

Contents

| | |
|---|----------|
| About This Document | i |
| 1 Martingales, Stopping Times, and Filtrations. | 1 |
| 1.1 Stochastic Processes and σ -Fields | 1 |
| 1.2 Stopping times | 3 |
| 1.3 Continuous-Time Martingales | 7 |
| A. Fundamental Inequalities | 7 |
| B. Convergence Results | 8 |
| C. The Optional Sampling Theorem | 10 |
| References | 12 |

About This Document

This is a set of solutions to the selected exercises in Chapter 1 of the book[1] by Ioannis Karatzas and Steven E. Shreve. All statements of the exercises in this document are borrowed from the book, and the solutions are taken from the presentation material I used in a seminar. Any suggestions for improvement would be appreciated.

Shena

June 19, 2022

<https://github.com/Shena4746/Preliminaries-for-BM-and-SC>

Chapter 1

Martingales, Stopping Times, and Filtrations.

1.1 Stochastic Processes and σ -Fields

Problem 1.5. Let Y be a modification of X , and suppose that both processes have a.s. right-continuous sample paths. Then X and Y are indistinguishable.

Proof. Set

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid t \mapsto X_t(\omega) \text{ is not right-continuous}\}, \\ B &:= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid t \mapsto Y_t(\omega) \text{ is not right-continuous}\}, \\ M_t &:= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid X_t(\omega) \neq Y_t(\omega)\}, \\ M &:= \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, \infty)} M_q, \\ N &:= A \cup B \cup M. \end{aligned}$$

$P(N) = 0$ by assumption, and note that

$$X_q(\omega) = Y_q(\omega) \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, \infty) \quad \forall \omega \notin N.$$

On $\Omega \setminus N$, for any $t \in [0, \infty)$ choose $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, \infty)$ such that $q_n \downarrow t$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), and then by right-continuity

$$X_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{q_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_{q_n} = Y_t.$$

Since $P(N) = 0$, the result follows.

Exercise 1.7. Let X be a stochastic process, every sample path of which is RCLL. Let A be the event that X is continuous on $[0, t_0)$. Show that $A \in \mathcal{F}_{t_0}^X$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} A^c &= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid t \mapsto X_t(\omega) \text{ is not continuous on } [0, t_0]\} \\ &= \{\exists s \in [0, t_0) \text{ s.t. } X_{s-} \neq X_s\} \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\substack{q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, t_0) \\ |q_1 - q_2| < \frac{1}{m}}} \{|X_{q_1} - X_{q_2}| \geq \frac{1}{n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$A^c \in \sigma\left(\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, t_0)} \mathcal{F}_q^X\right) \subset \mathcal{F}_{t_0}^X.$$

Exercise 1.8. Let X be a stochastic process whose sample paths are RCLL almost surely, and let B be the event that X is continuous on $[0, t_0]$. Show that if $\{\mathcal{F}_t; t \geq 0\}$ is a filtration satisfying $\mathcal{F}_t^X \subset \mathcal{F}_t$, $t \geq 0$, and \mathcal{F}_{t_0} contains all P -null sets of \mathcal{F} , then $B \in \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$.

Proof. Let N be the set on which X is not RCLL. By Assumption, $N \in \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$, and hence, with A in Exercise 1.7, $B = A \cap N^c \in \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$.

Exercise 1.10. Let X be a process with every sample path LCRL, and let A be the event that X is continuous on $[0, t_0]$. Let X be adapted to a right-continuous filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$. Show that $A \in \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$.

Proof. Note that X_{t+} is \mathcal{F}_{t+} -measurable, and then see the proof of Exercise 1.7.

Problem 1.16. If the process X is jointly measurable and the random time T is finite, then the function X_T is a random variable.

Proof. Let J be the map defined by

$$J : \Omega \ni \omega \mapsto (T(\omega), \omega) \in [0, \infty) \times \Omega$$

so that J is measurable $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}[0, \infty) \otimes \mathcal{F}$. Since X is measurable $\mathcal{B}[0, \infty) \otimes \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by assumption, it follows that $X_T = X \circ J$ is measurable $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Problem 1.17. Let X be a jointly measurable process and T a random time. Show that the collection of all sets of the form $\{X_T \in A\}$ and $\{X_T \in A\} \cup \{T = \infty\}$; $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, forms a sub- σ -field of \mathcal{F} .

