

CS 325 Spring 2018 – HW 6

Sheng Bian

Problem 1:

a) The distance of the shortest path from G to C is 16.

The code is:

The output is:

```
max dc
ST
    dg = 0
    da - dh <= 4
    da - df <= 5
    db - dh <= 9
    db - da <= 8
    db - df <= 7
    dc - df <= 3
    dc - db <= 4
    dd - dc <= 3
    dd - dg <= 2
    dd - de <= 9
    de - db <= 10
    de - dd <= 25
    de - df <= 2
    df - da <= 10
    df - dd <= 18
    dg - de <= 7
    dh - dg <= 3
END
```

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 6

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE

1) 16.000000

VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
DC	16.000000	0.000000
DG	0.000000	0.000000
DA	4.000000	0.000000
DH	3.000000	0.000000
DF	13.000000	0.000000
DB	12.000000	0.000000
DD	0.000000	0.000000
DE	0.000000	0.000000

ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
2)	0.000000	1.000000
3)	3.000000	0.000000
4)	14.000000	0.000000
5)	0.000000	1.000000
6)	0.000000	0.000000
7)	8.000000	0.000000
8)	0.000000	0.000000
9)	0.000000	1.000000
10)	19.000000	0.000000
11)	2.000000	0.000000
12)	9.000000	0.000000
13)	22.000000	0.000000
14)	25.000000	0.000000
15)	15.000000	0.000000
16)	1.000000	0.000000
17)	5.000000	0.000000
18)	7.000000	0.000000
19)	0.000000	1.000000

NO. ITERATIONS= 6

b)

The distance of shortest paths from G to A is 7.

The distance of shortest paths from G to B is 12.

The distance of shortest paths from G to D is 2.

The distance of shortest paths from G to E is 19.

The distance of shortest paths from G to F is 17.

The distance of shortest paths from G to H is 3.

The code is:

```
max da + db + dd + de + df + dh
ST
    dg = 0
    da - dh <= 4
    da - df <= 5
    db - dh <= 9
    db - da <= 8
    db - df <= 7
    dc - df <= 3
    dc - db <= 4
    dd - dc <= 3
    dd - dg <= 2
    dd - de <= 9
    de - db <= 10
    de - dd <= 25
    de - df <= 2
    df - da <= 10
    df - dd <= 18
    dg - de <= 7
    dh - dg <= 3
END
```

The output is:

```
LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP      0

      OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE
    1)      60.000000

      VARIABLE                VALUE                REDUCED COST
      DA                7.000000                0.000000
      DB               12.000000                0.000000
      DD                2.000000                0.000000
      DE               19.000000                0.000000
      DF               17.000000                0.000000
      DH                3.000000                0.000000
      DG                0.000000                0.000000
      DC               16.000000                0.000000

      ROW      SLACK OR SURPLUS      DUAL PRICES
    2)                0.000000                6.000000
    3)                0.000000                3.000000
    4)               15.000000                0.000000
    5)                0.000000                1.000000
    6)                3.000000                0.000000
    7)               12.000000                0.000000
    8)                4.000000                0.000000
    9)                0.000000                0.000000
   10)               17.000000                0.000000
   11)                0.000000                1.000000
   12)               26.000000                0.000000
   13)                3.000000                0.000000
   14)                8.000000                0.000000
   15)                0.000000                1.000000
   16)                0.000000                2.000000
   17)                3.000000                0.000000
   18)               26.000000                0.000000
   19)                0.000000                5.000000

      NO. ITERATIONS=          0
```

Problem 2:

Profit of Silk tie: $\$6.7 - 0.125 * \$20 - \$0.75 = \3.45

Profit of Poly tie: $\$3.55 - 0.08 * \$6 - \$0.75 = \2.32

Profit of Blend1 tie: $\$4.31 - 0.05 * \$6 - 0.05 * \$9 - \$0.75 = \$2.81$

Profit of Blend2 tie: $\$4.81 - 0.03 * \$6 - 0.07 * \$9 - \$0.75 = \$3.25$

Objective function: Maximize $Z = 3.45 * s + 2.32 * p + 2.81 * b + 3.25 * c$

Subject to:

$0.125 * s \leq 1000$

$0.08 * p + 0.05 * b + 0.03 * c \leq 2000$

$0.05 * b + 0.07 * c \leq 1250$

$s \geq 6000$

$s \leq 7000$

$p \geq 10000$

$p \leq 14000$

$b \geq 13000$

$b \leq 16000$

$c \geq 6000$

$c \leq 8500$

The code is:

```
max 3.45s + 2.32p + 2.81b + 3.25c
ST
    0.125s <= 1000
    0.08p + 0.05b + 0.03c <= 2000
    0.05b + 0.07c <= 1250
    s >= 6000
    s <= 7000
    p >= 10000
    p <= 14000
    b >= 13000
    b <= 16000
    c >= 6000
    c <= 8500
END
```

The output is:

```

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP      4

      OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE

    1)      120196.0

      VARIABLE            VALUE            REDUCED COST
      S              7000.000000            0.000000
      P             13625.000000            0.000000
      B             13100.000000            0.000000
      C              8500.000000            0.000000

      ROW    SLACK OR SURPLUS    DUAL PRICES
      2)           125.000000            0.000000
      3)            0.000000           29.000000
      4)            0.000000           27.200001
      5)          1000.000000            0.000000
      6)            0.000000            3.450000
      7)          3625.000000            0.000000
      8)           375.000000            0.000000
      9)           100.000000            0.000000
     10)          2900.000000            0.000000
     11)          2500.000000            0.000000
     12)            0.000000            0.476000

NO. ITERATIONS=         4

```

Therefore, the maximum profit is \$120,196. In order to reach maximum profit, we should make 7000 Silk ties per month, 13,625 Poly ties per month, 13,100 Blend1 ties per month, and 8,500 Blend2 ties per month.

Problem 3:

Part A:

Objective function: Minimize $10cp_{11} + 15cp_{12} + 11cp_{21} + 8cp_{22} + 13cp_{31} + 8cp_{32} + 9cp_{33} + 14cp_{42} + 8cp_{43} + 5cw_{11} + 6cw_{12} + 7cw_{13} + 10cw_{14} + 12cw_{23} + 8cw_{24} + 10cw_{25} + 14cw_{26} + 14cw_{34} + 12cw_{35} + 12cw_{36} + 6cw_{37}$

Subject to:

$$cp_{11} + cp_{12} \leq 150$$

$$cp_{21} + cp_{22} \leq 450$$

$$cp_{31} + cp_{32} + cp_{33} \leq 250$$

$$cp_{42} + cp_{43} \leq 150$$

$$cw_{11} \geq 100$$

$$cw_{12} \geq 150$$

$$cw13 + cw23 \geq 100$$

$$cw14 + cw24 + cw34 \geq 200$$

$$cw25 + cw35 \geq 200$$

$$cw26 + cw36 \geq 150$$

$$cw37 \geq 100$$

$$cp11 + cp21 + cp31 - cw11 - cw12 - cw13 - cw14 = 0$$

$$cp12 + cp22 + cp32 + cp42 - cw23 - cw24 - cw25 - cw26 = 0$$

$$cp33 + cp43 - cw34 - cw35 - cw36 - cw37 = 0$$

$$cp11, cp12, cp21, cp22, cp31, cp32, cp33, cp42, cp43, cw11, cw12, cw13, cw14, cw23, cw24, cw25, cw26, cw34, cw35, cw36, cw37 \geq 0$$

