS,0=<CompHS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	<CompHS	445	12.2 %
1	CompHS	1928	52.7 %
2	CompColl	1227	33.5 %
Missing Data			
.	-	61	1.7 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 3,600 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 57-57 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WWWRACE3: RACE 3 categories: (1) White, (2) Black, (3) Other -- based on revised imprace

RACE 3 categories: (1) White, (2) Black, (3) Other -- based on revised imprace

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	White	2761	75.4 %
2	Black	799	21.8 %
3	Other	101	2.8 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 3,661 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 58-58 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WWWRACE4: Race 4-Categ: (1) WhNonHisp,(2) Black,HispNonBlk,(3) HispNonBl,(4)Other

Race 4-Categ: (1) WhNonHisp,(2) Black,HispNonBlk,(3) HispNonBl,(4)Other

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	WhiteNonHis	1992	54.4 %
2	Black+Bl-His	799	21.8 %
3	HispNonBl	784	21.4 %
4	Other	86	2.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 3,661 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 59-59 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WWWWWHITE: Dummy: 1 if whiteNonHisp, 0 if not (based on wwwrace4)

Dummy: 1 if whiteNonHisp, 0 if not (based on wwwrace4)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1669	45.6 %
1	WhiteNonHisp	1992	54.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 3,661 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 60-60 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WWWBLACK: Dummy: 1 if Black or Black-Hisp, 0 if not (based on wwwrace4)

Dummy: 1 if Black or Black-Hisp, 0 if not (based on wwwrace4)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	2862	78.2 %
1	Black/BlackHisp	799	21.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 3,661 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 61-61 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WWWHISP: Dummy: 1 if HispNonBlack, 0 if not (based on wwwrace4)

Dummy: 1 if HispNonBlack, 0 if not (based on wwwrace4)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	2877	78.6 %
1	HispNonBlack	784	21.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 3,661 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 62-62 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2INTLANG: W2 Language of IW (missing cases assigned to English, based on analysis)

W2 Language of IW (missing cases assigned to English, based on analysis)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mostly English	1727	47.2 %
2	Mostly Spanish	233	6.4 %
3	Half En, Half Sp	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1699	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,962 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 63-63 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3INTLANG: W3 Language of IW (original)

W3 Language of IW (original)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	All or mostly English	1553	42.4 %
2	All or mostly Spanish	209	5.7 %
3	Half English, Half Spanish	7	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1892	51.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,769 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 64-64 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WWWBRNUS: Dummy: 1=Born in USA, 0=Not

Dummy: 1=Born in USA, 0=Not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	588	16.1 %
1	Born USA	3005	82.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	68	1.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 3,593 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 65-65 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WWWHOMLN: Dummy: 1=Spk Lang other than English at Home, 0=not

Dummy: 1=Spk Lang other than English at Home, 0=not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	English at home	2806	76.6 %
1	Not English	787	21.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	68	1.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 3,593 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 66-66 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

USAWT1RV: **W1 USA WEIGHT -- revised version (more strata, as in waves 2 and 3 weights)** (Wave II Methods Report)

W1 USA WEIGHT -- revised version (more strata, as in waves 2 and 3 weights)** (Wave II Methods Report)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	1304	35.6 %
0.001168085181	-	1	0.0 %
0.002170614353	-	1	0.0 %
0.002199792318	-	1	0.0 %
0.002546788125	-	1	0.0 %
0.002925627479	-	1	0.0 %
0.003473460146	-	1	0.0 %
0.003819850834	-	1	0.0 %
0.003901175019	-	1	0.0 %
0.004168875311	-	1	0.0 %
0.004399584636	-	1	0.0 %
0.004604894040	-	1	0.0 %
0.004646320406	-	1	0.0 %
0.004800183005	-	1	0.0 %
0.005021190226	-	3	0.1 %
0.005436015637	-	1	0.0 %
0.005564362936	-	1	0.0 %
0.005712627725	-	1	0.0 %
0.005851254959	-	6	0.2 %
0.005961423407	-	1	0.0 %
0.006112900050	-	1	0.0 %
0.006391554124	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.006599376953	-	2	0.1 %
0.006614639721	-	1	0.0 %
0.006695118305	-	1	0.0 %
0.006814965364	-	1	0.0 %
0.006873689788	-	1	0.0 %
0.006946920292	-	4	0.1 %
0.006983476926	-	2	0.1 %
0.007199649972	-	1	0.0 %
0.007627574138	-	1	0.0 %
0.007639701667	-	2	0.1 %
0.007752546566	-	1	0.0 %
0.007941563271	-	1	0.0 %
0.008052893316	-	2	0.1 %
0.008175887050	-	6	0.2 %
0.008568198337	-	1	0.0 %
0.008682457411	-	1	0.0 %
0.008684701923	-	1	0.0 %
0.008799169271	-	2	0.1 %
0.008918343869	-	2	0.1 %
0.009209788079	-	2	0.1 %
0.009764017107	-	1	0.0 %
0.009984627299	-	2	0.1 %
0.010042380452	-	1	0.0 %
0.010268205000	-	1	0.0 %
0.010394407330	-	1	0.0 %
0.010766961816	-	3	0.1 %
0.010872031273	-	3	0.1 %
0.010958762358	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	909	24.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.527047200356
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 22.728430301264
- Standard Deviation: 1.091178104868

Location: 67-81 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

RHWT1RV: **W1 Race&Hispanic** -- weight for maximizing N of Hispanics & Blacks IN SAME ANALYSIS (Wave II Methods Report)

W1 Race&Hispanic** -- weight for maximizing N of Hispanics & Blacks IN SAME ANALYSIS (Wave II Methods Report)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	20	0.5 %
0.002343178874	-	1	0.0 %
0.002404865788	-	1	0.0 %
0.002832651730	-	1	0.0 %
0.002855184240	-	1	0.0 %
0.002870728975	-	1	0.0 %
0.003206765865	-	1	0.0 %
0.003426815505	-	1	0.0 %
0.003945750431	-	1	0.0 %
0.004127418366	-	3	0.1 %
0.004695779990	-	1	0.0 %
0.004809731576	-	6	0.2 %
0.004900290041	-	1	0.0 %
0.005108856979	-	1	0.0 %
0.005253857490	-	1	0.0 %
0.005437233851	-	1	0.0 %
0.005503387247	-	1	0.0 %
0.005601901529	-	1	0.0 %
0.005650173006	-	1	0.0 %
0.005710368480	-	4	0.1 %
0.005740418033	-	2	0.1 %
0.005741457949	-	1	0.0 %
0.005918112277	-	1	0.0 %
0.006269865941	-	1	0.0 %
0.006372593277	-	1	0.0 %
0.006527965009	-	1	0.0 %
0.006619478305	-	2	0.1 %
0.007043059033	-	1	0.0 %
0.007094000406	-	1	0.0 %
0.007662620772	-	1	0.0 %
0.008207363640	-	2	0.1 %
0.008254836732	-	1	0.0 %
0.008544202825	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.008612186924	-	2	0.1 %
0.008850442613	-	3	0.1 %
0.009008102659	-	1	0.0 %
0.009211545537	-	1	0.0 %
0.009237417443	-	1	0.0 %
0.009320518735	-	1	0.0 %
0.009445342734	-	1	0.0 %
0.009619463152	-	4	0.1 %
0.009800580082	-	1	0.0 %
0.010011942537	-	3	0.1 %
0.010507714980	-	2	0.1 %
0.011006774494	-	4	0.1 %
0.011103691635	-	1	0.0 %
0.011162112050	-	1	0.0 %
0.011245939925	-	1	0.0 %
0.011330606922	-	1	0.0 %
0.011333536010	-	1	0.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2188	59.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,473 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.986784824394
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 29.660601543150
- Standard Deviation: 1.594574460755

Location: 82-96 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

RACWT1RV: *W1 Race* -- weight for maximizing N of Blacks (weighted N=actual N of Black R's) (Wave II Methods Report)

W1 Race -- weight for maximizing N of Blacks (weighted N=actual N of Black R's) (Wave II Methods Report)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	1304	35.6 %
0.001940529231	-	1	0.0 %
0.001966614332	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.002235948654	-	1	0.0 %
0.002615510967	-	1	0.0 %
0.003105273371	-	1	0.0 %
0.003487650467	-	1	0.0 %
0.003726974528	-	1	0.0 %
0.003933228664	-	1	0.0 %
0.004291363607	-	1	0.0 %
0.004488944062	-	3	0.1 %
0.004859797979	-	1	0.0 %
0.004875061829	-	1	0.0 %
0.005107089186	-	1	0.0 %
0.005231021933	-	6	0.2 %
0.005329512526	-	1	0.0 %
0.005714049387	-	1	0.0 %
0.005899842996	-	2	0.1 %
0.005913487910	-	1	0.0 %
0.005985435765	-	1	0.0 %
0.006092579035	-	1	0.0 %
0.006145078670	-	1	0.0 %
0.006210546741	-	4	0.1 %
0.006243228372	-	2	0.1 %
0.006436487075	-	1	0.0 %
0.006744022355	-	1	0.0 %
0.006819051279	-	1	0.0 %
0.006930776630	-	1	0.0 %
0.007099757565	-	1	0.0 %
0.007199286624	-	2	0.1 %
0.007311958466	-	1	0.0 %
0.007659969313	-	1	0.0 %
0.007762116926	-	1	0.0 %
0.007764123519	-	1	0.0 %
0.007866457328	-	2	0.1 %
0.008814688170	-	1	0.0 %
0.008893986522	-	1	0.0 %
0.008926256805	-	2	0.1 %
0.008977888125	-	1	0.0 %
0.009292600153	-	1	0.0 %
0.009625663864	-	3	0.1 %
0.009719595958	-	3	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.010018396241	-	1	0.0 %
0.010272672024	-	1	0.0 %
0.010462043867	-	4	0.1 %
0.010651303532	-	1	0.0 %
0.010659025052	-	1	0.0 %
0.010888901008	-	3	0.1 %
0.011428098774	-	2	0.1 %
0.011701313275	-	1	0.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	909	24.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.526871633468
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 20.319216689330
- Standard Deviation: 1.136322198523

Location: 97-111 (*width:* 15; *decimal:* 12)

Variable Type: numeric

HISWT1RV: *W1 Hispanic* -- weight for maximizing N of Hispanics (weighted N=actual N of Hispanics) (Wave II Methods Report)

W1 Hispanic -- weight for maximizing N of Hispanics (weighted N=actual N of Hispanics) (Wave II Methods Report)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	1304	35.6 %
0.001121712200	-	1	0.0 %
0.002445680637	-	1	0.0 %
0.002809480069	-	1	0.0 %
0.002845675417	-	1	0.0 %
0.002883927729	-	1	0.0 %
0.003335563778	-	1	0.0 %
0.003668202756	-	1	0.0 %
0.003746298370	-	1	0.0 %
0.004003370961	-	1	0.0 %
0.004422079746	-	1	0.0 %
0.004461861486	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.004609615740	-	1	0.0 %
0.004821848974	-	3	0.1 %
0.005343457727	-	1	0.0 %
0.005485836404	-	1	0.0 %
0.005618960137	-	6	0.2 %
0.005724754898	-	1	0.0 %
0.005767855457	-	1	0.0 %
0.005870217918	-	1	0.0 %
0.006137809425	-	1	0.0 %
0.006352038524	-	1	0.0 %
0.006429322108	-	1	0.0 %
0.006544411239	-	1	0.0 %
0.006600804303	-	1	0.0 %
0.006671127557	-	4	0.1 %
0.006706232892	-	2	0.1 %
0.006913823868	-	1	0.0 %
0.007126616500	-	1	0.0 %
0.007324759444	-	1	0.0 %
0.007336405511	-	2	0.1 %
0.007444770467	-	1	0.0 %
0.007626283210	-	1	0.0 %
0.007733193451	-	2	0.1 %
0.007851304334	-	6	0.2 %
0.008228040863	-	1	0.0 %
0.008564285618	-	2	0.1 %
0.008651783186	-	2	0.1 %
0.008844159492	-	2	0.1 %
0.009376385628	-	1	0.0 %
0.009588237595	-	2	0.1 %
0.009643697949	-	1	0.0 %
0.009860557261	-	1	0.0 %
0.009981749359	-	1	0.0 %
0.010339513432	-	3	0.1 %
0.010523699493	-	1	0.0 %
0.010713470651	-	1	0.0 %
0.010761371264	-	1	0.0 %
0.011004608267	-	1	0.0 %
0.011034504413	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-	-	909	24.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.527038041310
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 29.796972124957
- Standard Deviation: 1.188016192959

Location: 112-126 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

USAWT2CC: ** W2 USA WEIGHT -- CC (Combined Recall and New Cases -- i.e., all W2 respondents, wtd to US Census)**

W2 USA WEIGHT -- CC (Combined Recall and New Cases -- i.e., all W2 respondents, wtd to US Census)**

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	789	21.6 %
0.001925110172	-	1	0.0 %
0.002887917831	-	1	0.0 %
0.002970304105	-	1	0.0 %
0.003072006866	-	1	0.0 %
0.003078024550	-	1	0.0 %
0.003409968625	-	1	0.0 %
0.003554162451	-	1	0.0 %
0.003564983310	-	1	0.0 %
0.004096364465	-	1	0.0 %
0.004383458958	-	1	0.0 %
0.004452088288	-	1	0.0 %
0.004525307819	-	1	0.0 %
0.004637868543	-	1	0.0 %
0.004798822199	-	1	0.0 %
0.004936874542	-	1	0.0 %
0.004999797074	-	1	0.0 %
0.005142619363	-	1	0.0 %
0.005142663704	-	1	0.0 %
0.005236543838	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.005238594664	-	1	0.0 %
0.005272417955	-	2	0.1 %
0.005311136775	-	1	0.0 %
0.005330781256	-	1	0.0 %
0.005465690883	-	1	0.0 %
0.005775280720	-	1	0.0 %
0.005775330517	-	2	0.1 %
0.005843539282	-	1	0.0 %
0.005865284308	-	1	0.0 %
0.005940608210	-	3	0.1 %
0.006144013733	-	1	0.0 %
0.006192336901	-	1	0.0 %
0.006434435678	-	1	0.0 %
0.006894673966	-	1	0.0 %
0.006896864554	-	1	0.0 %
0.006907009822	-	3	0.1 %
0.006985403872	-	2	0.1 %
0.007083562106	-	1	0.0 %
0.007120332142	-	2	0.1 %
0.007299747405	-	2	0.1 %
0.007405959526	-	1	0.0 %
0.007406023383	-	1	0.0 %
0.007472146654	-	1	0.0 %
0.007624644390	-	1	0.0 %
0.007672362665	-	2	0.1 %
0.007672428818	-	4	0.1 %
0.007927156370	-	1	0.0 %
0.007927224720	-	4	0.1 %
0.008114373232	-	2	0.1 %
0.008114443196	-	4	0.1 %
Missing Data			
.	-	909	24.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.713435901191

- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 18.365362264123
- Standard Deviation: 1.374027880803

Location: 127-141 (*width:* 15; *decimal:* 12)

Variable Type: numeric

USAWT2RC: *W2 USA Weight RC* -- Recall cases in W1-W2 panel -- weighted to US census

W2 USA Weight RC -- Recall cases in W1-W2 panel -- weighted to US census

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	2073	56.6 %
0.003089036377	-	1	0.0 %
0.003152661144	-	1	0.0 %
0.003633670950	-	1	0.0 %
0.003707486755	-	1	0.0 %
0.004203912830	-	1	0.0 %
0.004769700784	-	1	0.0 %
0.005379054547	-	1	0.0 %
0.005410843122	-	2	0.1 %
0.005450033660	-	1	0.0 %
0.005684171507	-	1	0.0 %
0.006010395080	-	1	0.0 %
0.006178072754	-	3	0.1 %
0.006305322288	-	1	0.0 %
0.006345539157	-	1	0.0 %
0.007296493575	-	2	0.1 %
0.007484871531	-	1	0.0 %
0.009022815681	-	1	0.0 %
0.009102951819	-	1	0.0 %
0.009237453519	-	1	0.0 %
0.009539401567	-	2	0.1 %
0.010031145532	-	1	0.0 %
0.010603290660	-	1	0.0 %
0.010722212537	-	1	0.0 %
0.010821686244	-	1	0.0 %
0.011219025109	-	1	0.0 %
0.011368343014	-	1	0.0 %
0.011369834175	-	1	0.0 %
0.011602496353	-	2	0.1 %
0.011978025725	-	1	0.0 %
0.012177957947	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.012356145507	-	1	0.0 %
0.012392497142	-	1	0.0 %
0.012610644577	-	2	0.1 %
0.012691078314	-	1	0.0 %
0.013236108392	-	2	0.1 %
0.013266344436	-	1	0.0 %
0.013606769457	-	1	0.0 %
0.014969743061	-	2	0.1 %
0.015854038714	-	1	0.0 %
0.016126452186	-	1	0.0 %
0.017930791139	-	1	0.0 %
0.018045631362	-	1	0.0 %
0.018474907037	-	4	0.1 %
0.018872878436	-	2	0.1 %
0.019078803135	-	3	0.1 %
0.019215382691	-	1	0.0 %
0.020062291065	-	1	0.0 %
0.021230105857	-	1	0.0 %
0.021444425075	-	1	0.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	909	24.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.246624973578
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 13.606489671344
- Standard Deviation: 0.753524715167

Location: 142-156 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

RHWT2RC: *W2 Race & Hispanic RC* -- Recall cases in W1-W2 panel -- weighted to US census

W2 Race & Hispanic RC -- Recall cases in W1-W2 panel -- weighted to US census

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	2072	56.6 %
0.002842840178	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.002901394051	-	1	0.0 %
0.003344067375	-	1	0.0 %
0.003412000060	-	1	0.0 %
0.003868860978	-	1	0.0 %
0.004389555631	-	1	0.0 %
0.004950343900	-	1	0.0 %
0.004979598925	-	2	0.1 %
0.005015665977	-	1	0.0 %
0.005231143038	-	1	0.0 %
0.005531366592	-	1	0.0 %
0.005685680355	-	3	0.1 %
0.005802788102	-	1	0.0 %
0.005839799686	-	1	0.0 %
0.006714963037	-	2	0.1 %
0.008501228473	-	1	0.0 %
0.008779111262	-	2	0.1 %
0.009231663233	-	1	0.0 %
0.009758208394	-	1	0.0 %
0.009959197850	-	1	0.0 %
0.010412340595	-	1	0.0 %
0.010462286076	-	1	0.0 %
0.010677777393	-	2	0.1 %
0.010894795284	-	1	0.0 %
0.011041245967	-	1	0.0 %
0.011207374699	-	1	0.0 %
0.011371360710	-	1	0.0 %
0.011605576204	-	2	0.1 %
0.011679599372	-	1	0.0 %
0.012181190553	-	2	0.1 %
0.012522309931	-	1	0.0 %
0.013172625407	-	1	0.0 %
0.014590471829	-	1	0.0 %
0.014841173947	-	1	0.0 %
0.015879253317	-	1	0.0 %
0.016020284907	-	1	0.0 %
0.017002456946	-	4	0.1 %
0.017368710024	-	2	0.1 %
0.017558222525	-	3	0.1 %
0.017683916691	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.018463326467	-	1	0.0 %
0.020824681190	-	1	0.0 %
0.021080127473	-	1	0.0 %
0.021355554786	-	1	0.0 %
0.021789590567	-	1	0.0 %
0.021809555720	-	1	0.0 %
0.022082491934	-	2	0.1 %
0.022909201284	-	1	0.0 %
0.023347439572	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	909	24.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.246768151634
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 13.897308579820
- Standard Deviation: 0.817583194554

Location: 157-171 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

HISWT2RC: *W2 Hispanic RC only* -- Recall cases in W1-W2 panel -- weighted to US census

W2 Hispanic RC only -- Recall cases in W1-W2 panel -- weighted to US census

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	2073	56.6 %
0.003103245944	-	1	0.0 %
0.003167163385	-	1	0.0 %
0.003650385836	-	1	0.0 %
0.003724541194	-	1	0.0 %
0.004223250829	-	1	0.0 %
0.004791641407	-	1	0.0 %
0.005403798198	-	1	0.0 %
0.005435733000	-	2	0.1 %
0.005475103815	-	1	0.0 %
0.005710318696	-	1	0.0 %
0.006038042897	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.006206491888	-	3	0.1 %
0.006334326771	-	1	0.0 %
0.006374728637	-	1	0.0 %
0.007330057445	-	2	0.1 %
0.007519301940	-	1	0.0 %
0.009064320633	-	1	0.0 %
0.009144825398	-	1	0.0 %
0.009279945805	-	1	0.0 %
0.009583282814	-	2	0.1 %
0.010077288802	-	1	0.0 %
0.010432712799	-	1	0.0 %
0.010652065797	-	1	0.0 %
0.010871466000	-	1	0.0 %
0.010916111431	-	1	0.0 %
0.011062848652	-	1	0.0 %
0.011420637392	-	1	0.0 %
0.011655867836	-	2	0.1 %
0.012033124643	-	1	0.0 %
0.012233976553	-	1	0.0 %
0.012412983777	-	1	0.0 %
0.012449502629	-	1	0.0 %
0.012668653542	-	2	0.1 %
0.012749457274	-	1	0.0 %
0.013296994491	-	2	0.1 %
0.013327369620	-	1	0.0 %
0.013669360596	-	1	0.0 %
0.015038603879	-	2	0.1 %
0.015926967292	-	1	0.0 %
0.016200633866	-	1	0.0 %
0.018013272778	-	1	0.0 %
0.018128641267	-	1	0.0 %
0.018559891609	-	4	0.1 %
0.018959693677	-	2	0.1 %
0.019166565629	-	3	0.1 %
0.019303773452	-	1	0.0 %
0.020154577603	-	1	0.0 %
0.020865425598	-	1	0.0 %
0.021327764344	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-	-	909	24.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.246906165760
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 13.239114450218
- Standard Deviation: 0.750725680674

Location: 172-186 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

RACWT2RC: *W2 Race RC* -- Recall cases in W1-W2 panel -- weighted to US census

W2 Race RC -- Recall cases in W1-W2 panel -- weighted to US census

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	2072	56.6 %
0.002863845625	-	1	0.0 %
0.002922832147	-	1	0.0 %
0.003368776338	-	1	0.0 %
0.003437210970	-	1	0.0 %
0.003897447585	-	1	0.0 %
0.004421989597	-	1	0.0 %
0.004986921471	-	1	0.0 %
0.005016392658	-	2	0.1 %
0.005052726206	-	1	0.0 %
0.005269795404	-	1	0.0 %
0.005572237279	-	1	0.0 %
0.005727691250	-	3	0.1 %
0.005845664294	-	1	0.0 %
0.005882949352	-	1	0.0 %
0.006764579193	-	2	0.1 %
0.008564043157	-	1	0.0 %
0.008843979193	-	2	0.1 %
0.009299875023	-	1	0.0 %
0.009830310771	-	1	0.0 %
0.009940563243	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.010032785316	-	1	0.0 %
0.010401158179	-	1	0.0 %
0.010539590808	-	1	0.0 %
0.010540973263	-	1	0.0 %
0.010756674368	-	2	0.1 %
0.011290184813	-	1	0.0 %
0.011455382500	-	1	0.0 %
0.011691328587	-	2	0.1 %
0.011765898705	-	1	0.0 %
0.012271196090	-	2	0.1 %
0.012314110642	-	1	0.0 %
0.012614835963	-	1	0.0 %
0.014698279292	-	1	0.0 %
0.014844336359	-	1	0.0 %
0.014950833821	-	1	0.0 %
0.014976176333	-	1	0.0 %
0.017128086314	-	4	0.1 %
0.017497045598	-	2	0.1 %
0.017687958386	-	3	0.1 %
0.017814581293	-	1	0.0 %
0.018599750046	-	1	0.0 %
0.019706247922	-	1	0.0 %
0.019881126487	-	1	0.0 %
0.020388136298	-	1	0.0 %
0.020802316358	-	1	0.0 %
0.021081946527	-	2	0.1 %
0.021513348737	-	1	0.0 %
0.021825789866	-	1	0.0 %
0.023078474965	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	909	24.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.247004331980
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 12.991563199909

- Standard Deviation: 0.801525291782

Location: 187-201 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

RHWT2CC: **W2 Race & Hispanic ALL** -- weight for maximizing N of Hispanics and Blacks IN SAME ANALYSIS

W2 Race & Hispanic ALL** -- weight for maximizing N of Hispanics and Blacks IN SAME ANALYSIS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.00000000000000	-	789	21.6 %
0.002307926290	-	1	0.0 %
0.002386949335	-	1	0.0 %
0.002391625075	-	1	0.0 %
0.002761584224	-	1	0.0 %
0.002769992032	-	1	0.0 %
0.003182875189	-	1	0.0 %
0.003405947610	-	1	0.0 %
0.003459272600	-	1	0.0 %
0.003471166151	-	1	0.0 %
0.003516164176	-	1	0.0 %
0.003603623858	-	1	0.0 %
0.003835951519	-	1	0.0 %
0.003884842326	-	1	0.0 %
0.004096668751	-	2	0.1 %
0.004126753274	-	1	0.0 %
0.004142017036	-	1	0.0 %
0.004246841816	-	1	0.0 %
0.004540430023	-	1	0.0 %
0.004557325908	-	1	0.0 %
0.004615852579	-	3	0.1 %
0.004773898670	-	1	0.0 %
0.004811445772	-	1	0.0 %
0.005207204641	-	1	0.0 %
0.005366746632	-	3	0.1 %
0.005532498074	-	2	0.1 %
0.005671903734	-	2	0.1 %
0.005754430552	-	1	0.0 %
0.005754480168	-	1	0.0 %
0.006014502662	-	1	0.0 %
0.006159400499	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.006159453608	-	4	0.1 %
0.006475119961	-	1	0.0 %
0.006811240734	-	2	0.1 %
0.006811299463	-	2	0.1 %
0.006917940114	-	1	0.0 %
0.007023121456	-	1	0.0 %
0.007026354689	-	1	0.0 %
0.007031652686	-	1	0.0 %
0.007207247716	-	2	0.1 %
0.007387688758	-	1	0.0 %
0.007633272606	-	1	0.0 %
0.007694551375	-	1	0.0 %
0.007922086465	-	1	0.0 %
0.007978569804	-	2	0.1 %
0.007978638597	-	3	0.1 %
0.008193337502	-	1	0.0 %
0.008424189039	-	3	0.1 %
0.008493683632	-	1	0.0 %
0.008652756307	-	1	0.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	909	24.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.713196888781
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 24.541963588831
- Standard Deviation: 1.594421200031

Location: 202-216 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

USAWT2NC: *W2 USA Weight NC* -- New W2 RDD Cases, wtd to US Census

W2 USA Weight NC -- New W2 RDD Cases, wtd to US Census

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	1468	40.1 %
0.001675883022	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.002514044407	-	1	0.0 %
0.003166857565	-	1	0.0 %
0.003809701595	-	1	0.0 %
0.004439030806	-	1	0.0 %
0.004498544872	-	1	0.0 %
0.004500708961	-	1	0.0 %
0.004580577330	-	1	0.0 %
0.004644117917	-	1	0.0 %
0.005027605716	-	1	0.0 %
0.005027649065	-	2	0.1 %
0.005047315044	-	1	0.0 %
0.005131064691	-	1	0.0 %
0.005304506318	-	1	0.0 %
0.005304552055	-	1	0.0 %
0.005403502872	-	1	0.0 %
0.005487882014	-	1	0.0 %
0.005959562221	-	2	0.1 %
0.005959613606	-	4	0.1 %
0.005996959005	-	1	0.0 %
0.006072429411	-	1	0.0 %
0.006186415961	-	1	0.0 %
0.006718623023	-	2	0.1 %
0.006888614321	-	1	0.0 %
0.007077893529	-	3	0.1 %
0.007097127756	-	2	0.1 %
0.007097188950	-	4	0.1 %
0.007205300715	-	2	0.1 %
0.007430238593	-	2	0.1 %
0.007571634770	-	1	0.0 %
0.007571700055	-	1	0.0 %
0.007631514578	-	1	0.0 %
0.007945388010	-	1	0.0 %
0.008020244737	-	1	0.0 %
0.008068863198	-	1	0.0 %
0.008068932770	-	4	0.1 %
0.008095086585	-	1	0.0 %
0.008552280019	-	1	0.0 %
0.008718604816	-	1	0.0 %
0.008996225303	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.008996302872	-	2	0.1 %
0.009082726411	-	2	0.1 %
0.009088999016	-	1	0.0 %
0.009160353439	-	1	0.0 %
0.009233202831	-	1	0.0 %
0.009287343420	-	1	0.0 %
0.009501263444	-	4	0.1 %
0.009712494534	-	1	0.0 %
0.010184389150	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	909	24.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.466553704434
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 18.752547614932
- Standard Deviation: 1.244525708194

Location: 217-231 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

RHWT2NC: *W2 Race&Hispanic NC* -- wt for maximizing N of Hispanics & Blacks IN SAME ANALYSIS, for new W2 RDD Cases, wtd to US Census

W2 Race&Hispanic NC -- wt for maximizing N of Hispanics & Blacks IN SAME ANALYSIS, for new W2 RDD Cases, wtd to US Census

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	18	0.5 %
0.002152196401	-	1	0.0 %
0.003057211095	-	1	0.0 %
0.003112960353	-	1	0.0 %
0.003156142536	-	1	0.0 %
0.003430155304	-	1	0.0 %
0.003487071564	-	1	0.0 %
0.004005025245	-	1	0.0 %
0.004075533340	-	1	0.0 %
0.004810136442	-	3	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.005049590148	-	2	0.1 %
0.005145682989	-	1	0.0 %
0.005145727357	-	1	0.0 %
0.005483599429	-	1	0.0 %
0.005483646711	-	4	0.1 %
0.005812129501	-	1	0.0 %
0.006008063324	-	1	0.0 %
0.006113834716	-	2	0.1 %
0.006113887432	-	2	0.1 %
0.006225376197	-	1	0.0 %
0.006274884644	-	1	0.0 %
0.006311678588	-	1	0.0 %
0.006600611285	-	1	0.0 %
0.006921310867	-	1	0.0 %
0.007161640434	-	2	0.1 %
0.007161702184	-	3	0.1 %
0.007204526687	-	1	0.0 %
0.007550477314	-	3	0.1 %
0.007777313301	-	1	0.0 %
0.008078668294	-	1	0.0 %
0.008182972323	-	1	0.0 %
0.008225662601	-	1	0.0 %
0.008281030423	-	1	0.0 %
0.008511290717	-	1	0.0 %
0.008607205633	-	1	0.0 %
0.008718398320	-	1	0.0 %
0.008861780014	-	1	0.0 %
0.009358173758	-	1	0.0 %
0.009458892240	-	1	0.0 %
0.009561103214	-	1	0.0 %
0.009619348570	-	1	0.0 %
0.009664786205	-	1	0.0 %
0.009767629105	-	1	0.0 %
0.009784129104	-	1	0.0 %
0.009901770018	-	1	0.0 %
0.010057416088	-	1	0.0 %
0.010311644183	-	2	0.1 %
0.010608395821	-	1	0.0 %
0.010946585311	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.011198020443	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2359	64.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,302 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.986655236224
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 22.105466692638
- Standard Deviation: 1.901969130288

Location: 232-246 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

RACWT2NC: *W2 Race NC* -- wt for maximizing N of Blacks for new W2 RDD cases, wtd to US Census

W2 Race NC -- wt for maximizing N of Blacks for new W2 RDD cases, wtd to US Census

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	18	0.5 %
0.001511478897	-	1	0.0 %
0.002267416651	-	1	0.0 %
0.002856188837	-	1	0.0 %
0.004003561884	-	1	0.0 %
0.004057237620	-	1	0.0 %
0.004131222694	-	1	0.0 %
0.004188529949	-	1	0.0 %
0.004534397595	-	1	0.0 %
0.004534436692	-	2	0.1 %
0.004552173438	-	1	0.0 %
0.004784134248	-	1	0.0 %
0.004784175499	-	1	0.0 %
0.004873419240	-	1	0.0 %
0.004949520788	-	1	0.0 %
0.005374929167	-	2	0.1 %
0.005374975512	-	4	0.1 %
0.005408657327	-	1	0.0 %
0.005476724086	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.005579528555	-	1	0.0 %
0.006059526104	-	2	0.1 %
0.006212841256	-	1	0.0 %
0.006383552174	-	3	0.1 %
0.006400899523	-	2	0.1 %
0.006400954714	-	4	0.1 %
0.006498460715	-	2	0.1 %
0.006701332187	-	2	0.1 %
0.006828857399	-	1	0.0 %
0.006828916279	-	1	0.0 %
0.006868511006	-	1	0.0 %
0.006882862998	-	1	0.0 %
0.007165945446	-	1	0.0 %
0.007277307718	-	1	0.0 %
0.007277370465	-	4	0.1 %
0.007300958591	-	1	0.0 %
0.007713301349	-	1	0.0 %
0.007863309683	-	1	0.0 %
0.008113695601	-	2	0.1 %
0.008113765560	-	2	0.1 %
0.008114328186	-	1	0.0 %
0.008197368212	-	1	0.0 %
0.008261722767	-	1	0.0 %
0.008327425633	-	1	0.0 %
0.008376255030	-	1	0.0 %
0.008759698820	-	1	0.0 %
0.009185300575	-	1	0.0 %
0.009250796531	-	1	0.0 %
0.009252187169	-	1	0.0 %
0.009504242948	-	2	0.1 %
0.009504324897	-	3	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2359	64.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,302 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.986831211240
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 21.074478293140
- Standard Deviation: 1.719160225161

Location: 247-261 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

HISWT2NC: *W2 Hispanic NC* -- wt for maximizing N of Hispanics for new W2 RDD cases, wtd to US Census

W2 Hispanic NC -- wt for maximizing N of Hispanics for new W2 RDD cases, wtd to US Census

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	18	0.5 %
0.002594289717	-	1	0.0 %
0.003120907547	-	1	0.0 %
0.003685207959	-	1	0.0 %
0.003686980781	-	1	0.0 %
0.003752408949	-	1	0.0 %
0.003804461397	-	1	0.0 %
0.003966312348	-	1	0.0 %
0.004134760484	-	1	0.0 %
0.004203368195	-	1	0.0 %
0.004912708817	-	1	0.0 %
0.005798210379	-	3	0.1 %
0.005949988898	-	1	0.0 %
0.006086851455	-	2	0.1 %
0.006202683203	-	1	0.0 %
0.006202736685	-	1	0.0 %
0.006570184488	-	1	0.0 %
0.006610012732	-	1	0.0 %
0.006610069725	-	4	0.1 %
0.007006027792	-	1	0.0 %
0.007369707769	-	2	0.1 %
0.007369771312	-	2	0.1 %
0.007440569476	-	2	0.1 %
0.007504161537	-	1	0.0 %
0.007563839759	-	1	0.0 %
0.007608191730	-	1	0.0 %
0.007783435013	-	4	0.1 %
0.007956475522	-	1	0.0 %
0.008343051592	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.008632748446	-	2	0.1 %
0.008632822880	-	3	0.1 %
0.008758638217	-	1	0.0 %
0.009101458234	-	3	0.1 %
0.009362722640	-	4	0.1 %
0.009374889724	-	1	0.0 %
0.009738147538	-	1	0.0 %
0.009863877173	-	1	0.0 %
0.009915336673	-	1	0.0 %
0.009982077873	-	1	0.0 %
0.010375254348	-	1	0.0 %
0.010505854209	-	1	0.0 %
0.010509287675	-	1	0.0 %
0.010682122113	-	1	0.0 %
0.011060846973	-	1	0.0 %
0.011060942343	-	2	0.1 %
0.011280482553	-	1	0.0 %
0.011401890117	-	1	0.0 %
0.011525096752	-	1	0.0 %
0.011595306575	-	1	0.0 %
0.011650077780	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2359	64.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,302 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.986174187160
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 19.459201042827
- Standard Deviation: 1.809500103227

Location: 262-276 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

USAWT3CC: **W3 USA WEIGHT -- CC-- Combined Cases** Use for analyses involving ALL WAVE 3 CASES COMBINED (see Methods Report)

W3 USA WEIGHT -- CC-- Combined Cases** Use for analyses involving ALL WAVE 3 CASES COMBINED (see Methods Report)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.00000000000000	-	28	0.8 %
0.001896197771	-	1	0.0 %
0.002309306530	-	1	0.0 %
0.002314629333	-	1	0.0 %
0.003034509670	-	1	0.0 %
0.003792395542	-	1	0.0 %
0.003838770587	-	1	0.0 %
0.004166687713	-	1	0.0 %
0.004556709632	-	1	0.0 %
0.004620821151	-	1	0.0 %
0.004891018457	-	1	0.0 %
0.004909449901	-	1	0.0 %
0.004950670466	-	1	0.0 %
0.004955647629	-	1	0.0 %
0.005189207595	-	1	0.0 %
0.005583230139	-	1	0.0 %
0.005590132132	-	2	0.1 %
0.005648856033	-	1	0.0 %
0.005897526141	-	1	0.0 %
0.005952951503	-	1	0.0 %
0.006058174314	-	1	0.0 %
0.006069019339	-	3	0.1 %
0.006090036797	-	1	0.0 %
0.006183833903	-	1	0.0 %
0.006308757478	-	1	0.0 %
0.006326560812	-	1	0.0 %
0.007573560461	-	1	0.0 %
0.008103297688	-	1	0.0 %
0.008177024698	-	1	0.0 %
0.008489648193	-	6	0.2 %
0.008812234924	-	1	0.0 %
0.008864450275	-	1	0.0 %
0.008887931386	-	1	0.0 %
0.009382961452	-	1	0.0 %
0.009476567311	-	1	0.0 %
0.009489032816	-	2	0.1 %
0.009648471609	-	1	0.0 %
0.009782036915	-	3	0.1 %
0.009785278118	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.009901340932	-	1	0.0 %
0.010001793862	-	1	0.0 %
0.010021549616	-	1	0.0 %
0.010341898494	-	1	0.0 %
0.010378415189	-	1	0.0 %
0.010418241785	-	1	0.0 %
0.010705533047	-	2	0.1 %
0.010970580050	-	1	0.0 %
0.010976304372	-	1	0.0 %
0.011305293094	-	1	0.0 %
0.011359372949	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 1.000366425139
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 20.902781044682
- Standard Deviation: 1.627348040346

Location: 277-291 (*width:* 15; *decimal:* 12)

Variable Type: numeric

RHWT3CC: W3 Black & Hispanic Combined Cases Use for analyses in which BOTH Black and Hispanic R's are weighted to actual N's in survey, for all Wave 3 cases