Proof. Define

$$\mathcal{G} := \{\{X_T \in A\}, \{X_T \in A\} \cup \{T = \infty\} \mid A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\},$$

and note that

$$\{X_T \in A\} = \{X_{T(\omega)}(\omega) \in A\} \cap \{T < \infty\}.$$

Clearly $\emptyset \in \mathcal{G}$. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Then $G = \{X_T \in A\}$ or $\{X_T \in A\} \cup \{T = \infty\}$ for some $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, and so $G^c = \{X_{T(\omega)}(\omega) \in A^c\} \cup \{T = \infty\}$ or $\{X_T \in A^c\} \cap \{T < \infty\}$; G^c is in \mathcal{G} . Similar argument shows that \mathcal{G} is closed under countable union.

1.2 Stopping times

Problem 2.2. Let X be a stochastic process and T a stopping time of $\{\mathcal{F}_t^X\}$. Suppose that for some pair $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega$, we have $X_t(\omega) = X_t(\omega')$ for all $t \in [0, T(\omega)] \cap [0, \infty)$. Show that $T(\omega) = T(\omega')$.

Proof. Suppose $T(\omega') \leq T(\omega)$. Choose $t \in (T(\omega'), T(\omega))$ so that $\omega \in \{t \leq T\} \in \mathcal{F}_t^X$. Note that $\{t \leq T\} = \{(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, \dots) \in A\}$ for some $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset [0, t]$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$. Since $t \in [0, T(\omega)] \cap [0, \infty)$ and $\omega \in \{X_t \in A\}$, we have, by assumption, ω' is also in the set $\{(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, \dots) \in A\} = \{t \leq T\}$, a contradiction. For $T(\omega) \leq T(\omega')$, taking $t = T(\omega)$ leads to similar contradiction. Thus $T(\omega) = T(\omega')$.

Problem 2.6. If the set Γ in Example 2.5 is open, show that H_Γ is an optional time.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\{H_\Gamma \leq t\} = \bigcup_{\substack{q \leq t \\ q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, t]}} \{X_q \in \Gamma\}.$$

\supset is trivial. Conversely, let ω be such that $H_\Gamma(\omega) \leq t$. There exists $s \in (H_\Gamma(\omega), t)$ such that $X_s(\omega) \in \Gamma$. Since Γ is open, $B(X_s(\omega), \epsilon) \subset \Gamma$ for small $\epsilon \gg 0$. Using right-continuity, pick $\delta \gg 0$ such that if $r \in (s, s + \delta)$, then $X_r(\omega) \in B(X_s(\omega), \epsilon)$. In particular, we can choose $r = q \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Problem 2.7. If the set Γ in Example 2.5 is closed and the sample paths of the process X are continuous, then H_Γ is a stopping time.

Proof. Observe that since $\omega \mapsto X_q(\omega)$ is measurable $\mathcal{F}_q/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \mapsto d(x, \Gamma)$ is measurable $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ (and continuous), $\omega \mapsto d(X_q(\omega), \Gamma)$ is measurable $\mathcal{F}_q/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

Set $R(t, \omega) := \{X_s(\omega); s \in [0, t]\}$, which is compact since X is continuous. Then for each $t \geq 0$

$$H_\Gamma \leq t \Leftrightarrow R(t) \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow d(R(t), \Gamma) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \inf_{q \in [0, t] \cap \mathbb{Q}} d(X_q, \Gamma) = 0,$$

and the result follows.

Problem 2.10. Let T, S be optional times; then $T + S$ is optional. It is a stopping time, if one of the following condition holds:

- (1) $T \gg 0, S \gg 0$;
- (2) $T \gg 0, T$ is a stopping time.

Proof. By Lemma 2.9, $T + S$ is \mathcal{F}_{t+} -stopping time, and so it is \mathcal{F}_t -optional time.

For (1), in view of Lemma 2.9, following fact yields the result; for $q \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \cap (0, t)$

$$\{q \leq T \leq t, S \gg t - q\} = [\{T \leq t\} \setminus \{T \leq q\}] \cap \{S + q \gg t\},$$

and $S + q$ is a stopping time (by Lemma 2.8). Now (2) is easy.