The code is:

```
min 10cp11 + 15cp12 + 11cp21 + 8cp22 + 13cp31 + 8cp32 + 9cp33 +
14cp42 + 8cp43 + 5cw11 + 6cw12 + 7cw13 + 10cw14 + 12cw23 + 8cw24 +
10cw25 + 14cw26 + 14cw34 + 12cw35 + 12cw36 + 6cw37
ST
    cp11 + cp12 <= 150
    cp21 + cp22 <= 450
    cp31 + cp32 + cp33 <= 250
    cp42 + cp43 <= 150
    cw11 >= 100
    cw12 >= 150
    cw13 + cw23 >= 100
    cw14 + cw24 + cw34 >= 200
    cw25 + cw35 >= 200
    cw26 + cw36 >= 150
    cw37 >= 100
    cp11 + cp21 + cp31 - cw11 - cw12 - cw13 - cw14 = 0
    cp12 + cp22 + cp32 + cp42 - cw23 - cw24 - cw25 - cw26 = 0
    cp33 + cp43 - cw34 - cw35 - cw36 - cw37 = 0
    cp11 >= 0
    cp12 >= 0
    cp21 >= 0
    cp22 >= 0
    cp31 >= 0
    cp32 >= 0
    cp33 >= 0
    cp42 >= 0
    cp43 >= 0
    cw11 >= 0
    cw12 >= 0
    cw13 >= 0
    cw14 >= 0
    cw23 >= 0
    cw24 >= 0
    cw25 >= 0
    cw26 >= 0
    cw34 >= 0
    cw35 >= 0
    cw36 >= 0
    cw37 >= 0
END
```

The output is:

LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP 13

OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE

1) 17100.00

VARIABLE	VALUE	REDUCED COST
CP11	150.000000	0.000000
CP12	0.000000	8.000000
CP21	200.000000	0.000000
CP22	250.000000	0.000000
CP31	0.000000	2.000000
CP32	150.000000	0.000000
CP33	100.000000	0.000000
CP42	0.000000	7.000000
CP43	150.000000	0.000000
CW11	100.000000	0.000000
CW12	150.000000	0.000000
CW13	100.000000	0.000000
CW14	0.000000	5.000000
CW23	0.000000	2.000000
CW24	200.000000	0.000000
CW25	200.000000	0.000000
CW26	0.000000	1.000000
CW34	0.000000	7.000000
CW35	0.000000	3.000000
CW36	150.000000	0.000000
CW37	100.000000	0.000000

ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
2)	0.000000	1.000000
3)	0.000000	0.000000
4)	0.000000	0.000000
5)	0.000000	1.000000
6)	0.000000	-16.000000
7)	0.000000	-17.000000
8)	0.000000	-18.000000
9)	0.000000	-16.000000
10)	0.000000	-18.000000
11)	0.000000	-21.000000
12)	0.000000	-15.000000
13)	0.000000	-11.000000
14)	0.000000	-8.000000
15)	0.000000	-9.000000
16)	150.000000	0.000000
17)	0.000000	0.000000
18)	200.000000	0.000000
19)	250.000000	0.000000
20)	0.000000	0.000000
21)	150.000000	0.000000
22)	100.000000	0.000000
23)	0.000000	0.000000
24)	150.000000	0.000000
25)	100.000000	0.000000
26)	150.000000	0.000000
27)	100.000000	0.000000
28)	0.000000	0.000000
29)	0.000000	0.000000
30)	200.000000	0.000000
31)	200.000000	0.000000
32)	0.000000	0.000000
33)	0.000000	0.000000
34)	0.000000	0.000000
35)	150.000000	0.000000
36)	100.000000	0.000000

NO. ITERATIONS= 13

Therefore, the minimum cost is 17,100 and the optimal solution is as below.

P1 -> W1: 150 P2 -> W1: 200

P2 -> W2: 250 P3 -> W2: 150

P3 -> W3: 100 P4 -> W3: 150

W1 -> R1: 100 W1 -> R2: 150 W1 -> R3: 100

W2 -> R4: 200 W2 -> R5: 200

W3 -> R6: 150 W3 -> R7: 100

Part B:

It's not feasible.