*W3 Black & Hispanic -- CC-- Combined Cases** Use for analyses in which BOTH Black and Hispanic R's are weighted to actual N's in survey, for all Wave 3 cases.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	28	0.8 %
0.001835667761	-	1	0.0 %
0.001839898857	-	1	0.0 %
0.002412131736	-	1	0.0 %
0.003051438740	-	1	0.0 %
0.003280991003	-	1	0.0 %
0.003312100063	-	1	0.0 %
0.003622128486	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.003673090733	-	1	0.0 %
0.003902521727	-	1	0.0 %
0.003935287953	-	1	0.0 %
0.003939244300	-	1	0.0 %
0.004124901117	-	1	0.0 %
0.004438109637	-	1	0.0 %
0.004443596032	-	2	0.1 %
0.004490275661	-	1	0.0 %
0.004732001150	-	1	0.0 %
0.004815642762	-	1	0.0 %
0.004824263473	-	3	0.1 %
0.004840970250	-	1	0.0 %
0.004915529569	-	1	0.0 %
0.005028983190	-	1	0.0 %
0.006020223211	-	1	0.0 %
0.006441311332	-	1	0.0 %
0.006561982007	-	1	0.0 %
0.006748421349	-	6	0.2 %
0.007004845541	-	1	0.0 %
0.007046351524	-	1	0.0 %
0.007065016659	-	1	0.0 %
0.007458516058	-	1	0.0 %
0.007532923356	-	1	0.0 %
0.007542832185	-	2	0.1 %
0.007870575907	-	1	0.0 %
0.008220775113	-	1	0.0 %
0.008249802234	-	1	0.0 %
0.008281460395	-	1	0.0 %
0.008365108867	-	1	0.0 %
0.008720514082	-	1	0.0 %
0.008986577481	-	1	0.0 %
0.009029565557	-	2	0.1 %
0.009053778572	-	2	0.1 %
0.009464002299	-	1	0.0 %
0.009631285525	-	1	0.0 %
0.009731235033	-	1	0.0 %
0.009829384342	-	1	0.0 %
0.009845871001	-	1	0.0 %
0.010086538959	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.010147563669	-	1	0.0 %
0.010149776124	-	1	0.0 %
0.010741846223	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 1.000544730508
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 21.041710820250
- Standard Deviation: 1.795289430671

Location: 292-306 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

RACWT3CC: *W3 Black -- CC -- Combined Cases* Use for separate analyses of Black R's in Wave 3, so that N in weighted analysis=N of Blacks interviewed in W3

W3 Black -- CC -- Combined Cases Use for separate analyses of Black R's in Wave 3, so that N in weighted analysis=N of Blacks interviewed in W3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	28	0.8 %
0.002143267391	-	1	0.0 %
0.002148207484	-	1	0.0 %
0.002816328424	-	1	0.0 %
0.003152239175	-	1	0.0 %
0.003562762982	-	1	0.0 %
0.003867102866	-	1	0.0 %
0.004229082209	-	1	0.0 %
0.004288584110	-	1	0.0 %
0.004539354230	-	1	0.0 %
0.004556460454	-	1	0.0 %
0.004594717259	-	1	0.0 %
0.004599336564	-	1	0.0 %
0.004816103569	-	1	0.0 %
0.005181795892	-	1	0.0 %
0.005188201632	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.005242703285	-	1	0.0 %
0.005473494012	-	1	0.0 %
0.005524934290	-	1	0.0 %
0.005622591581	-	1	0.0 %
0.005632656849	-	3	0.1 %
0.005652163151	-	1	0.0 %
0.005739216245	-	1	0.0 %
0.005855157815	-	1	0.0 %
0.005871681090	-	1	0.0 %
0.006304478349	-	1	0.0 %
0.007029021464	-	1	0.0 %
0.007520670584	-	1	0.0 %
0.007879242488	-	6	0.2 %
0.008178635233	-	1	0.0 %
0.008227096300	-	1	0.0 %
0.008248889120	-	1	0.0 %
0.008622099830	-	1	0.0 %
0.008708326524	-	1	0.0 %
0.008795202122	-	1	0.0 %
0.008806771356	-	2	0.1 %
0.008954746500	-	1	0.0 %
0.009078708460	-	3	0.1 %
0.009081716621	-	1	0.0 %
0.009189434519	-	1	0.0 %
0.009282664883	-	1	0.0 %
0.009290501042	-	1	0.0 %
0.009301000199	-	1	0.0 %
0.009598315992	-	1	0.0 %
0.009632207137	-	1	0.0 %
0.009669170200	-	1	0.0 %
0.009935805221	-	2	0.1 %
0.010181795344	-	1	0.0 %
0.010492442521	-	1	0.0 %
0.010542634034	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 1.000431029602
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 20.215997264973
- Standard Deviation: 1.692855237633

Location: 307-321 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

HISWT3CC: W3 Hispanic Combined Cases* Use for separate analyses of Hispanic R's in Wave 3, so that N in weighted analysis=N of Hispanics interviewed in W3

W3 Hispanic -- CC -- Combined Cases Use for separate analyses of Hispanic R's in Wave 3, so that N in weighted analysis=N of Hispanics interviewed in W3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	28	0.8 %
0.001710560009	-	1	0.0 %
0.002083225421	-	1	0.0 %
0.002088027121	-	1	0.0 %
0.002737431173	-	1	0.0 %
0.003421120019	-	1	0.0 %
0.003462954947	-	1	0.0 %
0.003758768986	-	1	0.0 %
0.004110607759	-	1	0.0 %
0.004168442760	-	1	0.0 %
0.004428814756	-	1	0.0 %
0.004465999827	-	1	0.0 %
0.004470489726	-	1	0.0 %
0.004681184171	-	1	0.0 %
0.005036631908	-	1	0.0 %
0.005042858196	-	2	0.1 %
0.005095833028	-	1	0.0 %
0.005370157551	-	1	0.0 %
0.005465079049	-	1	0.0 %
0.005474862346	-	3	0.1 %
0.005493822194	-	1	0.0 %
0.005578436564	-	1	0.0 %
0.005707190509	-	1	0.0 %
0.006832108892	-	1	0.0 %
0.007309984845	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.007376493980	-	1	0.0 %
0.007658511635	-	6	0.2 %
0.007949517125	-	1	0.0 %
0.007996620593	-	1	0.0 %
0.008017802904	-	1	0.0 %
0.008255060952	-	1	0.0 %
0.008464369526	-	1	0.0 %
0.008548811372	-	1	0.0 %
0.008560056503	-	2	0.1 %
0.008931999655	-	1	0.0 %
0.009329426631	-	1	0.0 %
0.009362368342	-	1	0.0 %
0.009398295914	-	1	0.0 %
0.009896560263	-	1	0.0 %
0.009901724174	-	1	0.0 %
0.009953844621	-	1	0.0 %
0.010198504900	-	1	0.0 %
0.010247290337	-	2	0.1 %
0.010263360056	-	1	0.0 %
0.010274768713	-	2	0.1 %
0.010437360122	-	1	0.0 %
0.010647920871	-	1	0.0 %
0.010740315102	-	1	0.0 %
0.010762934355	-	2	0.1 %
0.010930158098	-	1	0.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 1.000311180484
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 19.235204844027
- Standard Deviation: 1.696656154795

Location: 322-336 (*width:* 15; *decimal:* 12)

Variable Type: numeric

USAWT3RC: *W3 USA Weight, WAVE 3 -- RC -- Recall Cases ** All R's in Wave 3 who were interviewed in either W1 or W2

*W3 USA Weight, WAVE 3 -- RC -- Recall Cases ** All R's in Wave 3 who were interviewed in either W1 or W2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.00000000000000	-	30	0.8 %
0.002336207479	-	1	0.0 %
0.002347497879	-	1	0.0 %
0.003089566549	-	1	0.0 %
0.004205531685	-	1	0.0 %
0.004599189587	-	1	0.0 %
0.004718072900	-	1	0.0 %
0.005003793730	-	1	0.0 %
0.005019878934	-	1	0.0 %
0.005067973691	-	1	0.0 %
0.005298421845	-	1	0.0 %
0.005636456551	-	1	0.0 %
0.005691557160	-	2	0.1 %
0.005767744238	-	1	0.0 %
0.006036171475	-	1	0.0 %
0.006148094731	-	1	0.0 %
0.006179133098	-	3	0.1 %
0.006195490547	-	1	0.0 %
0.006241482734	-	1	0.0 %
0.006439991080	-	1	0.0 %
0.006678183183	-	1	0.0 %
0.007644165027	-	1	0.0 %
0.007831436560	-	1	0.0 %
0.008178840733	-	1	0.0 %
0.008522325475	-	1	0.0 %
0.008668324907	-	6	0.2 %
0.008829868171	-	1	0.0 %
0.009499189546	-	1	0.0 %
0.009659163723	-	2	0.1 %
0.010039757869	-	1	0.0 %
0.010512409406	-	1	0.0 %
0.010517561643	-	1	0.0 %
0.010596843689	-	1	0.0 %
0.010925642260	-	1	0.0 %
0.011081080506	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.011348104699	-	1	0.0 %
0.011465270776	-	2	0.1 %
0.011483809137	-	1	0.0 %
0.011496015197	-	2	0.1 %
0.011948411356	-	1	0.0 %
0.012072342949	-	1	0.0 %
0.012390981094	-	1	0.0 %
0.012411086363	-	1	0.0 %
0.012474093000	-	1	0.0 %
0.012480838898	-	1	0.0 %
0.012915855229	-	1	0.0 %
0.013037353869	-	1	0.0 %
0.013356366366	-	1	0.0 %
0.013539477560	-	1	0.0 %
0.014022946585	-	1	0.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2827	77.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 834 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.977062741520
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 12.695515201886
- Standard Deviation: 1.328291567718

Location: 337-351 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

USAWT3RD: *USA WEIGHT, WAVE 3 -- RD -- New, RDD Cases ** All R's in Wave 3 who were first interviewed in W3 (not in W1 or W2)

*USA WEIGHT, WAVE 3 -- RD -- New, RDD Cases ** All R's in Wave 3 who were first interviewed in W3 (not in W1 or W2)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000	-	16	0.4 %
0.001816058175	-	1	0.0 %
0.003632116350	-	1	0.0 %
0.003874557551	-	1	0.0 %
0.004410404903	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.005934558742	-	1	0.0 %
0.007819995644	-	4	0.1 %
0.008820809807	-	3	0.1 %
0.008823732514	-	1	0.0 %
0.008970789184	-	1	0.0 %
0.009051015232	-	1	0.0 %
0.009564912671	-	1	0.0 %
0.010440490552	-	1	0.0 %
0.010520181526	-	2	0.1 %
0.010872294253	-	2	0.1 %
0.010896349049	-	1	0.0 %
0.011072853353	-	1	0.0 %
0.011737605398	-	2	0.1 %
0.011764410627	-	1	0.0 %
0.011797363062	-	1	0.0 %
0.011869117485	-	3	0.1 %
0.012563292997	-	1	0.0 %
0.012721389621	-	1	0.0 %
0.014148266628	-	1	0.0 %
0.014322110695	-	1	0.0 %
0.014348658425	-	1	0.0 %
0.014528465399	-	2	0.1 %
0.015298864236	-	1	0.0 %
0.015639991288	-	1	0.0 %
0.016268776860	-	1	0.0 %
0.016590314436	-	1	0.0 %
0.016667483220	-	1	0.0 %
0.017401992134	-	1	0.0 %
0.017602113262	-	2	0.1 %
0.017682138004	-	1	0.0 %
0.017941578367	-	1	0.0 %
0.019162933730	-	1	0.0 %
0.019168163892	-	2	0.1 %
0.019334030221	-	2	0.1 %
0.019335767799	-	3	0.1 %
0.019552311229	-	1	0.0 %
0.020955876505	-	3	0.1 %
0.021040363051	-	6	0.2 %
0.021744588506	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.021988222203	-	4	0.1 %
0.022108701132	-	1	0.0 %
0.022109764920	-	1	0.0 %
0.022634798916	-	3	0.1 %
0.022816275359	-	1	0.0 %
0.022944327504	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2703	73.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 958 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 1.000992591317
- Minimum: 0.000000000000
- Maximum: 21.513087989155
- Standard Deviation: 1.845036832218

Location: 352-366 (width: 15; decimal: 12)

Variable Type: numeric

SEC4: Concerned Another Attack

I'd like to start by asking you some questions about your feelings since the terrorist attacks on September 11th. All in all, how concerned are you that the United States might suffer another terrorist attack in the next 3 months? Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	550	15.0 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	673	18.4 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	169	4.6 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	51	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,443 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 367-367 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

SEC5: Area Another Attack

How concerned are you that the area in which you live might suffer a terrorist attack in the next 3 months? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	259	7.1 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	357	9.8 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	488	13.3 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	339	9.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	6	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,443 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 368-368 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

SEC8: Bio Terrorism

How concerned are you) that you or someone in your family might become a victim of a bio-terrorist attack (such as anthrax or smallpox)? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	405	11.1 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	428	11.7 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	377	10.3 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	235	6.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,445 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 369-369 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

F1: Personal Fear 1: Concerned Flying Airplane

(How concerned are you about) flying on airplane? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	418	11.4 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	291	7.9 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	286	7.8 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	437	11.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	14	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	4	0.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,432 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 370-370 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

F2: Personal Fear 2: Opening Mail

(How concerned are you about) opening your mail? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	161	4.4 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	303	8.3 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	403	11.0 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	579	15.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	4	0.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,446 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 371-371 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

F3: Personal Fear 3: Safety Food Drinking Water

How concerned are you about) the safety of food and drinking water? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	279	7.6 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	397	10.8 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	375	10.2 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	395	10.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	4	0.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,446 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 372-372 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

F5: Personal Fear 5: Tall Buildings (NB! There is no f4 variable)

(How concerned are you about) going into tall buildings? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	240	6.6 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	309	8.4 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	374	10.2 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	505	13.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	17	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	5	0.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,428 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 373-373 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

F6: Personal Fear 6: Crowds or Stadiums

(How concerned are you about) being in large crowds or stadiums? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	229	6.3 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	381	10.4 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	367	10.0 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	451	12.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	15	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,428 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 374-374 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CT2A: Cause of Terror: Support Israel

People have different opinions about the root causes of terrorism against the United States. As I list some possible causes, please tell me whether you think it is a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause. The U.S. government's support of Israel. Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	583	15.9 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	581	15.9 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	133	3.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	70	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	72	2.0 %
9	REFUSED	11	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,367 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 375-375 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CT2C: Cause of Terror: Economic Aid

The U.S. not providing enough economic aid to poor countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	247	6.7 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	449	12.3 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	384	10.5 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	313	8.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	41	1.1 %
9	REFUSED	16	0.4 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,393 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 376-376 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CT2D: Cause of Terror: The Islamic religion

The Islamic religion. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	343	9.4 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	390	10.7 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	261	7.1 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	338	9.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	105	2.9 %
9	REFUSED	13	0.4 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,332 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 377-377 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CT2E: Cause of Terror: U.S. Pursuing Own Interests

The U.S. pursuing only its own economic interests in its dealings with other countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	473	12.9 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	546	14.9 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	209	5.7 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	141	3.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	64	1.7 %
9	REFUSED	17	0.5 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,369 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 378-378 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CT2F: Cause of Terror: Terrorists Grievances

Terrorists believing that it is the only way that their grievances will be heard. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	675	18.4 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	398	10.9 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	114	3.1 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	173	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	73	2.0 %
9	REFUSED	17	0.5 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,360 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 379-379 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CT2G: Cause of Terror: U.S. Meddling

The U.S. meddling too much in the affairs of other countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	502	13.7 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	552	15.1 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	183	5.0 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	149	4.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	49	1.3 %
9	REFUSED	15	0.4 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,386 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 380-380 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CT2H: Cause of Terror: Feelings of Revenge

Feelings of revenge by terrorists for harms done to their people. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	626	17.1 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	484	13.2 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	142	3.9 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	123	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	59	1.6 %
9	REFUSED	16	0.4 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,375 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 381-381 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CT1A: Cause of Terror: Overall Responsibility U.S. Bears

How much responsibility do you personally believe the U.S. bears for the hatred that lead to the terrorist attacks? Would you say a lot of responsibility, some, a little, or none at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A LOT	325	8.9 %
2	SOME	450	12.3 %
3	A LITTLE	313	8.5 %
4	NONE AT ALL	328	9.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	26	0.7 %
9	REFUSED/NO ANSWER	8	0.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,416 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 382-382 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

DG1: Dogmatism 1: Truth

Next, I would like to read you a series of statements and have you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with each. The first is, there are two kinds of people in this world: those who are for the truth and those who are against it. Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	536	14.6 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	484	13.2 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	216	5.9 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	173	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT AGREE	31	0.8 %
9	REFUSED	10	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,409 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 383-383 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

DG2: Dogmatism 2: Tolerates Differences

A group which tolerates too many difference of opinions among its members cannot exist for long. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	388	10.6 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	367	10.0 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	258	7.0 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	402	11.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT AGREE	25	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	10	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,415 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 384-384 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

DG3: Dogmatism 3: Compromise Political Opponents

To compromise with our political opponents is dangerous because it usually leads to the betrayal of our own side. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	323	8.8 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	421	11.5 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	364	9.9 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	245	6.7 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT AGREE	78	2.1 %
9	REFUSED	19	0.5 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,353 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 385-385 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

DG4: Dogmatism 4: Different Philosophies

Of all the different philosophies that exist in the world there is probably only one that is correct. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	182	5.0 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	162	4.4 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	271	7.4 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	794	21.7 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT AGREE	30	0.8 %
9	REFUSED	11	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,409 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 386-386 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

DG5: Dogmatism 5: Pick Friends Associates

In the long run the best way to live is to pick friends and associates whose tastes and beliefs are the same as one's own. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	196	5.4 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	331	9.0 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	356	9.7 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	546	14.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT AGREE	14	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,429 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 387-387 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

DG6: Dogmatism 6: Ideas Nowadays

Most of the ideas that get printed nowadays aren't worth the paper they are printed on. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	290	7.9 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	414	11.3 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	378	10.3 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	311	8.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT AGREE	44	1.2 %
9	REFUSED	13	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,393 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 388-388 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

DN10: Democ norms: Suspected Treason

If someone is suspected of treason or other serious crimes, he should be entitled to be released on bail. Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	74	2.0 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	151	4.1 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	288	7.9 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	876	23.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT AGREE	51	1.4 %
9	REFUSED	10	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,389 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 389-389 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

DN11: Democ norms: Country Great Danger

When the country is in great danger we may have to force people to testify against themselves in court even if it violates their rights. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	264	7.2 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	293	8.0 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	277	7.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	542	14.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT AGREE	50	1.4 %
9	REFUSED	24	0.7 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,376 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 390-390 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

DN12: Democ norms: Political Beliefs

No matter what a person's political beliefs are, he is entitled to the same legal rights and protections as anyone else. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	1010	27.6 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	262	7.2 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	80	2.2 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	72	2.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT AGREE	16	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	10	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,424 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 391-391 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

DN13: Democ norms: Free Speech Allowed

Free speech ought to be allowed for all political groups even if some of the things they say are highly insulting and threatening to some segments of society. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	584	16.0 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	424	11.6 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	235	6.4 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	171	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT AGREE	23	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	13	0.4 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,414 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 392-392 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

DN14: Democ norms: Hides Behind Law

Any person who hides behind the laws when he is questioned about his activities doesn't deserve much consideration. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	346	9.5 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	385	10.5 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	369	10.1 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	278	7.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT AGREE	57	1.6 %
9	REFUSED	15	0.4 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,378 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 393-393 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

GT1: Trust Federal Government_w1

The next set of questions are about trust. How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right? Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JUST ABOUT ALWAYS	122	3.3 %
2	MOST OF THE TIME	607	16.6 %
3	SOME OF THE TIME	629	17.2 %
4	NONE OF THE TIME	73	2.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT AGREE	10	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	9	0.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,431 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 394-394 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

GT2: Govt Few Big Interests

Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves, or that it is run for the benefit of all people.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS	643	17.6 %
5	RUN FOR BENEFIT OF ALL PEOPLE	661	18.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	109	3.0 %
9	REFUSED	37	1.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,304 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 395-395 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

FIP1: Faith-in-People 1: People Trusted

Some people say that most people can be trusted. Others say you can't be too careful in your dealings with people. Which of these opinions comes closest to your own?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED	569	15.5 %
5	YOU CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL	792	21.6 %
7	DEPENDS/(R VOLUNTEERS)	55	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	23	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	11	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,416 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 396-396 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

FIP2: Faith-in-People 2: People Helpful_w1

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PEOPLE TRY TO BE HELPFUL	909	24.8 %
5	LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES	431	11.8 %
7	DEPENDS/(R VOLUNTEERS)	89	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	13	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	8	0.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,429 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 397-397 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

GT3: Trust Law Enforcement_w1

How much of the time do you think you can trust law enforcement to do what is right? (Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JUST ABOUT ALWAYS	212	5.8 %
2	MOST OF THE TIME	799	21.8 %
3	SOME OF THE TIME	380	10.4 %
4	NONE OF THE TIME	45	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	8	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	6	0.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,436 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 398-398 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

GT4: Confidence Law Enforcement

Since the terrorist attacks, has your confidence in law enforcement increased, decreased, or has stayed about the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	INCREASED	431	11.8 %
2	DECREASED	46	1.3 %
3	STAYED ABOUT THE SAME	966	26.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	6	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,443 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 399-399 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

FT1A: Feeling Thermo: Middle Eastern or Arabic Backgrounds

People of Middle Eastern or Arabic backgrounds? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	COLD	30	0.8 %
1	-	17	0.5 %
2	-	38	1.0 %
3	-	45	1.2 %
4	-	48	1.3 %
5	-	556	15.2 %
6	-	92	2.5 %
7	-	140	3.8 %
8	-	154	4.2 %
9	-	65	1.8 %
10	WARM	186	5.1 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	40	1.1 %
99	REFUSED	39	1.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 6.13
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.35

Location: 400-401 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

FT1B: Feeling Thermo: White

People who are white? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	COLD	6	0.2 %
1	-	9	0.2 %
2	-	5	0.1 %
3	-	9	0.2 %
4	-	21	0.6 %
5	-	417	11.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	60	1.6 %
7	-	160	4.4 %
8	-	241	6.6 %
9	-	134	3.7 %
10	WARM	343	9.4 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	8	0.2 %
99	REFUSED	37	1.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,405 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 7.30
- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.16

Location: 402-403 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

FT1C: Feeling Thermo: Islamic Fundamentalists_w1

People who are Islamic Fundamentalists? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	COLD	93	2.5 %
1	-	64	1.7 %
2	-	95	2.6 %
3	-	127	3.5 %
4	-	95	2.6 %
5	-	531	14.5 %
6	-	62	1.7 %
7	-	94	2.6 %
8	-	73	2.0 %
9	-	28	0.8 %
10	WARM	93	2.5 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	56	1.5 %
99	REFUSED	39	1.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,355 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 4.77
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.52

Location: 404-405 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

FT1D: Feeling Thermo: Hispanics or Latinos

People who are Hispanics or Latinos? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	COLD	10	0.3 %
1	-	3	0.1 %
2	-	7	0.2 %
3	-	17	0.5 %
4	-	17	0.5 %
5	-	430	11.7 %
6	-	82	2.2 %
7	-	175	4.8 %
8	-	220	6.0 %
9	-	112	3.1 %
10	WARM	324	8.9 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	19	0.5 %
99	REFUSED	34	0.9 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,397 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 7.17
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00

- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.17

Location: 406-407 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

FT1E: Feeling Thermo: Jewish

People who are Jewish? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	COLD	11	0.3 %
1	-	10	0.3 %
2	-	6	0.2 %
3	-	16	0.4 %
4	-	25	0.7 %
5	-	463	12.6 %
6	-	64	1.7 %
7	-	174	4.8 %
8	-	208	5.7 %
9	-	110	3.0 %
10	WARM	307	8.4 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	23	0.6 %
99	REFUSED	33	0.9 %
-	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,394 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 7.04
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.22

Location: 408-409 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

FT1G: Feeling Thermo: Christian Fundamentalists

People who are Christian fundamentalists? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	COLD	31	0.8 %
1	-	15	0.4 %
2	-	31	0.8 %
3	-	69	1.9 %
4	-	78	2.1 %
5	-	432	11.8 %
6	-	70	1.9 %
7	-	147	4.0 %
8	-	172	4.7 %
9	-	98	2.7 %
10	WARM	248	6.8 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	20	0.5 %
99	REFUSED	39	1.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,391 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 6.43
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.51

Location: 410-411 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

FT1H: Feeling Thermo: Black or African American

People who are Black or African American? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	COLD	4	0.1 %
1	-	5	0.1 %
2	-	8	0.2 %
3	-	11	0.3 %
4	-	11	0.3 %
5	-	374	10.2 %
6	-	59	1.6 %
7	-	154	4.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	-	244	6.7 %
9	-	129	3.5 %
10	WARM	410	11.2 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	9	0.2 %
99	REFUSED	32	0.9 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,409 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 7.53
- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.14

Location: 412-413 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W2CD4AA2: White -- used in interim calculations for race/ethnicity weights

R is White

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	yes white	956	26.1 %
5	no, not white	294	8.0 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	3	0.1 %
9	refused	26	0.7 %
.	-	2382	65.1 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,250 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 414-414 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

I1: True American: Born in America

Next, I would like to read you some things that some people say are important to be considered a true American. I would like to know what you think.

To be a true American, how important is it to be born in America? Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not important at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT	429	11.7 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	388	10.6 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	278	7.6 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	344	9.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	5	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	6	0.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,439 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 415-415 (*width:* 1; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

I3: True American: Speak English

(To be a true American how important is it) to be able to speak English? (Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not important at all?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT	883	24.1 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	400	10.9 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	89	2.4 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	73	2.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	2	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,445 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 416-416 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

I4: True American: Christian

(To be a true American how important is it) to be a Christian? (Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not important at all?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT	381	10.4 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	257	7.0 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	346	9.5 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	444	12.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	10	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	12	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,428 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 417-417 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

I6: True American: White or Caucasian

(To be a true American, how important is it) to be white or Caucasian? (Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not important at all?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT	117	3.2 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	149	4.1 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	348	9.5 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	801	21.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	18	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	17	0.5 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,415 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 418-418 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

I7: True American: Willing to Serve in Military

(To be a true American, how important is it) to be willing to serve the United States in times of war? (Would you say very important, somewhat important, not very important, or not important at all?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT	965	26.4 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	330	9.0 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	63	1.7 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	70	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	10	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	12	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,428 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 419-419 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

IC1: Immigration 1: Number Other Nationalities

Generally speaking, do you feel there are too many, a lot but not too many, or not that many people of another nationality living in our country?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	TOO MANY	511	14.0 %
2	A LOT BUT NOT TOO MANY	697	19.0 %
3	NOT THAT MANY PEOPLE	165	4.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	56	1.5 %
9	REFUSED	21	0.6 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,373 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 420-420 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

IC2: Immigration 2: Legal Immigration

In your opinion, should legal immigration in the United States be increased, kept at its present level, or decreased?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	BE INCREASED	201	5.5 %
2	KEPT AT ITS PRESENT LEVEL	634	17.3 %
3	DECREASED	533	14.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	64	1.7 %
9	REFUSED	18	0.5 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,368 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 421-421 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

P1: National Pride_w1

How proud are you to be an American? Would you say very proud, proud, somewhat proud, not very proud, or not proud at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY PROUD	1100	30.0 %
2	PROUD	206	5.6 %
3	SOMEWHAT PROUD	85	2.3 %
4	NOT VERY PROUD	20	0.5 %
5	NOT PROUD AT ALL	10	0.3 %
7	RESPONDENT NOT AN AMERICAN	8	0.2 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DO NOT KNOW	9	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	12	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,429 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 422-422 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL1: CL1: Give Up Civil Liberties

Next I am going to read you a series of two statements. Please tell me which one you agree with most. The first is, in order to curb terrorism in this country, it will be necessary to give up some civil liberties. -or- We should preserve our freedoms above all, even if there remains some risk of terrorism. (Which statement do you agree with most?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Necessary to give up some civil liberties	618	16.9 %
5	We should preserve our freedoms	767	21.0 %
7	IT DEPENDS/NEITHER (R volunteers)	23	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	24	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	18	0.5 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,408 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 423-423 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL1FLUP: CL1flup: Follow-Up Civil Liberties

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (In order to curb terrorism in this country, it will be necessary to give up some civil liberties. -or- We should preserve our freedoms above all, even if there remains some risk of terrorism.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Necessary to give up some civil liberties	13	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	We should preserve our freedoms	12	0.3 %
7	IT DEPENDS/NEITHER (R volunteers)	8	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	13	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	3614	98.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 33 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 424-424 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL2: CL2: National Identity Card_w1

Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times to show to a police officer upon request. -or- Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's freedom of association and right to privacy. (Which statement do you agree with most?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	People required to carry national ID card	734	20.0 %
5	ID cards violate freedom of association and right of privacy	627	17.1 %
7	IT DEPENDS/NEITHER (R volunteers)	43	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	28	0.8 %
9	REFUSED	18	0.5 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,404 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 425-425 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

C2FLUP: CL2flup: Follow Up-National Identity Card

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times to show to a police officer on request. -or- Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's freedom of association and right to privacy).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	People required to carry national ID card	28	0.8 %
5	ID cards violate freedom of association and right of privacy	16	0.4 %
7	IT DEPENDS/NEITHER (R volunteers)	17	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	10	0.3 %
.	-	3590	98.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 61 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 426-426 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL4: CL4: Crime to Belong to Organizations

Some people say it should be a crime for anyone to belong to or contribute money to any organization that supports international terrorism. Others say that a person's guilt or innocence should not be determined only by who they associate with or the organizations to which they belong. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TO TERRORISM	941	25.7 %
5	GUILT INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED	390	10.7 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)	37	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	37	1.0 %
9	REFUSED	45	1.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,368 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 427-427 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL4FLUP: CL4flup: Follow-Up Belong Organizations

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (It should be a crime for anyone to belong to or contribute money to any organization that supports international terrorism.) - or - (A person's guilt or innocence should not be determined only by who they associate with or the organizations to which they belong.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TO TERRORISM	29	0.8 %
5	GUILT INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED	11	0.3 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)	24	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	9	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	3587	98.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 64 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 428-428 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL4A: CL4a Counter Argument: Judged Guilty By Association

Suppose, in doing this, people would be judged guilty by association rather than by a crime they may have personally committed. If that happened, would you be less likely to view such people as committing a crime, more likely to view them as committing a crime, or would it make no difference in your answer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	142	3.9 %
5	MORE LIKELY	349	9.5 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	401	11.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	59	1.6 %
9	REFUSED	19	0.5 %
.	-	2691	73.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 892 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 429-429 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL4B: CL4b Counter Argument: Supporting Organizations

Suppose people who contribute to these organizations actually are supporting the activities and goals of terrorist organizations. If that were true, would you be less likely to view them as innocent, more likely to view them as innocent, or would it make no difference in your answer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	217	5.9 %
5	MORE LIKELY	54	1.5 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	106	2.9 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	18	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	6	0.2 %
.	-	3260	89.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 377 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 430-430 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL5: CL5: Detain Non-Citizens Indefinitely

Some people say the government should be able to arrest and detain a non-citizen indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging to a terrorist organization. Others say nobody should be held for a long period of time without being formally charged with a crime. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES	640	17.5 %
5	OPPOSE DETAINING INDIVIDUALS	722	19.7 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)	30	0.8 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	23	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	35	1.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,392 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 431-431 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL5FLUP: CL5flup: Follow-up to Detain Non-Citizens

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (The government should be able to arrest and detain a non-citizen indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging to a terrorist organization. - or - (Nobody should be held for a long period of time without being formally charged with a crime).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES	13	0.4 %
5	OPPOSE DETAINING INDIVIDUALS	15	0.4 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)	11	0.3 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	13	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	3608	98.6 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 39 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 432-432 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL5A: CL5a Counter Argument: Detained Without Being Charged

Suppose this means that many innocent people could be locked up for a long time without ever be charged with a crime. If that happened, would you be less likely to support such detention, more likely to support such detention, or would it make no difference in your answer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	271	7.4 %
5	MORE LIKELY	111	3.0 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	263	7.2 %
Missing Data			
9	REFUSED	8	0.2 %
.	-	3008	82.2 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 645 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 433-433 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL5B: CL5b Counter Argument: Suspected Terrorists

Suppose there were strong suspicions that these people are terrorists and detaining them would prevent them from committing other crimes. If that happened, would you be less likely to oppose such detention, more likely to oppose it, or would it make no difference?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	313	8.5 %
5	MORE LIKELY	168	4.6 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	231	6.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	14	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	11	0.3 %
.	-	2924	79.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 712 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 434-434 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL6: CL6: Racial Profiling_w1

Some people say that law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes. This is called racial profiling. Others think racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING	199	5.4 %
5	OPPOSE RACIAL PROFILING	1139	31.1 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)	54	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	45	1.2 %
9	REFUSED	13	0.4 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,392 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 435-435 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL6FLUP: CL6 flup: Follow-Up Racial Profiling

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (Law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes.) - or - (Racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING	18	0.5 %
5	OPPOSE RACIAL PROFILING	16	0.4 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)	23	0.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	16	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	26	0.7 %
.	-	3562	97.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 57 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 436-436 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL6A: CL6a Counter Argument: Profiling Unequal Treatment

Suppose this means that racial profiling often leads to unequal treatment of people just because of their race or national origin. If this happened, would you be less likely to support racial profiling, more likely to support it, or would this not make any difference your answer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	83	2.3 %
5	MORE LIKELY	26	0.7 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	103	2.8 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	2	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	3444	94.1 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 212 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 437-437 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL6B: CL6b Counter Argument: Profiled Groups Criminal Behavior

Suppose people from certain racial or ethnic backgrounds were actually more likely to commit crimes. If this were the case, would you be less likely to oppose racial profiling, more likely to oppose it, or would this not make any difference in your answer.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	163	4.5 %
5	MORE LIKELY	174	4.8 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	774	21.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	24	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	20	0.5 %
.	-	2506	68.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,111 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 438-438 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL7: CL7: Teachers Criticize

Some people say high school teachers have the right to criticize America's policies toward terrorism. Others say that all high school teachers should defend America's policies in order to promote loyalty to our country. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES	530	14.5 %
5	HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY	751	20.5 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)	63	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	45	1.2 %
9	REFUSED	61	1.7 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,344 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 439-439 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL7FLUP: CL7flup: Follow-Up Teachers Criticize

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (High school teachers have the right to criticize America's policies toward terrorism) - or - (All high school teachers should defend America's policies in order to promote loyalty to our country).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES	28	0.8 %
5	HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY	19	0.5 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)	36	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	22	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	3553	97.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 83 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 440-440 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL7A: Teachers Middle-Eastern

Suppose the teachers were Middle Eastern. Would you be less likely to say they have the right to criticize America's policies, more likely, or would this make no difference in your answer? Would you be less likely to say they should defend America's policies, more likely, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	86	2.3 %
5	MORE LIKELY	112	3.1 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1099	30.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	15	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	16	0.4 %
.	-	2333	63.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,297 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 441-441 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL7B: Teachers White

Suppose the teachers were White. Would you be less likely to say they have the right to criticize America's policies, more likely, or would this make no difference in your answer? Would you be less likely to say they should defend America's policies, more likely, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	35	1.0 %
5	MORE LIKELY	105	2.9 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1166	31.8 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	7	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	15	0.4 %
.	-	2333	63.7 %
Total			3,661 100%

Based upon 1,306 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 442-442 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL7C: Teachers Black or African American

Suppose the teachers were Black or African American. Would you be less likely to say they have the right to criticize America's policies, more likely, or would this make no difference in your answer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	28	0.8 %
5	MORE LIKELY	91	2.5 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1186	32.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	7	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	16	0.4 %
.	-	2333	63.7 %
Total			3,661 100%

Based upon 1,305 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 443-443 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL7D: Teachers Jewish

Suppose the teachers were Jewish. Would you be less likely to say they have the right to criticize America's policies, more likely, or would this make no difference in your answer? Would you be less likely to say they should defend America's policies, more likely, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	45	1.2 %
5	MORE LIKELY	85	2.3 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1174	32.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	7	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	17	0.5 %
.	-	2333	63.7 %
Total			3,661 100%

Based upon 1,304 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 444-444 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL7E: Teachers Hispanic

Suppose the teachers were Hispanic. Would you be less likely to say they have the right to criticize America's policies, more likely, or would this make no difference in your answer? Would you be less likely to say they should defend America's policies, more likely, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	31	0.8 %
5	MORE LIKELY	91	2.5 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1182	32.3 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	5	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	19	0.5 %
.	-	2333	63.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,304 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 445-445 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL8: CL8: Search Seizure

Some people say that law enforcement should be free to search a property without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act is being planned there. Others say that protection against searches without a warrant is a basic right that should not be given up for any reason. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT	346	9.5 %
5	OPPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT	1000	27.3 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)	41	1.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	18	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	45	1.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,387 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 446-446 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL8FLUP: CL8flup: Follow-Up Search Seizure

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? Law enforcement should be free to search a property without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act is being planned there). - or - (Protection against searches without a warrant is a basic right that should not be given up for any reason).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT	13	0.4 %
5	OPPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT	17	0.5 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)	16	0.4 %
Missing Data			

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DO NOT KNOW	12	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	3602	98.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 46 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 447-447 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL8A: Search/Seizure Middle-Eastern

Suppose the people there had a Middle-Eastern or Arabic background. Would you be less likely allow searches based solely on suspicion, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same? Would you be less likely defend the right against such searches, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	59	1.6 %
5	MORE LIKELY	99	2.7 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1190	32.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	10	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	18	0.5 %
.	-	2285	62.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,348 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 448-448 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL8B: Search/Seizure White

Suppose the people (who were being searched) were white. Would you be less likely allow searches based solely on suspicion, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same? Would you be less likely defend the right against such searches, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	30	0.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	MORE LIKELY	49	1.3 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1281	35.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	12	0.3 %
.	-	2285	62.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,360 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 449-449 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL8C: Search/Seizure Black or African American

Suppose the people (who were being searched) were Black or African American. Would you be less likely allow searches based solely on suspicion, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same? Would you be less likely defend the right against such searches, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	32	0.9 %
5	MORE LIKELY	54	1.5 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1269	34.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	6	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	15	0.4 %
.	-	2285	62.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,355 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 450-450 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL8D: Search/Seizure Jewish

Suppose the people (who were being searched) were Jewish. Would you be less likely allow searches based solely on suspicion, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same? Would you be less likely defend the right against such searches, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	40	1.1 %
5	MORE LIKELY	47	1.3 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1269	34.7 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	7	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	13	0.4 %
.	-	2285	62.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,356 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 451-451 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL8E: Search/Seizure Hispanic

Suppose the people (who were being searched) were Hispanic. Would you be less likely allow searches based solely on suspicion, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same? Would you be less likely defend the right against such searches, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	27	0.7 %
5	MORE LIKELY	52	1.4 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1277	34.9 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	7	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	13	0.4 %
.	-	2285	62.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,356 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 452-452 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL9: CL9: Wire Tapping

Some people say that government should be allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts. Others say that people's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL	451	12.3 %
5	OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL	849	23.2 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)	76	2.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	18	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	56	1.5 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,376 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 453-453 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL9FLUP: CL9flup Follow-Up: Wire Tapping

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (The government should be allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts). - or - (People's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL	13	0.4 %
5	OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATIONS/E-MAIL	36	1.0 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)	24	0.7 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	16	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	5	0.1 %
.	-	3567	97.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 73 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 454-454 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL9A: Wire Tapping Middle-Eastern

Suppose the people involved had a Middle-Eastern or Arabic background. Knowing this, would you be less likely allow the recording of calls and monitoring e-mail, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same? Knowing this, would you be less likely to oppose the recording of calls and monitoring of e-mail, more likely to oppose this, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	72	2.0 %
5	MORE LIKELY	93	2.5 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1162	31.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	10	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	12	0.3 %
.	-	2312	63.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,327 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 455-455 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL9B: Wire-Tapping White

Suppose the people involved were white. Knowing this, would you be less likely allow the recording of calls and monitoring e-mail, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same? Knowing this, would you be less likely to oppose the recording of calls and monitoring of e-mail, more likely to oppose this, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	27	0.7 %
5	MORE LIKELY	37	1.0 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1276	34.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	2	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	2312	63.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,340 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 456-456 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL9C: Wire Tapping Black or African American

Suppose the people involved were Black or African American. Knowing this, would you be less likely allow the recording of calls and monitoring e-mail, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same? Knowing this, would you be less likely to oppose the recording of calls and monitoring of e-mail, more likely to oppose this, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	21	0.6 %
5	MORE LIKELY	44	1.2 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1276	34.9 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	2312	63.2 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,341 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 457-457 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL9D: Wire-Tapping Jewish

Suppose the people involved were Jewish. Knowing this, would you be less likely allow the recording of calls and monitoring e-mail, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same? Knowing this, would you be less likely to oppose the recording of calls and monitoring of e-mail, more likely to oppose this, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	26	0.7 %
5	MORE LIKELY	41	1.1 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1274	34.8 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	2312	63.2 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,341 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 458-458 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL9E: Wire Tapping Hispanic

Suppose the people involved were Hispanic. Knowing this, would you be less likely allow the recording of calls and monitoring e-mail, more likely to allow this, or would your opinion remain the same? Knowing this, would you be less likely to oppose the recording of calls and monitoring of e-mail, more likely to oppose this, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	20	0.5 %
5	MORE LIKELY	42	1.1 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1277	34.9 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	2312	63.2 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,339 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 459-459 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL10: CL10: Non-Violent Protest

Some say that people who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated. Others say that people have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS	139	3.8 %
5	RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC	1224	33.4 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)	20	0.5 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	15	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	52	1.4 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,383 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 460-460 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL10FLUP: CL10flup: Follow-Up Protests

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (People who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated). - or - (People have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS	6	0.2 %
5	RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC	14	0.4 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE.(R VOLUNTEERS)	8	0.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	6	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	3626	99.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 28 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 461-461 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL10A: Protests Middle-Eastern

Suppose the people involved had a Middle-Eastern or Arabic background. Knowing this, would you be less likely to investigate such protesters, more likely to investigate such protesters, or would your opinion remain the same? Knowing this, would you be less likely to defend the right to express unpopular views, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	67	1.8 %
5	MORE LIKELY	74	2.0 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1223	33.4 %
Missing Data			

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	15	0.4 %
.	-	2278	62.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,364 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 462-462 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL10B: Protests White

Suppose the people involved were white. Knowing this, would you be less likely to investigate such protesters, more likely to investigate such protesters, or would your opinion remain the same? Knowing this, would you be less likely to defend the right to express unpopular views, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	25	0.7 %
5	MORE LIKELY	41	1.1 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1304	35.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	9	0.2 %
.	-	2278	62.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,370 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 463-463 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL10C: Protests Black or African American.