Problem 2.13. Verify that \mathcal{F}_T is actually a σ -field and T is \mathcal{F}_T -measurable. Show that if $T(\omega) = t_0$ for some constant $t_0 \geq 0$ and every $\omega \in \Omega$, then $\mathcal{F}_T = \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$.

Proof. Clearly, \mathcal{F}_T is closed under countable union, and $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_T$. Observe that

$$\{T \leq t\} \cap \{A \cap \{T \leq t\}\}^c = A^c \cap \{T \leq t\},$$

which proves \mathcal{F}_T is a σ -field.

For $s \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\{T \leq s\} \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t,$$

which is obvious, since for $s \geq t \geq 0$, $\{T \leq s\} \cap \{T \leq t\} = \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$, and for $t \gg s \geq 0$, $\{T \leq s\} \cap \{T \leq t\} = \{T \leq s\} \in \mathcal{F}_s \subset \mathcal{F}_t$.

Third claim follows from the following observation;

$$\mathcal{F}_T = \{A \in \mathcal{F} ; A \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t \quad \forall t \geq t_0\} = \{A \in \mathcal{F} ; A \in \mathcal{F}_t \quad \forall t \geq t_0\} = \mathcal{F}_{t_0}.$$

Exercise 2.14. Let T be a stopping time and S a random time such that $S \geq T$ on Ω . If S is \mathcal{F}_T -measurable, then it is also a stopping time.

Proof. Note that for every $t \geq 0$

$$\{S \leq t\} = \{S \leq t\} \cap \{S \geq T\} = \{S \leq t\} \cap \{S \geq T\} \cap \{T \leq t\} = \{S \leq t\} \cap \{T \leq t\},$$

and the result follows.

Problem 2.17. Let T, S be stopping times and Z an integrable random variable. We have

$$(1) \quad E(Z|\mathcal{F}_T) = E(Z|\mathcal{F}_{T \wedge S}), \text{ } P\text{-a.s. on } \{T \leq S\}.$$

$$(2) \quad E[E(Z|\mathcal{F}_T)|\mathcal{F}_S] = E(Z|\mathcal{F}_{T \wedge S}), \text{ } P\text{-a.s.}$$

Proof. For $A \in \mathcal{F}_T$, $A \cap \{T \leq S\} \in \mathcal{F}_S$ (Lemma 2.15), and $\in \mathcal{F}_T$ (Lemma 2.16), and so $\in \mathcal{F}_{T \wedge S}$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A 1_{\{T \leq S\}} E(Z|\mathcal{F}_{T \wedge S}) dP &= \int_{A \cap \{T \leq S\}} E(Z|\mathcal{F}_{T \wedge S}) dP \\ &= \int_{A \cap \{T \leq S\}} Z dP \\ &= \int_{A \cap \{T \leq S\}} E(Z|\mathcal{F}_T) dP \\ &= \int_A 1_{\{T \leq S\}} E(Z|\mathcal{F}_T) dP, \end{aligned}$$

and (1) follows.

For (2), using (1), we find that, with probability 1,

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\{T \leq S\}} E[E(Z|\mathcal{F}_T)|\mathcal{F}_S] &= E[1_{\{T \leq S\}} E(Z|\mathcal{F}_T)|\mathcal{F}_S] \\ &= E[1_{\{T \leq S\}} E(Z|\mathcal{F}_{T \wedge S})|\mathcal{F}_S] \\ &= 1_{\{T \leq S\}} E[E(Z|\mathcal{F}_{T \wedge S})|\mathcal{F}_S] \\ &= 1_{\{T \leq S\}} E(Z|\mathcal{F}_{T \wedge S}). \end{aligned}$$

We also conclude from (1) that, with probability 1,

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{\{S \leq T\}} E[E(Z|\mathcal{F}_T)|\mathcal{F}_S] &= 1_{\{S \leq T\}} E[E(Z|\mathcal{F}_T)|\mathcal{F}_{S \wedge T}] \\ &= 1_{\{S \leq T\}} E(Z|\mathcal{F}_{S \wedge T}), \end{aligned}$$

and (2) follows.