The code is:

```
min 10cp11 + 11cp21 + 13cp31 + 9cp33 + 8cp43 + 5cw11 + 6cw12 + 7cw13 +  
10cw14 + 14cw34 + 12cw35 + 12cw36 + 6cw37  
ST  
    cp11 <= 150  
    cp21 <= 450  
    cp31 + cp33 <= 250  
    cp43 <= 150  
    cw11 >= 100  
    cw12 >= 150  
    cw13 >= 100  
    cw14 + cw34 >= 200  
    cw35 >= 200  
    cw36 >= 150  
    cw37 >= 100  
    cp11 + cp21 + cp31 - cw11 - cw12 - cw13 - cw14 = 0  
    cp33 + cp43 - cw34 - cw35 - cw36 - cw37 = 0  
    cp11 >= 0  
    cp21 >= 0  
    cp31 >= 0  
    cp33 >= 0  
    cp43 >= 0  
    cw11 >= 0  
    cw12 >= 0  
    cw13 >= 0  
    cw14 >= 0  
    cw34 >= 0  
    cw35 >= 0  
    cw36 >= 0  
    cw37 >= 0  
END
```

The output is:

```
NO FEASIBLE SOLUTION AT STEP          10.  
SUM OF INFEASIBILITIES=          50.000000000000000  
VIOLATED ROWS HAVE NEGATIVE SLACK, OR  
(EQUALITY ROWS) NONZERO SLACKS.  ROWS  
CONTRIBUTING TO INFEASIBILITY HAVE A  
NONZERO DUAL PRICE.  USE THE "DEBUG"  
COMMAND FOR MORE INFORMATION.
```

Part C:

Yes, it's feasible. We need to add the constraint: $cp12 + cp22 + cp32 + cp42 \leq 100$

The code is:

```
min 10cp11 + 15cp12 + 11cp21 + 8cp22 + 13cp31 + 8cp32 + 9cp33 +
14cp42 + 8cp43 + 5cw11 + 6cw12 + 7cw13 + 10cw14 + 12cw23 + 8cw24 +
10cw25 + 14cw26 + 14cw34 + 12cw35 + 12cw36 + 6cw37
ST
    cp11 + cp12 <= 150
    cp21 + cp22 <= 450
    cp31 + cp32 + cp33 <= 250
    cp42 + cp43 <= 150
    cw11 >= 100
    cw12 >= 150
    cw13 + cw23 >= 100
    cw14 + cw24 + cw34 >= 200
    cw25 + cw35 >= 200
    cw26 + cw36 >= 150
    cw37 >= 100
    cp11 + cp21 + cp31 - cw11 - cw12 - cw13 - cw14 = 0
    cp12 + cp22 + cp32 + cp42 - cw23 - cw24 - cw25 - cw26 = 0
    cp33 + cp43 - cw34 - cw35 - cw36 - cw37 = 0
    cp12 + cp22 + cp32 + cp42 <= 100|
    cp11 >= 0
    cp21 >= 0
    cp31 >= 0
    cp33 >= 0
    cp43 >= 0
    cw11 >= 0
    cw12 >= 0
    cw13 >= 0
    cw14 >= 0
    cw34 >= 0
    cw35 >= 0
    cw36 >= 0
    cw37 >= 0
END
```

The output is:

```
LP OPTIMUM FOUND AT STEP      15

      OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE

    1)      18300.00

      VARIABLE                VALUE                REDUCED COST
      CP11                    150.000000             0.000000
      CP12                      0.000000             8.000000
      CP21                     350.000000             0.000000
      CP22                     100.000000             0.000000
      CP31                      0.000000             4.000000
      CP32                      0.000000             2.000000
      CP33                     250.000000             0.000000
      CP42                      0.000000             9.000000
      CP43                     150.000000             0.000000
      CW11                     100.000000             0.000000
      CW12                     150.000000             0.000000
      CW13                     100.000000             0.000000
      CW14                     150.000000             0.000000
      CW23                      0.000000             7.000000
      CW24                      50.000000             0.000000
      CW25                      50.000000             0.000000
      CW26                      0.000000             4.000000
      CW34                      0.000000             4.000000
      CW35                     150.000000             0.000000
      CW36                     150.000000             0.000000
      CW37                     100.000000             0.000000
```


ROW	SLACK OR SURPLUS	DUAL PRICES
2)	0.000000	1.000000
3)	0.000000	0.000000
4)	0.000000	2.000000
5)	0.000000	3.000000
6)	0.000000	-16.000000
7)	0.000000	-17.000000
8)	0.000000	-18.000000
9)	0.000000	-21.000000
10)	0.000000	-23.000000
11)	0.000000	-23.000000
12)	0.000000	-17.000000
13)	0.000000	-11.000000
14)	0.000000	-13.000000
15)	0.000000	-11.000000
16)	0.000000	5.000000
17)	150.000000	0.000000
18)	350.000000	0.000000
19)	0.000000	0.000000
20)	250.000000	0.000000
21)	150.000000	0.000000
22)	100.000000	0.000000
23)	150.000000	0.000000
24)	100.000000	0.000000
25)	150.000000	0.000000
26)	0.000000	0.000000
27)	150.000000	0.000000
28)	150.000000	0.000000
29)	100.000000	0.000000

NO. ITERATIONS= 15

Therefore, the minimum cost is 18,300 and the optimal solution is as below.

P1 -> W1: 150 P2 -> W1: 350

P2 -> W2: 100

P3 -> W3: 250 P4 -> W3: 150

W1 -> R1: 100 W1 -> R2: 150 W1 -> R3: 100 W1 -> R4: 150

W2 -> R4: 50 W2 -> R5: 50

W3 -> R5: 150 W3 -> R6: 150 W3 -> R7: 100

Problem 4:

a)

Let n_1 be the number of coin 1, n_2 be the number of coin 5, n_3 be the number of coin 10, n_4 be the number of coin 25

Objective function: Minimize $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4$

Subject to:

$$n1 + 5n2 + 10n3 + 25n4 = 202$$

$$n1, n2, n3, n4 \geq 0$$

The code is:

```
min n1 + n2 + n3 + n4
ST
    n1 + 5n2 + 10n3 + 25n4 = 202
    n1 >= 0
    n2 >= 0
    n3 >= 0
    n4 >= 0
END
GIN n1
GIN n2
GIN n3
GIN n4
```

The output is:

```
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE
1)      10.000000

VARIABLE      VALUE      REDUCED COST
N1             2.000000      1.000000
N2             0.000000      1.000000
N3             0.000000      1.000000
N4             8.000000      1.000000

ROW    SLACK OR SURPLUS    DUAL PRICES
2)           0.000000           0.000000
3)           2.000000           0.000000
4)           0.000000           0.000000
5)           0.000000           0.000000
6)           8.000000           0.000000

NO. ITERATIONS=      31
BRANCHES=      6 DETERM.=  1.000E  0
```

Therefore, the minimum number of coins is 10. The number of denomination 1 is 2 and the number of denomination 25 is 8.

b)

Let $n1$ be the number of coin 1, $n2$ be the number of coin 3, $n3$ be the number of coin 7, $n4$ be the number of coin 12, $n5$ be the number of coin 27.

Objective function: Minimize $n1 + n2 + n3 + n4 + n5$

Subject to:

$$n1 + 3n2 + 7n3 + 12n4 + 27n5 = 293$$

$n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5 \geq 0$

The code is:

```
min n1 + n2 + n3 + n4 + n5
ST
    n1 + 3n2 + 7n3 + 12n4 + 27n5 = 293|
    n1 >= 0
    n2 >= 0
    n3 >= 0
    n4 >= 0
    n5 >= 0
END
GIN n1
GIN n2
GIN n3
GIN n4
GIN n5
```

The output is:

```
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE
1)      14.000000

VARIABLE      VALUE      REDUCED COST
N1             0.000000         1.000000
N2             0.000000         1.000000
N3             2.000000         1.000000
N4             3.000000         1.000000
N5             9.000000         1.000000

ROW  SLACK OR SURPLUS  DUAL PRICES
2)      0.000000         0.000000
3)      0.000000         0.000000
4)      0.000000         0.000000
5)      2.000000         0.000000
6)      3.000000         0.000000
7)      9.000000         0.000000

NO. ITERATIONS=      98
BRANCHES=      34 DETERM.=  1.000E  0
```

Therefore, the minimum number of coins is 14. The number of denomination 7 is 2; the number of denomination 12 is 3; the number of denomination 27 is 9.