Suppose the people involved were Black or African American. Knowing this, would you be less likely to investigate such protesters, more likely to investigate such protesters, or would your opinion remain the same? Knowing this, would you be less likely to defend the right to express unpopular views, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	20	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	MORE LIKELY	50	1.4 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1302	35.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	8	0.2 %
.	-	2278	62.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,372 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 464-464 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL10D: Protests Jewish

Suppose the people involved were Jewish? Knowing this, would you be less likely to investigate such protesters, more likely to investigate such protesters, or would your opinion remain the same? Knowing this, would you be less likely to defend the right to express unpopular views, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	20	0.5 %
5	MORE LIKELY	51	1.4 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1300	35.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	8	0.2 %
.	-	2278	62.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 465-465 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CL10E: Protests Hispanic

Suppose the people involved were Hispanic. Knowing this, would you be less likely to investigate such protesters, more likely to investigate such protesters, or would your opinion remain the same? Knowing this, would you be less likely to defend the right to express unpopular views, more likely to defend this right, or would your opinion remain the same?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	14	0.4 %
5	MORE LIKELY	44	1.2 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	1312	35.8 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	5	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	8	0.2 %
.	-	2278	62.2 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,370 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 466-466 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD1: GENDER (W1)

Now, I have a few background questions. These are for statistical analysis purposes only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	626	17.1 %
5	FEMALE	824	22.5 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,450 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 467-467 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

CD2: YEAR OF BIRTH? (W1)

In what year were you born?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
13	-	2	0.1 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	4	0.1 %
16	-	2	0.1 %
17	-	4	0.1 %
18	-	7	0.2 %
19	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	10	0.3 %
21	-	10	0.3 %
22	-	12	0.3 %
23	-	10	0.3 %
24	-	12	0.3 %
25	-	9	0.2 %
26	-	17	0.5 %
27	-	12	0.3 %
28	-	17	0.5 %
29	-	13	0.4 %
30	-	23	0.6 %
31	-	17	0.5 %
32	-	11	0.3 %
33	-	12	0.3 %
34	-	17	0.5 %
35	-	14	0.4 %
36	-	19	0.5 %
37	-	20	0.5 %
38	-	20	0.5 %
39	-	12	0.3 %
40	-	17	0.5 %
41	-	21	0.6 %
42	-	20	0.5 %
43	-	20	0.5 %
44	-	23	0.6 %
45	-	26	0.7 %
46	-	20	0.5 %
47	-	17	0.5 %
48	-	25	0.7 %
49	-	33	0.9 %
50	-	28	0.8 %
51	-	28	0.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
52	-	24	0.7 %
53	-	36	1.0 %
54	-	32	0.9 %
55	-	34	0.9 %
56	-	37	1.0 %
57	-	37	1.0 %
58	-	30	0.8 %
59	-	29	0.8 %
60	-	27	0.7 %
61	-	24	0.7 %
Missing Data			
998	Don't Know	2	0.1 %
999	Refused	42	1.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,406 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 53.85
- Median: 55.00
- Minimum: 8.00
- Maximum: 83.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.03

Location: 468-470 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 998 , 999 , .

CD2A: BORN IN USA? (W1)

Were you born in the United States (or one of its territories, such as Puerto Rico, American Samoa, or Guam)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1282	35.0 %
5	NO	130	3.6 %
Missing Data			
9	REFUSED	38	1.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,412 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 471-471 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD2B: LANGUAGE AT HOME: other than English? (W1)

Do you speak a language other than English at home?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	294	8.0 %
5	NO	1115	30.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	2	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	39	1.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,409 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 472-472 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD3: EDUCATION LEVEL (W1)

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	DID NOT GO TO SCHOOL	1	0.0 %
1	Grade 1	0	0.0 %
2	Grade 2	0	0.0 %
3	Grade 3	2	0.1 %
4	Grade 4	2	0.1 %
5	Grade 5	1	0.0 %
6	Grade 6	10	0.3 %
7	Grade 7	6	0.2 %
8	Grade 8	12	0.3 %
9	Grade 9	16	0.4 %
10	Grade 10	27	0.7 %
11	Grade 11	41	1.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
12	Grade 12 - HIGH SCHOOL GRAD OR GED	394	10.8 %
13	College Year 1	127	3.5 %
14	College Year 2	179	4.9 %
15	College Year 3	58	1.6 %
16	College Year 4 - COLLEGE GRADUATE	314	8.6 %
17	SOME POST GRADUATE	44	1.2 %
18	GRADUATE DEGREE	154	4.2 %
19	UNDOCUMENTED CODE	1	0.0 %
20	TECHNICAL/JUNIOR COLLEGE GRADUATE	28	0.8 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	2	0.1 %
99	REFUSED	31	0.8 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,417 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 20.00

Location: 473-474 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

CD8: MARITAL STATUS (W1)

Are you currently married, divorced, separated, widowed, member of an unmarried couple, or have you never been married?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	OTHER	1	0.0 %
1	MARRIED, REMARRIED	700	19.1 %
2	DIVORCED	182	5.0 %
3	SEPARATED	45	1.2 %
4	WIDOWED	123	3.4 %
5	MEMBER OF AN UNMARRIED COUPLE	33	0.9 %
6	SINGLE, NEVER BEEN MARRIED	332	9.1 %
Missing Data			
9	REFUSED	34	0.9 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,416 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 475-475 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD5A: HISPANIC-LATINO or NOT? (W1)

Are you of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	206	5.6 %
5	NO	1207	33.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	REFUSED	37	1.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,413 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 476-476 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD4A_A: Race: White (W1)

Is R White?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	934	25.5 %
5	NO	472	12.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	41	1.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,406 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 477-477 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD4A_B: Race: African American or Black (W1)

Is R African American or Black

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	310	8.5 %
5	NO	1097	30.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	40	1.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,407 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 478-478 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD4A_C: Race: Arab or Middle Eastern (W1)

Is R Arab or Middle Eastern?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	4	0.1 %
5	NO	1403	38.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	40	1.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,407 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 479-479 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD4A_D: Race: Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander (W1)

Is R Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	3	0.1 %
5	NO	1404	38.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	40	1.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,407 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 480-480 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD4A_E: Race: Asian or Asian American (W1)

Is R Asian or Asian American?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	17	0.5 %
5	NO	1390	38.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	40	1.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,407 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 481-481 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD4A_F: Race: American Indian or Alaska Native (W1)

Is R American Indian or Alaskan Native?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	27	0.7 %
5	NO	1380	37.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	40	1.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,407 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 482-482 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD6: RELIGION (W1)

What is the religious group which you feel most closely represents your religious views? (Is it Catholic, Islamic, Jewish, Protestant, some other religion, or no religion)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NONE; NO RELIGIOUS GROUP	162	4.4 %
1	CATHOLIC; ROMAN CATHOLIC, ORTHODOX	366	10.0 %
2	ISLAMIC/MUSLIM	17	0.5 %
3	JEWISH	19	0.5 %
4	PROTESTANT	716	19.6 %
5	OTHER NON-CHRISTIAN (Hindu, Buddhist, witches)	46	1.3 %
7	OTHER CHRISTIAN	20	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	13	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	91	2.5 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,346 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 483-483 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD6A: Born-Again Christian? (W1)

Are you a born-again Christian?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	412	11.3 %
5	NO	302	8.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	18	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	4	0.1 %
.	-	2925	79.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 714 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 484-484 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD7_A: Political Party ID first question (W1)

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ANOTHER PARTY, THIRD PARTY, ETC	85	2.3 %
1	REPUBLICAN	377	10.3 %
4	INDEPENDENT	326	8.9 %
7	DEMOCRAT	559	15.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	35	1.0 %
9	REFUSED	68	1.9 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,347 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 485-485 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD7_B: Republican (W1)

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or not a very strong Republican?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONG REPUBLICAN	190	5.2 %
2	NOT A VERY STRONG REPUBLICAN	185	5.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	2	0.1 %
.	-	3284	89.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 375 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 486-486 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD7_C: Democrat (W1)

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or not a very strong Democrat?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	NOT A VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT	272	7.4 %
7	STRONG DEMOCRAT	286	7.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
.	-	3102	84.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 558 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 487-487 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD7_D: Independent (W1)

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the Democratic Party or the Republican Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	REPUBLICAN	73	2.0 %
4	NEITHER (R PROVIDED)	134	3.7 %
5	DEMOCRAT	118	3.2 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
.	-	3335	91.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 325 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 488-488 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

PARTYID: PARTY ID 7-PT SCALE (constructed from CD7) (W1)

PARTY ID

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	other party-nec	85	2.3 %
1	strong republican	190	5.2 %
2	not strong rep	185	5.1 %
3	lean republican	73	2.0 %
4	neither	134	3.7 %
5	lean democrat	118	3.2 %
6	not strong dem	272	7.4 %
7	strong democrat	286	7.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	35	1.0 %
9	REFUSED	68	1.9 %
.	-	2215	60.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,343 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 489-489 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

P17_A: Ideology first question (W1)

Ideology first question

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NEITHER-NONE	63	1.7 %
1	CONSERVATIVE	493	13.5 %
4	MODERATE	524	14.3 %
7	LIBERAL	256	7.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	49	1.3 %
9	REFUSED	65	1.8 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,336 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 490-490 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

P17_B: Strong, weak Conservative (W1)

Strong, weak Conservative

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONSERVATIVE	121	3.3 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE	371	10.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
.	-	3168	86.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 492 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 491-491 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

P17_C: Strong, weak Liberal (W1)

Strong, weak Liberal

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	SOMEWHAT LIBERAL	169	4.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	VERY LIBERAL	83	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
.	-	3405	93.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 252 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 492-492 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

P17_D: Degree Middle -- lean conservative or liberal (W1)

Degree Middle -- lean conservative or liberal

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	CLOSER TO THE CONSERVATIVE	237	6.5 %
4	IN THE MIDDLE	111	3.0 %
5	CLOSER TO THE LIBERAL SIDE	172	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	2	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	2	0.1 %
.	-	3137	85.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 520 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 493-493 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

IDEOLOGY: IDEOLOGY: 7-PT SCALE (constructed from p17) (W1)

IDEOLOGY: 7-PT SCALE (constructed from p17) (W1)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	other-nec	0	0.0 %
1	very conservative	121	3.3 %
2	somewhat conservative	371	10.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	lean conservative	237	6.5 %
4	middle	111	3.0 %
5	lean liberal	172	4.7 %
6	somewhat liberal	169	4.6 %
7	very liberal	83	2.3 %
Missing Data			
8	do not know	50	1.4 %
9	refused	65	1.8 %
.	-	2282	62.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,264 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 494-494 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

V1_A: Vote in 2000 Election? (W1)

Did you vote in the 2000 presidential election?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1076	29.4 %
5	NO	324	8.9 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
9	REFUSED	49	1.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,400 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 495-495 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

V1_B: Who Voted For in 2000? (W1)

Did you vote for George Bush, Al Gore, Ralph Nader, or someone else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GEORGE W. BUSH	455	12.4 %
2	AL GORE	474	12.9 %
3	RALPH NADER	32	0.9 %
4	SOMEONE ELSE	23	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	11	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	81	2.2 %
.	-	2585	70.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 984 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 496-496 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

INTEREST: Interest in Politics (W1)

How interested are you in politics? Would you say you are very interested, somewhat interested, not very interested, or not interested at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY INTERESTED	352	9.6 %
2	SOMEWHAT INTERESTED	732	20.0 %
3	NOT VERY INTERESTED	211	5.8 %
4	NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	105	2.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	46	1.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,400 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 497-497 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

B1: Presidential Approval (W1)

Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	APPROVE	1145	31.3 %
5	DISAPPROVE	155	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	75	2.0 %
9	REFUSED	75	2.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,300 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 498-498 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

B2: Approval of Bush Handling of Terrorism (W1)

Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is responding to the terrorist attacks?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	APPROVE	1222	33.4 %
5	DISAPPROVE	121	3.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	42	1.1 %
9	REFUSED	65	1.8 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,343 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 499-499 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

X1: Type of Community (Respondent's own designation) (W1)

Would you say you live in a rural community, a small city or town, a suburb, or an urban community?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	SOMETHING ELSE	21	0.6 %
1	RURAL COMMUNITY	268	7.3 %
2	SMALL CITY OR TOWN, VILLAGE	467	12.8 %
3	A SUBURB	265	7.2 %
4	URBAN COMMUNITY	370	10.1 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	13	0.4 %
99	REFUSED	46	1.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,391 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 500-501 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

CD10: Adults in HH (W1)

Including yourself, how many individuals who are 18 years of age or older live in your household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	501	13.7 %
2	-	715	19.5 %
3	-	163	4.5 %
4	-	41	1.1 %
5	-	13	0.4 %
6	-	6	0.2 %
Missing Data			
99	REFUSED	11	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,439 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 1.87
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.84

Location: 502-503 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

CD15: Employment Status (W1)

We are interested in learning about the different ways people may earn their living. Last week, were you working full-time, part-time, going to school, a home-maker or something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	SOMETHING ELSE	0	0.0 %
1	WORK FULL TIME, SELF EMPLOYED FULL TIME	706	19.3 %
2	WORK PART TIME, SELF EMPLOYED FULL TIME	107	2.9 %
3	WORK AND GO TO SCHOOL	37	1.0 %
4	IN THE ARMED FORCES	2	0.1 %
5	HAVE A JOB, BUT NOT AT WORK LAST WEEK	6	0.2 %
6	UNEMPLOYED, LAID OFF, LOOK FOR WORK	35	1.0 %
7	RETIRED	250	6.8 %
8	SCHOOL FULL TIME	54	1.5 %
9	HOME-MAKER	163	4.5 %
10	DISABLED	25	0.7 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
99	REFUSED	61	1.7 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,385 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 10.00

Location: 504-505 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

MILSERVE: Serve Military? (W1)

Have you ever served in the U.S. Armed Forces, military reserves, or National Guard? ARMED FORCES INCLUDE: ARMY, NAVY, AIRFORCE, MARINES, COAST GUARD, EITHER ACTIVE OR RESERVES

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	255	7.0 %
5	NO	1146	31.3 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
9	REFUSED	48	1.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,401 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 506-506 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CC3: Household's Financial Situation (W1)

How would you rate your household's overall financial situation these days? Would you say it is excellent, good, just fair, not so good, or poor?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXCELLENT	157	4.3 %
2	GOOD	682	18.6 %
3	JUST FAIR	399	10.9 %
4	NOT SO GOOD	95	2.6 %
5	POOR	54	1.5 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED/NO ANSWER	59	1.6 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,387 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 507-507 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CC3A: Recent Events Affect Finances? (W1)

How have the events of the September 11th terrorist attacks affected the economic well-being of you and your family? Would you say your financial situation has gotten much better, somewhat better, somewhat worse, or much worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MUCH BETTER	13	0.4 %
2	SOMEWHAT BETTER	104	2.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	SOMEWHAT WORSE	406	11.1 %
4	MUCH WORSE	50	1.4 %
7	NO CHANGE IN FINANCIAL SITUATION	822	22.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	6	0.2 %
9	REFUSED/NO ANSWER	49	1.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,395 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 508-508 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD15A: Concern Financial (W1)

How concerned are you that you or someone contributing to your household income might lose your job within the next 3 months? Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, not concerned at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	216	5.9 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	212	5.8 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	298	8.1 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	628	17.2 %
7	ALREADY LOST A JOB	25	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	19	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	52	1.4 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,379 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 509-509 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

INCA: \$30 or More (W1)

To get a picture of people's financial situations, we'd like to know the general range of incomes of all households we interview. This is for statistical analysis purposes and your answers will be kept strictly confidential. Now, thinking about your household's total annual income from all sources (including your job), did your household receive \$30,000 or more in 2000?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	877	24.0 %
5	NO	369	10.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	33	0.9 %
9	REFUSED	171	4.7 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,246 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 510-510 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

INCB: Less than \$20,000? (W1)

Was it less than \$20,000?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	188	5.1 %
5	NO	177	4.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
.	-	3292	89.9 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 365 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 511-511 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

INCC: Less than \$10,000? (W1)

Was it less than \$10,000?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	56	1.5 %
5	NO	130	3.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	REFUSED	2	0.1 %
.	-	3473	94.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 186 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 512-512 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

INCD: \$60,000? or More (W1)

Was it \$60,000? or more?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	425	11.6 %
5	NO	438	12.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	7	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	2784	76.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 863 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 513-513 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

INCE: \$40,000 or More (W1)

Was it \$40,000 or more?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	254	6.9 %
5	NO	171	4.7 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DO NOT KNOW	8	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	5	0.1 %
.	-	3223	88.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 425 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 514-514 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

INCF: \$50,000 or More (W1)

Was it \$50,000 or more?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	0	0.0 %
5	NO	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	3661	100.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

Location: 515-515 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

INCG: More than \$70,000 (W1)

Was it more than \$70,000?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	281	7.7 %
5	NO	138	3.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	5	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	3236	88.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 419 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 516-516 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

INCOME: HH INCOME (derived from previous income quex) (W1)

HH INCOME (derived from previous income quex)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	\$10,000 or less	56	1.5 %
2	\$10,000-19,999	133	3.6 %
3	\$20,000-29,999	183	5.0 %
4	\$30,000-39,999	258	7.0 %
5	\$40,000-49,999	175	4.8 %
6	\$50,000-59,999	2	0.1 %
7	\$60,000-69,999	142	3.9 %
8	\$70,000 or more	290	7.9 %
Missing Data			
9	MISSING	191	5.2 %
.	-	2231	60.9 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,239 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 517-517 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9 , .

RI2_A: OK to Recontact in Future? (W1)

OK to Recontact in Future?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1298	35.5 %
5	NO	94	2.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	13	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	45	1.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,392 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 518-518 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

RACEPERC: R's Perception of Iwer's Race (W1)

What is your perception of the interviewers's Race?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	White or Caucasian	497	13.6 %
2	African American or Black	390	10.7 %
3	Arabic or Middle Eastern	17	0.5 %
4	Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	11	0.3 %
5	Asian or Asian American	25	0.7 %
6	American Indian or Alaska Native	4	0.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	430	11.7 %
9	REFUSED	76	2.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 944 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 519-519 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

FINAL: Final Disposition (W1)

Final Disposition

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	INITIAL COMPLETE	1210	33.1 %
2	PARTIAL	26	0.7 %
3	REFUSAL CONVERSION	214	5.8 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,450 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 520-520 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

IDATE: Interview Date (W1)

Interview Date

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1022002	-	18	0.5 %
1032002	-	22	0.6 %
1042002	-	15	0.4 %
1052002	-	16	0.4 %
1062002	-	13	0.4 %
1072002	-	26	0.7 %
1082002	-	31	0.8 %
1092002	-	14	0.4 %
1102002	-	19	0.5 %
1112002	-	23	0.6 %
1122002	-	28	0.8 %
1132002	-	17	0.5 %
1142002	-	15	0.4 %
1152002	-	26	0.7 %
1162002	-	9	0.2 %
1192002	-	2	0.1 %
1202002	-	8	0.2 %
1212002	-	5	0.1 %
1222002	-	3	0.1 %
1232002	-	1	0.0 %
1242002	-	2	0.1 %
1262002	-	3	0.1 %
1272002	-	3	0.1 %
2062002	-	2	0.1 %
11142001	-	6	0.2 %
11152001	-	40	1.1 %
11162001	-	21	0.6 %
11172001	-	18	0.5 %
11182001	-	24	0.7 %
11192001	-	17	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11202001	-	48	1.3 %
11212001	-	41	1.1 %
11242001	-	7	0.2 %
11252001	-	12	0.3 %
11262001	-	49	1.3 %
11272001	-	22	0.6 %
11282001	-	15	0.4 %
11292001	-	38	1.0 %
11302001	-	10	0.3 %
12012001	-	28	0.8 %
12022001	-	22	0.6 %
12032001	-	65	1.8 %
12042001	-	47	1.3 %
12052001	-	32	0.9 %
12062001	-	49	1.3 %
12072001	-	34	0.9 %
12082001	-	29	0.8 %
12092001	-	21	0.6 %
12102001	-	26	0.7 %
12112001	-	15	0.4 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2212	60.4 %
		Total	3,661
			100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,449 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 9453367.68
- Median: 12022001.00
- Mode: 12032001.00
- Minimum: 1022002.00
- Maximum: 12312001.00
- Standard Deviation: 4469139.71

Location: 521-528 (*width:* 8; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

CONTACTS: Interviewer Contacts (W1)

Interviewer's Number of Contacts

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	177	4.8 %
2	-	178	4.9 %
3	-	176	4.8 %
4	-	116	3.2 %
5	-	107	2.9 %
6	-	90	2.5 %
7	-	81	2.2 %
8	-	77	2.1 %
9	-	67	1.8 %
10	-	60	1.6 %
11	-	46	1.3 %
12	-	51	1.4 %
13	-	34	0.9 %
14	-	33	0.9 %
15	-	31	0.8 %
16	-	30	0.8 %
17	-	19	0.5 %
18	-	16	0.4 %
19	-	9	0.2 %
20	-	15	0.4 %
21	-	10	0.3 %
22	-	6	0.2 %
23	-	5	0.1 %
24	-	3	0.1 %
25	-	3	0.1 %
26	-	2	0.1 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2216	60.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,445 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 6.77
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 32.00
- Standard Deviation: 5.38

Location: 529-530 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

COMPLETE: Complete (W1)

Complete (W1)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Initially Complete	1423	38.9 %
3	Partially Complete - No Demographics	19	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2219	60.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,442 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 531-531 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

IWER1: Iwer Ethnicity (W1) (Iwer's own designation)

Interviewer's ethnicity

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	25	0.7 %
5	NO	1391	38.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	REFUSED	34	0.9 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,416 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 532-532 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

IWER2_A: white (W1)

Is the interviewer white?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	541	14.8 %
5	NO	874	23.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	REFUSED	35	1.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,415 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 533-533 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

IWER2_B: African American/black (W1)

Is the interviewer African American/black?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	852	23.3 %
5	NO	563	15.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	REFUSED	35	1.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,415 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 534-534 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

IWER2_C: Hawaii/pacific islanders (W1)

Is the interviewer Hawaiian/Pacific Islander

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	11	0.3 %
5	NO	1404	38.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	REFUSED	35	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,415 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 535-535 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

IWER2_D: Asian, Asian Am (W1)

Is the interviewer Asian/Asian American

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	81	2.2 %
5	NO	1334	36.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	REFUSED	35	1.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,415 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 536-536 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

IWER2_E: Native American (W1)

Is the interviewer Native American?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	30	0.8 %
5	NO	1385	37.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	REFUSED	35	1.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,415 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 537-537 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

IWER3: Iwer gender (W1)

Interviewer gender

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	male	371	10.1 %
5	female	1045	28.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	refused	34	0.9 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,416 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 538-538 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9 , .

IWER4_A: R's cooperation in interview (Iwer estimate) (W1)

R's cooperation in interview (Iwer estimate) (W1)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very cooperative	1143	31.2 %
2	Somewhat cooperative	224	6.1 %
3	Not very cooperative	41	1.1 %
4	Not at all cooperative	8	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	missing	34	0.9 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,416 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 539-539 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

IWER4_B: R's interest in interview (lwer estimate) (W1)

R's interest in interview (lwer estimate) (W1)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	very interested	995	27.2 %
2	somewhat interested	347	9.5 %
3	not very interested	63	1.7 %
4	not at all interested	11	0.3 %
Missing Data			
9	missing	34	0.9 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,416 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 540-540 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W1AGE: W1 age (W1)

W1 age (W1)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	-	28	0.8 %
19	-	35	1.0 %
20	-	33	0.9 %
21	-	37	1.0 %
22	-	26	0.7 %
23	-	46	1.3 %
24	-	41	1.1 %
25	-	46	1.3 %
26	-	39	1.1 %
27	-	41	1.1 %
28	-	36	1.0 %
29	-	52	1.4 %
30	-	52	1.4 %
31	-	45	1.2 %
32	-	42	1.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
33	-	45	1.2 %
34	-	51	1.4 %
35	-	45	1.2 %
36	-	47	1.3 %
37	-	39	1.1 %
38	-	48	1.3 %
39	-	43	1.2 %
40	-	59	1.6 %
41	-	53	1.4 %
42	-	52	1.4 %
43	-	53	1.4 %
44	-	59	1.6 %
45	-	58	1.6 %
46	-	54	1.5 %
47	-	59	1.6 %
48	-	60	1.6 %
49	-	51	1.4 %
50	-	56	1.5 %
51	-	53	1.4 %
52	-	55	1.5 %
53	-	44	1.2 %
54	-	35	1.0 %
55	-	54	1.5 %
56	-	51	1.4 %
57	-	39	1.1 %
58	-	39	1.1 %
59	-	31	0.8 %
60	-	40	1.1 %
61	-	35	1.0 %
62	-	28	0.8 %
63	-	36	1.0 %
64	-	37	1.0 %
65	-	36	1.0 %
66	-	26	0.7 %
67	-	36	1.0 %
Missing Data			
0	UNDOCUMENTED CODE	95	2.6 %
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,639 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 47.91
- Median: 47.00
- Mode: 48.00
- Minimum: 18.00
- Maximum: 93.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.44

Location: 541-542 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0, .

W1AGECAT: W1 age category (W1)

W1 age category (W1)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	18 - 24 Yrs	246	6.7 %
2	25 - 29 Yrs	214	5.8 %
3	30 - 39 Yrs	457	12.5 %
4	40 - 49 Yrs	558	15.2 %
5	50 - 59 Yrs	457	12.5 %
6	60 - 64 Yrs	176	4.8 %
7	65 or older	531	14.5 %
Missing Data			
9	missing	95	2.6 %
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 2,639 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 543-543 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

MULT2: counts number of races respondents answers yes to (W1)

counts number of races respondents answers yes to (W1)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	373	10.2 %
1	-	2321	63.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	32	0.9 %
3	-	5	0.1 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	2	0.1 %
Missing Data			
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.88
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.40

Location: 544-544 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RACES: interim calculation (W1)_b

interim calculation (W1)_b

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	white	1720	47.0 %
2	black	556	15.2 %
3	Arab or Mid East	4	0.1 %
4	hawaiian, PI	3	0.1 %
5	asian	34	0.9 %
6	indian	44	1.2 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1300	35.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 2,361 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 545-545 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RAC3: interim calculation (W1)_a

interim calculation (W1)_a

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	white	1724	47.1 %
2	black	556	15.2 %
3	other	81	2.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1300	35.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,361 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 546-546 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MULT3: counts number of the 6 different race/ethnic groups R answers no to (W1)

counts number of the 6 different race/ethnic groups R answers no to (W1)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	71	1.9 %
1	-	2	0.1 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
3	-	5	0.1 %
4	-	32	0.9 %
5	-	2324	63.5 %
6	-	299	8.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	927	25.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 4.96
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.89

Location: 547-547 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NEWRACE: interim calculation (W1) (for weighting)

interim calculation (W1) (for weighting)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	White	2046	55.9 %
2	African American	561	15.3 %
3	Other	71	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	983	26.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,678 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 548-548 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

IMPRACE: IMPUTED RACE -- using stratum to impute missing (W1), for weighting

Respondent race: Recoded as White, Black, and Other

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	white	2062	56.3 %
2	black	591	16.1 %
3	other	81	2.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	927	25.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 549-549 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

IMPHISP: IMPUTED HISPANIC -- using stratum to impute missing (W1), for weighting

Respondent race: Recoded as Hispanic and non-Hispanic

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	hispanic	570	15.6 %
5	nonhispanic	2137	58.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	dk	4	0.1 %
9	refused	23	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 2,707 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 550-550 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

CD4A_A2: R's race (W1)

What is your race?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	yes white	1047	28.6 %
5	no, not white	360	9.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	3	0.1 %
9	refused	42	1.1 %
.	-	2209	60.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,407 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 551-551 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

COMPLET2: completed interview (W1)_2

completed interview (W1)_2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	complete	2059	56.2 %
2	missing some demo not r&h	594	16.2 %
3	missing most demo	43	1.2 %
4	missing all demo	38	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 552-552 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

COMPLET3: completed interview (W1)_3

completed interview (W1)_3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	complete	2059	56.2 %
2	missing some demo not r&h	594	16.2 %
3	missing most demo	43	1.2 %
4	missing all demo	36	1.0 %
5	missing all demo all CL	2	0.1 %
Missing Data			
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 553-553 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

EDUCAT4: interim calculation for weighting

interim calculation for weighting

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than HS	306	8.4 %
2	HS Grad	709	19.4 %
3	Some College	745	20.3 %
4	College +	914	25.0 %
Missing Data			
9	refused-missing	60	1.6 %
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 2,674 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 554-554 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W12EDUC3: EDUC 3 in W1 - W2 (reconciled)

EDUC 3 in W1 - W2 (reconciled)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	<= HS	1043	28.5 %
2	some coll.	754	20.6 %
3	college+	937	25.6 %
Missing Data			
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 555-555 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1EDLEVL: Educ. level, 0 thru 4, recoded fm CD3

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	< HS	118	3.2 %
1	CompHS	394	10.8 %
2	SomeColl	392	10.7 %
3	BA/BS	358	9.8 %
4	AdvDeg	155	4.2 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2244	61.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,417 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 556-556 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1LTHS: 1 if LT high school educ, 0 if not_w1

Respondent has a high school education

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1299	35.5 %
1	Less than HS	118	3.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2244	61.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,417 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 557-557 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1COMHS: 1 if highschool grad, 0 if not_w1

Respondent is a high school graduate

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1023	27.9 %
1	HS Grad	394	10.8 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2244	61.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,417 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 558-558 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1SOMCOL: 1 if some college ed, 0 if not_w1

Respondent has some college education

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1025	28.0 %
1	SomeColl	392	10.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2244	61.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,417 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 559-559 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1BABS: 1 if BA or BS completed, 0 if not_w1

Respondent has completed a BA or BS degree

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1059	28.9 %
1	BABS	358	9.8 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2244	61.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,417 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 560-560 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1ADVDEG: 1 if advanced degree, 0 if not_w1

Respondent has completed an advanced degree

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1262	34.5 %
1	Advanced Degree	155	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2244	61.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,417 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 561-561 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1COLGRD: 1 if BA/BS or Advanced Deg., 0 if not_w1

Respondent's education: Dummy Variable 1 if respondent has completed a BA/BS or advanced degree, 0 if not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	904	24.7 %
1	BA/BS or AdvDeg	513	14.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2244	61.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,417 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 562-562 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1MALE: Male in W1

Respondent is a male

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Female	824	22.5 %
1	Male	626	17.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,450 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 563-563 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1FEMALE: Female in W1

Respondent is a female

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Male	626	17.1 %
1	Female	824	22.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2211	60.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,450 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 564-564 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W12SEX: SEX in W1 - W2 (reconciled)

Respondent Sex in W1 and W2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	male	1123	30.7 %
5	female	1611	44.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	927	25.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 565-565 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W12RACE4: 4-cat race-eth var based on imprace&imphisp: bl, wh, hisp, oth

Respondent Race

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	White	1524	41.6 %
2	Black	591	16.1 %
3	Hispanic	549	15.0 %
4	Other	70	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	927	25.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 566-566 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W12WHITE: Dummy: 1 if white NONhispanic, 0 otherwise_w12

Dummy: 1 if white NONhispanic, 0 otherwise

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1210	33.1 %
1	Whitenonhisp	1524	41.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	927	25.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 567-567 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W12BLACK: Dummy: 1 if black, 0 otherwise_w12

Dummy: 1 if black, 0 otherwise

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not black	2143	58.5 %
1	Black	591	16.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	927	25.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 568-568 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W12HISP: Dummy: 1 if hispanic NONblack, 0 if otherwise_w12

Dummy: 1 if hispanic NONblack, 0 if otherwise

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	2185	59.7 %
1	Hispnonblack	549	15.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
.	-	927	25.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 569-569 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W12OTHET: Dummy: 1 if not white or black or hispanic, 0 if otherwise_w12

Dummy: 1 if not white or black or hispanic, 0 if otherwise

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	2664	72.8 %
1	Othnonhisp	70	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	927	25.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 570-570 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1BRNUSA: Dummy: 1 if R born in USA (CD2a=1)

Were you born in the United States (or one of its territories, such as Puerto Rico, American Samoa, or Guam)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	130	3.6 %
1	Yes	1282	35.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2249	61.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,412 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 571-571 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1OTHLNG: Dummy: 1 if speak non-Engl at home (CD2b=1)

Do you speak a language other than English at home?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	English	1115	30.5 %
1	Othlang	294	8.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2252	61.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,409 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 572-572 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W12AGEC1: Age in categories, W1-2

Age in categories

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Age 18-24	246	6.7 %
2	Age 25-29	214	5.8 %
3	Age 30-39	457	12.5 %
4	Age 40-49	558	15.2 %
5	Age 50-59	457	12.5 %
6	Age 60-64	176	4.8 %
7	Age 65 and over	531	14.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1022	27.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,639 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 573-573 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

AGE1829: Age 18-29, W1-2

Age 18-29

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2179	59.5 %
1	-	460	12.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1022	27.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,639 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.17
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.38

Location: 574-574 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

AGE3039: Age 30-39, W1-2

Age 30-39

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2182	59.6 %
1	-	457	12.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1022	27.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,639 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.17
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.38

Location: 575-575 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

AGE4049M: Age 40-49, W1-2

Age 40-49

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2081	56.8 %
1	-	558	15.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1022	27.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,639 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.21
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.41

Location: 576-576 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

AGE5059M: Age 50-59, W1-2

Age 50-59

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2182	59.6 %
1	-	457	12.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1022	27.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,639 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.17
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.38

Location: 577-577 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

AGE6064M: Age 60-64, W1-2

Age 60-64

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2463	67.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	176	4.8 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1022	27.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,639 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.07
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.25

Location: 578-578 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

AGE65PLM: Age 65 and over, W1-2

Age 65 and over

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2108	57.6 %
1	-	531	14.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1022	27.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 2,639 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.20
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.40

Location: 579-579 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1SEC4R: Conc. Attack Few Mos. 4=very, 1=not at all_w1

I'd like to start by asking you some questions about your feelings and concerns since the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon (that occurred on September 11, 2001). All in all, how concerned are you that the United States might suffer another terrorist attack in the next 3 months? Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	51	1.4 %
2	Not Very	169	4.6 %
3	Somewhat	673	18.4 %
4	Very	550	15.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	4	0.1 %
9	REF	3	0.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,443 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 580-580 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W1SEC5R: Conc. Area Attack Next Few Mos. 4=very, 1=not at all_w1

How concerned are you that the area in which you live might suffer a terrorist attack in the next 3 months? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	339	9.3 %
2	Not very	488	13.3 %
3	Somewhat	357	9.8 %
4	Very conc	259	7.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	6	0.2 %
9	REF	1	0.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,443 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 581-581 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W1SEC8R: Conc. Victim Bioterror in Next Few Mos. 4=very, 1=not at all_w1

(How concerned are you) that you or someone in your family might become a victim of a bio-terrorist attack (such as anthrax or smallpox)? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	235	6.4 %
2	Not very	377	10.3 %
3	Somewhat	428	11.7 %
4	Very conc	405	11.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	4	0.1 %
9	REF	1	0.0 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,445 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 582-582 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W1PFR1R: Fear of flying: 4=highest_w1

How concerned are you about flying on an airplane? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	437	11.9 %
2	Not very	286	7.8 %
3	Somewhat	291	7.9 %
4	Very conc	418	11.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	14	0.4 %
9	REF	4	0.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,432 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 583-583 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W1PFR2R: Fear of opening mail: 4=highest_w1

(How concerned are you about) opening your mail? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	579	15.8 %
2	Not very	403	11.0 %
3	Somewhat	303	8.3 %
4	Very conc	161	4.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	4	0.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,446 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 584-584 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W1PFR3R: Fear food and drinking water: 4=highest_w1

(How concerned are you about) the safety of food and drinking water? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	395	10.8 %
2	Not very	375	10.2 %
3	Somewhat	397	10.8 %
4	Very conc	279	7.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	4	0.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,446 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 585-585 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W1PFR5R: Fear of tall buildings: 4=highest_w1

(How concerned are you about) going into tall buildings? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	505	13.8 %
2	Not very	374	10.2 %
3	Somewhat	309	8.4 %
4	Very conc	240	6.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	17	0.5 %
9	REF	5	0.1 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,428 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 586-586 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W1PFR6R: Fear large crowds, stadiums: 4=highest_w1

(How concerned are you about) being in large crowds or stadiums? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	451	12.3 %
2	Not very	367	10.0 %
3	Somewhat	381	10.4 %
4	Very conc	229	6.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	15	0.4 %
9	REF	7	0.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,428 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 587-587 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W1MEANFR: MN Pers Fear(corrected 3/14/04): if R ans at least 1pers fear item (4=highest, 1=lowest)

