

# SCFT for an Incompressible AB Diblock Melt in the Total/Exchange Formalism

## Derivation Notes

### 1 Canonical model and microscopic densities

We consider a melt of  $n$  identical linear AB diblock copolymers in a periodic volume  $V$  at temperature  $T$ . Each chain is a space curve  $\mathbf{R}_j(s)$  with contour variable  $s \in [0, N]$ . The A-block occupies  $s \in [0, fN]$  and the B-block occupies  $s \in [fN, N]$ . Define the mean segment density

$$\rho_0 \equiv \frac{nN}{V} = \frac{1}{v_0}, \quad (1)$$

where  $v_0$  is a reference segment volume.

#### Microscopic segment density operators.

$$\hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^{fN} ds \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_j(s)), \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{fN}^N ds \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_j(s)). \quad (3)$$

**Incompressibility constraint.** The melt is strictly incompressible:

$$\hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r}) + \hat{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_0 \iff \delta[\hat{\rho}_A + \hat{\rho}_B - \rho_0]. \quad (4)$$

**Gaussian chain model.** The noninteracting (entropic) part of the Hamiltonian is

$$\beta U_0[\{\mathbf{R}_j\}] = \frac{3}{2b^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^N ds \left| \frac{d\mathbf{R}_j}{ds} \right|^2, \quad (5)$$

with statistical segment length  $b$  and  $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$ .

**Flory–Huggins contact interaction.** Assume only A–B contact interactions:

$$\beta U_1[\{\mathbf{R}_j\}] = v_0 \chi_{AB} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}). \quad (6)$$

**Canonical partition function.** Including the standard  $n!$  and thermal wavelength  $\lambda_T$  prefactors,

$$Z_c(n, V, T) = \frac{1}{n! (\lambda_T^3)^{nN}} \prod_{j=1}^n \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{R}_j \exp[-\beta U_0 - \beta U_1] \delta[\hat{\rho}_A + \hat{\rho}_B - \rho_0]. \quad (7)$$

## 2 Step 1: Introduce total and exchange density operators

Define the two linear combinations (your “two new variables”):

$$\hat{\rho}_+(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r}) + \hat{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}), \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r}) - \hat{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}). \quad (9)$$

These imply the inverse relations

$$\hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\rho}_+(\mathbf{r}) + \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})), \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\rho}_+(\mathbf{r}) - \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})). \quad (11)$$

**Incompressibility in the new variables.** Equation (4) becomes simply

$$\delta[\hat{\rho}_A + \hat{\rho}_B - \rho_0] = \delta[\hat{\rho}_+ - \rho_0]. \quad (12)$$

**Interaction in the new variables.** Using (10)–(11),

$$\hat{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r})\hat{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4}(\hat{\rho}_+(\mathbf{r})^2 - \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})^2), \quad (13)$$

so the Flory–Huggins interaction (6) becomes

$$\beta U_1 = \frac{v_0 \chi_{AB}}{4} \int_V d\mathbf{r} (\hat{\rho}_+(\mathbf{r})^2 - \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})^2). \quad (14)$$

## 3 Step 2: Use incompressibility to eliminate $\hat{\rho}_+$ from the interaction

Because  $\delta[\hat{\rho}_+ - \rho_0]$  enforces  $\hat{\rho}_+(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_0$  pointwise, we may replace  $\hat{\rho}_+$  by  $\rho_0$  *inside* any factor multiplying the delta-functional. In particular, (14) reduces to

$$\beta U_1 \rightarrow \frac{v_0 \chi_{AB}}{4} \int_V d\mathbf{r} (\rho_0^2 - \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})^2). \quad (15)$$

Therefore,

$$\exp[-\beta U_1] = \exp\left[-\frac{v_0 \chi_{AB}}{4} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \rho_0^2\right] \exp\left[+\frac{v_0 \chi_{AB}}{4} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})^2\right] \quad (16)$$

$$= \exp\left[-\frac{\chi_{AB} \rho_0 V}{4}\right] \exp\left[+\frac{v_0 \chi_{AB}}{4} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})^2\right], \quad (17)$$

where we used  $\rho_0 = 1/v_0$  from (1).

## 4 Step 3: Introduce the pressure and exchange fields $w_+$ and $w_-$

### 4.1 3.1 Fourier representation of the incompressibility delta

Use the standard functional Fourier representation

$$\delta[F] = \int \mathcal{D}W \exp\left(-i \int_V d\mathbf{r} W(\mathbf{r}) F(\mathbf{r})\right). \quad (18)$$

Applying (18) to (12) gives

$$\delta[\hat{\rho}_+ - \rho_0] = \int \mathcal{D}w_+ \exp\left[-i \int_V d\mathbf{r} w_+(\mathbf{r})(\hat{\rho}_+(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_0)\right]. \quad (19)$$

## 4.2 3.2 Hubbard–Stratonovich decoupling of the $\hat{\rho}_-^2$ term

We use a Gaussian functional identity (Hubbard–Stratonovich type):

$$\exp\left(\frac{a}{2}\int_V d\mathbf{r} \phi(\mathbf{r})^2\right) = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}W \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2a}\int_V d\mathbf{r} W(\mathbf{r})^2 + \int_V d\mathbf{r} W(\mathbf{r})\phi(\mathbf{r})\right]}{\int \mathcal{D}W \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2a}\int_V d\mathbf{r} W(\mathbf{r})^2\right]}, \quad a > 0. \quad (20)$$

Matching  $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})$  and choosing  $a = v_0\chi_{AB}/2$  (so that  $a/2 = v_0\chi_{AB}/4$ ), we obtain

$$\exp\left[+\frac{v_0\chi_{AB}}{4}\int_V d\mathbf{r} \hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})^2\right] = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}w_- \exp\left[-\frac{1}{v_0\chi_{AB}}\int_V d\mathbf{r} w_-(\mathbf{r})^2 + \int_V d\mathbf{r} w_-(\mathbf{r})\hat{\rho}_-(\mathbf{r})\right]}{\int \mathcal{D}w_- \exp\left[-\frac{1}{v_0\chi_{AB}}\int_V d\mathbf{r} w_-(\mathbf{r})^2\right]}. \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{1}{v_0\chi_{AB}} = \frac{\rho_0}{\chi_{AB}}. \quad (22)$$

## 5 Step 4: Rewrite the partition function and isolate the single-chain problem

Insert Eqs. (17), (19), and (21) into Eq. (7). Keeping the HS normalization explicitly (the denominator in Eq. (21)), we obtain

$$Z_c = \frac{1}{n! (\lambda_T^3)^{nN}} \exp\left[-\frac{\chi_{AB}\rho_0 V}{4}\right] \int \mathcal{D}w_+ \int \mathcal{D}w_- \exp\left[i\rho_0 \int_V d\mathbf{r} w_+(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{\rho_0}{\chi_{AB}} \int_V d\mathbf{r} w_-(\mathbf{r})^2\right] \\ \times \prod_{j=1}^n \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{R}_j \exp\left[-\beta U_0[\mathbf{R}_j] - i \int_V d\mathbf{r} w_+(\mathbf{r})\hat{\rho}_+^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) + \int_V d\mathbf{r} w_-(\mathbf{r})\hat{\rho}_-^{(j)}(\mathbf{r})\right] \\ \times \left[ \int \mathcal{D}w_- \exp\left(-\frac{\rho_0}{\chi_{AB}} \int_V d\mathbf{r} w_-(\mathbf{r})^2\right) \right]^{-1}, \quad (23)$$

where  $\hat{\rho}_\pm^{(j)}$  denotes the contribution from chain  $j$  alone.

### 5.1 4.1 Convert density couplings into contour integrals

For each chain  $j$ ,

$$\int_V d\mathbf{r} w_+(\mathbf{r})\hat{\rho}_+^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) = \int_0^N ds w_+(\mathbf{R}_j(s)), \quad (24)$$

$$\int_V d\mathbf{r} w_-(\mathbf{r})\hat{\rho}_-^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) = \int_0^{fN} ds w_-(\mathbf{R}_j(s)) - \int_{fN}^N ds w_-(\mathbf{R}_j(s)). \quad (25)$$

Therefore the chain-dependent exponential becomes

$$-\beta U_0[\mathbf{R}_j] - i \int_0^N ds w_+(\mathbf{R}_j(s)) + \int_0^{fN} ds w_-(\mathbf{R}_j(s)) - \int_{fN}^N ds w_-(\mathbf{R}_j(s)) \\ = -\beta U_0[\mathbf{R}_j] - \int_0^{fN} ds \underbrace{(iw_+(\mathbf{R}_j(s)) - w_-(\mathbf{R}_j(s)))}_{\equiv w_A(\mathbf{R}_j(s))} - \int_{fN}^N ds \underbrace{(iw_+(\mathbf{R}_j(s)) + w_-(\mathbf{R}_j(s)))}_{\equiv w_B(\mathbf{R}_j(s))}. \quad (26)$$

**Definition of species fields from  $w_\pm$ .** Motivated by (26), define

$$w_A(\mathbf{r}) \equiv iw_+(\mathbf{r}) - w_-(\mathbf{r}), \quad (27)$$

$$w_B(\mathbf{r}) \equiv iw_+(\mathbf{r}) + w_-(\mathbf{r}). \quad (28)$$

## 5.2 4.2 Single-chain partition function and normalized $Q$

Define the single-chain partition function in external fields  $w_A, w_B$ :

$$Z_{\text{chain}}[w_A, w_B] \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{R} \exp \left[ -\frac{3}{2b^2} \int_0^N ds \left| \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{ds} \right|^2 - \int_0^{fN} ds w_A(\mathbf{R}(s)) - \int_{fN}^N ds w_B(\mathbf{R}(s)) \right]. \quad (29)$$

Also define the ideal-chain partition function (no external fields)

$$Z_0 \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{R} \exp \left[ -\frac{3}{2b^2} \int_0^N ds \left| \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{ds} \right|^2 \right]. \quad (30)$$

The normalized single-chain partition function is

$$Q[w_A, w_B] \equiv \frac{Z_{\text{chain}}[w_A, w_B]}{Z_0}. \quad (31)$$

Because chains are independent given the fields,

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{R}_j(\dots) = \left( Z_{\text{chain}}[w_A, w_B] \right)^n = (Z_0)^n \exp(n \ln Q[w_A, w_B]). \quad (32)$$

## 6 Step 5: Final field theory and effective Hamiltonian

Insert Eq. (32) into Eq. (23). Absorb all field-independent prefactors—including the Hubbard–Stratonovich normalization (the Gaussian denominator in Eq. (21))—into an overall constant  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then the canonical partition function can be written in the standard field-theoretic form

$$Z_c = \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}w_+ \int \mathcal{D}w_- \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}[w_+, w_-]), \quad (33)$$

with effective Hamiltonian

$$\beta \mathcal{H}[w_+, w_-] = \frac{\rho_0}{\chi_{AB}} \int_V d\mathbf{r} w_-(\mathbf{r})^2 - i\rho_0 \int_V d\mathbf{r} w_+(\mathbf{r}) - n \ln Q[w_A, w_B]. \quad (34)$$

Here  $w_A, w_B$  are the linear combinations of  $w_\pm$ ,

$$w_A(\mathbf{r}) \equiv iw_+(\mathbf{r}) - w_-(\mathbf{r}), \quad w_B(\mathbf{r}) \equiv iw_+(\mathbf{r}) + w_-(\mathbf{r}), \quad (35)$$

as defined previously in Eqs. (27)–(28).

**Reality of saddle-point fields.** At the mean-field (SCFT) saddle, one typically finds

$$w_-^\star(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad w_+^\star(\mathbf{r}) \in i\mathbb{R}, \quad (36)$$

so it is convenient to introduce a real pressure-like field

$$\xi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv iw_+(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (37)$$

Then the real saddle-point species fields become

$$w_A^\star(\mathbf{r}) = \xi^\star(\mathbf{r}) - w_-^\star(\mathbf{r}), \quad w_B^\star(\mathbf{r}) = \xi^\star(\mathbf{r}) + w_-^\star(\mathbf{r}). \quad (38)$$

## 7 Step 6: Mean-field (SCFT) saddle-point equations

The SCFT approximation evaluates (33) by steepest descent:

$$\frac{\delta\beta\mathcal{H}}{\delta w_+(\mathbf{r})}\Big|_* = 0, \quad \frac{\delta\beta\mathcal{H}}{\delta w_-(\mathbf{r})}\Big|_* = 0. \quad (39)$$

### 7.1 6.1 Functional derivatives of $\ln Q$ define densities

A key identity is that functional derivatives of  $\ln Q$  generate single-chain densities. Define the mean segment densities (melt-averaged) by

$$\rho_A(\mathbf{r}) \equiv -n \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})}, \quad (40)$$

$$\rho_B(\mathbf{r}) \equiv -n \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (41)$$

(Equivalently, one may define volume fractions  $\phi_K(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \rho_K(\mathbf{r})/\rho_0$ .)

Using (27)–(28), the chain rule gives

$$\frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_+(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})}{\delta w_+(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})}{\delta w_+(\mathbf{r})} = i \left( \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})} \right), \quad (42)$$

$$\frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_-(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})}{\delta w_-(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})} \frac{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})}{\delta w_-(\mathbf{r})} = -\frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (43)$$

### 7.2 6.2 Saddle equation for $w_+$ : incompressibility

Differentiate (34) with respect to  $w_+$ :

$$\frac{\delta\beta\mathcal{H}}{\delta w_+(\mathbf{r})} = -i\rho_0 - n \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_+(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (44)$$

Setting (44) to zero and using (42) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -i\rho_0 - n i \left( \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})} \right) \\ &= -i\rho_0 + i(\rho_A(\mathbf{r}) + \rho_B(\mathbf{r})), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where we used (40)–(41). Therefore the saddle condition is

$$\rho_A^*(\mathbf{r}) + \rho_B^*(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_0. \quad (46)$$

In volume-fraction form,

$$\phi_A(\mathbf{r}) + \phi_B(\mathbf{r}) = 1. \quad (47)$$

### 7.3 6.3 Saddle equation for $w_-$ : exchange self-consistency

Differentiate (34) with respect to  $w_-$ :

$$\frac{\delta\beta\mathcal{H}}{\delta w_-(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{2\rho_0}{\chi_{AB}} w_-(\mathbf{r}) - n \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_-(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (48)$$

Setting (48) to zero and using (43) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{2\rho_0}{\chi_{AB}} w_-(\mathbf{r}) - n \left( -\frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_A(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{\delta \ln Q}{\delta w_B(\mathbf{r})} \right) \\ &= \frac{2\rho_0}{\chi_{AB}} w_-(\mathbf{r}) + \rho_A(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_B(\mathbf{r}). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Thus the exchange field satisfies

$$w_-^*(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\chi_{AB}}{2\rho_0} (\rho_B^*(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_A^*(\mathbf{r})) = \frac{\chi_{AB}}{2} (\phi_B(\mathbf{r}) - \phi_A(\mathbf{r})). \quad (50)$$

## 8 Step 7: Standard propagator representation of $\phi_A, \phi_B$

To make  $\phi_A, \phi_B$  explicit, introduce the forward and backward propagators  $q(\mathbf{r}, s)$  and  $q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, s)$ , defined by the modified diffusion equations (MDEs).

### 8.1 7.1 Modified diffusion equations

Define the contour-dependent potential

$$W(\mathbf{r}, s) = \begin{cases} w_A(\mathbf{r}), & 0 \leq s \leq fN, \\ w_B(\mathbf{r}), & fN \leq s \leq N. \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

Then the forward propagator satisfies

$$\frac{\partial q(\mathbf{r}, s)}{\partial s} = \frac{b^2}{6} \nabla^2 q(\mathbf{r}, s) - W(\mathbf{r}, s) q(\mathbf{r}, s), \quad q(\mathbf{r}, 0) = 1, \quad (52)$$

and the backward propagator satisfies

$$\frac{\partial q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, s)}{\partial s} = \frac{b^2}{6} \nabla^2 q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, s) - W(\mathbf{r}, N-s) q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, s), \quad q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, 0) = 1. \quad (53)$$

### 8.2 7.2 Single-chain partition function from propagators

The normalized single-chain partition function can be computed as

$$Q[w_A, w_B] = \frac{1}{V} \int_V d\mathbf{r} q(\mathbf{r}, N). \quad (54)$$

### 8.3 7.3 Volume fractions

The standard SCFT expressions for the volume fractions are

$$\phi_A(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{Q} \frac{1}{N} \int_0^{fN} ds q(\mathbf{r}, s) q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, N-s), \quad (55)$$

$$\phi_B(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{Q} \frac{1}{N} \int_{fN}^N ds q(\mathbf{r}, s) q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, N-s). \quad (56)$$

## 9 Summary: SCFT equations in the total/exchange formalism

The SCFT (mean-field) solution is the saddle point  $\{w_+^*, w_-^*\}$  (equivalently  $\{\xi^*, w_-^*\}$ ) that minimizes the mean-field free energy (per chain) while being self-consistent with the single-chain statistics in the corresponding external fields.

**(0) Mean-field free energy (evaluated at the saddle).** With the effective Hamiltonian  $\beta\mathcal{H}[w_+, w_-]$  in Eq. (34), the mean-field free energy follows from the saddle-point approximation  $Z_c \approx \mathcal{N} \exp(-\beta\mathcal{H}[w_+^*, w_-^*])$ :

$$A = -k_B T \ln Z_c \approx \mathcal{H}[w_+^*, w_-^*] + (\text{field-independent constants}). \quad (57)$$

It is often convenient to quote the dimensionless free energy per chain (dropping constants that do not affect the saddle):

$$\frac{\beta A}{n} = -\ln Q[w_A^*, w_B^*] + \frac{\rho_0}{n\chi_{AB}} \int_V d\mathbf{r} (w_-^*(\mathbf{r}))^2 - i \frac{\rho_0}{n} \int_V d\mathbf{r} w_+^*(\mathbf{r}) \quad (+\text{constants}). \quad (58)$$

Equivalently, using the real pressure field  $\xi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv iw_+(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathbb{R}$  at the saddle,

$$\frac{\beta A}{n} = -\ln Q[w_A^*, w_B^*] + \frac{\rho_0}{n\chi_{AB}} \int_V d\mathbf{r} (w_-^*(\mathbf{r}))^2 - \frac{\rho_0}{n} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \xi^*(\mathbf{r}) \quad (+\text{constants}). \quad (59)$$

The last term enforces incompressibility and acts as a Lagrange-multiplier contribution if we further defined

$$\mu_+(\mathbf{r}) = N\xi^*(\mathbf{r}), \quad (60)$$

$$\mu_-(\mathbf{r}) = Nw_-^*(\mathbf{r}). \quad (61)$$

Using  $\rho_0 = nN/V$ , Eq. (59) can be rewritten compactly in terms of  $\mu_\pm$  as

$$\frac{\beta A}{n} = -\ln Q[w_A^*, w_B^*] + \frac{1}{V} \int_V d\mathbf{r} \left[ \frac{(\mu_-(\mathbf{r}))^2}{\chi_{AB}N} - \mu_+(\mathbf{r}) \right] \quad (+\text{constants}). \quad (62)$$

The  $\mu_+$  term plays the role of a Lagrange multiplier enforcing incompressibility; its spatial average may be fixed by a gauge choice (e.g.  $\int_V d\mathbf{r} \mu_+ = 0$ ).

**(i) Species fields in the  $\mu_\pm$  representation.** At the saddle, define the dimensionless species fields

$$\mu_A(\mathbf{r}) \equiv Nw_A^*(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mu_B(\mathbf{r}) \equiv Nw_B^*(\mathbf{r}), \quad (63)$$

which are related to  $\mu_\pm$  by

$$\mu_A(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_+(\mathbf{r}) - \mu_-(\mathbf{r}), \quad (64)$$

$$\mu_B(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_+(\mathbf{r}) + \mu_-(\mathbf{r}). \quad (65)$$

Equivalently,

$$w_A^*(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{N}\mu_A(\mathbf{r}), \quad w_B^*(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{N}\mu_B(\mathbf{r}). \quad (66)$$

**(ii) Modified diffusion equations (propagators) in a unit contour variable.** Introduce the normalized contour variable  $t \equiv s/N \in [0, 1]$  and the radius of gyration  $R_g^2 \equiv Nb^2/6$ . Define the piecewise contour potential

$$\mu(\mathbf{r}, t) = \begin{cases} \mu_A(\mathbf{r}), & 0 \leq t \leq f, \\ \mu_B(\mathbf{r}), & f \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (67)$$

Then the forward and backward propagators satisfy

$$\frac{\partial q(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} = R_g^2 \nabla^2 q(\mathbf{r}, t) - \mu(\mathbf{r}, t) q(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad q(\mathbf{r}, 0) = 1, \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{\partial q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} = R_g^2 \nabla^2 q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, t) - \mu(\mathbf{r}, 1-t) q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, 0) = 1. \quad (69)$$

**(iii) Single-chain partition function.**

$$Q[w_A^*, w_B^*] = \frac{1}{V} \int_V d\mathbf{r} q(\mathbf{r}, 1). \quad (70)$$

**(iv) Volume fractions generated by the propagators.**

$$\phi_A(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{Q} \int_0^f dt q(\mathbf{r}, t) q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, 1-t), \quad (71)$$

$$\phi_B(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{Q} \int_f^1 dt q(\mathbf{r}, t) q^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, 1-t). \quad (72)$$

**(v) Saddle-point (self-consistency) conditions.** The SCFT solution is obtained when the fields and densities are mutually consistent:

$$\phi_A(\mathbf{r}) + \phi_B(\mathbf{r}) = 1, \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{2\mu_-(\mathbf{r})}{N\chi_{AB}} + \phi_A(\mathbf{r}) - \phi_B(\mathbf{r}) = 0. \quad (74)$$

Equations (64)–(65) and (68)–(72), together with (73)–(74), close the SCFT loop.