Problem 2.19. Let $X = \{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$ be a progressively measurable process, and let T be a \mathcal{F}_t -stopping time, and $f(t, x) : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded, jointly measurable function. Show that the process $Y_t = \int_0^t f(s, X_s) ds; t \geq 0$ is progressively measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}_t , and Y_T is an \mathcal{F}_T measurable random variable.

Proof. By Proposition 2.18, it suffices to show that Y_t is progressively measurable. Fix $t \geq 0$. It is easy to show that $f(s, X_s)$ is progressively measurable, and hence Y_s is well-defined, and that Y_s is continuous in s (dominated convergence theorem). For $n \geq 1$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots, nt - 1$, define

$$Y_n(s, \omega) := \sum_{k=0}^{nt-1} Y(k/n, \omega) 1_{(k/n, (k+1)/n]}(s),$$

with $Y_n(0, \omega) = Y_0(\omega)$. Clearly Y_n is progressively measurable, and by continuity, $Y_n \rightarrow Y$ for each (s, ω) , which establishes the result.

Problem 2.21.

- (1) \mathcal{F}_{T+} is indeed a σ -field.
- (2) T is \mathcal{F}_{T+} -measurable.
- (3) $\mathcal{F}_{T+} = \{A \in \mathcal{F} \mid A \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t, \forall t \geq 0\} (=:\mathcal{G}_T)$.
- (4) If T is a stopping time (so that $\mathcal{F}_T, \mathcal{F}_{T+}$ are defined), then $\mathcal{F}_T \subset \mathcal{F}_{T+}$.

Proof. (1): Copy the proof of Problem 2.13.

(2): Follows from (3).

(3): Let $A \in \mathcal{G}_T$, then $A \cap \{T \leq t + \frac{1}{n}\} \in \mathcal{F}_{t+\frac{1}{n}}$ for all $n \geq 1$, from which we deduce that $A \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_{t+}$. Thus $A \in \mathcal{F}_{T+}$. Conversely, Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_{T+}$, then $A \cap \{T \leq t - \frac{1}{n}\} \in \mathcal{F}_{t-\frac{1}{n}} \subset \mathcal{F}_t$, which implies $A \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$. Thus $A \in \mathcal{G}_T$.

(4): Obvious from the fact that A in \mathcal{F}_T satisfies $A \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{F}_{t+}$.

Problem 2.22. Analogues of Lemma 2.15 and Lemma 2.16 hold for optional times as stated below.

Lemma 1.2.1 (2.15'). *For any two optional times T and S , and for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_{S+}$, we have $A \cap \{S \leq T\} \in \mathcal{F}_{T+}$. In particular, if $S \leq T$ on Ω , we have $\mathcal{F}_{S+} \subset \mathcal{F}_{T+}$.*

Lemma 1.2.2 (2.16'). *Let T and S be optional times. Then $\mathcal{F}_{(T \wedge S)+} = \mathcal{F}_{T+} \cap \mathcal{F}_{S+}$, and each of the events*

$$\{T \leq S\}, \{S \leq T\}, \{T \leq S\}, \{S \leq T\}, \{T = S\}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{T+} \cap \mathcal{F}_{S+}$.

Problem 2.22 (continued). Prove that if S is an optional time and T is a positive stopping time with $S \leq T$, and $S \leq T$ on $\{S \leq \infty\}$, then $\mathcal{F}_{S+} \subset \mathcal{F}_T$.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_{S+}$; $A \cap \{S \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$, $\forall t \geq 0$. Use the following decomposition

$$A = \left[\bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}_+} [A \cap \{S \leq q \leq T\}] \right] \cup [A \cap \{S = \infty\}],$$

and note that, for every $t \geq 0$ and for each fixed $q \in \mathbb{Q}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap \{S \leq q \leq T\} \cap \{T \leq t\} &= [A \cap \{S \leq q\}] \cap \{t \geq T \gg q\} \in \mathcal{F}_t, \\ A \cap \{S = \infty\} \cap \{T \leq t\} &= A \cap \{S = \infty\} \cap \{T = \infty\} \cap \{T \leq t\} = \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result.