Mean Fear

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1.000000000000000	-	154	4.2 %
1.200000000000000	-	61	1.7 %
1.250000000000000	-	5	0.1 %
1.33333333333333	-	1	0.0 %
1.400000000000000	-	94	2.6 %
1.500000000000000	-	6	0.2 %
1.600000000000000	-	81	2.2 %
1.750000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
1.800000000000000	-	105	2.9 %
2.000000000000000	-	134	3.7 %
2.200000000000000	-	125	3.4 %
2.250000000000000	-	5	0.1 %
2.33333333333333	-	1	0.0 %
2.400000000000000	-	115	3.1 %
2.500000000000000	-	4	0.1 %
2.600000000000000	-	97	2.6 %
2.66666666666667	-	1	0.0 %
2.750000000000000	-	6	0.2 %
2.800000000000000	-	89	2.4 %
3.000000000000000	-	99	2.7 %
3.200000000000000	-	59	1.6 %
3.250000000000000	-	5	0.1 %
3.33333333333333	-	1	0.0 %
3.400000000000000	-	61	1.7 %
3.500000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
3.600000000000000	-	45	1.2 %
3.66666666666667	-	1	0.0 %
3.800000000000000	-	32	0.9 %
4.000000000000000	-	56	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2212	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,449 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 2.2753163100989
- Median: 2.20000000000000
- Mode: 1.00000000000000
- Minimum: 1.00000000000000
- Maximum: 4.00000000000000
- Standard Deviation: 0.8414309299277

Location: 588-602 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CT1AR: CT1a recoded: US Responsibility--4=a lot, 1=none

How much responsibility do you personally believe the U.S. bears for the hatred that led to the terrorist attacks? Would you say a lot of responsibility, some, a little, or none at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	None at all	328	9.0 %
2	A little	313	8.5 %
3	Some	450	12.3 %
4	A lot	325	8.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2245	61.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,416 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 603-603 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CT2BIN: Dummy: US Responsib--1 if CT1a=A lot, some; 0 if A little, none

How much responsibility do you personally believe the U.S. bears for the hatred that led to the terrorist attacks? Would you say a lot of responsibility, some, a little, or none at all? Dummy Variable; 4: a lot; 1: a little, none

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	A little, none	641	17.5 %
1	Some, a lot	775	21.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2245	61.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,416 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00

- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 604-604 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CT2AR: CT2a recoded: US Support of Israel--4=v imp, 1=not at all

People have different opinions about the root causes of terrorism against the United States. As I list some possible causes, please tell me whether you think it is a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause. The U.S. government's support of Israel. Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all Imp	70	1.9 %
2	Not v. imp	133	3.6 %
3	Somewh Imp	581	15.9 %
4	Very imp	583	15.9 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2294	62.7 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,367 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 605-605 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CT2CR: CT2c recoded: US Not Give Enuf Aid--4=v imp, 1=not at all

The U.S. not providing enough economic aid to poor countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all Imp	313	8.5 %
2	Not v. imp	384	10.5 %
3	Somewh Imp	449	12.3 %
4	Very imp	247	6.7 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2268	62.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,393 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 606-606 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CT2DR: CT2d recoded: Islamic religion--4=v imp, 1=not at all

The Islamic religion. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all Imp	338	9.2 %
2	Not v. imp	261	7.1 %
3	Somewh Imp	390	10.7 %
4	Very imp	343	9.4 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	2329	63.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,332 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 607-607 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CT2ER: CT2e recoded: US pursue own interests--4=v imp, 1=not at all

The U.S. pursuing only its own economic interests in its dealings with other countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all Imp	141	3.9 %
2	Not v. imp	209	5.7 %
3	Somewh Imp	546	14.9 %
4	Very imp	473	12.9 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	2292	62.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,369 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 608-608 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CT2FR: CT2f recoded: Terrorists think only way to express grievances--4=v imp, 1=not at all

Terrorists believing that it is the only way that their grievances will be heard. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all Imp	173	4.7 %
2	Not v. imp	114	3.1 %
3	Somewh Imp	398	10.9 %
4	Very imp	675	18.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2301	62.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,360 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 609-609 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CT2GR: CT2g recoded: US meddles too much--4=v imp, 1=not at all

The U.S. meddling too much in the affairs of other countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all Imp	149	4.1 %
2	Not v. imp	183	5.0 %
3	Somewh Imp	552	15.1 %
4	Very imp	502	13.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2275	62.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,386 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 610-610 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CT2HR: CT2h recoded: Feelings of revenge for harms--4=v imp, 1=not at all

Feelings of revenge by terrorists for harms done to their people. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all Imp	123	3.4 %
2	Not v. imp	142	3.9 %
3	Somewh Imp	484	13.2 %
4	Very imp	626	17.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2286	62.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,375 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 611-611 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CL1R: CL1 recoded as dummy: 1=procivilib, 0=prosecurity

Next I am going to read you a series of two statements. Please tell me which one you agree with most. The first is, in order to curb terrorism in this country, it will be necessary to give up some civil liberties. -or- We should preserve our freedoms above all, even if there remains some risk of terrorism. (Which statement do you agree with most?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecur	631	17.2 %
1	ProCivLib	779	21.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2251	61.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,410 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 612-612 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CL2R: CL2 recoded: National Identity Card

Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times to show to a police officer upon request. -or- Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's freedom of association and right to privacy. (Which statement do you agree with most?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecur	762	20.8 %
1	ProCivLib	643	17.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	Missing Data	2256	61.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,405 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 613-613 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CL4R: CL4 recoded: Belong to terrorist organization

Some people say it should be a crime for anyone to belong to or contribute money to any organization that supports international terrorism. Others say that a person's guilt or innocence should not be determined only by who they associate with or the organizations to which they belong. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecur	970	26.5 %
1	ProCivLib	401	11.0 %
.	Missing Data	2290	62.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,371 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 614-614 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CL5R: CL5 recoded: Indefinite detention of noncitizens

Some people say the government should be able to arrest and detain a non-citizen indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging to a terrorist organization. Others say nobody should be held for a long period of time without being formally charged with a crime. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecur	653	17.8 %
1	ProCivLib	737	20.1 %
.	Missing Data	2271	62.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,390 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 615-615 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CL6R: CL6 recoded: Racial profiling

Some people say that law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes. This is called racial profiling. Others think racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecur	217	5.9 %
1	ProCivLib	1155	31.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2289	62.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,372 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 616-616 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CL7R: CL7 recoded: Teachers criticize

Some people say high school teachers have the right to criticize America's policies toward terrorism. Others say that all high school teachers should defend America's policies in order to promote loyalty to our country. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecur	770	21.0 %
1	ProCivLib	558	15.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2333	63.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,328 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 617-617 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CL8R: CL8 recoded: Search on suspicion

Some people say that law enforcement should be free to search a property without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act is being planned there. Others say that protection against searches without a warrant is a basic right that should not be given up for any reason. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecur	359	9.8 %
1	ProCivLib	1017	27.8 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2285	62.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,376 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 618-618 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CL9R: CL9 recoded: Record phone, monitor e-mail

Some people say that government should be allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts. Others say that people's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecur	464	12.7 %
1	ProCivLib	885	24.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2312	63.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,349 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 619-619 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CL10R: CL10 recoded: Nonviolent protests

Some say that people who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated. Others say that people have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecur	145	4.0 %
1	ProCivLib	1238	33.8 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	2278	62.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,383 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 620-620 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CLPROP: Proportion of CL Answered that are pro-Civil Liberties

Proportion of CL Answered that are pro-Civil Liberties

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.00000000000000	-	10	0.3 %
0.11111111111111	-	27	0.7 %
0.12500000000000	-	9	0.2 %
0.1428571428571	-	2	0.1 %
0.1666666666667	-	2	0.1 %
0.20000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
0.2222222222222	-	59	1.6 %
0.25000000000000	-	13	0.4 %
0.2857142857143	-	10	0.3 %
0.3333333333333	-	145	4.0 %
0.37500000000000	-	20	0.5 %
0.40000000000000	-	7	0.2 %
0.4285714285714	-	13	0.4 %
0.4444444444444	-	152	4.2 %
0.50000000000000	-	40	1.1 %
0.5555555555556	-	191	5.2 %
0.5714285714286	-	9	0.2 %
0.60000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
0.62500000000000	-	40	1.1 %
0.6666666666667	-	221	6.0 %
0.7142857142857	-	18	0.5 %
0.75000000000000	-	30	0.8 %
0.7777777777778	-	163	4.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.800000000000000	-	6	0.2 %
0.8571428571429	-	7	0.2 %
0.875000000000000	-	21	0.6 %
0.8888888888889	-	118	3.2 %
1.000000000000000	-	109	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2214	60.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,447 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.5989694057766
- Median: 0.625000000000000
- Mode: 0.666666666666667
- Minimum: 0.0000000000000
- Maximum: 1.0000000000000
- Standard Deviation: 0.2311983309718

Location: 621-635 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

Variable Type: numeric

W1PTYID3: Fm PartyID--1=Repub(1,2), 2=Indep(0,3,4,5,8), 3=Dem(6,7)

Respondent's Party Identification: Recoded Variable

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Republican	375	10.2 %
2	Indep	445	12.2 %
3	Democrat	558	15.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	REF	68	1.9 %
.	-	2215	60.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,378 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 636-636 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W1REPUB: Dummy: 1 if partyid=1,2; 0 if not

Respondent is a Republican

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1003	27.4 %
1	Republican	375	10.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2283	62.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,378 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 637-637 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1INDEP: Dummy: 1 if partyid=0,3,4,5,8; 0 if not

Respondent is an Independent

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	933	25.5 %
1	Independent	445	12.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2283	62.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,378 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 638-638 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1DEMOC: Dummy: 1 if partyid=6,7; 0 if not

Respondent is a Democrat

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	820	22.4 %
1	Democrat	558	15.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2283	62.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,378 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 639-639 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1IDEO3: From ideology: 3=6,7=lib, 2=3,4,5,8=mod, 1=1,2=conserv

Respondent Ideology

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Conserv	492	13.4 %
2	Mod	570	15.6 %
3	Liberal	252	6.9 %
Missing Data			
9	REF	65	1.8 %
.	-	2282	62.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,314 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 640-640 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W1LIB: Dummy: 1 if Liberal, 0 if not_w1

Dummy Variable: Respondent is a Liberal

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1062	29.0 %
1	Liberal	252	6.9 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2347	64.1 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,314 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 641-641 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1MOD: Dummy: 1 of Moderate, 0 if not

Dummy Variable: Respondent is a Moderate

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	744	20.3 %
1	Moderate	570	15.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2347	64.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,314 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 642-642 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1CON: Dummy: 1 if Conservative, 0 if not_w1

Dummy Variable: Respondent is a Conservative

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	822	22.5 %
1	Conserv	492	13.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2347	64.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,314 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 643-643 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1FIP1R: Recode FIP1 -- Trust People

Some people say that most people can be trusted. Other's say you can't be too careful in your dealings with people. Which of these opinions comes closest to your own?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Can't too careful	792	21.6 %
2	Depends	55	1.5 %
3	Most trusted	569	15.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
8	DK	23	0.6 %
9	REF	11	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,416 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 644-644 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W1FIP2R: Recode of FIP2 -- People Helpful_w1

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Look for selves	431	11.8 %
2	Depends	89	2.4 %
3	Peop helpful	909	24.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	13	0.4 %
9	REF	8	0.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,429 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 645-645 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W1FIPCOM: Sum of w1FIP1R and w1FIP2R: max=6, min=2

Sum of w1FIP1R and w1FIP2R: max=6, min=2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	340	9.3 %
3	-	61	1.7 %
4	-	519	14.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	-	55	1.5 %
6	-	471	12.9 %
Missing Data			
-1	UNDOCUMENTED CODE	1275	34.8 %
.	-	940	25.7 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,446 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 4.18
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.51

Location: 646-647 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

W1DOG1R: Recoded dog1 -- highest=most dogmatic_w1

Next, I would like to read you a series of statements and have you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with each. The first is, there are two kinds of people in this world: those who are for the truth and those who are against it. Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	173	4.7 %
2	SomeDisag	216	5.9 %
3	DK	31	0.8 %
4	SomeAgr	484	13.2 %
5	StrAgr	536	14.6 %
Missing Data			
9	REF	10	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,440 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 648-648 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W1DOG2R: Recoded dog2 -- highest=most dogmatic_w1

A group which tolerates too many difference of opinions among its members cannot exist for long. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	402	11.0 %
2	SomeDisag	258	7.0 %
3	DK	25	0.7 %
4	SomeAgr	367	10.0 %
5	StrAgr	388	10.6 %
Missing Data			
9	REF	10	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,440 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 649-649 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W1DOG3R: Recoded dog3 -- highest=most dogmatic_w1

To compromise with our political opponents is dangerous because it usually leads to the betrayal of our own side. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	245	6.7 %
2	SomeDisag	364	9.9 %
3	DK	78	2.1 %
4	SomeAgr	421	11.5 %
5	StrAgr	323	8.8 %
Missing Data			
9	REF	19	0.5 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,431 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 650-650 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W1DOG4R: Recoded dog4 -- highest=most dogmatic_w1

Of all the different philosophies that exist in the world there is probably only one that is correct. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	794	21.7 %
2	SomeDisag	271	7.4 %
3	DK	30	0.8 %
4	SomeAgr	162	4.4 %
5	StrAgr	182	5.0 %
Missing Data			
9	REF	11	0.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,439 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 651-651 (*width:* 1; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W1DOG5R: Recoded dog5 -- highest=most dogmatic_w1

In the long run the best way to live is to pick friends and associates whose tastes and beliefs are the same as one's own. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	546	14.9 %
2	SomeDisag	356	9.7 %
3	DK	14	0.4 %
4	SomeAgr	331	9.0 %
5	StrAgr	196	5.4 %
Missing Data			
9	REF	7	0.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,443 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 652-652 (*width:* 1; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W1DOG6R: Recoded dog6 -- highest=most dogmatic_w1

Most of the ideas that get printed nowadays aren't worth the paper they are printed on. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	311	8.5 %
2	SomeDisag	378	10.3 %
3	DK	44	1.2 %
4	SomeAgr	414	11.3 %
5	StrAgr	290	7.9 %
Missing Data			
9	REF	13	0.4 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,437 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 653-653 (*width:* 1; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W1MNDOG: Mean dogmatism, if answered 4 or more, with higher=more dogmatic_w1

Mean dogmatism

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1.00000000000000	-	30	0.8 %
1.16666666666667	-	35	1.0 %
1.20000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
1.33333333333333	-	33	0.9 %
1.40000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
1.50000000000000	-	35	1.0 %
1.66666666666667	-	54	1.5 %
1.80000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
1.83333333333333	-	52	1.4 %
2.00000000000000	-	61	1.7 %
2.16666666666667	-	70	1.9 %
2.33333333333333	-	77	2.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2.40000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
2.50000000000000	-	92	2.5 %
2.60000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
2.66666666666667	-	90	2.5 %
2.80000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
2.8333333333333	-	80	2.2 %
3.00000000000000	-	86	2.3 %
3.16666666666667	-	72	2.0 %
3.20000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
3.25000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
3.3333333333333	-	104	2.8 %
3.40000000000000	-	4	0.1 %
3.50000000000000	-	77	2.1 %
3.60000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
3.66666666666667	-	87	2.4 %
3.75000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
3.8333333333333	-	66	1.8 %
4.00000000000000	-	47	1.3 %
4.16666666666667	-	44	1.2 %
4.20000000000000	-	4	0.1 %
4.3333333333333	-	45	1.2 %
4.40000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
4.50000000000000	-	30	0.8 %
4.60000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
4.66666666666667	-	19	0.5 %
4.8333333333333	-	17	0.5 %
5.00000000000000	-	13	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2217	60.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,444 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 2.9094182825485
- Median: 3.00000000000000
- Mode: 3.3333333333333
- Minimum: 1.00000000000000
- Maximum: 5.00000000000000
- Standard Deviation: 0.9504701799447

Location: 654-668 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

Variable Type: numeric

W1FEDTRU: GT1--Trust govt--recoded as 4=almost always

The next set of questions are about trust. How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right? Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never	73	2.0 %
2	Some of time	629	17.2 %
3	Most of time	607	16.6 %
4	Always	122	3.3 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	10	0.3 %
9	REF	9	0.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,431 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 669-669 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W1LOCTRUE: GT3--Trust law enforcement--recoded as 4=almost always

How much of the time do you think you can trust law enforcement to do what is right? (Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never	45	1.2 %
2	Some of time	380	10.4 %
3	Most of time	799	21.8 %
4	Always	212	5.8 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	8	0.2 %
9	REF	6	0.2 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,436 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 670-670 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W1PRIDE: Recoded from P1: 5=very proud, 1=not at all

How proud are you to be an American? Would you say very proud, proud, somewhat proud, not very proud, or not proud at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	10	0.3 %
2	Not very	20	0.5 %
3	Somewhat	85	2.3 %
4	Proud	206	5.6 %
5	Very proud	1100	30.0 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	9	0.2 %
9	REF	12	0.3 %
.	-	2219	60.6 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,421 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 671-671 (*width:* 1; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W1VProud: Dummy: 1 if pride=5 ('very proud'), 0 if not

How proud are you to be an American? Dummy Variable: 1 = Very Proud; 0 = Not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	321	8.8 %
1	-	1100	30.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2240	61.2 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,421 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.77
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.42

Location: 672-672 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1PROUD: Dummy: 1 if pride=4 ('proud'), 0 if not

How proud are you to be an American? Dummy Variable: 1 = Proud; 0 = Not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1215	33.2 %
1	-	206	5.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2240	61.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,421 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.14
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.35

Location: 673-673 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1LOPROU: Dummy: 1 if pride=1,2,3 ('somewhat, not very, not at all'); 0 if not

How proud are you to be an American? Dummy Variable: 1 = Somewhat, Not Very, Not at All; 0 = Not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1306	35.7 %
1	-	115	3.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2240	61.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,421 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.08
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.27

Location: 674-674 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1POLINT: Interest in politics, high=4, low=1 (from 'Interest')

How interested are you in politics? Would you say you are very interested, somewhat interested, not very interested, or not interested at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not-at-all	105	2.9 %
2	Not-V-Int	211	5.8 %
3	Sw-int	732	20.0 %
4	V-Int	352	9.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	4	0.1 %
9	REF	46	1.3 %
.	-	2211	60.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,400 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 675-675 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W1TRUAM: True American -- Mean of I1,3,4,6,7 (inverted polarity)

What does it mean to be a true American? (Mean)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1.000000000000000	Lowest	14	0.4 %
1.200000000000000	-	5	0.1 %
1.250000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
1.400000000000000	-	26	0.7 %
1.500000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
1.600000000000000	-	48	1.3 %
1.750000000000000	-	5	0.1 %
1.800000000000000	-	54	1.5 %
2.000000000000000	-	108	3.0 %
2.200000000000000	-	128	3.5 %
2.250000000000000	-	6	0.2 %
2.400000000000000	-	120	3.3 %
2.500000000000000	-	4	0.1 %
2.600000000000000	-	159	4.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2.66666666666667	-	1	0.0 %
2.75000000000000	-	7	0.2 %
2.80000000000000	-	165	4.5 %
3.00000000000000	-	136	3.7 %
3.20000000000000	-	108	3.0 %
3.25000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
3.33333333333333	-	1	0.0 %
3.40000000000000	-	121	3.3 %
3.50000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
3.60000000000000	-	90	2.5 %
3.66666666666667	-	2	0.1 %
3.75000000000000	-	5	0.1 %
3.80000000000000	-	48	1.3 %
4.00000000000000	Highest	74	2.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2218	60.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,443 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 2.7480133980134
- Median: 2.80000000000000
- Mode: 2.80000000000000
- Minimum: 1.00000000000000
- Maximum: 4.00000000000000
- Standard Deviation: 0.6804848983233

Location: 676-690 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

Variable Type: numeric

W1TRUAM2: Num true Am items strongly agree or agree (I1,3,4,6,7)

What does it mean to be a true American? (Num true Am items strongly agree or agree)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1318	36.0 %
1	-	135	3.7 %
2	-	384	10.5 %
3	-	399	10.9 %
4	-	291	7.9 %
5	-	207	5.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	927	25.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 1.57
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.75

Location: 691-691 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W1TRUAM3: Count I1,3,4,6--Not I7 (Fight)

What does it mean to be a true American? (Fight)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1399	38.2 %
1	-	393	10.7 %
2	-	429	11.7 %
3	-	299	8.2 %
4	-	214	5.8 %
Missing Data			
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 2,734 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 1.10
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.34

Location: 692-692 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2LIFE1: Life Change U.S.

I'd like to start by asking you some questions about your feelings since the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon (that occurred on September 11, 2001). As a result of these terrorist attacks, has life in the United States changed in a major way, changed only a little bit, or is life in the United States basically the same as it was before the attacks?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	CHANGED IN A MAJOR WAY	1003	27.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	CHANGED ONLY A LITTLE BIT	717	19.6 %
3	BASICALLY THE SAME AS BEFORE	223	6.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	14	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	6	0.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,943 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 693-693 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2SEC4: Terrorist Attack Next 3 Months

All in all, how concerned are you that the United States might suffer another terrorist attack in the next 3 months? Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	740	20.2 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	852	23.3 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	276	7.5 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	85	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	6	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	4	0.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,953 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 694-694 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2SEC5: Local Area Terrorist Attack

How concerned are you that the area in which you live might suffer a terrorist attack in the next 3 months? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	361	9.9 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	557	15.2 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	626	17.1 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	404	11.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	10	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	5	0.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,948 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 695-695 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2SEC8: Bioterrorist Attack

(How concerned are you) that you or someone in your family might become a victim of a bio-terrorist attack (such as anthrax or smallpox)? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	567	15.5 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	601	16.4 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	497	13.6 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	284	7.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	11	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,949 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 696-696 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2F1: Flying Airplane

How concerned are you about flying on an airplane? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	488	13.3 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	441	12.0 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	447	12.2 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	549	15.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	22	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	16	0.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,925 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 697-697 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2F2: Opening Mail

(How concerned are you about) opening your mail? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	161	4.4 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	293	8.0 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	584	16.0 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	916	25.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	6	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,954 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 698-698 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2F3: Food/Drinking Water

(How concerned are you about) the safety of food and drinking water? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	351	9.6 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	605	16.5 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	460	12.6 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	539	14.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	5	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,955 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 699-699 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2F5: Tall Buildings

(How concerned are you about) going into tall buildings? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	334	9.1 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	418	11.4 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	493	13.5 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	688	18.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	22	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	8	0.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,933 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 700-700 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2F6: Large Crowds/Stadiums

(How concerned are you about) being in large crowds or stadiums? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	395	10.8 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	591	16.1 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	419	11.4 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	544	14.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	11	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,949 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 701-701 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CT1A: US Responsibility

How much responsibility do you personally believe the U.S. bears for the hatred that led to the terrorist attacks? Would you say a lot of responsibility, some, a little, or none at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A LOT	460	12.6 %
2	SOME	642	17.5 %
3	A LITTLE	388	10.6 %
4	NONE AT ALL	414	11.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	41	1.1 %
9	REFUSED	18	0.5 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,904 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 702-702 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CT2A: Cause - US Support Israel

The U.S. government's support of Israel. Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	845	23.1 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	769	21.0 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	136	3.7 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	105	2.9 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	85	2.3 %
9	REFUSED	23	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,855 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 703-703 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CT2C: Cause - Economic Aid

The U.S. not providing enough economic aid to poor countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	406	11.1 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	523	14.3 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	538	14.7 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	425	11.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	55	1.5 %
9	REFUSED	16	0.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,892 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 704-704 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CT2I: Cause - US Contributions World

The lack of understanding of how much the United States contributes to the world. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	773	21.1 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	640	17.5 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	256	7.0 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	187	5.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	79	2.2 %
9	REFUSED	28	0.8 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,856 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 705-705 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CT2D: Cause - Islamic Religion

The Islamic religion. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	549	15.0 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	600	16.4 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	365	10.0 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	286	7.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	136	3.7 %
9	REFUSED	27	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,800 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 706-706 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CT2E: Cause - Own Economic Interests

The U.S. pursuing only its own economic interests in its dealings with other countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	686	18.7 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	675	18.4 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	280	7.6 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	225	6.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	78	2.1 %
9	REFUSED	19	0.5 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,866 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 707-707 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CT2F: Cause - Grievances Addressed

Terrorists believing that it is the only way that their grievances will be heard. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	925	25.3 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	550	15.0 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	217	5.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	178	4.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	63	1.7 %
9	REFUSED	30	0.8 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,870 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 708-708 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CT2G: Cause - U.S. Meddling

The U.S. meddling too much in the affairs of other countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	783	21.4 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	680	18.6 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	240	6.6 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	167	4.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	67	1.8 %
9	REFUSED	26	0.7 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,870 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 709-709 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CT2H: Cause - Revenge

Feelings of revenge by terrorists for harms done to their people. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	841	23.0 %
2	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT CAUSE	631	17.2 %
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT CAUSE	215	5.9 %
4	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT CAUSE	173	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	67	1.8 %
9	REFUSED	36	1.0 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,860 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 710-710 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2IS1: Israel vs. Palestinians

In the dispute between Israel and the Palestinians, which side do you sympathize with more ---- Israel or the Palestinians?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ISRAEL	741	20.2 %
5	PALESTINE	279	7.6 %
7	NEITHER/BOTH	634	17.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	218	6.0 %
9	REFUSED	91	2.5 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,654 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 711-711 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2ISFLUP: Follow-Up Israel vs Palestinians

If you had to choose, which side do you sympathize with more, Israel or the Palestinians?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ISRAEL	203	5.5 %
5	PALESTINE	81	2.2 %
7	NEITHER/BOTH	383	10.5 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	190	5.2 %
9	REFUSED	86	2.3 %
.	-	2718	74.2 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 667 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 712-712 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2DG1: Dogmatism 1: Two Kinds People

Next, I would like to read you a series of statements and have you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with each. The first is, there are two kinds of people in this world: those who are for the truth and those who are against it. Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	720	19.7 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	596	16.3 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	317	8.7 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	281	7.7 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	29	0.8 %
9	REFUSED	20	0.5 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,914 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 713-713 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2DG2: Dogmatism 2: Group Tolerating Differences

A group which tolerates too many differences of opinion among its members cannot exist for long. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	446	12.2 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	484	13.2 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	409	11.2 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	562	15.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	45	1.2 %
9	REFUSED	17	0.5 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,901 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 714-714 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2DG3: Dogmatism 3: Compromise Political Opponents

To compromise with our political opponents is dangerous because it usually leads to the betrayal of our own side. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	407	11.1 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	541	14.8 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	496	13.5 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	410	11.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	81	2.2 %
9	REFUSED	28	0.8 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,854 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 715-715 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2DG4: Dogmatism 4: One Correct Philosophy

Of all the different philosophies that exist in the world there is probably only one that is correct. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	267	7.3 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	260	7.1 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	345	9.4 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	1028	28.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	47	1.3 %
9	REFUSED	16	0.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,900 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 716-716 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2DG5: Dogmatism 5: Friends Same Opinions

In the long run the best way to live is to pick friends and associates whose tastes and beliefs are the same as one's own. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	289	7.9 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	479	13.1 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	470	12.8 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	699	19.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	19	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,937 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 717-717 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2DG6: Dogmatism 6: Today's Ideas

Most of the ideas that get printed nowadays aren't worth the paper they are printed on. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	400	10.9 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	593	16.2 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	539	14.7 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	377	10.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	36	1.0 %
9	REFUSED	18	0.5 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,909 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 718-718 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2GT1: Trust Federal Government_w2

The next set of questions are about trust. How much of the time do you think you can trust the federal government (in Washington) to do what is right? Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JUST ABOUT ALWAYS	189	5.2 %
2	MOST OF THE TIME	698	19.1 %
3	SOME OF THE TIME	960	26.2 %
4	NONE OF THE TIME	83	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	17	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	16	0.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,930 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 719-719 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CG1G: Trust State Government

How much of the time do you think you can trust your state government to do what is right? (Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JUST ABOUT ALWAYS	151	4.1 %
2	MOST OF THE TIME	734	20.0 %
3	SOME OF THE TIME	951	26.0 %
4	NONE OF THE TIME	96	2.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	21	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	10	0.3 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,932 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 720-720 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CG1A: Trust FBI_w2

How much of the time do you think you can trust the FBI to do what is right? (Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JUST ABOUT ALWAYS	217	5.9 %
2	MOST OF THE TIME	751	20.5 %
3	SOME OF THE TIME	829	22.6 %
4	NONE OF THE TIME	91	2.5 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	54	1.5 %
9	REFUSED	21	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,888 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 721-721 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CG1C: Trust CIA_w2

(How much of the time do you think you can trust) the CIA (to do what is right?) (Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JUST ABOUT ALWAYS	187	5.1 %
2	MOST OF THE TIME	687	18.8 %
3	SOME OF THE TIME	874	23.9 %
4	NONE OF THE TIME	118	3.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	76	2.1 %
9	REFUSED	21	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,866 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 722-722 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CG1D: Trust Judges Courts

How much of the time do you think you can trust) judges and courts (to do what is right?) (Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JUST ABOUT ALWAYS	159	4.3 %
2	MOST OF THE TIME	864	23.6 %
3	SOME OF THE TIME	829	22.6 %
4	NONE OF THE TIME	75	2.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	24	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	12	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,927 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 723-723 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2GT3: Trust Law Enforcement_w2

(How much of the time do you think you can trust) law enforcement (to do what is right)? (Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JUST ABOUT ALWAYS	179	4.9 %
2	MOST OF THE TIME	958	26.2 %
3	SOME OF THE TIME	740	20.2 %
4	NONE OF THE TIME	60	1.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	15	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	11	0.3 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,937 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 724-724 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2GT2: Government - People/Big Interests

Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves, or that it is run for the benefit of all people?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS	965	26.4 %
5	RUN FOR BENEFIT OF ALL PEOPLE	820	22.4 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DO NOT KNOW	119	3.3 %
9	REFUSED	59	1.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,785 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 725-725 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2FIP1: Faith-in-People 1: Trust Other People

Some people say that most people can be trusted. Others say you can't be too careful in your dealings with people. Which of these opinions comes closest to your own?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED	794	21.7 %
5	YOU CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL	1082	29.6 %
7	DEPENDS (R VOLUNTEERS)	61	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	16	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	10	0.3 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,937 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 726-726 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2FIP2: Faith-in-People 2: People Helpful_w2

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PEOPLE TRY TO BE HELPFUL	1230	33.6 %
5	LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES	599	16.4 %
7	DEPENDS	106	2.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	21	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,935 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 727-727 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT4: Preparing/Preventing Attack

The next set of questions focus on the U.S. government's fight against terrorism. How would you rate the job the federal government is Washington has done in preventing or preparing for the possibility of another terrorist attack? Would you say excellent, good, only fair, or poor?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXCELLENT	407	11.1 %
2	GOOD	898	24.5 %
3	FAIR	464	12.7 %
4	POOR	148	4.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	36	1.0 %
9	REFUSED	10	0.3 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,917 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 728-728 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT6: Terrorist Abilities

Overall, do you think the ability of terrorists to launch another major attack on the U.S. is greater than it was a year ago, the same as a year ago, or less than it was a year ago?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Greater than 1 yr ago	447	12.2 %
3	Same	692	18.9 %
5	Less than 1 yr ago	790	21.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	26	0.7 %
9	REF	8	0.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,929 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 729-729 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT11: Political Party Fight Terrorism

Which political party do you trust to do a better job fighting terrorism, the Republican Party or the Democratic Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REPUBLICAN PARTY	678	18.5 %
5	DEMOCRATIC PARTY	571	15.6 %
7	NEITHER/BOTH	487	13.3 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	145	4.0 %
9	REFUSED	82	2.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,736 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 730-730 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT16: Patriot Act - Increase Security_w2

The next two questions are about the USA Patriot Act and other laws passed after the 9-11 attack on the US. Thinking about these laws, do you think that they increase your security against terrorists, decrease your security against terrorists, or have little or no impact either way?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	INCREASE SECURITY	1107	30.2 %
3	DECREASE SECURITY	145	4.0 %
5	LITTLE OR NO IMPACT	591	16.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	94	2.6 %
9	REFUSED	26	0.7 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,843 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 731-731 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT17: Patriot Act - Increase Civil Liberties

Do you think that these laws increase your civil rights and liberties, decrease your civil rights and liberties, or have little or no effect either way? IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "The US Patriot Act is a series of laws and guidelines passed after September 11th designed to ease restrictions on surveillance of suspected terrorism".

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	INCREASE CIVIL RIGHTS	265	7.2 %
3	DECREASE CIVIL RIGHTS	818	22.3 %
5	LITTLE OR NO EFFECT	755	20.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	100	2.7 %
9	REFUSED	25	0.7 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,838 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 732-732 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT18: Patriot Act - Library Records

Under provisions of the Patriot Act, the FBI has sought to gain access to public library records to see the kinds of books people are checking out. Do you strongly favor such access, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose such access? IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "The US Patriot Act is a series of laws and guidelines passed after September 11th designed to ease restrictions on surveillance of suspected terrorism".

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY FAVOR	388	10.6 %
2	SOMEWHAT FAVOR	517	14.1 %
3	SOMEWHAT OPPOSE	482	13.2 %
4	STRONGLY OPPOSE	514	14.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	46	1.3 %
9	REFUSED	16	0.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,901 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 733-733 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT13: Political Party Protecting Civil Liberties

Which political party do you trust to do a better job protecting your civil liberties, the Republican Party or the Democratic Party? IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "Civil liberties are the basic rights guaranteed to all Americans by the constitution - such as the freedoms of speech, assembly, religion, etc"

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REPUBLICAN PARTY	487	13.3 %
5	DEMOCRATIC PARTY	899	24.6 %
7	NEITHER/BOTH	373	10.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	124	3.4 %
9	REFUSED	80	2.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,759 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 734-734 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT15: Necessary to Give Up Civil Liberties

How likely is it that you personally will have to give up some of your rights and liberties in order to curb terrorism? Would you say very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely or very unlikely?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY LIKELY	447	12.2 %
2	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	839	22.9 %
3	SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY	360	9.8 %
4	VERY UNLIKELY	272	7.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	37	1.0 %
9	REFUSED	8	0.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,918 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 735-735 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT19: Personally Willing to Give Up Rights

How willing would you personally be to give up some of your rights and liberties in order to curb terrorism? Would you say very willing, somewhat willing, somewhat unwilling or very unwilling?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY WILLING	521	14.2 %
2	SOMEWHAT WILLING	853	23.3 %
3	SOMEWHAT UNWILLING	301	8.2 %
4	VERY UNWILLING	235	6.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	38	1.0 %
9	REFUSED	15	0.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,910 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 736-736 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2GI1: Common Interests vs Advocate Rights

Is it more important for people to pull together and emphasize our common interests regardless of race or ethnic background? -- or -- Is it more important to continue to push for the rights of minority groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MORE IMPORTANT TO PULL TOGETHER	1513	41.3 %
5	MORE IMPORTANT TO ADVOCATE	306	8.4 %
7	NEITHER/BOTH	63	1.7 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	51	1.4 %
9	REFUSED	30	0.8 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,882 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 737-737 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2GI1FLP: Follow-up Common Interests vs Advocate Rights

If you had to choose, which comes closer to your opinion? Is it more important for people to pull together and emphasize our common interests regardless of race or ethnic background? -- or -- Is it more important to continue to push for the rights of minority groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MORE IMPORTANT TO PULL TOGETHER	32	0.9 %
5	MORE IMPORTANT TO ADVOCATE	13	0.4 %
7	NEITHER/BOTH	40	1.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	27	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	2	0.1 %
.	-	3547	96.9 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 85 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 738-738 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CR3: Minority Rights

Do you think paying great attention to the war on terrorism in America hurts the rights of minorities, improves the rights of minorities, or does it have no impact on minority rights?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	HURTS THE RIGHTS	707	19.3 %
3	IMPROVED THE RIGHTS	224	6.1 %
5	HAS NO IMPACT	895	24.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	114	3.1 %
9	REFUSED	23	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,826 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 739-739 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2FT1A: Feeling Thermo: Middle Eastern/Arabic

People of Middle Eastern or Arabic backgrounds? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	COLD	52	1.4 %
1	-	21	0.6 %
2	-	48	1.3 %
3	-	67	1.8 %
4	-	105	2.9 %
5	-	790	21.6 %
6	-	105	2.9 %
7	-	158	4.3 %
8	-	171	4.7 %
9	-	74	2.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	WARM	278	7.6 %
	Missing Data		
98	DO NOT KNOW	41	1.1 %
99	REFUSED	53	1.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,869 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 6.01
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.42

Location: 740-741 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W2FT1B: Feeling Thermo: White_w2

People who are white? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	COLD	9	0.2 %
1	-	9	0.2 %
2	-	10	0.3 %
3	-	5	0.1 %
4	-	21	0.6 %
5	-	660	18.0 %
6	-	93	2.5 %
7	-	192	5.2 %
8	-	258	7.0 %
9	-	172	4.7 %
10	WARM	471	12.9 %
	Missing Data		
98	DO NOT KNOW	15	0.4 %
99	REFUSED	48	1.3 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,900 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 7.19
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.19

Location: 742-743 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98, 99, .

W2FT1C: Feeling Thermo: Islamic Fundamentalists_w2

People who are Islamic Fundamentalists? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	COLD	152	4.2 %
1	-	91	2.5 %
2	-	142	3.9 %
3	-	161	4.4 %
4	-	162	4.4 %
5	-	703	19.2 %
6	-	72	2.0 %
7	-	85	2.3 %
8	-	92	2.5 %
9	-	41	1.1 %
10	WARM	145	4.0 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	66	1.8 %
99	REFUSED	51	1.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,846 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 4.66
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.62

Location: 744-745 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98, 99, .

