

SHENDUO ZHANG

October 22, 2020 (GMT+8)

zhangshenduo@gmail.com

Problem 1

Let $f \in L^2[a, b]$, $K(\cdot, \cdot) \in L^2([a, b] \times [a, b])$, prove that

$$x(t) = f(t) + \lambda \int_a^b K(t, s)x(s)ds \quad (1)$$

has a unique solution for λ that is small enough.

Solution 1.a Equation of this form is known to be the second type Fredholm equation.

Define $Tx := f(t) + \lambda \int_a^b K(t, s)x(s)ds$ on $x \in L^2[a, b]$.

First, one needs to prove that T maps $L^2[a, b] \mapsto L^2[a, b]$. Use Holder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \left| \int_a^b K(t, s)x(s)ds \right|^2 dt &\leq \int_a^b \left(\int_a^b K^2(t, s)ds \right) \left(\int_a^b x^2(s)ds \right) dt \\ &= \left(\int_a^b \int_a^b K^2 ds dt \right) \left(\int_a^b x^2(s)ds \right) \leq \infty \end{aligned}$$

Then, we prove that T is a contraction for λ small enough.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(Tx, Ty) &= \left(\int_a^b \lambda^2 \left(\int_a^b K(t, s)(x(s) - y(s))ds \right)^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \lambda \left(\int_a^b \int_a^b K^2(t, s)(x(s) - y(s))^2 ds dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \lambda \left(\int_{[a, b]^2} K^2(t, s) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Since $K \in L^2$, for λ small enough, we have $\rho(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \rho(x, y)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, which means T is a contraction. And by Banach's theorem, there exist a unique $x \in L^2[a, b]$ that is the solution to this second type Fredholm equation. \square

Problem 2

Let (X, ρ) be a complete metric space, and $X \neq \emptyset$. Let $T : X \mapsto X$ satisfy $\rho(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha\rho(x, y)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let $R \geq 0$, define

$$A_R := \{x \in X, \rho(x, Tx) \leq R\} \quad (2)$$

Prove that,

1. When $R > 0$, A_R is a non-empty closed set in X .
2. $\forall x, y \in A_R, \rho(x, y) \leq 2R + \rho(Tx, Ty) \Rightarrow \text{diam} A_R \leq \frac{2R}{1-\alpha}$.
3. A_0 is not empty.
4. T has a unique fixed point.

Solution 2.a To prove that it's close, consider a convergent sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0} \in A_R$, denote $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. It suffices to prove $x \in A_R$. We give ourselves an ϵ of room. $\forall \epsilon > 0$,

$$\rho(x, Tx) \leq \rho(x, x_n) + \rho(x_n, Tx_n) + \rho(Tx_n, Tx) \quad (3)$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + R + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad (4)$$

$$\leq R + \epsilon \quad (5)$$

where n is large enough. Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $\rho(x, Tx) \leq R$ which means $x \in A_R$.

We prove this is a non-empty set. Suppose it's not, then there exists $R > 0$ such that $\forall x \in X, \rho(x, Tx) > R$. Consider a fixed x , then

$$\rho(x, Tx) \geq \frac{1}{\alpha^m} \rho(T^m x, T^{m+1} x) > \frac{1}{\alpha^m} R \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \quad (6)$$

Let $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\rho(x, Tx) = \infty, \forall x \in X$. We get a contradiction.

□

Solution 2.b Observe that,

$$\rho(x, y) \leq \rho(x, Tx) + \rho(y, Ty) + \rho(Tx, Ty) \leq 2R + \alpha\rho(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in A_R \quad (7)$$

Then take supremum on $x, y \in A_R$ to claim $A_R \leq \frac{2R}{1-\alpha}$

□

Solution 2.c First observe that $A_R \subset A'_R, \forall 0 \leq R \leq R'$. Take $A_n := A_{\frac{1}{n}}$, we have a monotone decreasing closed non-empty set sequence A_n satisfy

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam} A_n = 0 \quad (8)$$

Then $\cap_{n \geq 1} A_n$ is a point by the completeness of (X, ρ) . This point has the property $\rho(x, Tx) = 0$. And any fixed point shall be included in $A_R, \forall R$, which will give the uniqueness of fixed point. \square

Problem 3

Let $f \in C[a, b]$, $K(\cdot, \cdot) \in C([a, b] \times [a, b])$, such that

$$\sup_{a \leq x \leq b} \int_a^b |K(t, s)| ds < 1. \quad (9)$$

prove that

$$x(t) = f(t) + \lambda \int_a^b K(t, s)x(s)ds \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \quad (10)$$

has a unique solution $x_0 \in C[a, b]$.