Problem 2.23. Show that if $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of optional times and $T = \inf_{n \geq 1} T_n$, then $\mathcal{F}_{T+} = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}_{T_n+}$. Besides, if each T_n is a positive stopping time and $T \leq T_n$ on $\{T \leq \infty\}$, then we have $\mathcal{F}_{T+} = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}_{T_n}$.

Proof. $\mathcal{F}_{T+} \subset \mathcal{F}_{T_n+}$, since $T \leq T_n$, $\forall n$; hence $\mathcal{F}_{T+} \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}_{T_n+}$. Conversely, let $A \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}_{T_n+}$, i.e. $A \cap \{T_n \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$, $\forall n \geq 1, \forall t \geq 0$. Then

$$A \cap \{T \leq t\} = A \cap \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \{T_n \leq t\} \right] = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty [A \cap \{T_n \leq t\}] \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

Thus $A \in \mathcal{F}_{T+}$.

For the second claim, similar argument shows that $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}_{T_n} \subset \mathcal{F}_{T+}$, and for the the other direction, use proposition 2.22 with $T = T_n$, $S = T$.

Problem 2.24. Given an \mathcal{F}_t -optional time T , consider the sequence of random time given by

$$T_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} T(\omega); & \{\omega; T(\omega) = +\infty\} \\ \frac{k}{2^n}; & \{\omega; \frac{k-1}{2^n} \leq T(\omega) \leq \frac{k}{2^n}\} \end{cases}$$

for $n \geq 1, k \geq 1$. Obviously $T_n \geq T_{n+1} \geq T$, for every $n \geq 1$. Show that each T_n is a stopping time, that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n = T$, and for every $A \in \mathcal{F}_{T+}$ we have $A \cap \{T_n = k/2^n\} \in \mathcal{F}_{k/2^n}$; $n, k \geq 1$.

Proof. For any $n \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$, we can find some $k \geq 1$ such that $\frac{k}{2^n} \leq t \leq \frac{k+1}{2^n}$; whence

$$\{T_n \leq t\} = \{T \leq \frac{k}{2^n}\} \in \mathcal{F}_{k/2^n} \subset \mathcal{F}_t.$$

Thus each T_n is a stopping time. The following observation completes the proof.

$$\begin{aligned} |T_n(\omega) - T(\omega)| &\leq \frac{1}{2^n}, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega \setminus \{T(\omega) = +\infty\}, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \\ A \cap \{T_n = \frac{k}{2^n}\} &= [A \cap \{\frac{k-1}{2^n} \leq T(\omega) \leq \frac{k}{2^n}\}.] \end{aligned}$$

1.3 Continuous-Time Martingales

Problem 3.2. Let T_1, T_2, \dots be a sequence of independent, exponentially distributed random variable with parameter $\lambda \gg 0$:

$$P(T_i \in dt) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} dt, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Let $S_0 := 0$ and $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$; $n \geq 1$. Define a continuous-time, integer-valued RCLL process

$$N_t := \max\{n \geq 0; S_n \leq t\}; \quad 0 \leq t \leq \infty.$$

(1) Show that for $0 \leq s \leq t$ we have

$$P(S_{N_s+1} \gg t \mid \mathcal{F}_s^N) = e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \quad \text{a.s.}$$

(2) Show that for $0 \leq s \leq t$, $N_t - N_s$ is a Poisson random variable with parameter $\lambda(t-s)$, independent of \mathcal{F}_s^N .

Problem 3.4. Prove that a compensated Poisson process $\{M_t, \mathcal{F}_t; t \geq 0\}$ is a martingale.

A. Fundamental Inequalities

Problem 3.7. Let $\{X_t = (X_t^{(1)}, \dots, X_t^{(d)}), \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$ be a vector of martingales, and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a convex function with $E|\varphi(X_t)| \ll \infty$ valid for every $t \geq 0$. Then $\{\varphi(X_t), \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$ is a submartingale.

Problem 3.9. Let N be a Poisson process with intensity λ .