W2FT1D: Feeling Thermo: Hispanics or Latinos

People who are Hispanics or Latinos? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	COLD	7	0.2 %
1	-	6	0.2 %
2	-	11	0.3 %
3	-	13	0.4 %
4	-	41	1.1 %
5	-	649	17.7 %
6	-	85	2.3 %
7	-	195	5.3 %
8	-	228	6.2 %
9	-	163	4.5 %
10	WARM	501	13.7 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	17	0.5 %
99	REFUSED	47	1.3 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,899 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 7.19
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.23

Location: 746-747 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W2FT1E: Feeling Thermo: Jewish

(How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	COLD	29	0.8 %
1	-	13	0.4 %
2	-	19	0.5 %
3	-	27	0.7 %
4	-	29	0.8 %
5	-	698	19.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	84	2.3 %
7	-	184	5.0 %
8	-	245	6.7 %
9	-	155	4.2 %
10	WARM	396	10.8 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	36	1.0 %
99	REFUSED	48	1.3 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,879 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 6.84
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.34

Location: 748-749 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W2FT1G: Feeling Thermo: Christian Fundamentalists

People who are Christian fundamentalists? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	COLD	44	1.2 %
1	-	35	1.0 %
2	-	71	1.9 %
3	-	103	2.8 %
4	-	99	2.7 %
5	-	643	17.6 %
6	-	90	2.5 %
7	-	145	4.0 %
8	-	194	5.3 %
9	-	108	3.0 %
10	WARM	350	9.6 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	29	0.8 %
99	REFUSED	52	1.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,882 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 6.20
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.63

Location: 750-751 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W2FT1H: Feeling Thermo: Black/African American

People who are Black or African American? (How would you rate your feelings towards this group on a scale of 0-10, where zero is cold and 10 is warm?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	COLD	10	0.3 %
1	-	9	0.2 %
2	-	14	0.4 %
3	-	18	0.5 %
4	-	19	0.5 %
5	-	605	16.5 %
6	-	80	2.2 %
7	-	176	4.8 %
8	-	263	7.2 %
9	-	168	4.6 %
10	WARM	533	14.6 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	15	0.4 %
99	REFUSED	53	1.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,895 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 7.31
- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00

- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.26

Location: 752-753 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W2P1: National Pride (W2)

How proud are you to be an American? Would you say very proud, proud, somewhat proud, not very proud, or not proud at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY PROUD	1398	38.2 %
2	PROUD	265	7.2 %
3	SOMEWHAT PROUD	170	4.6 %
4	NOT VERY PROUD	30	0.8 %
5	NOT PROUD AT ALL	23	0.6 %
7	RESPONDENT NOT AN AMERICAN	56	1.5 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	9	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	12	0.3 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,942 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 754-754 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL1: CL1: Civil Liberties vs Preserve Freedom (W2)

Next I am going to read you a series of two statements. Please tell me which one you agree with most.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Necessary to give up some civil liberties	883	24.1 %
5	We should preserve our freedoms	932	25.5 %
7	IT DEPENDS/NEITHER (R volunteers)	50	1.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	49	1.3 %
9	REFUSED	49	1.3 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,865 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 755-755 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL1FLP: Follow Up - Civil Liberties vs Preserve Freedoms

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (In order to curb terrorism in this country, it will be necessary to give up some civil liberties). -or- (We should preserve our freedoms above all, even if there remains some risk of terrorism.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Necessary to give up some civil liberties	25	0.7 %
5	We should preserve our freedoms	27	0.7 %
7	IT DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE (R volunteers)	17	0.5 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	27	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	3562	97.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 69 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 756-756 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL2: CL2: National Identity Card

Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times to show to a police officer upon request. -or- Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's freedom of association and right to privacy. (Which statement do you agree with most?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PEOPLE REQUIRED TO CARRY ID	1053	28.8 %
5	ID CARDS VIOLATE FREEDOM ASSOCIATION	779	21.3 %
7	IT DEPENDS/NEITHER	61	1.7 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	33	0.9 %
9	REFUSED	37	1.0 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,893 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 757-757 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL2FLP: Follow-Up National Identity Card

If you had to choose' which statement is closer to your opinion? (Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times to show to a police officer on request. -or- Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's freedom of association and right to privacy).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PEOPLE REQUIRED TO CARRY ID	24	0.7 %
5	ID CARDS VIOLATE FREEDOM ASSOCIATION	15	0.4 %
7	DEPENDS/CANNOT CHOOSE	33	0.9 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	21	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	3567	97.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 72 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 758-758 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL7: CL7: Teachers Criticize Policies

Some people say high school teachers have the right to criticize America's policies toward terrorism. Others say that all high school teachers should defend America's policies in order to promote loyalty to our country. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES	899	24.6 %
5	HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY	810	22.1 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE	125	3.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	65	1.8 %
9	REFUSED	63	1.7 %
.	-	1699	46.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,834 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 759-759 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL7FLP: Follow-Up Teachers Criticize Policies

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? (High school teachers have the right to criticize America's policies toward terrorism) - or - (All high school teachers should defend America's policies in order to promote loyalty to our country).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES	34	0.9 %
5	HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY	52	1.4 %
7	DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE	69	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	30	0.8 %
9	REFUSED	5	0.1 %
.	-	3471	94.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 155 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 760-760 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL8: CL8: Search and Seizure

Some people say that law enforcement should be free to search a property without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act is being planned there. Others say that protection against searches without a warrant is a basic right that should not be given up for any reason. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT	450	12.3 %
5	OPPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT	1430	39.1 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE	30	0.8 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DO NOT KNOW	24	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	28	0.8 %
.	-	1699	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,910 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 761-761 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL8FLP: Followup - Search and Seizure

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? (Law enforcement should be free to search a property without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act is being planned there). - or - (Protection against searches without a warrant is a basic right that should not be given up for any reason).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT	15	0.4 %
5	OPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT	12	0.3 %
7	DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE	16	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	11	0.3 %
.	-	3607	98.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 43 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 762-762 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL9: CL9: Record Telephone/Monitor E-mail

Some people say that government should be allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts. Others say that people's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS	655	17.9 %
5	OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATIONS	1174	32.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE	75	2.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	36	1.0 %
9	REFUSED	22	0.6 %
.	-	1699	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,904 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 763-763 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL9FLP: Follow-up Record Telephone/Monitor E-mail

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? (The government should be allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts). - or - (People's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS	21	0.6 %
5	OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATION	22	0.6 %
7	DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE	47	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	19	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	2	0.1 %
.	-	3550	97.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 90 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 764-764 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL10: CL10: Non Violent Protest

Some say that people who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated. Others say that people have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS	204	5.6 %
5	RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC	1698	46.4 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE	29	0.8 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	12	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	19	0.5 %
.	-	1699	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,931 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 765-765 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL10FL: Follow-Up Non Violent Protest

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? (People who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated) - or - (People have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS	6	0.2 %
5	RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC	11	0.3 %
7	DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE	12	0.3 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	11	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	3620	98.9 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 29 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 766-766 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL4: CL4: Freedom Association

Some people say it should be a crime for anyone to belong to or contribute money to any organization that supports international terrorism. Others say that a person's guilt or innocence should not be determined only by who they associate with or the organizations to which they belong. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TERRORISM	1283	35.0 %
5	GUILT INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED	526	14.4 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE	55	1.5 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	53	1.4 %
9	REFUSED	46	1.3 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,864 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 767-767 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL4FLP: Follow-Up Freedom Association

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? (It should be a crime for anyone to belong to or contribute money to any organization that supports international terrorism.) - or - (A person's guilt or innocence should not be determined only by who they associate with or the organizations to which they belong.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TO TERRORISM	35	1.0 %
5	GUILTY INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED	25	0.7 %
7	DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE	19	0.5 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	27	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	2	0.1 %
.	-	3553	97.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 79 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 768-768 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL4A: Judged By Association

Suppose, in doing this, people would be judged guilty by association rather than by a crime they may have personally committed. If that happened, would you be less likely to view such people as committing a crime, more likely to view them as committing a crime, or would it make no difference in your answer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	279	7.6 %
5	MORE LIKELY	409	11.2 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	549	15.0 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	61	1.7 %
9	REFUSED	20	0.5 %
.	-	2343	64.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,237 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 769-769 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL4B: Members Supporting Terrorism

Suppose people who contribute to these organizations actually are supporting the activities and goals of terrorist organizations. If that were true, would you be less likely to view them as innocent, more likely to view them as innocent, or would it make no difference in your answer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	295	8.1 %
5	MORE LIKELY	73	2.0 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	159	4.3 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	16	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	8	0.2 %
.	-	3110	84.9 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 527 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 770-770 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL5: CL5: Arrest and Detain

Some people say the government should be able to arrest and detain a non-citizen indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging to a terrorist organization. Others say nobody should be held for a long period of time without being formally charged with a crime. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES	748	20.4 %
5	OPPOSE DETAINING INDIVIDUALS	1121	30.6 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE	37	1.0 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	24	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	33	0.9 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,906 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 771-771 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL5FLP: Follow-Up Arrest and Detain

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? (The government should be able to arrest and detain a non-citizen indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging to a terrorist organization). - or - (Nobody should be held for a long period of time without being formally charged with a crime).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES	20	0.5 %
5	OPPOSE DETAINING INDIVIDUALS	9	0.2 %
7	DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE	16	0.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	13	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	3600	98.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 45 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 772-772 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL5A: People Held w/ Being Charged

Suppose this means that many innocent people could be locked up for a long time without ever be charged with a crime. If that happened, would you be less likely to support such detention, more likely to support such detention, or would it make no difference in your answer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	370	10.1 %
5	MORE LIKELY	109	3.0 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	266	7.3 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	17	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	6	0.2 %
.	-	2893	79.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 745 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 773-773 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL5B: Suspected Terrorists

Suppose there were strong suspicions that these people are terrorists and detaining them would prevent them from committing other crimes. If that happened, would you be less likely to oppose suc detention, more likely to oppose it, or would it make no difference?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	485	13.2 %
5	MORE LIKELY	252	6.9 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	357	9.8 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	23	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	13	0.4 %
.	-	2531	69.1 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,094 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 774-774 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL6: CL6: Racial Profiling

Some people say that law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes. This is called racial profiling. Others think racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING	334	9.1 %
5	OPPSE RACIAL PROFILING	1512	41.3 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE	52	1.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	25	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	40	1.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,898 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 775-775 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL6FLP: Follow-up Racial Profiling

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? (Law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes.) - or - (Racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING	22	0.6 %
5	OPPOSE RACIAL PROFILING	17	0.5 %
7	DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE	22	0.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	14	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	2	0.1 %
.	-	3584	97.9 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 61 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 776-776 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL6A: Racial Profiling - Unequal Treatment

Suppose this means that racial profiling often leads to unequal treatment of people just because of their race or national origin. If this happened, would you be less likely to support racial profiling, more likely to support it, or would this not make any difference in your answer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	144	3.9 %
5	MORE LIKELY	42	1.1 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	163	4.5 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	3305	90.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 349 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 777-777 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL6B: Racial Groups Plotting Terrorism

Suppose people from certain racial or ethnic backgrounds were actually more likely to commit crimes? If this were the case, would you be less likely to oppose racial profiling, more likely to oppose it, or would this not make any difference in your answer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	264	7.2 %
5	MORE LIKELY	253	6.9 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	942	25.7 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	46	1.3 %
9	REFUSED	24	0.7 %
.	-	2132	58.2 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,459 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 778-778 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL11: CL11: Freedom of Info vs Protection Against Terrorism

Which of the following comes closer to your view? The federal government should place greater restrictions on the public's access to information on what the government is doing in the fight against terrorism, -- or --- Public access to information is essential to making government officials responsive to the people?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SHOULD PLACE GREATER RESTRICTIONS	688	18.8 %
5	PUBLIC ACCESS IS ESSENTIAL	1151	31.4 %
7	NEITHER	31	0.8 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	41	1.1 %
9	REFUSED	52	1.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total			3,661 100%

Based upon 1,870 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 779-779 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL11FL: Follow-Up Access Information

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? The federal government should place greater restrictions on the public's access to information on what the government is doing in the fight against terrorism, -- or --- Public access to information is essential to making government officials responsive to the people?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SHOULD PLACE GREATER RESTRICTIONS	10	0.3 %
5	PUBLIC ACCESS IS ESSENTIAL	15	0.4 %
7	NEITHER	21	0.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	24	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	2	0.1 %
.	-	3589	98.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 46 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 780-780 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL11A: Hide Illegal Acts

Suppose this allowed the government to hide acts that were illegal or unconstitutional. If this were true, would you want to restrict public access to information more, restrict public access less -- or would it not make a difference?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	185	5.1 %
5	MORE LIKELY	262	7.2 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	230	6.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	15	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	6	0.2 %
.	-	2963	80.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 677 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 781-781 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CL11B: Use Information Fight Terrorism

Suppose this meant that our enemies might be able to use this information against the US. If this were true, would you want to restrict public access to information more, restrict public access less -- or would it not make a difference?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	566	15.5 %
5	MORE LIKELY	173	4.7 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	396	10.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	25	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	REFUSED	6	0.2 %
.	-	2495	68.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,135 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 782-782 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD1: GENDER (W2)

Now, I have a few background questions. These are for statistical analysis purposes only.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	806	22.0 %
5	FEMALE	1157	31.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,963 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 783-783 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CD2: YEAR BORN (W2)

In what year were you born?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
12	-	3	0.1 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	1	0.0 %
16	-	6	0.2 %
17	-	7	0.2 %
18	-	8	0.2 %
19	-	9	0.2 %
20	-	14	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
21	-	11	0.3 %
22	-	15	0.4 %
23	-	10	0.3 %
24	-	19	0.5 %
25	-	14	0.4 %
26	-	23	0.6 %
27	-	15	0.4 %
28	-	28	0.8 %
29	-	17	0.5 %
30	-	21	0.6 %
31	-	24	0.7 %
32	-	22	0.6 %
33	-	23	0.6 %
34	-	26	0.7 %
35	-	23	0.6 %
36	-	28	0.8 %
37	-	23	0.6 %
38	-	32	0.9 %
39	-	24	0.7 %
40	-	25	0.7 %
41	-	29	0.8 %
42	-	34	0.9 %
43	-	27	0.7 %
44	-	25	0.7 %
45	-	33	0.9 %
46	-	27	0.7 %
47	-	40	1.1 %
48	-	49	1.3 %
49	-	36	1.0 %
50	-	33	0.9 %
51	-	39	1.1 %
52	-	39	1.1 %
53	-	48	1.3 %
54	-	43	1.2 %
55	-	43	1.2 %
56	-	41	1.1 %
57	-	37	1.0 %
58	-	40	1.1 %
59	-	36	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
60	-	35	1.0 %
61	-	32	0.9 %
62	-	30	0.8 %
Missing Data			
998	DK	7	0.2 %
999	REF	53	1.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,903 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 53.37
- Median: 54.00
- Mode: 63.00
- Minimum: 12.00
- Maximum: 85.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.20

Location: 784-786 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 998 , 999 , .

W2CD2A: BORN IN USA? (W2)

Were you born in the United States (or one of its territories, such as Puerto Rico, American Samoa, or Guam)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1622	44.3 %
5	NO	315	8.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	23	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,937 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 787-787 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD2B: Language Other English spoken at home? (W2)

Do you speak a language other than English at home?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	378	10.3 %
5	NO	1564	42.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	REFUSED	21	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,942 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 788-788 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD3: EDUCATIONAL LEVEL (W2)

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	DID NOT GO TO SCHOOL	6	0.2 %
1	Grade 1	9	0.2 %
2	Grade 2	4	0.1 %
3	Grade 3	12	0.3 %
4	Grade 4	5	0.1 %
5	Grade 5	8	0.2 %
6	Grade 6	38	1.0 %
7	Grade 7	9	0.2 %
8	Grade 8	18	0.5 %
9	Grade 9	26	0.7 %
10	Grade 10	29	0.8 %
11	Grade 11	58	1.6 %
12	Grade 12 - HIGH SCHOOL GRAD OR GED	488	13.3 %
13	College Year 1	172	4.7 %
14	College Year 2	225	6.1 %
15	College Year 3	125	3.4 %
16	College Year 4 - COLLEGE GRADUATE	379	10.4 %
17	SOME POST GRADUATE	75	2.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	GRADUATE DEGREE	219	6.0 %
20	TECHNICAL/JUNIOR COLLEGE GRAD	30	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
98	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
99	REFUSED	27	0.7 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,935 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 20.00

Location: 789-790 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W2CD8: MARITAL STATUS (W2)

Are you currently married, divorced, separated, widowed, member of an unmarried couple, or have you never been married?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MARRIED	988	27.0 %
2	DIVORCED	243	6.6 %
3	SEPARATED	66	1.8 %
4	WIDOWED	183	5.0 %
5	MEMBERT UNMARRIED COUPLE	45	1.2 %
6	SINGLE NEVER BEEN MARRIED	410	11.2 %
7	MISCELLANEOUS - NEC 0	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	REFUSED	28	0.8 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,935 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 791-791 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 9 , .

W2CD5A: ETHNICITY: HISPANIC/LATINO vs. not (W2)

Are you of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, HISPANIC	433	11.8 %
5	NO, NOT HISPANIC	1503	41.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	23	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,936 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 792-792 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD5A1: Which Hispanic/Latino Group (W2)

With which Hispanic or Latino group do you identify most? Mexican, Mexican American, Chicano, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MEXICAN	160	4.4 %
2	MEXICAN AMERICAN	140	3.8 %
3	CHICANO	15	0.4 %
4	PUERTO RICAN	17	0.5 %
5	CUBAN	35	1.0 %
6	SOMETHING ELSE	7	0.2 %
7	CENTRAL/SOUTH AMERICAN	43	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
9	REFUSED	12	0.3 %
.	-	3231	88.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 417 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 793-793 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD4A_A: White Caucasian_w2

Are you White Caucasian?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1336	36.5 %
5	NO	594	16.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	5	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	28	0.8 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,930 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 794-794 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD4A_B: African American or Black_w2

Are you African American or Black?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	355	9.7 %
5	NO	1577	43.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	27	0.7 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,932 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 795-795 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD4A_C: Arab or Middle Eastern_w2

Are you Arab or Middle Eastern?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	6	0.2 %
5	NO	1926	52.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	27	0.7 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,932 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 796-796 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD4A_D: Hawaiian Pacific Islander

Are you Hawaiian Pacific Islander?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	5	0.1 %
5	NO	1927	52.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	27	0.7 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,932 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 797-797 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD4A_E: Asian or Asian American

Are you Asian or Asian American?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	26	0.7 %
5	NO	1906	52.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	27	0.7 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,932 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 798-798 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD4A_F: American Indian Alaska Native

Are you American Indian Alaska Native?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	39	1.1 %
5	NO	1893	51.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	27	0.7 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,932 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 799-799 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD6: RELIGION (W2)

What is the religious group which you feel most closely represents your religious views? (Is it Catholic, Islamic, Jewish, Protestant, some other religion, or no religion?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NONE; NO RELIGIOUS GROUP	211	5.8 %
1	CATHOLIC; ROMAN CATHOLIC, ORTHODOX	586	16.0 %
2	ISLAMIC/MUSLIM	11	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	JEWISH	39	1.1 %
4	PROTESTANT	457	12.5 %
5	OTHER NON-CHRISTIAN	43	1.2 %
6	OTHER CHRISTIAN	298	8.1 %
7	BAPTISTS	217	5.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	39	1.1 %
9	REFUSED	62	1.7 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,862 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 800-800 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD6A: Born-Again Christian? (W2)

Are you a born-again Christian?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	554	15.1 %
5	NO	399	10.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	10	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	9	0.2 %
.	-	2689	73.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 953 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 801-801 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD7_A: Party identification first question (W2)

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ANOTHER PARTY, THIRD PARTY, ETC	125	3.4 %
1	REPUBLICAN	482	13.2 %
4	INDEPENDENT	499	13.6 %
7	DEMOCRAT	693	18.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	80	2.2 %
9	REFUSED	84	2.3 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,799 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 802-802 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD7_B: Republican_w2

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or not a very strong Republican?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY REPUBLICAN	270	7.4 %
2	NOT VERY STRONG REPUBLICAN	207	5.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	3179	86.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 477 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 803-803 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD7_C: Democrat_w2

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or not a very strong Democrat?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	NOT VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT	301	8.2 %
7	STRONG DEMOCRAT	382	10.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	7	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	2968	81.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 683 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 804-804 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD7_D: Independent_w2

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the Democratic Party or the Republican Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	REPUBLICAN	144	3.9 %
4	NEITHER	160	4.4 %
5	DEMOCRAT	192	5.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
9	REFUSED	2	0.1 %
.	-	3162	86.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 496 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 805-805 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2PARTY: PARTY ID -- 7 pt. scale (W2)

PARTY ID

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	other	125	3.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	strong republican	270	7.4 %
2	not strong rep	207	5.7 %
3	lean republican	144	3.9 %
4	neither	160	4.4 %
5	lean democrat	192	5.2 %
6	not strong dem	301	8.2 %
7	strong democrat	382	10.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	80	2.2 %
9	REFUSED	84	2.3 %
.	-	1716	46.9 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,781 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 806-806 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2P17_A: Political Ideology first question (W2)

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a conservative, a moderate, or a liberal?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NEITHER-NONE	68	1.9 %
1	CONSERVATIVE	692	18.9 %
4	MODERATE	674	18.4 %
7	LIBERAL	403	11.0 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	63	1.7 %
9	REFUSED	63	1.7 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,837 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 807-807 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2P17_B: Very Conservative or Weak

Would you consider yourself very conservative or somewhat conservative?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONSERVATIVE	217	5.9 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE	467	12.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	7	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	2969	81.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 684 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 808-808 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2P17_C: Very Liberal or Weak

Would you consider yourself to be very liberal or somewhat liberal?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	SOMEWHAT LIBERAL	268	7.3 %
7	VERY LIBERAL	130	3.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	3258	89.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 398 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 809-809 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2P17_D: Middle, or lean conserv or liberal

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the conservative side or the liberal side?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	CLOSER CONSERVATIVE	282	7.7 %
4	IN THE MIDDLE	119	3.3 %
5	CLOSER LIBERAL	264	7.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	6	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	2987	81.6 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 665 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 810-810 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2IDEOLO: POLITICAL IDEOLOGY -- 7 pt. scale (W2)

POLITICAL IDEOLOGY

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	other	0	0.0 %
1	very conservative	217	5.9 %
2	somewhat conservative	467	12.8 %
3	lean conservative	282	7.7 %
4	middle	119	3.3 %
5	lean liberal	264	7.2 %
6	somewhat liberal	268	7.3 %
7	very liberal	130	3.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	63	1.7 %
9	REFUSED	63	1.7 %
.	-	1788	48.8 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,747 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 811-811 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2V1_A: Voted 2000 Presidential Election? (W2)

Did you vote in the 2000 Presidential election?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1423	38.9 %
5	NO	508	13.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	4	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	28	0.8 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,931 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 812-812 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2V1_B: Who Voted for in 2000? (W2)

Did you vote for George Bush, Al Gore, Ralph Nader, or someone else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GEORGE BUSH	599	16.4 %
2	AL GORE	592	16.2 %
3	RALPH NADER	36	1.0 %
4	SOMEONE ELSE	48	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	27	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	121	3.3 %
.	-	2238	61.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,275 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 813-813 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2INTER: Political Interest (W2)

How interested are you in politics? Would you say you are very interested, somewhat interested, not very interested, or not interested at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY INTERESTED	544	14.9 %
2	SOMEWHAT INTERESTED	1000	27.3 %
3	NOT VERY INTERESTED	267	7.3 %
4	NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	117	3.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	5	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	30	0.8 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,928 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 814-814 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2B1: Bush Approval (W2)

Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	APPROVE	1145	31.3 %
5	DISAPPROVE	632	17.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	104	2.8 %
9	REFUSED	82	2.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,777 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 815-815 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2B2: Approve Bush Response Terrorism (W2)

Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is responding to the terrorist attacks?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	APPROVE	1307	35.7 %
5	DISAPPROVE	504	13.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	79	2.2 %
9	REFUSED	73	2.0 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,811 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 816-816 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD10: Adults

Including yourself, how many individuals who are 18 years of age or older live in your household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	645	17.6 %
2	-	960	26.2 %
3	-	214	5.8 %
4	-	95	2.6 %
5	-	20	0.5 %
6	-	12	0.3 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	REFUSED	16	0.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,947 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 1.93
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.91

Location: 817-818 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W2X1: Community Type (R's designation) (W2)

Would you say you live in a rural community, a small city or town, a suburb, or an urban community?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	OTHER	27	0.7 %
1	RURAL COMMUNITY	371	10.1 %
2	SMALL CITY OR TOWN, VILLAGE	655	17.9 %
3	A SUBURB	361	9.9 %
4	URBAN COMMUNITY	511	14.0 %
5	URBAN COMMUNITY	0	0.0 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	8	0.2 %
99	REFUSED	30	0.8 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,925 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 819-820 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W2CD15: Employment Status

We are interested in learning about the different ways people may earn their living. Last week, were you working full-time, part-time, going to school, a home-maker or something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Something else (Specify)	60	1.6 %
1	WORK FULL TIME	879	24.0 %
2	WORK PART TIME	192	5.2 %
3	WORK AND GO TO SCHOOL	50	1.4 %
4	IN THE ARMED FORCES	0	0.0 %
5	JOB, DID NOT WORK LAST WEEK	5	0.1 %
6	UNEMPLOYED	41	1.1 %
7	RETIRED	342	9.3 %
8	SCHOOL FULL-TIME	76	2.1 %
9	HOMEMAKER	248	6.8 %
10	DISABLED	37	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
90	MISCELLANEOUS-NEC	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
99	REFUSED	33	0.9 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,930 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00

Location: 821-822 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W2MILSRV: Military Service

Have you ever served in the U.S. Armed Forces, military reserves, or National Guard?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	309	8.4 %
5	NO	1623	44.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
9	REFUSED	30	0.8 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,932 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 823-823 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CC3: Household Financial Situation

How would you rate your household's overall financial situation these days? Would you say it is excellent, good, just fair, not so good, or poor?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXCELLENT	210	5.7 %
2	GOOD	882	24.1 %
3	JUST FAIR	579	15.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	NOT SO GOOD	149	4.1 %
5	POOR	91	2.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	7	0.2 %
9	REF	45	1.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,911 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 824-824 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CC3A: 9/11 Affect Finances

How have the events of the September 11th terrorist attacks affected the economic well-being of you and your family? Would you say your financial situation has gotten much better, somewhat better, somewhat worse, or much worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MUCH BETTER	49	1.3 %
2	SOMEWHAT BETTER	294	8.0 %
3	SOMEWHAT WORSE	746	20.4 %
4	MUCH WORSE	151	4.1 %
7	NO CHANGE IN FINANCIAL SITUATION	673	18.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	11	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	39	1.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,913 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 825-825 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CD15A: Concern about Losing Job

How concerned are you that you or someone contributing to your household income might lose your job within the next 3 months? Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, not concerned at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	362	9.9 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	343	9.4 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	380	10.4 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	773	21.1 %
7	ALREADY LOST A JOB	34	0.9 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	26	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	45	1.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,892 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 826-826 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2INCA: \$35,000 or More

To get a picture of people's financial situations, we'd like to know the general range of incomes of all households we interview. This is for statistical analysis purposes and your answers will be kept strictly confidential. Now, thinking about your household's total annual income from all sources (including your job), did your household receive \$30,000 or more in 2002?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1164	31.8 %
5	NO	584	16.0 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	60	1.6 %
9	Refused	155	4.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,748 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 827-827 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2INCB: Less Than \$20,000

Was it less than \$20,000?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	284	7.8 %
5	NO	280	7.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	10	0.3 %
9	Refused	10	0.3 %
.	-	3077	84.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 564 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 828-828 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2INCC: Less than \$10,000_w2

Was it less than \$10,000?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	87	2.4 %
5	NOT	195	5.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	2	0.1 %
.	-	3377	92.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 282 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 829-829 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2INCD: \$60,000 or More

Was it \$60,000? or more?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	572	15.6 %
5	NO	569	15.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	10	0.3 %
9	Refused	13	0.4 %
.	-	2497	68.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,141 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 830-830 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2INCE: \$40,000 or More

Was it \$40,000 or more?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	307	8.4 %
5	NO	248	6.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	9	0.2 %
9	Refused	5	0.1 %
.	-	3092	84.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 555 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 831-831 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2INCF: \$50,000 or More

Was it \$50,000 or more?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	137	3.7 %
5	NO	167	4.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
9	Refused	2	0.1 %
.	-	3354	91.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 304 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 832-832 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2INCG: \$70,000 or More

Was it more than \$70,000?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	394	10.8 %
5	NO	169	4.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	6	0.2 %
9	Refused	3	0.1 %
.	-	3089	84.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 563 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 833-833 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2INCOME: missing

Missing

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	\$10,000 or less	87	2.4 %
2	\$10,000-19,999	195	5.3 %
3	\$20,000-29,999	280	7.6 %
4	\$30,000-39,999	248	6.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	\$40,000-49,999	167	4.6 %
6	\$50,000-59,999	137	3.7 %
7	\$60,000-69,999	169	4.6 %
8	\$70,000 or more	394	10.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	Missing	260	7.1 %
.	-	1724	47.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,677 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 834-835 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9 , 98 , 99 , .

W2RI2_A: Permission to Recontact in Future (W2)

Sometime next year, we'd like to recontact you again for another interview. That interview would take less time than this one. Would you be willing to participate again sometime next year?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1832	50.0 %
5	NO	95	2.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	14	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	22	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,927 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 836-836 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2RACEPE: R's Perception of Iwer's Race (W2)

Finally, what do you think is my racial background?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	WHITE OR CAUCASIAN	749	20.5 %
2	AFRICAN AMERICAN	426	11.6 %
3	ARABIC/MIDDLE EASTERN	36	1.0 %
4	HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	14	0.4 %
5	ASIAN AMERICAN	62	1.7 %
6	AMERICAN INDIAN	17	0.5 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	595	16.3 %
9	REFUSED	64	1.7 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,304 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 837-837 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2IWER1: Interviewer Ethnicity (Iwer designated) (W2)

Interviewer Ethnicity

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, HISPANIC	438	12.0 %
5	NO, NOT HISPANIC	1504	41.1 %
Missing Data			
9	MISSING	21	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,942 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 838-838 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9 , .

W2IWER2A: Interviewer Caucasian

Interviewer is Caucasian

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	807	22.0 %
5	NO	1135	31.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	MISSING	21	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,942 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 839-839 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W2IWER2B: Interviewer African American or Black

Interviewer is African American or Black

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1052	28.7 %
5	NO	890	24.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	MISSING	21	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,942 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 840-840 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W2IWER2C: Interviewer Hawaiian Pacific Islander

Interviewer is Hawaiian Pacific Islander

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	3	0.1 %
5	NO	1939	53.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	MISSING	21	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,942 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 841-841 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W2IWER2D: Interviewer Asian

Interviewer is Asian

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	64	1.7 %
5	NO	1878	51.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	MISSING	21	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,942 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 842-842 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W2IWER2E: Interviewer American Indian Alaska Native

Interviewer is American Indian or Alaskan Native

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	100	2.7 %
5	NO	1842	50.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	MISSING	21	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,942 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 843-843 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W2IWER3: Iwer gender (Iwer designate)

Interviewer's gender

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	437	11.9 %
5	FEMALE	1505	41.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	MISSING	21	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,942 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 844-844 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W2IWER4A: R Cooperation (W2)

How cooperative was the respondent 1=Very cooperative during the survey?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very cooperative	1604	43.8 %
2	Somewhat cooperative	277	7.6 %
3	Not very cooperative	49	1.3 %
4	Not at all cooperative	12	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	MISSING	21	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,942 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 845-845 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W2IWER4B: R Interest (W2)

How interested was the respondent in the survey?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very interested	1359	37.1 %
2	Somewhat interested	474	12.9 %
3	Not very interested	90	2.5 %
4	Not at all interested	19	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	MISSING	21	0.6 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,942 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 846-846 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W2IWLANG: Language of Interview (W2)

Language used during interview

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALL OR MOSTLY ENGLISH	889	24.3 %
2	ALL OR MOSTLY SPANISH	222	6.1 %
3	HALF ENGLISH/HALF SPANISH	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	NOT ASKED	850	23.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,113 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 847-847 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W2IWDATE: Date of Interview (W2)

Date of the interview

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	1706	46.6 %
01312003	-	9	0.2 %
02012003	-	44	1.2 %
02022003	-	36	1.0 %
02032003	-	24	0.7 %
02042003	-	22	0.6 %
02052003	-	25	0.7 %
02062003	-	18	0.5 %
02072003	-	21	0.6 %
02082003	-	55	1.5 %
02092003	-	12	0.3 %
02102003	-	22	0.6 %
02112003	-	16	0.4 %
02122003	-	30	0.8 %
02132003	-	33	0.9 %
02142003	-	28	0.8 %
02152003	-	24	0.7 %
02162003	-	27	0.7 %
02172003	-	32	0.9 %
02182003	-	20	0.5 %
02192003	-	27	0.7 %
02202003	-	22	0.6 %
02212003	-	18	0.5 %
02252003	-	24	0.7 %
02262003	-	14	0.4 %
02272003	-	18	0.5 %
02282003	-	12	0.3 %
03012003	-	26	0.7 %
03022003	-	20	0.5 %
03032003	-	20	0.5 %
03042003	-	16	0.4 %
03052003	-	27	0.7 %
03062003	-	13	0.4 %
03072003	-	11	0.3 %
03082003	-	18	0.5 %
03092003	-	13	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
03102003	-	28	0.8 %
03112003	-	29	0.8 %
03122003	-	36	1.0 %
03132003	-	29	0.8 %
03142003	-	28	0.8 %
03152003	-	9	0.2 %
03162003	-	16	0.4 %
03172003	-	18	0.5 %
03182003	-	35	1.0 %
03192003	-	23	0.6 %
03202003	-	16	0.4 %
03212003	-	16	0.4 %
03222003	-	28	0.8 %
03232003	-	25	0.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 3,661 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

Location: 848-855 (width: 8; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

W2CONTAC: Contacts (W2)

Sometime next year, we'd like to recontact you again for another interview. That interview would take less time than this one. Would you be willing to participate again sometime next year?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-	-	1709	46.7 %
1	-	259	7.1 %
10	-	79	2.2 %
11	-	72	2.0 %
12	-	60	1.6 %
13	-	56	1.5 %
14	-	53	1.4 %
15	-	61	1.7 %
16	-	23	0.6 %
17	-	22	0.6 %
18	-	20	0.5 %
19	-	13	0.4 %
2	-	225	6.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20	-	18	0.5 %
21	-	8	0.2 %
22	-	5	0.1 %
23	-	5	0.1 %
24	-	9	0.2 %
25	-	6	0.2 %
26	-	4	0.1 %
27	-	2	0.1 %
28	-	2	0.1 %
29	-	3	0.1 %
3	-	207	5.7 %
31	-	2	0.1 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	178	4.9 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	138	3.8 %
6	-	127	3.5 %
7	-	93	2.5 %
8	-	114	3.1 %
9	-	84	2.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 3,661 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

Location: 856-857 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

W2LENGTH: Interview Length (W2)

Length of the interview

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	2	0.1 %
3	-	5	0.1 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	1	0.0 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
13	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	2	0.1 %
15	-	7	0.2 %
16	-	11	0.3 %
17	-	15	0.4 %
18	-	41	1.1 %
19	-	64	1.7 %
20	-	73	2.0 %
21	-	88	2.4 %
22	-	107	2.9 %
23	-	133	3.6 %
24	-	128	3.5 %
25	-	275	7.5 %
26	-	171	4.7 %
27	-	94	2.6 %
28	-	75	2.0 %
29	-	64	1.7 %
30	-	73	2.0 %
31	-	60	1.6 %
32	-	45	1.2 %
33	-	39	1.1 %
34	-	97	2.6 %
35	-	30	0.8 %
36	-	28	0.8 %
37	-	27	0.7 %
38	-	14	0.4 %
39	-	25	0.7 %
40	-	12	0.3 %
41	-	16	0.4 %
42	-	9	0.2 %
43	-	16	0.4 %
44	-	16	0.4 %
45	-	13	0.4 %
46	-	11	0.3 %
47	-	11	0.3 %
48	-	4	0.1 %
49	-	5	0.1 %
50	-	9	0.2 %
51	-	4	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
52	-	3	0.1 %
53	-	7	0.2 %
54	-	3	0.1 %
56	-	6	0.2 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,963 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 27.88
- Median: 26.00
- Mode: 25.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 80.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.31

Location: 858-859 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2FINAL: Final Disposition (W2)

Final Disposition

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Initially Complete	1834	50.1 %
2	Partial Complete	24	0.7 %
3	Refusal Conversion	105	2.9 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,963 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 860-860 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2EDLEVL: Educ. level, 0 thru 4, recoded fm W2CD3

What is the highest level of education you have completed? Recoded Variable

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	< HS	222	6.1 %
1	CompHS	488	13.3 %
2	SomeColl	552	15.1 %
3	BA/BS	454	12.4 %
4	AdvDeg	219	6.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1726	47.1 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,935 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 861-861 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2LTHS: 1 if LT high school educ, 0 if not_w2

Respondent has a high school education

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1713	46.8 %
1	Less than HS	222	6.1 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1726	47.1 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,935 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 862-862 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2COMHS: 1 if highschool grad, 0 if not_w2

Respondent is a high school graduate

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1447	39.5 %
1	HS Grad	488	13.3 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1726	47.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,935 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 863-863 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2SOMCOL: 1 if some college ed, 0 if not_w2

Respondent has some college education

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1383	37.8 %
1	SomeColl	552	15.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1726	47.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,935 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 864-864 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2BABS: 1 if BA or BS completed, 0 if not_w2

Respondent has completed a BA or BS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1481	40.5 %
1	BABS	454	12.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1726	47.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,935 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 865-865 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2ADVDEG: 1 if advanced degree, 0 if not_w2

Respondent has an advanced degree

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1716	46.9 %
1	Advanced Degree	219	6.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1726	47.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,935 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 866-866 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2COLGRD: 1 if BA/BS or Advanced Deg., 0 if not_w2

Respondent education: Recoded Variable 1 If BA/BS or Advanced degree; 0 if not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1262	34.5 %
1	BA/BS or AdvDeg	673	18.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1726	47.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,935 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 867-867 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2MALE: Male in W2

Respondent is a male

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Female	1157	31.6 %
1	Male	806	22.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1698	46.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,963 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 868-868 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2FEMALE: Female in W2

Respondent is a female

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Male	806	22.0 %
1	Female	1157	31.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,963 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 869-869 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2BRNUSA: Dummy: 1 if R born in USA (W2CD2a=1)

Respondent was born in the United States

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	315	8.6 %
1	Yes	1622	44.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1724	47.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,937 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 870-870 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2OTHLNG: Dummy: 1 if speak non-Engl at home (W2CD2b=1)

Respondent speaks a language other than English at home

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	English	1564	42.7 %
1	Othlang	378	10.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1719	47.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,942 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 871-871 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2SEC4R: Conc. Attack Few Mos. 4=very, 1=not at all_w2

All in all, how concerned are you that the United States might suffer another terrorist attack in the next 3 months? Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very Concerned	85	2.3 %
2	Somewhat Concerned	276	7.5 %
3	Not Very Concerned	852	23.3 %
4	Not Concerned At All	740	20.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	Do Not Know	6	0.2 %
9	Refused/No Answer	4	0.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,953 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 872-872 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2SEC5R: Conc. Area Attack Next Few Mos. 4=very, 1=not at all_w2

How concerned are you that the area in which you live might suffer a terrorist attack in the next 3 months? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	404	11.0 %
2	Not very	626	17.1 %
3	Somewhat	557	15.2 %
4	Very conc	361	9.9 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	10	0.3 %
9	REF	5	0.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,948 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 873-873 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2SEC8R: Conc. Victim Bioterror in Next Few Mos. 4=very, 1=not at all_w2

(How concerned are you) that you or someone in your family might become a victim of a bio-terrorist attack (such as anthrax or smallpox)? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	284	7.8 %
2	Not very	497	13.6 %
3	Somewhat	601	16.4 %
4	Very conc	567	15.5 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	11	0.3 %
9	REF	3	0.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,949 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 874-874 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2PFR1R: Fear of flying: 4=highest_w2

How concerned are you about flying on an airplane? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	549	15.0 %
2	Not very	447	12.2 %
3	Somewhat	441	12.0 %
4	Very conc	488	13.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	22	0.6 %
9	REF	16	0.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,925 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 875-875 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2PFR2R: Fear of opening mail: 4=highest_w2

(How concerned are you about) opening your mail? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	916	25.0 %
2	Not very	584	16.0 %
3	Somewhat	293	8.0 %
4	Very conc	161	4.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	6	0.2 %
9	REF	3	0.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,954 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 876-876 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2PFR3R: Fear food and drinking water: 4=highest_w2

(How concerned are you about) the safety of food and drinking water? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	539	14.7 %
2	Not very	460	12.6 %
3	Somewhat	605	16.5 %
4	Very conc	351	9.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	5	0.1 %
9	REF	3	0.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,955 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 877-877 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2PFR5R: Fear of tall buildings: 4=highest_w2