Solution 3.a We adopt a similar but somehow different approach to problem 1 by defining

$$Tx := f(t) + \lambda \int_a^b K(t, s)x(s)ds$$

where $x \in C[a, b]$. and claim T^n is contraction for $n \geq N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

First, we need to prove that T maps $C[a, b]$ to itself.

Obeserve that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(T^n x, T^n y) &= \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |T^n x(t) - T^n y(t)| \\
&\leq |\lambda| \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \left| \int_a^b K(t, s) (T^{n-1} x(s) - T^{n-1} y(s)) ds \right| \\
&\leq |\lambda| \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \int_a^b |K(t, s) (T^{n-1} x(s) - T^{n-1} y(s))| ds \\
&\leq |\lambda| \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \int_a^b |K(t, s) \rho(T^{n-1} x, T^{n-1} y)| ds \\
&\leq |\lambda| \rho(T^{n-1} x, T^{n-1} y) \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \int_a^b |K(t, s)| ds \\
&\leq |\lambda|^{n-1} \left(\sup_{t \in [a, b]} \int_a^b |K(t, s)| ds \right)^n \rho(x, y)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sup_{t \in [a, b]} \int_a^b |K(t, s)| ds \in (0, 1)$, for any given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \geq N$, we have $|\lambda| \left(\sup_{t \in [a, b]} \int_a^b |K(t, s)| ds \right)^n \in (0, 1)$. Here we have proved that T^n is a contraction in a complete metric space $(C[a, b], \rho)$ for n large enough. Then by a variation of Banach's theorem, the claim follows. \square

Problem 4

Let f be a twice continuously differentiable function on $[a, b]$ and $\hat{x} \in (a, b)$ such that $f(\hat{x}) = 0, f'(\hat{x}) \neq 0$. Prove that there exist a neighbor $U(\hat{x})$ of \hat{x} , such that $\forall x_0 \in U(\hat{x})$, the iteration sequence

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}, \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \quad (11)$$

is convergent and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \hat{x}. \quad (12)$$

Solution 4.a Define a mapping $Tx := x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$.

First, we need to prove T maps the space of twice continuously differentiable function to itself. \square

Problem 5

Let (X, ρ) be a metric space, a mapping $T : X \mapsto X$ satisfy

$$\rho(Tx, Ty) < \rho(x, y) \quad \forall x \neq y, \quad (13)$$

Assume we know T has a fixed point, prove that such fixed point is unique.

Solution 5.a If there're two fixed points x, y such that $\rho(x, Tx) = 0, \rho(y, Ty) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, y) &\leq \rho(x, Tx) + \rho(y, Ty) + \rho(Tx, Ty) \\ &\leq \rho(Tx, Ty) \\ &< \rho(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. □

Problem 6

Let M be a bounded closed set in (\mathbb{R}^n, ρ) , $T : M \mapsto M$ satisfy $\rho(Tx, Ty) < \rho(x, y), \forall x, y \in M, x \neq y$. Prove that there exists an unique fixed point for T in M .

Solution 6.a This is an easy corollary of problem 7, since bounded closed sets are equivalent to compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n , and sequential compact is equivalent to compact in \mathbb{R}^n too. We will prove it in problem 7. □

Problem 7

Let (X, ρ) be a metric space, and M be a sequential compact set in X . Let the mapping $f : X \mapsto M$ satisfy

$$\rho(f(x_1), f(x_2)) < \rho(x_1, x_2), \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \neq x_2. \quad (14)$$

Prove that there exists an unique fixed point of f in X .

Solution 7.a ρ is a continuous functions, so $\rho(\cdot, f(\cdot))$ is continuous, as well as its restriction on M . Consider this restriction, it's a continuous function on a compact set. We claim $\rho(\cdot, f(\cdot))$

has a infimum of 0. Then this function must be 0 at some point $x \in M \subset X$. This would give the existence of fixed point $x \in X$. Now it only suffices to prove the infimum is indeed 0.

Since M is sequentially compact, any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset M$ has a convergent subsequence. For brevity of notation, denote this convergent subsequence as $\{x_n\}$.

Now if there's another y such that $\rho(y, Ty) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x, y) &\leq \rho(x, Tx) + \rho(y, Ty) + \rho(Tx, Ty) \\ &\leq \rho(Tx, Ty) \\ &< \rho(x, y)\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. □