(1) For any $c \gg 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (N_s - \lambda s) \geq c\sqrt{\lambda t}\right] \leq \frac{1}{c\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

(2) For any $c \gg 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left[\inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} (N_s - \lambda s) \leq -c\sqrt{\lambda t}\right] \leq \frac{1}{c\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

(3) For $0 \ll a \ll b$, we have

$$E\left[\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \left(\frac{N_t}{t} - \lambda\right)^2\right] \leq \frac{4b\lambda}{a^2}.$$

Problem 3.11. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a decreasing sequence of sub- σ -field of \mathcal{F} , and let $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n; n \geq 1\}$ be a *backward submartingale*; i.e., $E|X_n| \ll \infty$, X_n is \mathcal{F}_n -measurable, and $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}_{n+1}) \geq X_{n+1}$ a.s. for every $n \geq 1$. Then $l := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(X_n) \gg -\infty$ implies that the sequence $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is uniformly integrable.

B. Convergence Results

Problem 3.12. Let $\{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$ be a right-continuous, nonnegative supermartingale; then $X_\infty := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_t$ exists a.s. and $\{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$ is a supermartingale.

Proof. Since $\{E(X_t)\}$ is bounded by $E(X_0)$, we have $X_t \rightarrow \exists X_\infty$ a.s. Then, for $0 \leq s \leq t$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$, we have

$$E(X_s; A) \geq E(X_t; A).$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, Fatou's Lemma implies

$$E(X_s; A) \geq E(X_\infty; A).$$

Thus

$$X_s \geq E(X_\infty|\mathcal{F}_s) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Exercise 3.18. Suppose that the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ satisfies the usual conditions. Then every right-continuous, uniformly integrable supermartingale $\{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$ admits the *Riesz decomposition* $X_t = M_t + Z_t$ a.s. as the sum of a right-continuous uniformly integrable martingale $\{M_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$ and a potential $\{Z_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$.

Proof. First, we construct M_t . UI property guarantees the a.s. existence of $X_\infty := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X_t$. Define $X_\infty(\omega) := 0$ for bad ω , so that X_∞ always exists. Clearly, $\{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$ is a RC, UI supermartingale. Consider $\{E(X_\infty|\mathcal{F}_t), \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$. Clearly it is a UI martingale, and $t \mapsto EX_t$ is RC since it has constant expectation. Thus The Regularity Theorem implies that there exists a version M_t of W_t such that $\{M_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$ is a RC martingale, and so derived $\{M_t\}$ is obviously UI.

Finally we construct Z_t . We claim that

$$P(M_t \leq X_t \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty)) = 1.$$

Indeed, \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable sets defined by

$$\Omega_1 := \{E(X_\infty|\mathcal{F}_t) \leq X_t \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}$$

$$\Omega_2 := \{E(X_\infty|\mathcal{F}_t) = M_t \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Q}+\}$$

$$\Omega_3 := \{-\infty \ll X_t, M_t \ll \infty \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty)\}$$

have probability 1, and the same is true for $\Omega^* := \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 \cap \Omega_3$. For $\omega \in \Omega^*$ we have

$$M_t(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{t_n}(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(X_\infty|\mathcal{F}_{t_n})(\omega) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{t_n}(\omega) = X_t(\omega)$$

for every $t \geq 0$ and $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{Q}+$ with $t_n \downarrow t$. Set

$$Z_t := \begin{cases} X_t - M_t & ; \omega \in \Omega^* \\ 0 & ; \omega \notin \Omega^*. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that $\{Z_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \ll \infty\}$ is actually a potential.

Problem 3.19. The following three conditions are equivalent for a nonnegative right-continuous submartingale $\{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \ll \infty\}$:

- (1) it is a uniformly integrable family of random variables;
- (2) it converges in \mathcal{L}^1 , as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (3) it converges a.s. to an integrable random variable X_∞ , such that $\{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$ is a submartingale.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) : Since $\{X_t\}$ is \mathcal{L}^1 -bounded, we have $X_t \rightarrow \exists X_\infty$ a.s. UI property implies that $X_t \rightarrow X_\infty$ in \mathcal{L}^1 .

(2) \Rightarrow (3) : Since $\{E(|X_t|)\}$ is convergent (hence it is bounded), we have $X_t \rightarrow \exists X_\infty$ a.s. and the rest is easy.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) : Since X_t is nonnegative, X_t is dominated by $E(X_\infty|\mathcal{F}_t)$, which is UI. Thus X_t is also UI.