(How concerned are you about) going into tall buildings? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	688	18.8 %
2	Not very	493	13.5 %
3	Somewhat	418	11.4 %
4	Very conc	334	9.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	22	0.6 %
9	REF	8	0.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,933 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 878-878 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2PFR6R: Fear large crowds, stadiums: 4=highest_w2

(How concerned are you about) being in large crowds or stadiums? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	544	14.9 %
2	Not very	419	11.4 %
3	Somewhat	591	16.1 %
4	Very conc	395	10.8 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	11	0.3 %
9	REF	3	0.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,949 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 879-879 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2MEANFR: MN Pers Fear (fixed 3/14/04): if R ans at least 1 fr questn (4=highest, 1=lowest)

Respondent mean fear thermometer (if Respondent answered at least 1 fear question) 4: highest, 1: lowest

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1.000000000000000	-	204	5.6 %
1.200000000000000	-	87	2.4 %
1.250000000000000	-	6	0.2 %
1.400000000000000	-	142	3.9 %
1.500000000000000	-	8	0.2 %
1.600000000000000	-	127	3.5 %
1.66666666666667	-	1	0.0 %
1.750000000000000	-	8	0.2 %
1.800000000000000	-	131	3.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2.00000000000000	-	174	4.8 %
2.20000000000000	-	185	5.1 %
2.25000000000000	-	5	0.1 %
2.40000000000000	-	123	3.4 %
2.50000000000000	-	5	0.1 %
2.60000000000000	-	138	3.8 %
2.75000000000000	-	10	0.3 %
2.80000000000000	-	121	3.3 %
3.00000000000000	-	122	3.3 %
3.20000000000000	-	89	2.4 %
3.25000000000000	-	6	0.2 %
3.40000000000000	-	77	2.1 %
3.50000000000000	-	4	0.1 %
3.60000000000000	-	73	2.0 %
3.80000000000000	-	53	1.4 %
4.00000000000000	-	64	1.7 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,963 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 2.2652657497028
- Median: 2.20000000000000
- Mode: 1.00000000000000
- Minimum: 1.00000000000000
- Maximum: 4.00000000000000
- Standard Deviation: 0.8446208983015

Location: 880-894 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CT1AR: US Responsibility--4=a lot, 1=none_w2

How much responsibility do you personally believe the U.S. bears for the hatred that led to the terrorist attacks?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	None at all	414	11.3 %
2	A little	388	10.6 %
3	Some	642	17.5 %
4	A lot	460	12.6 %
Missing Data			

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	1757	48.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,904 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 895-895 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CT2AR: US Support of Israel--4=v imp, 1=not at all

The U.S. government's support of Israel. Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all Imp	105	2.9 %
2	Not v. imp	136	3.7 %
3	Somewh Imp	769	21.0 %
4	Very imp	845	23.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1806	49.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,855 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 896-896 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CT2CR: US Not Give Enuf Aid--4=v imp, 1=not at all

The U.S. not providing enough economic aid to poor countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all Imp	425	11.6 %
2	Not v. imp	538	14.7 %
3	Somewh Imp	523	14.3 %
4	Very imp	406	11.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1769	48.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,892 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 897-897 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CT2DR: Islamic religion--4=v imp, 1=not at all

The Islamic religion. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all Imp	286	7.8 %
2	Not v. imp	365	10.0 %
3	Somewh Imp	600	16.4 %
4	Very imp	549	15.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1861	50.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,800 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 898-898 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CT2ER: US pursue own interests--4=v imp, 1=not at all

The U.S. pursuing only its own economic interests in its dealings with other countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all Imp	225	6.1 %
2	Not v. imp	280	7.6 %
3	Somewh Imp	675	18.4 %
4	Very imp	686	18.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1795	49.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,866 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 899-899 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CT2FR: Terrorists think only way to express grievances--4=v imp, 1=not at all

Terrorists believing that it is the only way that their grievances will be heard. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all Imp	178	4.9 %
2	Not v. imp	217	5.9 %
3	Somewh Imp	550	15.0 %
4	Very imp	925	25.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1791	48.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,870 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 900-900 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CT2GR: US meddles too much--4=v imp, 1=not at all

The U.S. meddling too much in the affairs of other countries. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all Imp	167	4.6 %
2	Not v. imp	240	6.6 %
3	Somewh Imp	680	18.6 %
4	Very imp	783	21.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1791	48.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,870 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 901-901 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CT2HR: Feelings of revenge for harms--4=v imp, 1=not at all

Feelings of revenge by terrorists for harms done to their people. (Is this a very important cause, somewhat important cause, not very important cause, or not at all important cause of terrorism).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all Imp	173	4.7 %
2	Not v. imp	215	5.9 %
3	Somewh Imp	631	17.2 %
4	Very imp	841	23.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1801	49.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,860 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 902-902 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CT2BIN: Dummy: US Responsib--1 if w2CT1a=A lot, some; 0 if A little, none

US Responsibility for Hatred that led to terrorist attacks: Recoded Dummy Variable

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	A little, none	802	21.9 %
1	Some, a lot	1102	30.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1757	48.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,904 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 903-903 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CL1R: to w2cl11r "CL Dummy: 1=pro-civilib, 0=pro-security"

In order to curb terrorism in this country, it will be necessary to give up some civil liberties. -or- We should preserve our freedoms above all, even if there remains some risk of terrorism. (Which statement do you agree with most?) IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "Civil liberties are the basic rights guaranteed to all Americans by the Constitution - such as the freedoms of speech, assembly, religion, etc"

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	1030	28.1 %
1	ProCivLib	777	21.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1854	50.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,807 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 904-904 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CL2R: CL2: National Identity Card_w2

Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times to show to a police officer upon request. -or- Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's freedom of association and right to privacy. (Which statement do you agree with most?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	1077	29.4 %
1	ProCivLib	794	21.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1790	48.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,871 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 905-905 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CL4R: CL4: Belong to terrorist organization

Some people say it should be a crime for anyone to belong to or contribute money to any organization that supports international terrorism. Others say that a person's guilt or innocence should not be determined only by who they associate with or the organizations to which they belong. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	1318	36.0 %
1	ProCivLib	551	15.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1792	48.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,869 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 906-906 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CL5R: CL5: Indefinite detention of noncitizens_w2

Some people say the government should be able to arrest and detain non-citizen indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging to a terrorist organization.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	768	21.0 %
1	ProCivLib	1130	30.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1763	48.2 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,898 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 907-907 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CL6R: CL6: Racial profiling_w2

Some people say that law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes. This is called racial profiling. Others think racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	356	9.7 %
1	ProCivLib	1529	41.8 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1776	48.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,885 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 908-908 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CL7R: CL7: Teachers criticize_w2

Some people say high school teachers have the right to criticize America's policies toward terrorism. Others say that all high school teachers should defend America's policies in order to promote loyalty to our country.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	862	23.5 %
1	ProCivLib	933	25.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1866	51.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,795 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00

- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 909-909 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CL8R: CL8: Search on suspicion_w2

Some people say that law enforcement should be free to search a property without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act is being planned there. Others say that protection against searches without a warrant is a basic right that should not be given up for any reason. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	465	12.7 %
1	ProCivLib	1442	39.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1754	47.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,907 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00

- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 910-910 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CL9R: CL9: Record phone, monitor e-mail_w2

Some people say that government should be allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts. Others say that people's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	676	18.5 %
1	ProCivLib	1196	32.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1789	48.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,872 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 911-911 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CL10R: CL10: Nonviolent protests_w2

Some say that people who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated. Others say that people have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	210	5.7 %
1	ProCivLib	1709	46.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1742	47.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,919 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 912-912 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CL11R: CL11: Freedom of information_w2

Which of the following comes closer to your view? The federal government should place greater restrictions on the public's access to information on what the government is doing in the fight against terrorism, -- or --- Public access to information is essential to making government officials responsive to the people?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	698	19.1 %
1	ProCivLib	1166	31.8 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1797	49.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,864 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 913-913 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2MISSCL: Count of 7,8,9 and missing responses to w2 CL quex

Count of 7,8,9 and missing responses to w2 CL quex

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1509	41.2 %
1	-	248	6.8 %
2	-	88	2.4 %
3	-	51	1.4 %
4	-	29	0.8 %
5	-	16	0.4 %
6	-	7	0.2 %
7	-	4	0.1 %
8	-	3	0.1 %
9	-	4	0.1 %
10	-	4	0.1 %
Missing Data			
-1	UNDOCUMENTED CODE	771	21.1 %
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,963 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.48
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.20

Location: 914-915 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

W2TOTCL: Count of 1 and 5 responses to CL quex

Count of 1 and 5 responses to CL quex

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	4	0.1 %
1	-	4	0.1 %
2	-	3	0.1 %
3	-	4	0.1 %
4	-	7	0.2 %
5	-	16	0.4 %
6	-	29	0.8 %
7	-	51	1.4 %
8	-	88	2.4 %
9	-	248	6.8 %
10	-	1509	41.2 %
Missing Data			
-1	UNDOCUMENTED CODE	771	21.1 %
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,963 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 9.52
- Median: 10.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.20

Location: 916-917 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

W2SECURE: Count, 0 thru 10, # of anti-civil liberties responses to CL quex

Count of 0-10 of the number of anti-civil liberties response to CL questions

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	156	4.3 %
1	-	215	5.9 %
2	-	232	6.3 %
3	-	298	8.1 %
4	-	318	8.7 %
5	-	280	7.6 %
6	-	214	5.8 %
7	-	130	3.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	-	71	1.9 %
9	-	37	1.0 %
10	-	12	0.3 %
Missing Data			
-1	UNDOCUMENTED CODE	771	21.1 %
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,963 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 3.80
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.30

Location: 918-919 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

W2CIVLIB: Count, 0 thru 10, # of number of pro-civil liberties responses to CL quex

Count of 0-10 of the number of pro-civil liberties response to CL questions

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	23	0.6 %
1	-	58	1.6 %
2	-	100	2.7 %
3	-	170	4.6 %
4	-	266	7.3 %
5	-	297	8.1 %
6	-	307	8.4 %
7	-	259	7.1 %
8	-	200	5.5 %
9	-	175	4.8 %
10	-	108	3.0 %
Missing Data			
-1	UNDOCUMENTED CODE	771	21.1 %
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,963 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 5.72
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.37

Location: 920-921 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

W2CLPROP: Proportion of CL Answered that are in pro-Civil Liberties direction

Proportion of CL questions answered that are in pro-Civil Liberties direction

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.000000000000000	-	19	0.5 %
0.100000000000000	-	36	1.0 %
0.111111111111111	-	6	0.2 %
0.125000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
0.1428571428571	-	2	0.1 %
0.16666666666667	-	3	0.1 %
0.200000000000000	-	66	1.8 %
0.2222222222222	-	13	0.4 %
0.250000000000000	-	8	0.2 %
0.2857142857143	-	6	0.2 %
0.300000000000000	-	113	3.1 %
0.3333333333333	-	34	0.9 %
0.375000000000000	-	6	0.2 %
0.400000000000000	-	177	4.8 %
0.4285714285714	-	6	0.2 %
0.4444444444444	-	47	1.3 %
0.500000000000000	-	242	6.6 %
0.5555555555556	-	42	1.1 %
0.5714285714286	-	16	0.4 %
0.600000000000000	-	250	6.8 %
0.625000000000000	-	20	0.5 %
0.66666666666667	-	43	1.2 %
0.700000000000000	-	218	6.0 %
0.7142857142857	-	9	0.2 %
0.750000000000000	-	19	0.5 %
0.7777777777778	-	30	0.8 %
0.800000000000000	-	166	4.5 %
0.8333333333333	-	5	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.8571428571429	-	8	0.2 %
0.8750000000000	-	8	0.2 %
0.8888888888889	-	28	0.8 %
0.9000000000000	-	158	4.3 %
1.0000000000000	-	152	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1702	46.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,959 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.6013995235664
- Median: 0.6000000000000
- Mode: 0.6000000000000
- Minimum: 0.0000000000000
- Maximum: 1.0000000000000
- Standard Deviation: 0.2365021437738

Location: 922-936 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

Variable Type: numeric

W2PTYID3: Fm w2Party--1=Repub(1,2), 2=Indep(0,3,4,5,8), 3=Dem(6,7)

Respondent's political identification: Recoded Variable

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Republican	477	13.0 %
2	Indep	701	19.1 %
3	Democrat	683	18.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	REF	84	2.3 %
.	-	1716	46.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,861 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 937-937 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W2REPUB: Dummy: 1 if w2party=1,2; 0 if not

Respondent is a Republican

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1384	37.8 %
1	Republican	477	13.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1800	49.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,861 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 938-938 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2INDEP: Dummy: 1 if w2party=0,3,4,5,8; 0 if not

Respondent is an Independent

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1160	31.7 %
1	Independent	701	19.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1800	49.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,861 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 939-939 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2DEMOC: Dummy: 1 if w2party=6,7; 0 if not

Respondent is a Democrat

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1178	32.2 %
1	Democrat	683	18.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1800	49.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,861 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 940-940 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2IDEO3: From w2ideo3: 3= 6,7=lib, 2=3,4,5,8=mod, 1=1,2=conserv

Respondent's ideology

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Conserv	684	18.7 %
2	Mod	728	19.9 %
3	Liberal	398	10.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	REF	63	1.7 %
.	-	1788	48.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,810 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 941-941 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W2LIB: Dummy: 1 if Liberal, 0 if not_w2

Respondent is a Liberal

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1412	38.6 %
1	Liberal	398	10.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1851	50.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,810 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 942-942 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2MOD: Dummy: 1 if Moderate, 0 if not_w2

Respondent is a Moderate

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1082	29.6 %
1	Moderate	728	19.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1851	50.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,810 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 943-943 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2CON: Dummy: 1 if Conservative, 0 if not_w2

Respondent is a Conservative

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1126	30.8 %
1	Conserv	684	18.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1851	50.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,810 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 944-944 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2FIP1R: Recode w2FIP1 -- Trust People

Some people say that most people can be trusted. Others say you can't be too careful in your dealings with people. Which of these opinions comes closest to your own?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Can't too careful	1082	29.6 %
2	Depends	61	1.7 %
3	Most trusted	794	21.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
8	DK	16	0.4 %
9	REF	10	0.3 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,937 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 945-945 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2FIP2R: Recode of FIP2 -- People Helpful_w2

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Look for selves	599	16.4 %
2	Depends	106	2.9 %
3	Peop helpful	1230	33.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	21	0.6 %
9	REF	7	0.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,935 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 946-946 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2FIPCOM: Sum of w2FIP1R and w2FIP2R: max=6, min=2

Sum of w2FIP1R and w2FIP2R: max=6, min=2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	487	13.3 %
3	-	88	2.4 %
4	-	656	17.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	-	54	1.5 %
6	-	672	18.4 %
Missing Data			
-1	UNDOCUMENTED CODE	777	21.2 %
.	-	927	25.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,957 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 4.17
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.55

Location: 947-948 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

W2DOG1R: Recoded dog1 -- highest=most dogmatic_w2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	281	7.7 %
2	SomeDisag	317	8.7 %
3	DK	29	0.8 %
4	SomeAgr	596	16.3 %
5	StrAgr	720	19.7 %
Missing Data			
9	REF	20	0.5 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,943 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 949-949 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W2DOG2R: Recoded dog2 -- highest=most dogmatic_w2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	562	15.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	SomeDisag	409	11.2 %
3	DK	45	1.2 %
4	SomeAgr	484	13.2 %
5	StrAgr	446	12.2 %
Missing Data			
9	REF	17	0.5 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,946 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 950-950 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W2DOG3R: Recoded dog3 -- highest=most dogmatic_w2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	410	11.2 %
2	SomeDisag	496	13.5 %
3	DK	81	2.2 %
4	SomeAgr	541	14.8 %
5	StrAgr	407	11.1 %
Missing Data			
9	REF	28	0.8 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,935 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 951-951 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W2DOG4R: Recoded dog4 -- highest=most dogmatic_w2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	1028	28.1 %
2	SomeDisag	345	9.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	DK	47	1.3 %
4	SomeAgr	260	7.1 %
5	StrAgr	267	7.3 %
Missing Data			
9	REF	16	0.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,947 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 952-952 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 9, .

W2DOG5R: Recoded dog5 -- highest=most dogmatic_w2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	699	19.1 %
2	SomeDisag	470	12.8 %
3	DK	19	0.5 %
4	SomeAgr	479	13.1 %
5	StrAgr	289	7.9 %
Missing Data			
9	REF	7	0.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,956 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 953-953 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 9, .

W2DOG6R: Recoded dog6 -- highest=most dogmatic_w2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	377	10.3 %
2	SomeDisag	539	14.7 %
4	SomeAgr	593	16.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	StrAgr	400	10.9 %
	Missing Data		
3	DK	36	1.0 %
9	REF	18	0.5 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,909 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 954-954 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 3 , 9 , .

W2MNDOG: Mean dogmatism, if answered 4 or more, with higher=more dogmatic_w2

Mean dogmatism

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1.000000000000000	-	37	1.0 %
1.16666666666667	-	48	1.3 %
1.200000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
1.33333333333333	-	44	1.2 %
1.400000000000000	-	5	0.1 %
1.500000000000000	-	68	1.9 %
1.600000000000000	-	4	0.1 %
1.66666666666667	-	95	2.6 %
1.750000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
1.800000000000000	-	5	0.1 %
1.83333333333333	-	75	2.0 %
2.00000000000000	-	82	2.2 %
2.16666666666667	-	96	2.6 %
2.20000000000000	-	8	0.2 %
2.33333333333333	-	106	2.9 %
2.40000000000000	-	5	0.1 %
2.50000000000000	-	99	2.7 %
2.66666666666667	-	86	2.3 %
2.75000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
2.80000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
2.83333333333333	-	98	2.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3.000000000000000	-	141	3.9 %
3.16666666666667	-	110	3.0 %
3.20000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
3.25000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
3.3333333333333	-	103	2.8 %
3.50000000000000	-	99	2.7 %
3.60000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
3.66666666666667	-	99	2.7 %
3.80000000000000	-	5	0.1 %
3.8333333333333	-	85	2.3 %
4.00000000000000	-	79	2.2 %
4.16666666666667	-	77	2.1 %
4.20000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
4.3333333333333	-	59	1.6 %
4.40000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
4.50000000000000	-	44	1.2 %
4.60000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
4.66666666666667	-	28	0.8 %
4.80000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
4.8333333333333	-	25	0.7 %
5.00000000000000	-	19	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1705	46.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,956 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 2.8900221540559
- Median: 3.00000000000000
- Mode: 3.00000000000000
- Minimum: 1.00000000000000
- Maximum: 5.00000000000000
- Standard Deviation: 0.9839181404737

Location: 955-969 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

Variable Type: numeric

W2FEDTRU: W2GT2--Trust govt--recoded as 4=almost always

(How much of the time do you think you can trust) the Federal Government (to do what is right)? (Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never	83	2.3 %
2	Some of time	960	26.2 %
3	Most of time	698	19.1 %
4	Always	189	5.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	17	0.5 %
9	REF	16	0.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,930 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 970-970 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2LOCTR: w2GT3--Trust law enforcement--recoded as 4=almost always

(How much of the time do you think you can trust) law enforcement (to do what is right)? (Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never	60	1.6 %
2	Some of time	740	20.2 %
3	Most of time	958	26.2 %
4	Always	179	4.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	15	0.4 %
9	REF	11	0.3 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,937 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 971-971 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2PRIDE: Recoded from w2P1: 5=very proud, 1=not at all

How proud are you to be an American? Would you say very proud, proud, somewhat proud, not very proud, or not proud at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	23	0.6 %
2	Not very	30	0.8 %
3	Somewhat	170	4.6 %
4	Proud	265	7.2 %
5	Very proud	1398	38.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	9	0.2 %
9	REF	12	0.3 %
.	-	1754	47.9 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,886 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 972-972 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2VProud: Dummy: 1 if w2p1=5 ('very proud'), 0 if not

Dummy: 1 if w2p1=5 ('very proud'), 0 if not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not proud	488	13.3 %
1	Very Proud	1398	38.2 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1775	48.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,886 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 973-973 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2Proud: Dummy: 1 if w2p1=4 ('proud'), 0 if not

How proud are you to be an American? Would you say very proud, proud, somewhat proud, not very proud, or not proud at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not proud	1621	44.3 %
1	Very Proud	265	7.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1775	48.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,886 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 974-974 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2LOPROU: Dummy: 1 if w2pride=1,2,3 ('somewhat, not very, not at all'); 0 if not

Dummy: 1 if w2pride=1,2,3 ('somewhat, not very, not at all'); 0 if not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1663	45.4 %
1	Somewhat, Not Very, Not at All	223	6.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1775	48.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,886 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 975-975 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2POLINT: Interest in politics, high=4, low=1 (from w2inter)

How interested are you in politics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not-at-all	117	3.2 %
2	Not-V-Int	267	7.3 %
3	Sw-int	1000	27.3 %
4	V-Int	544	14.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	5	0.1 %
9	REF	30	0.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,928 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 976-976 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2GI1R: w2GI1 w/ flup recoded: Pull together VS. push minority gp rights?

Is it more important for people to pull together and emphasize our common interests regardless of race or ethnic background? -- or
-- Is it more important to continue to push for the rights of minority groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	More imp to pull togeth	1545	42.2 %
3	Neith/Both	41	1.1 %
5	More imp to push min rts	319	8.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1756	48.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,905 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 977-977 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2CR3R: W2CR3 recoded: Antiterr War hurt minorities?

Do you think paying great attention to the war on terrorism in America hurts the rights of minorities, improves the rights of minorities, or does it have no impact on minority rights?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Helps	224	6.1 %
2	No impact	895	24.4 %
3	Hurts	707	19.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	114	3.1 %
9	REF	23	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,826 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 978-978 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT4R: How good job fed govt doing preventing another attack?

The next set of questions focus on the U.S. government's fight against terrorism. How would you rate the job the federal government is Washington has done in preventing or preparing for the possibility of another terrorist attack? Would you say excellent, good, only fair, or poor?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Poor	148	4.0 %
2	Fair	464	12.7 %
3	Good	898	24.5 %
4	Excellent	407	11.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	36	1.0 %
9	REF	10	0.3 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,917 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 979-979 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT6R: Ability of terrorists to launch another major attack on US?

Overall, do you think the ability of terrorists to launch another major attack on the U.S. is greater than it was a year ago, the same as a year ago, or less than it was a year ago?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GREATER THAN A YEAR AGO	447	12.2 %
3	THE SAME AS A YEAR AGO	692	18.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	LESS THAN A YEAR AGO	790	21.6 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	1732	47.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,929 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 980-980 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: .

W2AT11R: Which PARTY trust more to do better in fighting terrorism?

Which political party do you trust to do a better job fighting terrorism, the Republican Party or the Democratic Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Dems	571	15.6 %
2	Neither/Both/Oth	487	13.3 %
3	Repubs	678	18.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	145	4.0 %
9	REF	82	2.2 %
-	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,736 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 981-981 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT13R: Which PARTY trust more to protect your civil liberties?

Which political party do you trust to do a better job protecting your civil liberties, the Republican Party or the Democratic Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Dems	899	24.6 %
2	Neither/Both/Oth	373	10.2 %
3	Repubs	487	13.3 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DK	124	3.4 %
9	REF	80	2.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,759 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 982-982 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT15R: How LIKELY you personally will have to give up some rights to curb terrorism?

How likely is it that you personally will have to give up some of your rights and liberties in order to curb terrorism? Would you say very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely or very unlikely?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very unlikely	272	7.4 %
2	Somewhat unlikely	360	9.8 %
3	Somewhat likely	839	22.9 %
4	Very likely	447	12.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	37	1.0 %
9	REF	8	0.2 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,918 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 983-983 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT16R: Effect of Patriot Act on your security against terrorists?

The next two questions are about the USA Patriot Act and other laws passed after the 9-11 attack on the US. Thinking about these laws, do you think that they increase your security against terrorists, decrease your security against terrorists, or have little or no impact either way? IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "The US Patriot Act is a series of laws and guidelines passed after September 11th designed to ease restrictions on surveillance of suspected terrorism".

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Decrease security	145	4.0 %
2	Little/no impact	591	16.1 %
3	Increase security	1107	30.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	94	2.6 %
9	REF	26	0.7 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,843 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 984-984 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT17R: Effect of Patriot Act on your civil rights and liberties?

Do you think that these laws increase your civil rights and liberties, decrease your civil rights and liberties, or have little or no effect either way? IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "The US Patriot Act is a series of laws and guidelines passed after September 11th designed to ease restrictions on surveillance of suspected terrorism".

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Increase Civ Rts	265	7.2 %
2	Little/no impact	755	20.6 %
3	Decrease Civ Rts	818	22.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	Do not know	100	2.7 %
9	Refused	25	0.7 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,838 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 985-985 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT18R: FBI Access to Library Records under Patriot Act?

Under provisions of the Patriot Act, the FBI has sought to gain access to public library records to see the kinds of books people are checking out. Do you strongly favor such access, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose such access? IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "The US Patriot Act is a series of laws and guidelines passed after September 11th designed to ease restrictions on surveillance of suspected terrorism".

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly oppose	514	14.0 %
2	Somewhat oppose	482	13.2 %
3	Somewhat favor	517	14.1 %
4	Strongly favor	388	10.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	46	1.3 %
9	REF	16	0.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,901 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 986-986 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2LIBRAR: Dummy: 1 if favor FBI access, 5 if not -- collapsed codes to match CL quex

Under provisions of the Patriot Act, the FBI has sought to gain access to public library records to see the kinds of books people are checking out. Do you strongly favor such access, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose such access? IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "The US Patriot Act is a series of laws and guidelines passed after September 11th designed to ease restrictions on surveillance of suspected terrorism". Dummy Variable 1 Favor FBI Access, 5 not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor FBI access	905	24.7 %
5	Oppose FBI access	996	27.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	46	1.3 %
9	REF	16	0.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,901 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 987-987 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2AT19R: How WILLING you personally to give up some rights to curb terrorism?

How willing would you personally be to give up some of your rights and liberties in order to curb terrorism? Would you say very willing, somewhat willing, somewhat unwilling or very unwilling?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very unwilling	235	6.4 %
2	Somewhat unwilling	301	8.2 %
3	Somewhat willing	853	23.3 %
4	Very willing	521	14.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	38	1.0 %
9	REF	15	0.4 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,910 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 988-988 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2ISRL3: From IS1: Favor Israel or Palestinians -- with follow counted same as initial position

In the dispute between Israel and the Palestinians, which side do you sympathize with more ---- Israel or the Palestinians?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor Israel	944	25.8 %
2	Neither/both	433	11.8 %
3	Favor Palestinians	360	9.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	149	4.1 %
9	NA	77	2.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,737 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 989-989 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W2ISRL5: From IS1: Favor Israel or Palest--followup taken as less committed to position

Favor Israel or Palest--followup taken as less committed to position

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Israel in IS1	741	20.2 %
2	Israel in followup	203	5.5 %
3	Neither/Both	433	11.8 %
4	Palest in followup	81	2.2 %
5	Palestine in IS1	279	7.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	149	4.1 %
9	REF	77	2.1 %
.	-	1698	46.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,737 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 990-990 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3SEC4: Sociotropic Threat: Concern another Terrorist Attack in USA

I'd like to start by asking you some questions about your feelings and concerns since the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon (that occurred on September 11, 2001). All in all, how concerned are you that the United States might suffer another terrorist attack in the next 3 months? Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	564	15.4 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	836	22.8 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	276	7.5 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	94	2.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DON'T KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,770 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 991-991 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3SEC5: Terrorist Attack Area Live In

How concerned are you that the area in which you live might suffer a terrorist attack in the next 3 months? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	269	7.3 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	454	12.4 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	589	16.1 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	458	12.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	2	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	2	0.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,770 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 992-992 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3SEC8: Concern Bioterrorist Attack

(How concerned are you) that you or someone in your family might become a victim of a bio-terrorist attack (such as anthrax or smallpox)? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	419	11.4 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	482	13.2 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	544	14.9 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	315	8.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	9	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	5	0.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,760 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 993-993 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3F1: PF1: Concern Flying Plane

How concerned are you about flying on an airplane? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	349	9.5 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	439	12.0 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	452	12.3 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	501	13.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	21	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	12	0.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,741 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 994-994 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3F2: PF2: Concern Opening Mail

(How concerned are you about) opening your mail? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	101	2.8 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	210	5.7 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	557	15.2 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	902	24.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,770 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 995-995 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3F3: PF3: Concern Food Water

(How concerned are you about) the safety of food and drinking water? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	336	9.2 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	485	13.2 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	486	13.3 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	462	12.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	2	0.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,769 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 996-996 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3F5: PF4: Concern Tall Buildings

(How concerned are you about) going into tall buildings? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	267	7.3 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	349	9.5 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	512	14.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	624	17.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	16	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	6	0.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 997-997 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3F6: PF5: Concern Large Crowds

(How concerned are you about) being in large crowds or stadiums? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONCERNED	303	8.3 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONCERNED	477	13.0 %
3	NOT VERY CONCERNED	457	12.5 %
4	NOT CONCERNED AT ALL	515	14.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	16	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	6	0.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 998-998 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CT1A: CT1a: US Responsibility for Hatred that led to terrorist attacks

How much responsibility do you personally believe the U.S. bears for the hatred that led to the terrorist attacks? Would you say a lot of responsibility, some, a little, or none at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A LOT	512	14.0 %
2	SOME	579	15.8 %
3	A LITTLE	281	7.7 %
4	NONE AT ALL	333	9.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	53	1.4 %
9	REFUSED	16	0.4 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,705 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 999-999 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IR1: Iraq1: Justification Iraq

Now, thinking about the 2003 American invasion of Iraq, do you think it was justified or not justified?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JUSTIFIED	781	21.3 %
5	NOT JUSTIFIED	856	23.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	109	3.0 %
9	REFUSED	28	0.8 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,637 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1000-1000 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IR2: Iraq 2: Cost Benefits Iraq

Do you think the potential benefits of the war in Iraq outweigh the costs that Americans are bearing?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	700	19.1 %
5	NO	918	25.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	124	3.4 %
9	REFUSED	32	0.9 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,618 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1001-1001 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IS1: Israel: Israelis or Palestinians

In the dispute between the Israelis and the Palestinians, which side do you sympathize with more ---- the Israelis or the Palestinians?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ISRAELIS	565	15.4 %
5	PALESTINIANS	237	6.5 %
7	NEITHER/BOTH	613	16.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	270	7.4 %
9	REFUSED	89	2.4 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,415 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1002-1002 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3ISFLUP: Israel Follow - Up Israeli - Palestinians

If you had to choose, which side do you sympathize with more, the Israelis or the Palestinians?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ISRAELIS	172	4.7 %
5	PALESTINIANS	114	3.1 %
7	NEITHER/BOTH	338	9.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	248	6.8 %
9	REFUSED	100	2.7 %
.	-	2689	73.4 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 624 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1003-1003 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3DG1: Dogmatism 1: Two Kinds of People

Next, I would like to read you a series of statements and have you tell me to what extent you agree or disagree with each. The first is, there are two kinds of people in this world: those who are for the truth and those who are against it. Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	553	15.1 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	584	16.0 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	330	9.0 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	244	6.7 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	44	1.2 %
9	REFUSED	19	0.5 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,711 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1004-1004 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3DG2: Dogmatism 2: Tolerating Differences

A group which tolerates too many differences of opinion among its members cannot exist for long. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	387	10.6 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	449	12.3 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	409	11.2 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	473	12.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	40	1.1 %
9	REFUSED	16	0.4 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,718 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1005-1005 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3DG3: Dogmatism 3: Compromise Sides

To compromise with our political opponents is dangerous because it usually leads to the betrayal of our own side. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	299	8.2 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	469	12.8 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	519	14.2 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	367	10.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	94	2.6 %
9	REFUSED	26	0.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,654 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1006-1006 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3DG4: Dogmatism 4: One Philosophy

Of all the different philosophies that exist in the world there is probably only one that is correct. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	254	6.9 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	240	6.6 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	348	9.5 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	874	23.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	43	1.2 %
9	REFUSED	15	0.4 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,716 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1007-1007 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3DG5: Dogmatism 5: Friends Associates Same Beliefs

In the long run the best way to live is to pick friends and associates whose tastes and beliefs are the same as one's own. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	287	7.8 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	440	12.0 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	456	12.5 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	565	15.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	16	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	10	0.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,748 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1008-1008 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3DG6: Dogmatism 6: Ideas Today

Most of the ideas that get printed nowadays aren't worth the paper they are printed on. (Would you say you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	359	9.8 %
2	SOMEWHAT AGREE	542	14.8 %
3	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	497	13.6 %
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	321	8.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	41	1.1 %
9	REFUSED	14	0.4 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,719 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1009-1009 (*width:* 1; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3GT1: Trust Federal Government_w3

The next set of questions are about trust. How much of the time do you think you can trust the federal government (in Washington) to do what is right? Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JUST ABOUT ALWAYS	86	2.3 %
2	MOST OF THE TIME	548	15.0 %
3	SOME OF THE TIME	998	27.3 %
4	NONE OF THE TIME	117	3.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	15	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	10	0.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,749 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1010-1010 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CG1A: Trust FBI_w3

How much of the time do you think you can trust the FBI to do what is right? (Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JUST ABOUT ALWAYS	150	4.1 %
2	MOST OF THE TIME	662	18.1 %
3	SOME OF THE TIME	790	21.6 %
4	NONE OF THE TIME	114	3.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	48	1.3 %
9	REFUSED	10	0.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,716 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1011-1011 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CG1C: Trust CIA_w3

(How much of the time do you think you can trust) the CIA (to do what is right?) (Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JUST ABOUT ALWAYS	132	3.6 %
2	MOST OF THE TIME	578	15.8 %
3	SOME OF THE TIME	834	22.8 %
4	NONE OF THE TIME	151	4.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	69	1.9 %
9	REFUSED	10	0.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,695 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1012-1012 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3GT3: Trust Law Enforcement_w3

(How much of the time do you think you can trust) law enforcement (to do what is right)? (Would you say just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or none of the time)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	JUST ABOUT ALWAYS	158	4.3 %
2	MOST OF THE TIME	874	23.9 %
3	SOME OF THE TIME	658	18.0 %
4	NONE OF THE TIME	67	1.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	13	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	4	0.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,757 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1013-1013 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3GT2: Big Interests - All People

Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves, or that it is run for the benefit of all people?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS	978	26.7 %
5	RUN FOR BENEFIT OF ALL PEOPLE	666	18.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	90	2.5 %
9	REFUSED	40	1.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,644 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1014-1014 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3FIP1: Faith-in-People 1: Most people trusted

Some people say that most people can be trusted. Others say you can't be too careful in your dealings with people. Which of these opinions comes closest to your own? (Most people can be trusted or you can't be too careful in your dealings with people?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED	772	21.1 %
5	YOU CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL	935	25.5 %
7	DEPENDS (R VOLUNTEERS)	40	1.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	17	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	10	0.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,747 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1015-1015 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3FIP2: Faith-in-People 2: People Helpful_w3

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PEOPLE TRY TO BE HELPFUL	1086	29.7 %
5	LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES	574	15.7 %
7	DEPENDS	87	2.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	22	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	5	0.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,747 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1016-1016 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3AT4: Federal Govt Prevent Terrorist Attack

The next set of questions focus on the U.S. government's fight against terrorism. How would you rate the job the federal government (in Washington) has done in preventing or preparing for the possibility of another terrorist attack? Would you say excellent, good, only fair, or poor?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXCELLENT	240	6.6 %
2	GOOD	733	20.0 %
3	FAIR	530	14.5 %
4	POOR	215	5.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	41	1.1 %
9	REFUSED	15	0.4 %
-	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,718 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1017-1017 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3B2: Approve Bush Response Terrorism

Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is responding to the terrorist attacks?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	APPROVE	845	23.1 %
5	DISAPPROVE	805	22.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	94	2.6 %
9	REFUSED	30	0.8 %
-	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,650 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1018-1018 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3AT11: Political Party - Terrorism

Which political party do you trust to do a better job fighting terrorism, the Republican Party or the Democratic Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REPUBLICAN PARTY	600	16.4 %
5	DEMOCRATIC PARTY	647	17.7 %
7	NEITHER/BOTH	327	8.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	126	3.4 %
9	REFUSED	74	2.0 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,574 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1019-1019 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3AT16: Patriot Act - Increase Security_w3

The next two questions are about the USA Patriot Act and other laws passed after the 9-11 attack on the US. Thinking about these laws, do you think that they increase your security against terrorists, decrease your security against terrorists, or have little or no impact either way? IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "The US Patriot Act is a series of laws and guidelines passed after September 11th designed to ease restrictions on surveillance of suspected terrorism".

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	INCREASE SECURITY	899	24.6 %
3	DECREASE SECURITY	123	3.4 %
5	LITTE OR NO IMPACT	658	18.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	73	2.0 %
9	REFUSED	21	0.6 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,680 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1020-1020 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3AT17: Laws - Increase Civil Liberties

Do you think that these laws increase your civil rights and liberties, decrease your civil rights and liberties, or have little or no effect either way? IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "The US Patriot Act is a series of laws and guidelines passed after September 11th designed to ease restrictions on surveillance of suspected terrorism".

