Report: Project 2

Denny Shen

dennyshe@usc.edu

ID: 2491547502

- Describe changes to the xv6. Name the files your modified, briefly describe the changes. syscall.c, sysproc.c (sycall.h, user.h... were already updated):

Updated according functions and linkers for the added syscalls of thread and mutex.

proc.h:

I added structure codes for Mutex.

I added a TBLOCKED state for thread states.

I added a lock in the proc struct to synchronize threads within this process.

kthread.h:

I added syscall functions for kernel thread.

proc.c:

I added 4 new functions for thread: create, id, exit, join.

I updated 2 existing process functions, growproc, exit, so that they now work with threads. The changes are mostly adding locks and helper function, kill_all().

I added a new struct for a new spinlock to lock the mutex table.

exec.c:

I updated 1 existing process function, exec, with a helper function, kill_others(), so that it now works with threads.

Clearly explain the changes you made as a part of Task1.1 to support:

1. synchronization to shared fields

I have implemented the thread table differently by adding a spinlock in the proc struct.

By acquiring this lock using acquire(&proc->lock);, the threads within the process could be synchronized since there can only be one thread holding onto this process specific lock at a time.

Then the normal usage of ptable, mtable to allow only one process entering the critical section is implemented with the tradition style.

2. expected behavior of existing system calls

For growproc(), I acquired ptable lock to protect sz variable, and release the lock before return.

There should be no change added to fork() as there is no conflicts on shared variables, and the existing lock is good enough.

For exit(), since all threads should be killed before exiting a process, I added a kill_all() helper function to kill all threads before exiting the process.

For exec(), similar to exit(), I added a kill_others() to kill all other threads and keep the current thread for execution.

Screenshot on Success:

threadtest1:

```
student@studentVM:-/CSCI350_Projects/project-2-dennyshe/xv6-kernel-threads$ make qemu-nox
dd if=/dev/zero of=xv6.img count=10000
180000-0 records out
5120000 bytes (5.1 MB, 4.9 MIB) copied, 0.0519237 s, 98.6 MB/s
dd if=bootblock of=xv6.img conv=notrunc
1+0 records out
512 bytes copied, 0.00927821 s, 55.2 kB/s
dd if=kernel of=xv6.img seek=1 conv=notrunc
3664-1 records out
187868 bytes (188 kB, 183 KiB) copied, 0.00348429 s, 53.9 MB/s
qemu-system-1386 -nographic -drive file=fs.img,index=1,media=disk,format=raw -drive file=xv6.img,index=0,media=disk,format=raw -smp 1 -m 512
xv6...
cpu0: starting
sb: stze 1000 nblocks 941 ninodes 200 nlog 30 logstart 2 inodestart 32 bmap start 58
init: starting sh
$ threadtest1
thread in main 3,process 3
Thread is exiting.
Cot id: 4
Thread is exiting.
Cot id: 5
Fintshed.
$
```

threadtest2:

```
student@studentVM:~/CSCI350_Projects/project-2-dennyshe/xv6-kernel-threads$ make qemu-nox\
dd if=/dev/zero of=xv6.img count=10000\
10000+0 records in
10000+0 records out
5120000 bytes (5.1 MB, 4.9 MiB) copied, 0.057529 s, 89.0 MB/s
dd if=bootblock of=xv6.img conv=notrunc
thread 1 says hello
thread 2 says hello
thread 3 says hello
all threads exited
S
```

```
All threads done!
$ ■
```

mutextest1:

```
qemu-system-i386 -nographic -drive file=fs.img,index=1,media=disk,format=raw -drive file=xv6.img,index=0,media=disk,format=raw -smp 1 -m 512
        qemu-system-1386 -nographic -drive file=fs.img,index=1,mec
xv6...
cpu0: starting
size 1000 nblocks 941 ninodes 200 nlog 30 logstart 2
init: starting sh
S mutextest1
cpu6: starting sh sh: size 1000 mblocks 941 minodes 200 mlog 30 logst init: starting sh sh: size 1000 mblocks 941 minodes 200 mlog 30 logst init: starting sh sh: size 100 mblocks 941 minodes 200 mlog 30 logst init: starting sh sh: size 100 mlog 30 logst init: starting sh sh: size 100 mlog 30 logst init: size 100 mlog 30 logst shire shir shire shi
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    inodestart 32 bmap start 58
```

mutextest2:

```
student@studentVM:~/CSCI350_Projects/project-2-dennyshe/xv6-kernel-threads$ make qemu-nox
dd if=/dev/zero of=xv6.img count=10000
10000+0 records in
10000+0 records out
5120000 bytes (5.1 MB, 4.9 MiB) copied, 0.0463783 s, 110 MB/s
dd if=bootblock of=xv6.img conv=notrunc
1+0 records in
1+0 records in
1+0 records out
512 bytes copied, 0.000133208 s, 3.8 MB/s
dd if=kernel of=xv6.img seek=1 conv=notrunc
367+1 records in
367+1 records in
367+1 records out
187940 bytes (188 kB, 184 KiB) copied, 0.00353201 s, 53.2 MB/s
qemu-system-i386 -nographic -drive file=fs.img,index=1,media=disk,format=raw -drive file=xv6.img,index=0,media=disk,format=raw -smp 1 -m 512
xv6...
  qemu-system-i386 -nographic -drive file=fs.img,index=1,media
xv6...
cpu0: starting
sb: size 1000 nblocks 941 ninodes 200 nlog 30 logstart 2
init: starting sh
$ mutextest2
                                                                                                                                                                                                  inodestart 32 bmap start 58
                                            ---- mutex test 2 -----
  test passed
$
```

Weird Printing:

```
$ threadtest1

thread in main 3,process 3

ThreaThread id is: 5

d id is: 4

Thread is exiting.

Thread is exiting.

Got id: 4

Got id: 5

Finished.
```

The print statement could appear in weird sequence. The thread was probably switched out during the print statement at the place indicated by the red box. This should be normal. (This might happen frequently in threadtest3 as I actually tried multiple times to acquire the exact same output as the expected one)