Problem 3.20. The following four conditions are equivalent for a continuous martingale $\{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \ll \infty\}$:

- (1), (2) as in Problem 3.19;
- (3) it converges a.s. to an integrable random variable X_∞ , such that $\{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \leq \infty\}$ is a martingale;
- (4) there exists an integrable random variable Y , such that $X_t = E(Y|\mathcal{F}_t)$ a.s. for every $t \geq 0$.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) : the same as before. (3) \Rightarrow (4) : Set $Y = X_\infty$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) : Clear.

Problem 3.21. Let $\{N_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \ll \infty\}$ be a Poisson process with parameter $\lambda \gg 0$. For $u \in \mathbb{C}$, define the process

$$X_t := \exp[iuN_t - \lambda t(e^{iu} - 1)]; \quad 0 \leq t \ll \infty.$$

- (1) Show that $\{\operatorname{Re}(X_t), \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \ll \infty\}$, $\{\operatorname{Im}(X_t), \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t \ll \infty\}$ are martingales.
- (2) Consider X with $u = -i$. Does this martingale satisfy the equivalent conditions of Problem 3.20?

C. The Optional Sampling Theorem

Problem 3.23. Establish the optional sampling theorem for a right-continuous submartingale $\{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ and optional times $S \leq T$ under either of the following two conditions:

- (1) T is a *bounded* optional time (there exists a number $a \gg 0$, such that $T \leq a$)
- (2) there exists an integrable random variable Y , such that $X_t \leq E(Y|\mathcal{F}_t)$ a.s. for every $t \geq 0$.

Problem 3.24. Suppose that $\{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ is a right-continuous submartingale and $S \leq T$ are stopping times of $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$. Then

- (1) $\{X_{T \wedge t}, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ is a submartingale;
- (2) $E(X_{T \wedge t} | \mathcal{F}_S) \geq X_{S \wedge t}$ a.s. for every $t \geq 0$.

Problem 3.25. A submartingale of constant expectation, i.e., with $E(X_t) = E(X_0)$ for every $t \geq 0$, is a martingale.

Problem 3.26. A right-continuous process $X = \{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ with $E|X_t| < \infty; 0 \leq t < \infty$ is a submartingale if and only if for every pair $S \leq T$ of bounded stopping times of the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ we have

$$E(X_T) \geq E(X_S).$$

Problem 3.27. Let T be a bounded stopping time of the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$, which satisfies the usual conditions, and define $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t := \mathcal{F}_{T+t}; t \geq 0$. Then $\{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ also satisfies the usual conditions.

- (1) If $X = \{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ is a right-continuous submartingale, then so is $\tilde{X} = \{\tilde{X}_t := X_{T+t} - X_t, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$.
- (2) If $\tilde{X} = \{\tilde{X}_t, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ is a right-continuous submartingale with $X_0 := 0$ a.s. then $X = \{X_t := X_{(t-T) \vee 0}, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ is also a submartingale.

Problem 3.28. Let $Z = \{Z_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ be a continuous, nonnegative martingale with $Z_\infty := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_t = 0$ a.s. Then for every $s \geq 0, b \geq 0$:

- (1) $P(\sup_{t \geq s} Z_t \geq b | \mathcal{F}_s) = \frac{1}{b} Z_s$, a.s. on $\{Z_s < b\}$.
- (2) $P(\sup_{t \geq s} Z_t \geq b) = P(Z_s \geq b) + \frac{1}{b} E(Z_s 1_{Z_s < b})$.

Problem 3.29. Let $\{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ be a continuous, nonnegative supermartingale and $T := \inf\{t \geq 0; X_t = 0\}$. Show that

$$X_{T+t} = 0; \quad 0 \leq t < \infty \quad \text{a.s. on } \{T < \infty\}.$$

Exercise 3.30. Suppose that the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ satisfies the usual conditions and let $X^{(n)} = \{X_t^{(n)}, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$, $n \geq 1$ be an increasing sequence of right-continuous supermartingales such that the random variable $\xi_t := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_t^{(n)}$ is nonnegative and integrable for every $0 \leq t < \infty$. Then there exists RCLL supermartingale $X = \{X_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ which is a modification of the process $\xi = \{\xi_t, \mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$.

Bibliography

- [1] Steven E. Shreve Ioannis Karatzas. *Brownian motion and Stochastic Calculus*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 2nd edition, 1991.