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	INCREASE CIVIL RIGHTS	206	5.6 %
3	DECREASE CIVIL RIGHTS	781	21.3 %
5	LITTE OR NO EFFECT	671	18.3 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	91	2.5 %
9	REFUSED	25	0.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,658 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1021-1021 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3AT13: Political Party - Protecting Civil Liberties

Which political party do you trust to do a better job protecting your civil liberties, the Republican Party or the Democratic Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REPUBLICAN PARTY	485	13.2 %
5	DEMOCRATIC PARTY	868	23.7 %
7	NEITHER/BOTH	252	6.9 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	108	3.0 %
9	REFUSED	61	1.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,605 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1022-1022 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3AT15: Give Up Liberties - Personally

How likely is it that you personally will have to give up some of your rights and liberties in order to curb terrorism? Would you say very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely or very unlikely?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY LIKELY	381	10.4 %
2	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	751	20.5 %
3	SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY	299	8.2 %
4	VERY UNLIKELY	287	7.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	43	1.2 %
9	REFUSED	13	0.4 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,718 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1023-1023 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3AT19: Willingness Give Up Liberties

How willing would you personally be to give up some of your rights and liberties in order to curb terrorism? Would you say very willing, somewhat willing, somewhat unwilling or very unwilling?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY WILLING	413	11.3 %
2	SOMEWHAT WILLING	763	20.8 %
3	SOMEWHAT UNWILLING	294	8.0 %
4	VERY UNWILLING	261	7.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	33	0.9 %
9	REFUSED	10	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,731 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1024-1024 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3P1: National Pride_w3

How proud are you to be an American? Would you say very proud, proud, somewhat proud, not very proud, or not proud at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY PROUD	1203	32.9 %
2	PROUD	293	8.0 %
3	SOMEWHAT PROUD	143	3.9 %
4	NOT VERY PROUD	55	1.5 %
5	NOT PROUD AT ALL	18	0.5 %
7	RESPONDENT NOT AN AMERICAN	40	1.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	5	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	17	0.5 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1025-1025 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL1: CL1: Civil Liberties vs Terrorism

In order to curb terrorism in this country, it will be necessary to give up some civil liberties. -or- We should preserve our freedoms above all, even if there remains some risk of terrorism. Which statement do you agree with most? IWER: USE THIS DEFINITION: "Civil liberties are the basic rights guaranteed to all Americans by the constitution - such as the freedoms of speech, assembly, religion, etc"

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GIVE UP CIVIL LIBERTIES	795	21.7 %
5	CURB TERRORISM	854	23.3 %
7	DEPENDS	50	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	48	1.3 %
9	REFUSED	27	0.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,699 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1026-1026 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL1FLU: CL1flup: Civil Liberties vs Terrorism - Follow up

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (In order to curb terrorism in this country, it will be necessary to give up some civil liberties). -or- (We should preserve our freedoms above all, even if there remains some risk of terrorism.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GIVE UP CIVIL LIBERTIES	14	0.4 %
5	CURB TERRORISM	21	0.6 %
7	DEPENDS	30	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	27	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	6	0.2 %
.	-	3563	97.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 65 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1027-1027 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL2: CL2: Identity Card vs Privacy

Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times to show to a police officer upon request. -or- Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's freedom of association and right to privacy. Which statement do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PEOPLE REQUIRED TO CARRY ID	965	26.4 %
5	ID CARDS VIOLATE FREEDOM ASSOCIATION	704	19.2 %
7	IT DEPENDS/NEITHER	46	1.3 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	27	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	32	0.9 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,715 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1028-1028 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL2FLUP: CL2flup: Identify Card vs Privacy - Follow Up

If you had to choose, which statement is closer to your opinion? (Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times to show to a police officer on request. -or- Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's freedom of association and right to privacy).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	PEOPLE REQUIRED TO CARRY ID	15	0.4 %
5	ID CARDS VIOLATE FREEDOM ASSOCIATION	19	0.5 %
7	DEPENDS/CANNOT CHOOSE	22	0.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	14	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	3588	98.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 56 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1029-1029 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL7: CL7: Free Speech vs Loyalty

Some people say high school teachers have the right to criticize America's policies toward terrorism. Others say that all high school teachers should defend America's policies in order to promote loyalty to our country. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES	823	22.5 %
5	HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY	693	18.9 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE	124	3.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	70	1.9 %
9	REFUSED	64	1.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,640 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1030-1030 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL7FLUP: CL7flup: Free Speech vs Loyalty - Follow Up

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? (High school teachers have the right to criticize America's policies toward terrorism) - or - (All high school teachers should defend America's policies in order to promote loyalty to our country).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SPEAK AGAINST POLICIES	36	1.0 %
5	HELP DEVELOP LOYALTY	40	1.1 %
7	DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE	76	2.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	37	1.0 %
9	REFUSED	5	0.1 %
.	-	3467	94.7 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 152 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1031-1031 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL8: CL8: Search Protection vs Safety

Some people say that law enforcement should be free to search a property without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act is being planned there. Others say that protection against searches without a warrant is a basic right that should not be given up for any reason. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT	421	11.5 %
5	OPPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT	1278	34.9 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE	32	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	21	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	22	0.6 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,731 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1032-1032 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL8FLUP: CL8flup: Search Protection vs Safety - Follow Up

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? (Law enforcement should be free to search a property without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act is being planned there). - or - (Protection against searches without a warrant is a basic right that should not be given up for any reason).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW SEARCH WITHOUT WARRANT	14	0.4 %
5	OPOSE SEARCHING WITHOUT A WARRANT	12	0.3 %
7	DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE	20	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	7	0.2 %
.	-	3608	98.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 46 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1033-1033 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL9: CL9: Record Telephone/Email vs Privacy

Some people say that government should be allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts. Others say that people's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS	561	15.3 %
5	OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATIONS	1084	29.6 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE	81	2.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	22	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	26	0.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,726 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1034-1034 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL9FLUP: CL9flup: Record Telephone/Email vs Privacy - Follow Up

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? (The government should be allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts). - or - (People's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW RECORDING CONVERSATIONS	18	0.5 %
5	OPPOSE RECORDING CONVERSATION	25	0.7 %
7	DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE	46	1.3 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	11	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	3558	97.2 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 89 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1035-1035 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL10: CL10: Right Assembly vs Security

Some say that people who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated. Others say that people have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS	143	3.9 %
5	RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC	1588	43.4 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE	16	0.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	10	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	17	0.5 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,747 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1036-1036 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL10FLUP: CL10flup: Right Assembly vs Security - Follow Up

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? (People who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated). - or - (People have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	INVESTIGATE PROTESTERS	2	0.1 %
5	RIGHT TO MEET IN PUBLIC	10	0.3 %
7	DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE	6	0.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	7	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	3635	99.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1037-1037 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL4: CL4: Freedom Association vs Terrorism

Some people say it should be a crime for anyone to belong to or contribute money to any organization that supports international terrorism. Others say that a person's guilt or innocence should not be determined only by who they associate with or the organizations to which they belong. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TERRORISM	1134	31.0 %
5	GUILT INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED	491	13.4 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE	51	1.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	56	1.5 %
9	REFUSED	42	1.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,676 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1038-1038 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL4FLUP: CL4 flup: Freedom Association vs Terrorism - Follow Up

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? (It should be a crime for anyone to belong to or contribute money to any organization that supports international terrorism.) - or - (A person's guilt or innocence should not be determined only by who they associate with or the organizations to which they belong.)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	REGARDED AS CONTRIBUTING TO TERRORISM	28	0.8 %
5	GUILTY INNOCENCE NOT ASSOCIATED	21	0.6 %
7	DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE	36	1.0 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	19	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	3554	97.1 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 85 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1039-1039 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL4A: CL4a counter: Guilt by Association

Suppose, in doing this, people would be judged guilty by association rather than by a crime they may have personally committed. If that happened, would you be less likely to view such people as committing a crime, more likely to view them as committing a crime, or would it make no difference in your answer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	236	6.4 %
5	MORE LIKELY	349	9.5 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	495	13.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	51	1.4 %
9	REFUSED	31	0.8 %
.	-	2499	68.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,080 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1040-1040 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL4B: CL4b counter: Contributors Supporting Terrorism

Suppose people who contribute to these organizations actually are supporting the activities and goals of terrorist organizations. If that were true, would you be less likely to view them as innocent, more likely to view them as innocent, or would it make no difference in your answer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	271	7.4 %
5	MORE LIKELY	58	1.6 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	158	4.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	17	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	8	0.2 %
.	-	3149	86.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 487 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1041-1041 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL5: CL5: Detain Non Citizens Indefinitely

Some people say the government should be able to arrest and detain a non-citizen indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging to a terrorist organization. Others say nobody should be held for a long period of time without being formally charged with a crime. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES	569	15.5 %
5	OPPOSE DETAINING INDIVIDUALS	1132	30.9 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE	30	0.8 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	19	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	24	0.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,731 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1042-1042 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL5FLUP: CL5flup: Detain Terrorism Suspects - Follow Up

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? (The government should be able to arrest and detain a non-citizen indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging to a terrorist organization). - or - (Nobody should be held for a long period of time without being formally charged with a crime).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	DETAINED AS LONG AS IT TAKES	16	0.4 %
5	OPPOSE DETAINING INDIVIDUALS	2	0.1 %
7	DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE	16	0.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	15	0.4 %
.	-	3612	98.7 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 34 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1043-1043 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL5A: CL5a counter: Innocent Held Without Charges

Suppose this means that many innocent people could be locked up for a long time without ever be charged with a crime. If that happened, would you be less likely to support such detention, more likely to support such detention, or would it make no difference in your answer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	243	6.6 %
5	MORE LIKELY	98	2.7 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	210	5.7 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	22	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	12	0.3 %
.	-	3076	84.0 %
Total			3,661 100%

Based upon 551 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1044-1044 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL5B: CL5b counter: Detention Prevent Crimes

Suppose there were strong suspicions that these people are terrorists and detaining them would prevent them from committing other crimes. If that happened, would you be less likely to oppose such detention, more likely to oppose it, or would it make no difference?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	450	12.3 %
5	MORE LIKELY	245	6.7 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	388	10.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	37	1.0 %
9	REFUSED	14	0.4 %
.	-	2527	69.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,083 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1045-1045 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL6: CL6: Racial Profiling_w3

Some people say that law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes. This is called racial profiling. Others think racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING	272	7.4 %
5	OPPSE RACIAL PROFILING	1397	38.2 %
7	DEPENDS/NOT SURE	45	1.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	26	0.7 %
9	REFUSED	34	0.9 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,714 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1046-1046 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL6FLUP: CL6flup: Racial Profiling - Follow Up

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? (Law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes.) - or - (Racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALLOW RACIAL PROFILING	17	0.5 %
5	OPPOSE RACIAL PROFILING	22	0.6 %
7	DEPENDS/NEITHER/CANNOT CHOOSE	17	0.5 %
Missing Data			

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	DO NOT KNOW	13	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	2	0.1 %
.	-	3590	98.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 56 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1047-1047 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL6A: CL6a counter: Unfair Treatment

Suppose this means that racial profiling often leads to unequal treatment of people just because of their race or national origin. If this happened, would you be less likely to support racial profiling, more likely to support it, or would this not make any difference your answer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	103	2.8 %
5	MORE LIKELY	41	1.1 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	137	3.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	7	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	3372	92.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 281 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1048-1048 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL6B: CL6b counter: Racial Ethnic Commit Crimes

Suppose people from certain racial or ethnic backgrounds were actually more likely to commit crimes. If this were the case, would you be less likely to oppose racial profiling, more likely to oppose it, or would this not make any difference in your answer.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	216	5.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	MORE LIKELY	230	6.3 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	921	25.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	37	1.0 %
9	REFUSED	15	0.4 %
.	-	2242	61.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,367 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1049-1049 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL11: CL11: Freedom of Information

Which of the following comes closer to your view? The federal government should place greater restrictions on the public's access to information on what the government is doing in the fight against terrorism. -- or --- Public access to information is essential to making government officials responsive to the people.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SHOULD PLACE GREATER RESTRICTIONS	505	13.8 %
5	PUBLIC ACCESS IS ESSENTIAL	1152	31.5 %
7	NEITHER	34	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	58	1.6 %
9	REFUSED	25	0.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,691 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1050-1050 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL11FLUP: CL11flup: Freedom of Information Follow Up

If you had to choose, which opinion is closer to your own? The federal government should place greater restrictions on the public's access to information on what the government is doing in the fight against terrorism. -- or --- Public access to information is essential to making government officials responsive to the people.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	SHOULD PLACE GREATER RESTRICTIONS	15	0.4 %
5	PUBLIC ACCESS IS ESSENTIAL	22	0.6 %
7	NEITHER	17	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	36	1.0 %
9	REFUSED	2	0.1 %
.	-	3569	97.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 54 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1051-1051 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL11A: CL11a counter: Hide Illegal Acts

Suppose this allowed the government to hide acts that were illegal or unconstitutional. If this were true, would you want to restrict public access to information more, restrict public access less -- or would it not make a difference?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	122	3.3 %
5	MORE LIKELY	188	5.1 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	181	4.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	22	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	3141	85.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 491 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1052-1052 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CL11B: CL11b counter: Enemies Use Information

Suppose this meant that our enemies might be able to use this information against the US. If this were true, would you want to restrict public access to information more, restrict public access less -- or would it not make a difference?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	LESS LIKELY	511	14.0 %
5	MORE LIKELY	153	4.2 %
7	NO DIFFERENCE	458	12.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	39	1.1 %
9	REFUSED	13	0.4 %
.	-	2487	67.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,122 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1053-1053 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD1: Sex

Now, I have a few background questions. These are for statistical analysis purposes only. Are you a male or female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	734	20.0 %
5	FEMALE	1040	28.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1054-1054 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CD2: Year Born

In what year were you born?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
13	-	1	0.0 %
16	-	3	0.1 %
17	-	8	0.2 %
18	-	4	0.1 %
19	-	11	0.3 %
20	-	11	0.3 %
21	-	13	0.4 %
22	-	20	0.5 %
23	-	5	0.1 %
24	-	21	0.6 %
25	-	17	0.5 %
26	-	13	0.4 %
27	-	16	0.4 %
28	-	22	0.6 %
29	-	15	0.4 %
30	-	24	0.7 %
31	-	25	0.7 %
32	-	26	0.7 %
33	-	19	0.5 %
34	-	26	0.7 %
35	-	22	0.6 %
36	-	26	0.7 %
37	-	25	0.7 %
38	-	33	0.9 %
39	-	25	0.7 %
40	-	32	0.9 %
41	-	19	0.5 %
42	-	32	0.9 %
43	-	27	0.7 %
44	-	24	0.7 %
45	-	34	0.9 %
46	-	34	0.9 %
47	-	43	1.2 %
48	-	43	1.2 %
49	-	36	1.0 %
50	-	40	1.1 %
51	-	35	1.0 %
52	-	32	0.9 %
53	-	48	1.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
54	-	44	1.2 %
55	-	40	1.1 %
56	-	30	0.8 %
57	-	31	0.8 %
58	-	31	0.8 %
59	-	39	1.1 %
60	-	38	1.0 %
61	-	21	0.6 %
62	-	21	0.6 %
63	-	45	1.2 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 67.95
- Median: 53.00
- Mode: 53.00
- Minimum: 10.00
- Maximum: 999.00
- Standard Deviation: 121.21

Location: 1055-1057 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CD2A: Born in USA

Were you born in the United States (or one of its territories, such as Puerto Rico, American Samoa, or Guam)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1467	40.1 %
5	NO	296	8.1 %
Missing Data			
9	REFUSED	11	0.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,763 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1058-1058 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD2B: Speak language other than English at home

Do you speak a language other than English at home?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	355	9.7 %
5	NO	1407	38.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
9	REFUSED	11	0.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,762 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1059-1059 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD3: Education

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	DID NOT GO TO SCHOOL	13	0.4 %
1	Grade 1	7	0.2 %
2	Grade 2	7	0.2 %
3	Grade 3	8	0.2 %
4	Grade 4	12	0.3 %
5	Grade 5	8	0.2 %
6	Grade 6	26	0.7 %
7	Grade 7	9	0.2 %
8	Grade 8	9	0.2 %
9	Grade 9	27	0.7 %
10	Grade 10	24	0.7 %
11	Grade 11	51	1.4 %
12	Grade 12 - HIGH SCHOOL GRAD OR GED	415	11.3 %
13	College Year 1	156	4.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
14	College Year 2	192	5.2 %
15	College Year 3	90	2.5 %
16	College Year 4 - COLLEGE GRADUATE	376	10.3 %
17	SOME POST GRADUATE	76	2.1 %
18	GRADUATE DEGREE	231	6.3 %
20	TECHNICAL/JUNIOR COLLEGE GRAD	32	0.9 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
99	REFUSED	2	0.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,769 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 20.00

Location: 1060-1061 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W3CD8: Marital Status

Are you currently married, divorced, separated, widowed, member of an unmarried couple, or have you never been married?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MARRIED	919	25.1 %
2	DIVORCED	240	6.6 %
3	SEPARATED	46	1.3 %
4	WIDOWED	199	5.4 %
5	MEMBERT UNMARRIED COUPLE	47	1.3 %
6	SINGLE NEVER BEEN MARRIED	316	8.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
9	REFUSED	6	0.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,767 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 1062-1062 (*width:* 1; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD5A: Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latino vs. other

Are you of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, HISPANIC	373	10.2 %
5	NO, NOT HISPANIC	1387	37.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DON'T KNOW	6	0.2 %
9	MISSING	8	0.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,760 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1063-1063 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD5A1: Hispanic Identity

With which Hispanic or Latino group do you identify with most? Mexican, Mexican American, Chicano, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MEXICAN	146	4.0 %
2	MEXICAN AMERICAN	98	2.7 %
3	CHICANO	15	0.4 %
4	PUERTO RICAN	18	0.5 %
5	CUBAN 6 SOMETHING ELSE	32	0.9 %
10	CENTRAL AMERICAN	20	0.5 %
11	SOUTH AMERICAN	15	0.4 %
12	DOMINICAN	9	0.2 %
90	OTHER: NEC	12	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
98	DO NOT KNOW	2	0.1 %
99	REFUSED	6	0.2 %
.	-	3288	89.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 365 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 90.00

Location: 1064-1065 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W3CD4A_A: White Caucasian_w3

Respondent is white caucasian

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1080	29.5 %
5	NO	684	18.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,764 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1066-1066 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD4A_B: African American or Black_w3

Respondent is African American or Black

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	307	8.4 %
5	NO	1458	39.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	2	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,765 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1067-1067 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD4A_C: Arab or Middle Eastern_w3

Respondent is Arab or Middle Eastern

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	9	0.2 %
5	NO	1755	47.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,764 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1068-1068 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD4A_D: Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander

Respondent is Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	6	0.2 %
5	NO	1758	48.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,764 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1069-1069 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD4A_E: Asian or Asian American?

Respondent is Asian or Asian American

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	20	0.5 %
5	NO	1744	47.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,764 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1070-1070 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD4A_F: American Indian or Alaska Native?

Respondent is American Indian or Alaskan Native

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	30	0.8 %
5	NO	1734	47.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	7	0.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,764 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1071-1071 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD4AA2: R is White (used in weighting only)

Respondent is White (used in weighting only)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	yes white	1371	37.4 %
5	no, not white	393	10.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	3	0.1 %
9	refused	7	0.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,764 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1072-1072 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD6: Religion

What is the religious group which you feel most closely represents your religious views? (Is it Catholic, Islamic, Jewish, Protestant, some other religion, or no religion)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NONE NO RELIGIOUS GROUP	191	5.2 %
1	CATHOLIC	543	14.8 %
2	ISLAMIC/MUSLIM	6	0.2 %
3	JEWISH	32	0.9 %
4	PROTESTANT	372	10.2 %
5	OTHER NON CHRISTIAN	51	1.4 %
6	OTHER CHRISTIAN	322	8.8 %
7	BAPTISTS	205	5.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	19	0.5 %
9	REFUSED	33	0.9 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,722 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1073-1073 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD6A: Born Again Christian?

Are you a born-again Christian?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	515	14.1 %
5	NO	361	9.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	15	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	8	0.2 %
.	-	2762	75.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 876 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1074-1074 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3B1: Bush Approval

Next, I have some questions about politics. Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	APPROVE	778	21.3 %
5	DISAPPROVE	850	23.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	85	2.3 %
9	REFUSED	61	1.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,628 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1075-1075 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3INTEREST: Interest in Politics

How interested are you in politics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY INTERESTED	645	17.6 %
2	SOMEWHAT INTERESTED	799	21.8 %
3	NOT VERY INTERESTED	212	5.8 %
4	NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	91	2.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	24	0.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,747 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1076-1076 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3VI2: Registered Voter (2004)?

Are you registered to vote?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1517	41.4 %
5	NO	219	6.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	16	0.4 %
9	REFUSED	22	0.6 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,736 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1077-1077 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3V1_A: Voted 2000 Election?

Did you vote in the 2000 Presidential election?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1343	36.7 %
5	NO	411	11.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO	2	0.1 %
9	NA	18	0.5 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,754 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1078-1078 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3V1_B: Who Voted for in 2000 Election

Did you vote for George Bush, Al Gore, Ralph Nader, or someone else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GEORGE BUSH	558	15.2 %
2	AL GORE	581	15.9 %
3	RALPH NADER	37	1.0 %
4	SOMEONE ELSE	45	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	22	0.6 %
9	REFUSED	100	2.7 %
.	-	2318	63.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,221 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1079-1079 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3VI3: Expect to Vote in 2004 Election?

Do you expect to vote in the Presidential election in November?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1520	41.5 %
5	NO	191	5.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	34	0.9 %
9	REFUSED	29	0.8 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,711 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1080-1080 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IM1A: Cand Image Bush: Honest and Trustworthy - Bush

He is honest and trustworthy? Does this statement apply to George Bush?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, APPLIES TO BUSH	772	21.1 %
5	NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH	792	21.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	131	3.6 %
9	REFUSED	79	2.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,564 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1081-1081 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IM2A: Cand Image Bush: Understands People Like You - Bush

He understands the problems of people like you? Does this statement apply to George Bush?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, APPLIES TO BUSH	642	17.5 %
5	NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH	971	26.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	93	2.5 %
9	REFUSED	68	1.9 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,613 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1082-1082 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IM3A: Cand Image Bush: Strong Leader - Bush

He is a strong leader? Does this statement apply to George Bush?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, APPLIES TO BUSH	1041	28.4 %
5	NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH	599	16.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	67	1.8 %
9	REFUSED	67	1.8 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,640 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1083-1083 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IM4A: Cand Image Bush: Sticks w Postiion - Bush

He takes a position and sticks with it? Does this statement apply to George Bush?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, APPLIES TO BUSH	1281	35.0 %
5	NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH	350	9.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	73	2.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	REFUSED	70	1.9 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,631 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1084-1084 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IM5A: Cand Image Bush: Listens to Different Viewpoints - Bush

He is willing to listen to different points of view? Does this statement apply to George Bush?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, APPLIES TO BUSH	781	21.3 %
5	NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH	810	22.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	118	3.2 %
9	REFUSED	65	1.8 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,591 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1085-1085 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IM6A: Cand Image Bush: Shares Your Values - Bush

He shares your values? Does this statement apply to George Bush?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, APPLIES TO BUSH	775	21.2 %
5	NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO BUSH	844	23.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	88	2.4 %
9	REFUSED	67	1.8 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,619 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1086-1086 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IM1B: Cand Image Kerry: Honest and Trustworthy - Kerry

He is honest and trustworthy? Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, APPLIES TO KERRY	880	24.0 %
5	NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY	517	14.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	303	8.3 %
9	REFUSED	74	2.0 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,397 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1087-1087 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IM2B: Cand Image Kerry: Understands People Like You - Kerry

He understands the problems of people like you? Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, APPLIES TO KERRY	925	25.3 %
5	NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY	589	16.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	191	5.2 %
9	REFUSED	69	1.9 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,514 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1088-1088 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IM3B: Cand Image Kerry: Strong Leader - Kerry

He is a strong leader? Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, APPLIES TO KERRY	858	23.4 %
5	NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY	561	15.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	284	7.8 %
9	REFUSED	71	1.9 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,419 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1089-1089 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IM4B: Cand Image Kerry: Sticks w Position - Kerry

He takes a position and sticks with it? Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, APPLIES TO KERRY	637	17.4 %
5	NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY	776	21.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	283	7.7 %
9	REFUSED	78	2.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,413 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1090-1090 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IM5B: Cand Image Kerry: Listen to Different Viewpoints - Kerry

He is willing to listen to different points of view? Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, APPLIES TO KERRY	1264	34.5 %
5	NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY	249	6.8 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	199	5.4 %
9	REFUSED	62	1.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,513 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1091-1091 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IM6B: Cand Image Kerry: Shares My Values - Kerry

He shares your values? Does this statement apply to John Kerry?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES, APPLIES TO KERRY	891	24.3 %
5	NO, DOES NOT APPLY TO KERRY	599	16.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	213	5.8 %
9	REFUSED	71	1.9 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,490 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1092-1092 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CI1A: Bush vs. Kerry: Protecting America Terrorism

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of . . . Protecting America from terrorism on our own soil?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GEORGE BUSH	779	21.3 %
2	JOHN KERRY	629	17.2 %
5	BOTH EQUIALLY	76	2.1 %
6	NEITHER CANDIDATE	68	1.9 %
7	SOMEONE ELSE	6	0.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	133	3.6 %
9	REFUSED	83	2.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,558 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1093-1093 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CI1B: Bush vs. Kerry: Conducting Foreign Policy

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of . . . Conducting America's foreign policy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GEORGE BUSH	667	18.2 %
2	JOHN KERRY	827	22.6 %
5	BOTH EQUIALLY	31	0.8 %
6	NEITHER CANDIDATE	39	1.1 %
7	SOMEONE ELSE	4	0.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	125	3.4 %
9	REFUSED	81	2.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,568 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1094-1094 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CI1C: Bush vs. Kerry: Managing Economy

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of Managing the economy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GEORGE BUSH	555	15.2 %
2	JOHN KERRY	904	24.7 %
5	BOTH EQUALLY	51	1.4 %
6	NEITHER CANDIDATE	63	1.7 %
7	SOMEONE ELSE	9	0.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	117	3.2 %
9	REFUSED	75	2.0 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,582 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1095-1095 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CI1D: Bush vs. Kerry: Protecting Civil Liberties

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of Protecting your civil liberties?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GEORGE BUSH	572	15.6 %
2	JOHN KERRY	916	25.0 %
5	BOTH EQUALLY	63	1.7 %
6	NEITHER CANDIDATE	38	1.0 %
7	SOMEONE ELSE	8	0.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	100	2.7 %
9	REFUSED	77	2.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,597 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1096-1096 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CI1E: Bush vs. Kerry: Protecting Environment

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of Protecting the environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GEORGE BUSH	433	11.8 %
2	JOHN KERRY	981	26.8 %
5	BOTH EQUALLY	68	1.9 %
6	NEITHER CANDIDATE	63	1.7 %
7	SOMEONE ELSE	14	0.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	132	3.6 %
9	REFUSED	83	2.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,559 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1097-1097 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CI1F: Bush vs. Kerry: Strong Leader Crisis

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of Being a strong leader in time of crisis?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GEORGE BUSH	812	22.2 %
2	JOHN KERRY	649	17.7 %
5	BOTH EQUALLY	68	1.9 %
6	NEITHER CANDIDATE	36	1.0 %
7	SOMEONE ELSE	7	0.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	128	3.5 %
9	REFUSED	74	2.0 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,572 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1098-1098 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CI1G: Bush vs. Kerry: Unemployment -- Creating Jobs

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of . . . Reducing unemployment and creating more jobs

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GEORGE BUSH	462	12.6 %
2	JOHN KERRY	846	23.1 %
5	BOTH EQUIALLY	28	0.8 %
6	NEITHER CANDIDATE	71	1.9 %
7	SOMEONE ELSE	4	0.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	119	3.3 %
9	REFUSED	72	2.0 %
.	-	2059	56.2 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,411 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1099-1099 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CI1H: Bush vs. Kerry: Dealing w Iraq

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of . . . Dealing with the Iraq war?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	GEORGE BUSH	745	20.3 %
2	JOHN KERRY	734	20.0 %
5	BOTH EQUIALLY	31	0.8 %
6	NEITHER CANDIDATE	56	1.5 %
7	SOMEONE ELSE	9	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	114	3.1 %
9	REFUSED	85	2.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,575 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1100-1100 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3VI1: Vote Intention 2004: Vote President

If the presidential election were held today, who would you vote for -- George Bush, John Kerry, or someone else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	SOMEONE ELSE	85	2.3 %
1	GEORGE BUSH	626	17.1 %
2	JOHN KERRY	822	22.5 %
5	RALPH NADER	6	0.2 %
7	DO NOT PLAN TO VOTE	15	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	105	2.9 %
9	REFUSED	115	3.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,554 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1101-1101 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3VI1FLUPA: How Likely Change Mind?

How likely is it that you might change your mind about who you're going to vote for before Election Day in November? Would you say it is very likely, somewhat likely, somewhat unlikely, or very unlikely?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY LIKELY	52	1.4 %
2	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	153	4.2 %
3	SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY	188	5.1 %
4	VERY UNLIKELY	1135	31.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	5	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	6	0.2 %
.	-	2122	58.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,528 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1102-1102 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3VI1FLUPB: Lean Presidential Vote? (if DK, undecided on W3vi1)

As of today, do you lean more toward Bush, more towards Kerry, or more toward someone else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	SOMEONE ELSE	5	0.1 %
1	GEORGE BUSH	38	1.0 %
2	JOHN KERRY	31	0.8 %
7	DO NOT PLAN TO VOTE	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	53	1.4 %
9	REFUSED	91	2.5 %
.	-	3441	94.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 76 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1103-1103 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD7_A: Party ID (W3) -- first question

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ANOTHER PARTY, THIRD PARTY, ETC	104	2.8 %
1	REPUBLICAN	411	11.2 %
4	INDEPENDENT	503	13.7 %
7	DEMOCRAT	638	17.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	53	1.4 %
9	REFUSED	65	1.8 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,656 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1104-1104 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD7_B: Republican_w3

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or not a very strong Republican?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONGLY REPUBLICAN	133	3.6 %
2	NOT VERY STRONG REPUBLICAN	87	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
.	-	3440	94.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 220 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1105-1105 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD7_C: Democrat_w3

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or not a very strong Democrat?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	NOT VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT	227	6.2 %
7	STRONG DEMOCRAT	400	10.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	10	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	3023	82.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 627 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1106-1106 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CD7_D: Independent_w3

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the Democratic Party or the Republican Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	REPUBLICAN	146	4.0 %
4	NEITHER	128	3.5 %
5	DEMOCRAT	220	6.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	6	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	3158	86.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 494 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1107-1107 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3PARTY: PARTY ID 7-POINT SCALE (derived from previous quex)

PARTY ID 7-POINT SCALE

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ANOTHER PARTY, THIRD PARTY, ETC	104	2.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	STRONG REPUBLICAN	256	7.0 %
2	NOT STRONG REPUBLICAN	150	4.1 %
3	LEAN REPUBLICAN	146	4.0 %
4	NEITHER	128	3.5 %
5	LEAN DEMOCRAT	220	6.0 %
6	NOT STRONG DEMOCRAT	227	6.2 %
7	STRONG DEMOCRAT	400	10.9 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	53	1.4 %
9	REFUSED	65	1.8 %
.	-	1912	52.2 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,631 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1108-1108 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3P17_A: Political Ideology (W3) -- first question

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a conservative, a moderate, or a liberal?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	NEITHER-NONE	50	1.4 %
1	CONSERVATIVE	611	16.7 %
4	NEITHER	637	17.4 %
7	LIBERAL	362	9.9 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	62	1.7 %
9	REFUSED	52	1.4 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,660 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1109-1109 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3P17_B: Conservative

Would you consider yourself very conservative or somewhat conservative?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONSERVATIVE	254	6.9 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE	355	9.7 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	2	0.1 %
.	-	3050	83.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 609 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1110-1110 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3P17_C: Liberal

Would you consider yourself to be very liberal or somewhat liberal?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	SOMEWHAT LIBERAL	250	6.8 %
7	VERY LIBERAL	111	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
.	-	3299	90.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 361 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 6.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1111-1111 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3P17_D: Middle

Do you generally think of yourself as closer to the conservative side or the liberal side?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	CLOSER CONSERVATIVE	259	7.1 %
4	IN THE MIDDLE	122	3.3 %
5	CLOSER LIBERAL	243	6.6 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	8	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	5	0.1 %
.	-	3024	82.6 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 624 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 3.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1112-1112 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IDEOLOGY: IDEOLOGY 7-point scale (7=Very conservative, 1=Very liberal)

IDEOLOGY 7-point scale

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY CONSERVATIVE	254	6.9 %
2	SOMEWHAT CONSERVATIVE	355	9.7 %
3	LEAN CONSERVATIVE	259	7.1 %
4	MIDDLE	122	3.3 %
5	LEAN LIBERAL	243	6.6 %
6	SOMEWHAT LIBERAL	250	6.8 %
7	VERY LIBERAL	111	3.0 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	62	1.7 %
9	REFUSED	52	1.4 %
.	-	1953	53.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,594 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1113-1113 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3X1: Community Type (R's own assessment)

Would you say you live in a rural community, a small city or town, a suburb, or an urban community?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	OTHER	36	1.0 %
1	RURAL COMMUNITY	339	9.3 %
2	SMALL CITY OR TOWN	588	16.1 %
3	A SUBURB	324	8.9 %
4	URBAN COMMUNITY	453	12.4 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
99	REFUSED	31	0.8 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,740 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1114-1115 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W3CD10W3: Adults in HH

Including yourself, how many individuals who are 18 years of age or older live in your household?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Missing Data			
.	-	3661	100.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

Location: 1116-1117 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W3CD15: Employment

We are interested in learning about the different ways people may earn their living. Last week, were you working full-time, part-time, going to school, a home-maker or something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	WORK FULL TIME	784	21.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	WORK PART TIME	150	4.1 %
3	WORK AND GO TO SCHOOL	42	1.1 %
4	IN THE ARMED FORCES	1	0.0 %
5	JOB, DID NOT WORK LAST WEEK	20	0.5 %
6	UNEMPLOYED	35	1.0 %
7	RETIRED	354	9.7 %
8	SCHOOL FULL-TIME	43	1.2 %
9	HOMEMAKER	234	6.4 %
10	DISABLED	51	1.4 %
90	MISCELLANEOUS-NEC	16	0.4 %
Missing Data			
98	DO NOT KNOW	1	0.0 %
99	REFUSED	43	1.2 %
-	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,730 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 90.00

Location: 1118-1119 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 98 , 99 , .

W3MILSERVE: Served Military

Have you ever served in the U.S. Armed Forces, military reserves, or National Guard? ARMED FORCES INCLUDE: ARMY, NAVY, AIRFORCE, MARINES, COAST GUARD, EITHER ACTIVE OR RESERVES

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	282	7.7 %
5	NO	1461	39.9 %
Missing Data			
9	REFUSED	31	0.8 %
-	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,743 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1120-1120 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3CC3: Household Economic Situation

How would you rate your household's overall financial situation these days? Would you say it is excellent, good, just fair, not so good, or poor?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	EXCELLENT	193	5.3 %
2	GOOD	784	21.4 %
3	JUST FAIR	481	13.1 %
4	NOT SO GOOD	165	4.5 %
5	POOR	108	3.0 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	2	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	41	1.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,731 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1121-1121 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3INCA: More \$30,000

Was it \$30,000 or more?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1068	29.2 %
5	NO	521	14.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DO NOT KNOW	39	1.1 %
9	REFUSED	146	4.0 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,589 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1122-1122 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3INCB: Less than \$20,000

Was it \$20,000 or more?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	266	7.3 %
5	NO	238	6.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	12	0.3 %
9	REFUSED	5	0.1 %
.	-	3140	85.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 504 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1123-1123 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3INCC: Less than \$10,000_w3

Was it \$10,000 or more?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	78	2.1 %
5	NOT	183	5.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	5	0.1 %
.	-	3395	92.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 261 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1124-1124 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3INCD: More \$60,000

Was it \$60,000 or more?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	563	15.4 %
5	NO	488	13.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	7	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	10	0.3 %
.	-	2593	70.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,051 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1125-1125 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3INCE: More than \$40,000

Was it \$40,000 or more?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	276	7.5 %
5	NO	201	5.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	7	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	4	0.1 %
.	-	3173	86.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 477 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1126-1126 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3INCF: More \$50,000

Was it \$50,000 or more?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	106	2.9 %
5	NO	167	4.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	3	0.1 %
.	-	3385	92.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 273 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1127-1127 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3INCG: More than \$70,000

Was it more than \$70,000?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	385	10.5 %
5	NO	166	4.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	8	0.2 %
9	REFUSED	4	0.1 %
.	-	3098	84.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 551 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1128-1128 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3INCOME: HH INCOME (derived from previous quex)

HH INCOME (derived from previous quex)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	\$10,000 or less	78	2.1 %
2	\$10,000-19,999	183	5.0 %
3	\$20,000-29,99	238	6.5 %
4	\$30,000-39,999	201	5.5 %
5	\$40,000-49,999	167	4.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	\$50,000-59,999	106	2.9 %
7	\$60,000-69,999	166	4.5 %
8	\$70,000 or more	385	10.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	Missing	225	6.1 %
.	-	1912	52.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,524 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 1129-1130 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9 , 98 , 99 , .

W3RACEPERC: R's Perception of Iwer's Race

Finally, what do you think is my racial background?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	WHITE OR CAUCASIAN	460	12.6 %
2	AFRICAN AMERICAN	395	10.8 %
3	ARABIC/MIDDLE EASTERN	54	1.5 %
4	HAWAIIAN PACIFIC ISLANDER	15	0.4 %
5	ASIAN AMERICAN	179	4.9 %
6	AMERICAN INDIAN	12	0.3 %
7	HISPANIC	33	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	536	14.6 %
9	REFUSED	90	2.5 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,148 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1131-1131 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IWER1: Interviewer Ethnicity (Iwer's own identification)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	401	11.0 %
5	NO	1352	36.9 %
9	Missing Data		
9	REFUSED	14	0.4 %
.	-	1894	51.7 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,753 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1132-1132 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IWER2_A: Interviewer - White Caucasian

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	294	8.0 %
5	NO	1459	39.9 %
9	Missing Data		
.	-	1908	52.1 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,753 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1133-1133 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IWER2_B: Interviewer - African American or Black

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	884	24.1 %
5	NO	869	23.7 %
9	Missing Data		
.	-	1908	52.1 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,753 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1134-1134 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IWER2_C: Interviewer - Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	17	0.5 %
5	NO	1736	47.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1908	52.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,753 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1135-1135 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IWER2_D: Interviewer - Asian

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	233	6.4 %
5	NO	1520	41.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1908	52.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,753 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1136-1136 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IWER2_E: Interviewer - American Indian or Alaska Native

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	4	0.1 %
5	NO	1749	47.8 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	1908	52.1 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,753 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1137-1137 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IWER3: Interviewer - Gender

Interviewer's Gender

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	MALE	819	22.4 %
5	FEMALE	935	25.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	REFUSED	13	0.4 %
.	-	1894	51.7 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,754 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1138-1138 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9 , .

W3IWER4_A: How Cooperative Was Respondent? (Iwer's assessment)

How Cooperative Was Respondent?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY COOPERATIVE	1545	42.2 %
2	SOMEWHAT COOPERATIVE	163	4.5 %
3	NOT VERY COOPERATIVE	30	0.8 %
4	NOT COOPERATIVE AT ALL	8	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	REFUSED	21	0.6 %
.	-	1894	51.7 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,746 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1139-1139 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W3IWER4_B: How Interested Was R in Interview? (Iwer's assessment)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	VERY INTERESTED	1315	35.9 %
2	SOMEWHAT INTERESTED	353	9.6 %
3	NOT VERY INTERESTED	70	1.9 %
4	NOT INTERESTED AT ALL	8	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	REFUSED	21	0.6 %
.	-	1894	51.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,746 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1140-1140 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W3RI2_A: Willing to be Recontacted for Interview?

Sometime next year, we'd like to recontact you again for another interview. That interview would take less time than this one. Would you be willing to participate again sometime next year?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	YES	1605	43.8 %
5	NO	153	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DO NOT KNOW	2	0.1 %
9	REFUSED	8	0.2 %
.	-	1893	51.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,758 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1141-1141 (*width:* 1; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IWDATE: Date of Interview

Date of Interview

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7202004	-	8	0.2 %
7212004	-	6	0.2 %
7222004	-	24	0.7 %
7232004	-	25	0.7 %
7242004	-	25	0.7 %
7252004	-	50	1.4 %
7262004	-	35	1.0 %
7272004	-	47	1.3 %
7282004	-	31	0.8 %
7292004	-	32	0.9 %
7302004	-	11	0.3 %
7312004	-	18	0.5 %
8012004	-	17	0.5 %
8022004	-	8	0.2 %
8032004	-	22	0.6 %
8042004	-	22	0.6 %
8052004	-	12	0.3 %
8062004	-	5	0.1 %
8072004	-	16	0.4 %
8082004	-	19	0.5 %
8092004	-	33	0.9 %
8102004	-	15	0.4 %
8112004	-	15	0.4 %
8122004	-	11	0.3 %
8132004	-	2	0.1 %
8142004	-	11	0.3 %
8152004	-	10	0.3 %
8162004	-	4	0.1 %
8172004	-	10	0.3 %
8182004	-	10	0.3 %
8212004	-	10	0.3 %
8222004	-	13	0.4 %
8232004	-	13	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8242004	-	5	0.1 %
8252004	-	5	0.1 %
8262004	-	2	0.1 %
8272004	-	2	0.1 %
8282004	-	4	0.1 %
8292004	-	14	0.4 %
8302004	-	4	0.1 %
8312004	-	9	0.2 %
9012004	-	3	0.1 %
9022004	-	6	0.2 %
9042004	-	3	0.1 %
9072004	-	11	0.3 %
9082004	-	7	0.2 %
9092004	-	9	0.2 %
9102004	-	4	0.1 %
9122004	-	3	0.1 %
9132004	-	6	0.2 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1896	51.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,765 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 9159726.38
- Median: 9272004.00
- Mode: 10312004.00
- Minimum: 7202004.00
- Maximum: 11182004.00
- Standard Deviation: 1194718.64

Location: 1142-1149 (width: 8; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CONTAC: Contacts

Interviewer's Number of Contacts

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	357	9.8 %
2	-	280	7.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	-	233	6.4 %
4	-	164	4.5 %
5	-	134	3.7 %
6	-	105	2.9 %
7	-	81	2.2 %
8	-	86	2.3 %
9	-	56	1.5 %
10	-	47	1.3 %
11	-	47	1.3 %
12	-	45	1.2 %
13	-	29	0.8 %
14	-	28	0.8 %
15	-	22	0.6 %
16	-	18	0.5 %
17	-	14	0.4 %
18	-	6	0.2 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	2	0.1 %
21	-	2	0.1 %
22	-	5	0.1 %
23	-	2	0.1 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1893	51.7 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,768 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 5.07
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 32.00
- Standard Deviation: 4.33

Location: 1150-1151 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3LENGTH: Interview Length

Interview Length

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	2	0.1 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	1	0.0 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	5	0.1 %
16	-	23	0.6 %
17	-	40	1.1 %
18	-	59	1.6 %
19	-	77	2.1 %
20	-	110	3.0 %
21	-	116	3.2 %
22	-	107	2.9 %
23	-	101	2.8 %
24	-	92	2.5 %
25	-	83	2.3 %
26	-	92	2.5 %
27	-	204	5.6 %
28	-	73	2.0 %
29	-	68	1.9 %
30	-	58	1.6 %
31	-	45	1.2 %
32	-	43	1.2 %
33	-	37	1.0 %
34	-	29	0.8 %
35	-	34	0.9 %
36	-	29	0.8 %
37	-	18	0.5 %
38	-	21	0.6 %
39	-	7	0.2 %
40	-	7	0.2 %
41	-	10	0.3 %
42	-	4	0.1 %
43	-	11	0.3 %
44	-	14	0.4 %
45	-	6	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
46	-	5	0.1 %
47	-	6	0.2 %
48	-	3	0.1 %
49	-	3	0.1 %
50	-	6	0.2 %
51	-	4	0.1 %
52	-	5	0.1 %
53	-	4	0.1 %
54	-	2	0.1 %
55	-	3	0.1 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
57	-	4	0.1 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1964	53.6 %
Total		3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,697 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 26.99
- Median: 26.00
- Mode: 27.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 99.00
- Standard Deviation: 8.58

Location: 1152-1153 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W2IWLAN1: W2 Language of IW (original), with 157 missing (9) -- appears NONE of the 9's were Hisp, none iwed Spanish in W3

Language of Interviewer (original with 157 missing cases labeled '9')

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mostly English	1560	42.6 %
2	Mostly Spanish	229	6.3 %
3	Half Eng, Half Span	2	0.1 %
Missing Data			
9	Missing	157	4.3 %
.	-	1713	46.8 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,791 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1154-1154 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W3AGE: Age in years (W3)

Interviewer's age in years

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	-	4	0.1 %
19	-	12	0.3 %
20	-	16	0.4 %
21	-	14	0.4 %
22	-	18	0.5 %
23	-	22	0.6 %
24	-	15	0.4 %
25	-	13	0.4 %
26	-	22	0.6 %
27	-	17	0.5 %
28	-	24	0.7 %
29	-	27	0.7 %
30	-	28	0.8 %
31	-	22	0.6 %
32	-	29	0.8 %
33	-	25	0.7 %
34	-	20	0.5 %
35	-	32	0.9 %
36	-	26	0.7 %
37	-	27	0.7 %
38	-	30	0.8 %
39	-	21	0.6 %
40	-	30	0.8 %
41	-	45	1.2 %
42	-	21	0.6 %
43	-	21	0.6 %
44	-	38	1.0 %
45	-	39	1.1 %
46	-	31	0.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
47	-	31	0.8 %
48	-	30	0.8 %
49	-	40	1.1 %
50	-	44	1.2 %
51	-	48	1.3 %
52	-	32	0.9 %
53	-	35	1.0 %
54	-	40	1.1 %
55	-	36	1.0 %
56	-	43	1.2 %
57	-	43	1.2 %
58	-	34	0.9 %
59	-	34	0.9 %
60	-	24	0.7 %
61	-	27	0.7 %
62	-	32	0.9 %
63	-	19	0.5 %
64	-	32	0.9 %
65	-	25	0.7 %
66	-	33	0.9 %
67	-	25	0.7 %
Missing Data			
0	-	29	0.8 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,745 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 51.52
- Median: 51.00
- Mode: 51.00
- Minimum: 18.00
- Maximum: 94.00
- Standard Deviation: 17.00

Location: 1155-1156 (*width:* 2; *decimal:* 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 0, .

W3AGECAT: Age category (W3)

Interviewer's age in categories

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	18 - 24 Yrs	101	2.8 %
2	25 - 29 Yrs	103	2.8 %
3	30 - 39 Yrs	260	7.1 %
4	40 - 49 Yrs	326	8.9 %
5	50 - 59 Yrs	389	10.6 %
6	60 - 64 Yrs	134	3.7 %
7	65 or older	432	11.8 %
Missing Data			
9	missing	29	0.8 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,745 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1157-1157 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W3MULT2: counts number of races respondents answers yes to

Number of races respondents answered yes to

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	348	9.5 %
1	-	1412	38.6 %
2	-	10	0.3 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	2	0.1 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.82
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 6.00

- Standard Deviation: 0.45

Location: 1158-1158 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3RACES: Assigns race category giving primacy to non-white if multiracial

Race categories with non-white as multiracial

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	white	1071	29.3 %
2	black	307	8.4 %
3	Arab or Mid East	5	0.1 %
4	hawaiian, PI	3	0.1 %
5	asian	15	0.4 %
6	indian	25	0.7 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2235	61.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,426 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 1159-1159 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3RAC3: collapses race/ethnicity into 3 race categories based on RACES--allows missing

Race in 3 categories based on W3RACES

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	white	1076	29.4 %
2	black	307	8.4 %
3	other	43	1.2 %
Missing Data			
.	-	2235	61.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,426 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1160-1160 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3MULT3: counts number of the 6 different race/ethnic groups R answers no to

Number of the 6 different race/ethnic groups respondent answered yes to

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	10	0.3 %
1	-	3	0.1 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	10	0.3 %
5	-	1412	38.6 %
6	-	338	9.2 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 5.15
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.59

Location: 1161-1161 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3NEWRACE: interim calculation

interim race caluculation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	White	1376	37.6 %
2	African American	303	8.3 %
3	Other	38	1.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1944	53.1 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,717 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1162-1162 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3IMPRACE: **Imputed Race (W3) -- White, Black, Other**

Respondent race: Recoded as White, Black, and Other

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	white	1407	38.4 %
2	black	323	8.8 %
3	other	44	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1163-1163 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3IMPHISP: **Imputed Hispanic (W3) -- Hispanic vs. not**

Respondent race: Recoded as Hispanic and non-Hispanic

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	hispanic	375	10.2 %
5	nonhispanic	1399	38.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1164-1164 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3COMPLET2: Completeness of case

Completeness of case

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	complete	1409	38.5 %
2	missing some demo not r&h	354	9.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	missing most demo	11	0.3 %
4	missing all demo	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1165-1165 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3SEX: SEX (W3) (for weighting only)

Respondent sex

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	male	734	20.0 %
5	female	1040	28.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1166-1166 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3EDUC3: EDUC3 (W3) (for weighting only)

Respondent's education level: 1 = HS 2 = Some College 3 = College+

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	<= HS	620	16.9 %
2	some coll.	471	12.9 %
3	college+	683	18.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1167-1167 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3EDLEV: Educ. level, 0 thru 4, recoded fm W3CD3

Respondent's education level

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	< HS	201	5.5 %
1	CompHS	415	11.3 %
2	SomeColl	470	12.8 %
3	BA/BS	452	12.3 %
4	AdvDeg	231	6.3 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1892	51.7 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,769 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1168-1168 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3LTHS: 1 if LT high school educ, 0 if not_w3

Respondent has a high school education

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1568	42.8 %
1	Less than HS	201	5.5 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1892	51.7 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,769 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1169-1169 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3COMHS: 1 if highschool grad, 0 if not_w3

Respondent is a high school graduate

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1354	37.0 %
1	HS Grad	415	11.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1892	51.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,769 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1170-1170 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3SOMCOL: 1 if some college ed, 0 if not_w3

Respondent has some college education

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1299	35.5 %
1	SomeColl	470	12.8 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1892	51.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,769 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1171-1171 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3BABS: 1 if BA or BS completed, 0 if not_w3

Respondent has completed a BA or BS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1317	36.0 %
1	BABS	452	12.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1892	51.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,769 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1172-1172 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3ADVDEG: 1 if advanced degree, 0 if not_w3

Respondent has an advanced degree

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1538	42.0 %
1	Advanced Degree	231	6.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1892	51.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,769 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1173-1173 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3COLGRD: 1 if BA/BS or Advanced Deg., 0 if not_w3

Respondent education: Recoded Variable 1 if respondent has a BA/BS or advanced degree, 0 if not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1086	29.7 %
1	BA/BS or AdvDeg	683	18.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1892	51.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,769 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1174-1174 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3ECNSIT: Current economic situation of family

How would you rate your household's overall financial situation these days? Would you say it is excellent, good, just fair, not so good, or poor?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Poor	108	3.0 %
2	Not so good	165	4.5 %
3	Just Fair	481	13.1 %
4	Good	784	21.4 %
5	Excellent	193	5.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1930	52.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,731 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1175-1175 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3MALE: Dummy: 1 if male, 0 if female (W3)

Respondent is a male

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Female	1040	28.4 %
1	Male	734	20.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1176-1176 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3FEMALE: Dummy: 1 if female, 0 if male (W3)

Respondent is a female

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Male	734	20.0 %
1	Female	1040	28.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1177-1177 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3RACE4: 4-cat race-eth var based on imprace&imphisp: bl+bl&hisp, wh, hispnonblack, oth

Respondent's race

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	White,non-Hisp	1046	28.6 %
2	Black+Bl&Hisp	323	8.8 %
3	Hisp,non-Black	367	10.0 %
4	Other	38	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1178-1179 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1, .

W3WHITE: Dummy: 1 if white NONhispanic, 0 otherwise_w3

Respondent is White

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	728	19.9 %
1	Whitenonhisp	1046	28.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1180-1180 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3BLACK: Dummy: 1 if black, 0 otherwise_w3

Respondent is Black

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not black	1451	39.6 %
1	Black+Bl&Hisp	323	8.8 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1181-1181 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3HISP: Dummy: 1 if hispanic NONblack, 0 if otherwise_w3

Respondent is Hispanic

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1407	38.4 %
1	Hispnnonblack	367	10.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1182-1182 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3OTHETH: Dummy: 1 if not white or black or hispanic, 0 if otherwise_w3

Respondent's race: Dummy Variable 1 if respondent is not White, Black, or Hispanic, 0 if otherwise

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1736	47.4 %
1	Othnonhisp	38	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1183-1183 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3BRNUSA: Dummy: 1 if R born in USA (W3CD2a=1)

Respondent was born in the United States

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	296	8.1 %
1	Yes	1467	40.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1898	51.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,763 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1184-1184 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3OTHLNG: Dummy: 1 if speak non-Engl at home (W3CD2b=1)

Respondent speaks a language other than English at home

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	English	1407	38.4 %
1	Othlang	355	9.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1899	51.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,762 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1185-1185 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3A1829: W3 age 18-29

Respondent is between the ages of 18-29

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1541	42.1 %
1	-	204	5.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1916	52.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,745 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.12
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.32

Location: 1186-1186 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3A3039: W3 age 30-39

Respondent is between the ages of 30-39

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1485	40.6 %
1	-	260	7.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1916	52.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,745 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.15

- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.36

Location: 1187-1187 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3A4049: W3 age 40-49

Respondent is between the ages of 40-49

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1419	38.8 %
1	-	326	8.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1916	52.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,745 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.19
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.39

Location: 1188-1188 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3A5059: W3 age 50-59

Respondent is between the ages of 50-59

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1356	37.0 %
1	-	389	10.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1916	52.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,745 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.22
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00

- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.42

Location: 1189-1189 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3A6064: W3 age 60-64

Respondent is between the ages of 60-64

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1611	44.0 %
1	-	134	3.7 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1916	52.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,745 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.08
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.27

Location: 1190-1190 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3A65PL: W3 age 65 and over

Respondent is between the ages of 65 and older

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1313	35.9 %
1	-	432	11.8 %
Missing Data			
.	-	1916	52.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,745 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.25
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.43

Location: 1191-1191 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3SEC4R: Conc. Attack Few Mos. 4=very, 1=not at all_w3

I'd like to start by asking you some questions about your feelings and concerns since the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon (that occurred on September 11, 2001). All in all, how concerned are you that the United States might suffer another terrorist attack in the next 3 months? Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	94	2.6 %
2	Not very	276	7.5 %
3	Somewhat	836	22.8 %
4	Very conc	564	15.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	3	0.1 %
9	REF	1	0.0 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,770 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1192-1192 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3SEC5R: Conc. Area Attack Next Few Mos. 4=very, 1=not at all_w3

How concerned are you that the area in which you live might suffer a terrorist attack in the next 3 months? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	458	12.5 %
2	Not very	589	16.1 %
3	Somewhat	454	12.4 %
4	Very conc	269	7.3 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	2	0.1 %
9	REF	2	0.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,770 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1193-1193 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3SEC8R: Conc. Victim Bioterror in Next Few Mos. 4=very, 1=not at all_w3

(How concerned are you) that you or someone in your family might become a victim of a bio-terrorist attack (such as anthrax or smallpox)? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	315	8.6 %
2	Not very	544	14.9 %
3	Somewhat	482	13.2 %
4	Very conc	419	11.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	9	0.2 %
9	REF	5	0.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,760 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1194-1194 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3PFR1R: Fear of flying: 4=highest_w3

How concerned are you about flying on an airplane?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	501	13.7 %
2	Not very	452	12.3 %
3	Somewhat	439	12.0 %
4	Very conc	349	9.5 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	21	0.6 %
9	REF	12	0.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,741 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1195-1195 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3PFR2R: Fear of opening mail: 4=highest_w3

(How concerned are you about) opening your mail? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	902	24.6 %
2	Not very	557	15.2 %
3	Somewhat	210	5.7 %
4	Very conc	101	2.8 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	3	0.1 %
9	REF	1	0.0 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,770 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1196-1196 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3PFR3R: Fear food and drinking water: 4=highest_w3

(How concerned are you about) the safety of food and drinking water? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	462	12.6 %
2	Not very	486	13.3 %
3	Somewhat	485	13.2 %
4	Very conc	336	9.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	3	0.1 %
9	REF	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,769 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1197-1197 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3PFR5R: Fear of tall buildings: 4=highest_w3

(How concerned are you about) going into tall buildings? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	624	17.0 %
2	Not very	512	14.0 %
3	Somewhat	349	9.5 %
4	Very conc	267	7.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	16	0.4 %
9	REF	6	0.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1198-1198 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3PFR6R: Fear large crowds, stadiums: 4=highest_w3

(How concerned are you about) being in large crowds or stadiums? (Would you say you are very concerned, somewhat concerned, not very concerned, or not concerned at all)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	515	14.1 %
2	Not very	457	12.5 %
3	Somewhat	477	13.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Very conc	303	8.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	16	0.4 %
9	REF	6	0.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1199-1199 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3MEANFR: MN Pers Fear: if R ans at least 1 fr questn (4=highest, 1=lowest)

Respondent mean fear thermometer (if Respondent answered at least 1 fear question) 4: highest, 1: lowest

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1.000000000000000	-	181	4.9 %
1.200000000000000	-	88	2.4 %
1.250000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
1.400000000000000	-	142	3.9 %
1.500000000000000	-	10	0.3 %
1.600000000000000	-	114	3.1 %
1.750000000000000	-	6	0.2 %
1.800000000000000	-	147	4.0 %
2.000000000000000	-	178	4.9 %
2.200000000000000	-	162	4.4 %
2.250000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
2.3333333333333	-	2	0.1 %
2.400000000000000	-	149	4.1 %
2.500000000000000	-	9	0.2 %
2.600000000000000	-	128	3.5 %
2.66666666666667	-	1	0.0 %
2.750000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
2.800000000000000	-	95	2.6 %
3.000000000000000	-	83	2.3 %
3.200000000000000	-	75	2.0 %
3.250000000000000	-	5	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3.400000000000000	-	60	1.6 %
3.500000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
3.600000000000000	-	49	1.3 %
3.66666666666667	-	1	0.0 %
3.750000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
3.800000000000000	-	31	0.8 %
4.000000000000000	-	50	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 2.1899098083427
- Median: 2.2000000000000
- Mode: 1.0000000000000
- Minimum: 1.0000000000000
- Maximum: 4.0000000000000
- Standard Deviation: 0.8033800668891

Location: 1200-1214 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CT1AR: US Responsibility--4=a lot, 1=none_w3

How much responsibility do you personally believe the U.S. bears for the hatred that led to the terrorist attacks?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	None at all	333	9.1 %
2	A little	281	7.7 %
3	Some	579	15.8 %
4	A lot	512	14.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1956	53.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,705 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1215-1215 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CT2BIN: Dummy: US Responsib--1 if w3CT1a=A lot, some; 0 if A little, none

US Responsibility for Hatred that led to terrorist attacks: Recoded Dummy Variable

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	A little, none	614	16.8 %
1	Some, a lot	1091	29.8 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	1956	53.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,705 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1216-1216 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CL1R: to w3cl11r "CL Dummy: 1=pro-civilib, 0=pro-security"

Which of the following comes closer to your view? The federal government should place greater restrictions on the public's access to information on what the government is doing in the fight against terrorism. -- or --- Public access to information is essential to making government officials responsive to the people.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	929	25.4 %
1	ProCivLib	693	18.9 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	2039	55.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,622 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1217-1217 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CL2R: CL2: National Identity Card_w3

Everyone should be required to carry a national identity card at all times to show to a police officer upon request. -or- Being required to carry an identity card would violate people's freedom of association and right to privacy.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	980	26.8 %
1	ProCivLib	723	19.7 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-		1958	53.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,703 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1218-1218 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CL4R: CL4: Belong to terrorist organization

Some people say it should be a crime for anyone to belong to or contribute money to any organization that supports international terrorism. Others say that a person's guilt or innocence should not be determined only by who they associate with or the organizations to which they belong. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	1162	31.7 %
1	ProCivLib	512	14.0 %
Missing Data			
-		1987	54.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,674 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1219-1219 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CL5R: CL5: Indefinite detention of noncitizens_w3

Some people say the government should be able to arrest and detain a non-citizen indefinitely if that person is suspected of belonging to a terrorist organization. Others say nobody should be held for a long period of time without being formally charged with a crime. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	585	16.0 %
1	ProCivLib	1134	31.0 %
Missing Data			
-		1942	53.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,719 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1220-1220 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CL6R: CL6: Racial profiling_w3

Some people say that law enforcement should be able to stop or detain people of certain racial or ethnic backgrounds if these groups are thought to be more likely to commit crimes. This is called racial profiling. Others think racial profiling should not be done because it harasses many innocent people just because of their race or ethnicity. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	289	7.9 %
1	ProCivLib	1419	38.8 %
Missing Data			
-	-	1953	53.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,708 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1221-1221 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CL7R: CL7: Teachers criticize_w3

Some people say high school teachers have the right to criticize America's policies toward terrorism. Others say that all high school teachers should defend America's policies in order to promote loyalty to our country. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	733	20.0 %
1	ProCivLib	859	23.5 %
Missing Data			
-	-	2069	56.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,592 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1222-1222 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CL8R: CL8: Search on suspicion_w3

Some people say that law enforcement should be free to search a property without a warrant solely on the suspicion that a crime or a terrorist act is being planned there. Others say that protection against searches without a warrant is a basic right that should not be given up for any reason. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	435	11.9 %
1	ProCivLib	1290	35.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1936	52.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,725 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1223-1223 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CL9R: CL9: Record phone, monitor e-mail_w3

Some people say that government should be allowed to record telephone calls and monitor e-mail in order to prevent people from planning terrorist or criminal acts. Others say that people's conversations and e-mail are private and should be protected by the constitution. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	579	15.8 %
1	ProCivLib	1109	30.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1973	53.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,688 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1224-1224 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CL10R: CL10: Nonviolent protests_w3

Some say that people who participate in non-violent protests against the United States government should be investigated. Others say that people have the right to meet in public and express unpopular views as long as they are not violating the law. Which of these opinions do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	145	4.0 %
1	ProCivLib	1598	43.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
.	-	1918	52.4 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,743 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1225-1225 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CL11R: CL11: Freedom of information_w3

Which of the following comes closer to your view? The federal government should place greater restrictions on the public's access to information on what the government is doing in the fight against terrorism. -- or --- Public access to information is essential to making government officials responsive to the people.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	ProSecurity	520	14.2 %
1	ProCivLib	1174	32.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1967	53.7 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,694 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1226-1226 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CLPROP: **Proportion of CL Answered that are pro-Civil Liberties (W3)**

Proportion of CL questions answered that are in pro-Civil Liberties direction

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.00000000000000	-	13	0.4 %
0.10000000000000	-	27	0.7 %
0.111111111111	-	7	0.2 %
0.12500000000000	-	3	0.1 %
0.1428571428571	-	1	0.0 %
0.1666666666667	-	2	0.1 %
0.20000000000000	-	55	1.5 %
0.2222222222222	-	12	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.250000000000000	-	10	0.3 %
0.2857142857143	-	6	0.2 %
0.300000000000000	-	91	2.5 %
0.3333333333333	-	39	1.1 %
0.375000000000000	-	11	0.3 %
0.400000000000000	-	134	3.7 %
0.4285714285714	-	5	0.1 %
0.4444444444444	-	28	0.8 %
0.500000000000000	-	194	5.3 %
0.5555555555556	-	50	1.4 %
0.5714285714286	-	6	0.2 %
0.600000000000000	-	232	6.3 %
0.625000000000000	-	19	0.5 %
0.66666666666667	-	45	1.2 %
0.700000000000000	-	184	5.0 %
0.7142857142857	-	8	0.2 %
0.750000000000000	-	22	0.6 %
0.77777777777778	-	32	0.9 %
0.800000000000000	-	145	4.0 %
0.8333333333333	-	3	0.1 %
0.8571428571429	-	10	0.3 %
0.875000000000000	-	19	0.5 %
0.8888888888889	-	41	1.1 %
0.900000000000000	-	148	4.0 %
1.000000000000000	-	171	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1888	51.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,773 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.6228694974888
- Median: 0.600000000000000
- Mode: 0.600000000000000
- Minimum: 0.0000000000000
- Maximum: 1.0000000000000
- Standard Deviation: 0.2391454688630

Location: 1227-1241 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

Variable Type: numeric

W3PTYID3: Fm w3Party--1=Repub(1,2), 2=Indep(0,3,4,5,8), 3=Dem(6,7)

Respondent's party identification

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Republican	406	11.1 %
2	Indep	651	17.8 %
3	Democrat	627	17.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	REF	65	1.8 %
.	-	1912	52.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,684 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1242-1242 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W3REPUB: Dummy: 1 if w3party=1,2; 0 if not

Respondent is a Republican

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1278	34.9 %
1	Republican	406	11.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1977	54.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,684 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1243-1243 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3INDEP: Dummy: 1 if w3party=0,3,4,5,8; 0 if not

Respondent is an Independent

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1033	28.2 %
1	Independent	651	17.8 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	1977	54.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,684 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1244-1244 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3DEMOC: Dummy: 1 if w3party=6,7; 0 if not

Respondent is a Democrat

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1057	28.9 %
1	Democrat	627	17.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1977	54.0 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,684 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1245-1245 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3IDEO3: From w3ideo3: 3= 6,7=lib, 2=3,4,5,8=mod, 1=1,2=conserv

Respondent's ideology

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Conserv	609	16.6 %
2	Mod	686	18.7 %
3	Liberal	361	9.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	REF	52	1.4 %
.	-	1953	53.3 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,656 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1246-1246 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W3LIB: Dummy: 1 if Liberal, 0 if not_w3

Respondent is Liberal

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1295	35.4 %
1	Liberal	361	9.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2005	54.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,656 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00

- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1247-1247 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3MOD: Dummy: 1 if Moderate, 0 if not_w3

Respondent is Moderate

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	970	26.5 %
1	Moderate	686	18.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2005	54.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,656 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00

- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1248-1248 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CON: Dummy: 1 if Conservative, 0 if not_w3

Respondent is Conservative

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not	1047	28.6 %
1	Conserv	609	16.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2005	54.8 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,656 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1249-1249 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3FIP1R: Faith-in-People 1: Recode w3FIP1 -- Trust People

Some people say that most people can be trusted. Others say you can't be too careful in your dealings with people. Which of these opinions comes closest to your own?(Most people can be trusted or you can't be too careful in your dealings with people?)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Can't too careful	935	25.5 %
2	Depends	40	1.1 %
3	Most trusted	772	21.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	17	0.5 %
9	REF	10	0.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,747 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1250-1250 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3FIP2R: Faith-in-People 2: Recode of FIP2 -- People Helpful

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Look for selves	574	15.7 %
2	Depends	87	2.4 %
3	Peop helpful	1086	29.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
8	DK	22	0.6 %
9	REF	5	0.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,747 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1251-1251 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3FIPCOM: Sum of w3FIP1R and w3FIP2R: max=6, min=2

Sum of w3FIP1R and w3FIP2R: max=6, min=2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	480	13.1 %
3	-	62	1.7 %
4	-	523	14.3 %
5	-	38	1.0 %
6	-	667	18.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	-	4	0.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,770 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 4.20
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.62

Location: 1252-1253 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W3DOG1R: Dogmatism 1: recoded 4=most dogmatic

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	244	6.7 %
2	SomeDisag	330	9.0 %
4	SomeAgr	584	16.0 %
5	StrAgr	553	15.1 %
Missing Data			
3	DK	44	1.2 %
9	REF	19	0.5 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,711 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1254-1254 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 3 , 9 , .

W3DOG2R: Dogmatism 2: recoded 4=most dogmatic

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	473	12.9 %
2	SomeDisag	409	11.2 %
3	DK	40	1.1 %
4	SomeAgr	449	12.3 %
5	StrAgr	387	10.6 %
Missing Data			
9	REF	16	0.4 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,758 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1255-1255 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9 , .

W3DOG3R: Dogmatism 3: recoded 4=most dogmatic

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	367	10.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	SomeDisag	519	14.2 %
4	SomeAgr	469	12.8 %
5	StrAgr	299	8.2 %
Missing Data			
3	DK	94	2.6 %
9	REF	26	0.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,654 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1256-1256 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 3 , 9 , .

W3DOG4R: Dogmatism 4: recoded 4=most dogmatic

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	874	23.9 %
2	SomeDisag	348	9.5 %
4	SomeAgr	240	6.6 %
5	StrAgr	254	6.9 %
Missing Data			
3	DK	43	1.2 %
9	REF	15	0.4 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,716 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1257-1257 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 3 , 9 , .

W3DOG5R: Dogmatism 5: recoded 4=most dogmatic

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	565	15.4 %
2	SomeDisag	456	12.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	SomeAgr	440	12.0 %
5	StrAgr	287	7.8 %
	Missing Data		
3	DK	16	0.4 %
9	REF	10	0.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,748 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1258-1258 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 3 , 9 , .

W3DOG6R: Dogmatism 6: recoded 4=most dogmatic

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	StrDisag	321	8.8 %
2	SomeDisag	497	13.6 %
3	DK	41	1.1 %
4	SomeAgr	542	14.8 %
5	StrAgr	359	9.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	REF	14	0.4 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,760 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1259-1259 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9 , .

W3MND0G: Mean dogmatism, if answered 4 or more, with higher=more dogmatic (based on recoded dogmatism quex)

Mean dogmatism

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1.000000000000000	-	28	0.8 %
1.16666666666667	-	39	1.1 %
1.33333333333333	-	42	1.1 %
1.400000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
1.500000000000000	-	57	1.6 %
1.600000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
1.66666666666667	-	81	2.2 %
1.750000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
1.800000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
1.83333333333333	-	78	2.1 %
2.000000000000000	-	98	2.7 %
2.16666666666667	-	76	2.1 %
2.200000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
2.250000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
2.33333333333333	-	98	2.7 %
2.400000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
2.500000000000000	-	90	2.5 %
2.600000000000000	-	8	0.2 %
2.66666666666667	-	111	3.0 %
2.750000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
2.800000000000000	-	4	0.1 %
2.83333333333333	-	90	2.5 %
3.000000000000000	-	104	2.8 %
3.16666666666667	-	91	2.5 %
3.200000000000000	-	3	0.1 %
3.33333333333333	-	90	2.5 %
3.400000000000000	-	4	0.1 %
3.500000000000000	-	85	2.3 %
3.600000000000000	-	4	0.1 %
3.66666666666667	-	83	2.3 %
3.750000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
3.800000000000000	-	5	0.1 %
3.83333333333333	-	93	2.5 %
4.000000000000000	-	82	2.2 %
4.16666666666667	-	62	1.7 %
4.200000000000000	-	5	0.1 %
4.250000000000000	-	2	0.1 %
4.33333333333333	-	42	1.1 %
4.400000000000000	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4.500000000000000	-	37	1.0 %
4.600000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
4.66666666666667	-	22	0.6 %
4.800000000000000	-	1	0.0 %
4.8333333333333	-	15	0.4 %
5.000000000000000	-	22	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1890	51.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,771 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 2.8833897986072
- Median: 2.8333333333333
- Mode: 2.66666666666667
- Minimum: 1.0000000000000
- Maximum: 5.0000000000000
- Standard Deviation: 0.9649265118166

Location: 1260-1274 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

Variable Type: numeric

W3FEDTRU: GT1 -- Trust federal govt--recoded as 4=almost always

How much of the time do you think you can trust the federal government (in Washington) to do what is right?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never	117	3.2 %
2	Some of time	998	27.3 %
3	Most of time	548	15.0 %
4	Always	86	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	15	0.4 %
9	REF	10	0.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,749 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1275-1275 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3LOCTRUE: GT3 -- Trust law enforcement -- recoded as 4=almost always

(How much of the time do you think you can trust) law enforcement (to do what is right)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never	67	1.8 %
2	Some of time	658	18.0 %
3	Most of time	874	23.9 %
4	Always	158	4.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	13	0.4 %
9	REF	4	0.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,757 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1276-1276 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3PRIDE: Recoded from w3P1: 5=very proud, 1=not at all

Recoded from w3P1: 5=very proud, 1=not at all

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all	18	0.5 %
2	Not very	55	1.5 %
3	Somewhat	143	3.9 %
4	Proud	293	8.0 %
5	Very proud	1203	32.9 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	5	0.1 %
9	REF	17	0.5 %
.	-	1927	52.6 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,712 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1277-1277 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3VProud: Dummy: 1 if w3p1=5 ('very proud'), 0 if not

Dummy: 1 if w3p1=5 ('very proud'), 0 if not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	509	13.9 %
1	-	1203	32.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1949	53.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,712 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.70
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.46

Location: 1278-1278 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3Proud: Dummy: 1 if w3p1=4 ('proud'), 0 if not

Dummy: 1 if w3p1=4 ('proud'), 0 if not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1419	38.8 %
1	-	293	8.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1949	53.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,712 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.17
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.38

Location: 1279-1279 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3LOPROU: Dummy: 1 if w3pride=1,2,3 ('somewhat, not very, not at all'); 0 if not

Dummy: 1 if w3pride=1,2,3 ('somewhat, not very, not at all'); 0 if not

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1496	40.9 %
1	-	216	5.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1949	53.2 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,712 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.13
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.33

Location: 1280-1280 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3POLINT: Interest in politics, high=4, low=1 (recoded from w2inter)

How interested are you in politics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not-at-all	91	2.5 %
2	Not-V-Int	212	5.8 %
3	Sw-int	799	21.8 %
4	V-Int	645	17.6 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	3	0.1 %
9	REF	24	0.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,747 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1281-1281 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3BUSH2: Bush approval: 1=Approve, 0=Disapprove (else=missing)

Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Disapprove	850	23.2 %
1	Approve	778	21.3 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	85	2.3 %
9	NA	61	1.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,628 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1282-1282 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3REGIS: Registered to vote? 1=Yes, 0=No, DK, 9=NA

Are you registered to vote?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	235	6.4 %
1	Yes	1517	41.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	22	0.6 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,752 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1283-1283 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9 , .

W3VO2000: Did R Vote in 2000 Election? 1=Yes, 0=No, 9=NA

Did you vote in the 2000 Presidential election?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	413	11.3 %
1	Yes	1343	36.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	NA	18	0.5 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,756 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1284-1284 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W3VC2000: Who Vote for in 2000?

Did you vote for George Bush, Al Gore, Ralph Nader, or someone else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Bush	558	15.2 %
2	Gore	581	15.9 %
3	Nader, Other	82	2.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	22	0.6 %
9	Ref	100	2.7 %
.	-	2318	63.3 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,221 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1285-1285 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8, 9, .

W3VO2004: fm W3vi1: Vote Choice, 2004?

If the presidential election were held today, who would you vote for George Bush, John Kerry, or someone else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Kerry	853	23.3 %
1	Bush	664	18.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Other	91	2.5 %
7	Not plan to vote	17	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	50	1.4 %
9	Refuse	99	2.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,625 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1286-1286 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3VBUKE: Intended vote in 04: Bush vs. Kerry only, else=missing

If the presidential election were held today, who would you vote for George Bush, John Kerry, or someone else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Kerry	853	23.3 %
1	Bush	664	18.1 %
	Missing Data		
5	Other	91	2.5 %
7	Not plan to vote	17	0.5 %
8	DK	50	1.4 %
9	Refuse	99	2.7 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,517 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1287-1287 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 5 , 7 , 8 , 9 , .

W3VBUOTH: Intended vote in 04: 1=Bush; 0=Kerry, Other, Refuse; Else=missing.

If the presidential election were held today, who would you vote for George Bush, John Kerry, or someone else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Kerry	944	25.8 %
1	Bush	664	18.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2053	56.1 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,608 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1288-1288 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

W3CHVOTE: fm. w3vi1flu: How likely change vote before election day?

How likely is it that you might change your mind about who you're going to vote for before Election Day in November?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very likely	52	1.4 %
2	Somewhat likely	153	4.2 %
3	Somewhat unlikely	188	5.1 %
4	Very unlikely	1135	31.0 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	5	0.1 %
9	Ref	6	0.2 %
.	-	2122	58.0 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,528 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1289-1289 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8, 9, .

W3PROTER: fm w3ci1a: Who better protect Amer agst terr? 1=B, 2=K, 4=Both, 6=Oth

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of protecting America from terrorism on our own soil?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Bush	779	21.3 %
2	Kerry	629	17.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Both eq/neith	144	3.9 %
7	Someone else	6	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	133	3.6 %
9	Ref	83	2.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,558 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1290-1290 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3FOREIN: fm w3ci1b: Who better foreign pol? 1=B, 2=K, 4=Both, 6=Oth

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of conducting America's foreign policy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Bush	667	18.2 %
2	Kerry	827	22.6 %
5	Both eq/neith	70	1.9 %
7	Someone else	4	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
8	DK	125	3.4 %
9	Ref	81	2.2 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,568 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1291-1291 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3MANECO: fm w3ci1c: Who better manage economy? 1=B, 2=K, 4=Both, 6=Oth

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of managing the economy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Bush	555	15.2 %
2	Kerry	904	24.7 %
5	Both eq/neith	114	3.1 %
7	Someone else	9	0.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	117	3.2 %
9	Ref	75	2.0 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,582 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1292-1292 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3PROTCL: fm w3ci1d: Who better protect civil liberties? 1=B, 2=K, 4=Both, 6=Oth

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of protecting your civil liberties?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Bush	572	15.6 %
2	Kerry	916	25.0 %
5	Both eq/neith	101	2.8 %
7	Someone else	8	0.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	100	2.7 %
9	Ref	77	2.1 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,597 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1293-1293 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3PROENV: fm w3ci1e: Who better protect environment? 1=B, 2=K, 4=Both, 6=Oth

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of protecting the environment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Bush	433	11.8 %
2	Kerry	981	26.8 %
5	Both eq/neith	131	3.6 %
7	Someone else	14	0.4 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	132	3.6 %
9	Ref	83	2.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,559 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1294-1294 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3STRLDR: fm w3ci1f: Who stronger leader in crisis? 1=B, 2=K, 4=Both, 6=Oth

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of being a strong leader in time of crisis?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Bush	812	22.2 %
2	Kerry	649	17.7 %
5	Both eq/neith	104	2.8 %
7	Someone else	7	0.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	128	3.5 %
9	Ref	74	2.0 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,572 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1295-1295 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3JOBS: fm w3ci1g: Who better create jobs? 1=B, 2=K, 4=Both, 6=Oth

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of reducing unemployment and creating more jobs

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Bush	462	12.6 %
2	Kerry	846	23.1 %
5	Both eq/neith	99	2.7 %
7	Someone else	4	0.1 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	119	3.3 %
9	Ref	72	2.0 %
.	-	2059	56.2 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,411 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1296-1296 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3DEALIQ: fm w3ci1h: Who better deal with Iraq? 1=B, 2=K, 4=Both, 6=Oth

Which candidate do you think can do a better job of dealing with the situation in Iraq?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Bush	745	20.3 %
2	Kerry	734	20.0 %
5	Both eq/neith	87	2.4 %
7	Someone else	9	0.2 %
Missing Data			
8	DK	114	3.1 %
9	Ref	85	2.3 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
Total		3,661	100%

Based upon 1,575 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1297-1297 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 8 , 9 , .

W3IRAQJU: Dummy: Iraq war justified? (revised from W3 IR1)

Dummy: Iraq war justified?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not, DK if justified	965	26.4 %
1	Yes, justified	781	21.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	Refused	28	0.8 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,746 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1298-1298 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W3IRAQBE: Dummy: Iraq war benefits outweigh costs? (revised from W3 IR2)

Dummy: Iraq war benefits outweigh costs?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No, DK if Benefits outweigh	1042	28.5 %
1	Yes, benefits outweigh	700	19.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	Ref	32	0.9 %
.	-	1887	51.5 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,742 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1299-1299 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

W3IRQSUM: 2 if yes to both iraq quex, 0 if yes to neither' (sum of W3iraqju + w3iraqbe)

2 if yes to both iraq quex, 0 if yes to neither' (sum of W3iraqju + w3iraqbe)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	783	21.4 %
1	-	417	11.4 %
2	-	524	14.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1937	52.9 %
	Total	3,661	100%

Based upon 1,724 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 0.85
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.86

Location: 1300-1300 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

ID2: ID2

Based upon 3,661 valid cases out of 3,661 total cases.

- Mean: 62011.30
- Minimum: 10029.00
- Maximum: 1690796.00
- Standard Deviation: 117039.34

Location: 1301-1307 (width: 7; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric