



CS 5004: OBJECT ORIENTED DESIGN AND ANALYSIS SPRING 2022

LECTURE 7

Divya Chaudhary

Northeastern University
Khoury College of
Computer Sciences

440 Huntington Avenue ■ 202 West Village H ■ Boston, MA 02115 ■ T 617.373.2462 ■ khoury.northeastern.edu

AGENDA

- Well-Designed OOD Systems
- Parametric polymorphism – generics
 - Generic linked list
 - Example – vet clinic
 - Generics – part 2
 - Bounded data parameters
 - Generic methods
 - Wild cards
 - Type erasure
 - Generics and subtyping

WELL-DESIGNED OOD SYSTEMS

CS 5004, SPRING 2022 – LECTURE 7

WELL DESIGNED (OOD) SYSTEMS

- Object Oriented Design Principles
- SOLID principles

WELL-DESIGNED SYSTEM: SOLID PRINCIPLES

Solid.

[Pictures credit: <http://asolidsite.com>]

WELL-DESIGNED SYSTEM: SOLID PRINCIPLES

- More design principles for object-oriented design of software
- Intended to make your code more:
 - Understandable
 - **Maintainable**
 - **Flexible**
- Widely used, especially in AGILE environments*

WELL-DESIGNED SYSTEM: SOLID PRINCIPLES

- S – Single responsibility principle
 - (one class, one responsibility)
- O – open closed principle
 - (open for extension, closed for modification)
- L – Liskov substitution principle
 - (derived classes must be substitutable for their base classes)
- I – Interface segregation principle
 - (no client should be forced to depend on methods it does not use)
- D – Dependency inversion principle
 - (details should depend on abstraction, not the other way around)

WELL-DESIGNED SYSTEM: SOLID PRINCIPLES

Single responsibility – related to [encapsulation](#)

Open-closed – related to [abstraction](#) and [inheritance](#)

Liskov substitution – related to [polymorphism](#)

Interface segregation – related to [encapsulation](#)

Dependency inversion – related to [abstraction](#)

SINGLE RESPONSIBILITY PRINCIPLE

“A class should have one, and only one, reason to change.”

In other words:

- a class should only do one thing
- change = changes to the spec

SINGLE RESPONSIBILITY PRINCIPLE

“A class should have one, and only one, reason to change.”

When is this particularly important?

- When multiple clients use the same class
- When a system is likely to change over time

What's the problem with doing multiple things?

- Changes needed by one client cause changes to class needed by multiple clients → a big mess

OPEN-CLOSED PRINCIPLE

“Classes should be open for extension but closed for modification.”

In other words:

- use abstraction (specifically, abstract classes)
- when requirements change, extend with new code, ***don't modify code that works***

LISKOV SUBSTITUTION PRINCIPLE

“Derived classes must be substitutable for their base classes.”

In other words:

- subtype polymorphism

LISKOV SUBSTITUTION PRINCIPLE



[Pictures credit <https://www.tomdalling.com/blog/software-design/solid-class-design-the-liskov-substitution-principle/>]

INTERFACE SEGREGATION PRINCIPLE

“Make fine-grained interfaces that are client specific.”

In other words:

- It is better to have many small interfaces than one big one

DEPENDENCY INVERSION PRINCIPLE

“Depend on abstractions, not on concretions.”

In other words:

- Use abstraction for common functionality
- Parent classes should know nothing of their children

SOLID PRINCIPLES

Single responsibility: “A class should have one, and only one, reason to change.”

Open-closed: “Classes should be open for extension but closed for modification.”

Liskov substitution: “Derived classes must be substitutable for their base classes.”

Interface segregation: “Make fine-grained interfaces that are client specific.”

Dependency inversion: “Depend on abstractions, not on concretions.”

WELL-DESIGNED SYSTEM: SOLID PRINCIPLES

- Related references:
 - SOLID Principles: Explanation and Examples <https://itnext.io/solid-principles-explanation-and-examples-715b975dcad4>
 - SOLID – The First Five principles of Object-Oriented Design <https://scotch.io/bar-talk/s-o-l-i-d-the-first-five-principles-of-object-oriented-design>
 - SOLID Principles Made Easy: <https://medium.com/@dhkelmendi/solid-principles-made-easy-67b1246bcdcf>

PARAMETRIC POLYMORPHISM

CS 5004, SPRING 2022 – LECTURE 7

REVIEW: POLYMORPHISM

Polymorphism – the ability of one instance to be viewed/used as different types (the ability to take many shapes/forms/views)

REVIEW: SUBTYPING

- Subtypes are substitutable for supertypes
 - Instance of subtypes won't surprise a client by failing to satisfy the supertype's specification
 - Instance of subtype won't surprise a client by having more expectations than the supertypes' specifications

REVIEW: AD HOC POLYMORPHISM

- Overloading allows us to create methods that share the same method name but differ in their signature
- Ad hoc polymorphism – another name for function and operator overloading
- Ad hoc polymorphism – a type of polymorphism where a polymorphic functions can be applied to arguments of different types
 - Polymorphic (overloaded) function can denote a number of distinct and potentially heterogeneous implementations, depending on the type of argument(s) to which it is applied

WHAT EXACTLY IS BEING POLYMORPHIC

So far:

- Objects
 - Instance of subclass (e.g., Cat) treated as instance of super class (e.g., Animal)
- Methods/constructors – overloading

WHAT EXACTLY IS BEING POLYMORPHIC

Parametric polymorphism (generics):

- “Enables **data types** (classes and interfaces) to be **parameters** when defining classes and interfaces.”
- Especially useful when writing classes that are collections of other objects (e.g., List, Set, Stack, etc.).
 - Write one class that can handle multiple types of objects.

Enables a function or class to be written such that it handles values identically regardless of type

PARAMETRIC POLYMORPHISM

- Parametric polymorphism - ability for a function or type to be written such that it handles values identically without depending on knowledge of their types
 - Such a function or type is called a generic function or generic data type

TYPE PARAMETERS

```
List<Type> name = new ArrayList<Type>();
```

Type parameter specifies type of element stored in the collection

- Allows the same class to store different types of objects
- Also called a *generic* class

```
List<String> names = new ArrayList<String>();
```

```
List<Integer> digits = new ArrayList<Integer>();
```

WHAT CAN BE A TYPE PARAMETER?

Objects only

- Setting a primitive as a type parameter → compile time error e.g.
`List<int> digits = new ArrayList<int>(); //won't compile`
- Instead, use a wrapper class type:

Primitive	Wrapper
int	Integer
double	Double
char	Character
boolean	Boolean

USING TYPE PARAMETERS: A SHORTCUT

Right side Type argument is unnecessary:

```
List<Type> name = new ArrayList<Type>();
```


Instead, use the diamond operator, <>:

```
List<Type> name = new ArrayList<>();
```

Compiler auto populates each type parameter from the types on the left side

```
List<String> names = new ArrayList<>();
```

SUMMARY: TYPE VARIABLES ARE TYPES



IMPLEMENTING GENERICS A.K.A. TYPE VARIABLES ARE TYPES

```
// a parameterized (generic) class
public class Name<Type> {...}
public class Name<Type, Type, ..., Type> {...}
interface Name<Type, Type, ..., Type> {...}
```

- By putting the Type in < >, we are demanding that any client that constructs our object must supply a type parameter
- We can require multiple type parameters separated by commas
- The convention is to use a 1-letter name:
 - T for Type
 - E for Element
 - N for Number
 - K for Key,
 - V for Value
- The type parameter is instantiated by the client (e.g., $E \rightarrow \text{String}$)

GENERIC LINKED LIST ADT

CS 5004, SPRING 2022 – LECTURE 7

GENERIC LINKED LIST ADT – A LIST OF (ALMOST) ANYTHING

Support the following operations:

- **count** – get the number of items in the list
- **getItem** – get the item in the current node
- **getRest** – get the rest of the list
- **insert** – insert an item at the head of the list
- **insertAt** – insert an item at a specific index

GENERIC LINKED LIST ADT – THE INTERFACE

```
public interface ILinkedList<T> {  
    Integer count();  
    T getItem();  
    ILinkedList getRest();  
    ILinkedList insert(T item) throws IndexOutOfBoundsException;  
    ILinkedList insertAt(T item, Integer index) throws  
IndexOutOfBoundsException;  
}
```


GENERIC LIST ADT – THE INTERFACE

```
public interface ILinkedList<T> {    Use the placeholder anywhere you need to indicate type
    Integer count();
    T getItem();
    ILinkedList getRest();
    ILinkedList insert(T item) throws IndexOutOfBoundsException;
    ILinkedList insertAt(T item, Integer index) throws IndexOutOfBoundsException;
}
```

IMPLEMENTING THE ILINKEDLIST

IntelliJ will auto-generate methods with “T” replaced with “Object”...

```
ILinkedList insert (Object item) {  
    ...  
}  
Object getItem() {  
    ...  
}
```

IMPLEMENTING THE ILINKEDLIST

IntelliJ will auto-generate methods with “T” replaced with “Object”...

```
ILinkedList insert(Object item) {  
    ...  
}  
Object getItem() {  
    ...  
}
```


- **A problem for clients**
- Will not enforce type requirements → runtime errors that are hard to detect.

CONVERTING FROM OBJECT TO GENERIC <T>

```
public class EmptyNode implements ILinkedList {  
    Integer count() {...}  
    Object getItem() {...}  
    ILinkedList getRest() {...}  
    ILinkedList insert(Object item) {...}  
    ILinkedList insertAt(Object item, Integer index) {...}  
}
```

CONVERTING FROM OBJECT TO GENERIC <T>

```
public class EmptyNode<T> implements ILinkedList<T> {  
    Integer count() {...}  
    Object getItem() {...}  
    ILinkedList getRest() {...}  
    ILinkedList insert(Object item) {...}  
    ILinkedList insertAt(Object item, Integer index) {...}  
}
```



Change the header to indicate this class takes generic parameters

- Note the triangle brackets <>
- Object data types only (i.e. not primitives)

CONVERTING FROM OBJECT TO GENERIC <T>

```
public class EmptyNode<T> implements ILinkedList<T> {  
    Integer count() {...}  
    Object getItem() {...}  
    ILinkedList<T> getRest() {...}  
    ILinkedList<T> insert(Object item) {...}  
    ILinkedList<T> insertAt(Object item, Integer index) {...}  
}
```

Add <T> to all references to
ILinkedList

CONVERTING FROM OBJECT TO GENERIC <T>

```
public class EmptyNode<T> implements ILinkedList<T> {  
    Integer count() {...}  
    T getItem() {...}  
    ILinkedList<T> getRest() {...}  
    ILinkedList<T> insert(T item) {...}  
    ILinkedList<T> insertAt(T item, Integer index) {...}  
}
```

Change all “Objects” to the generic type parameter, “T”

USING A GENERIC TYPE

Specify **T** when declaring and instantiating:

```
ILinkedList<Integer> intList = new EmptyNode<>();  
ILinkedList<Cat> catList = new EmptyNode<>();
```


USING A GENERIC TYPE

Specify **T** when declaring and instantiating:

```
ILinkedList<Integer> intList = new EmptyNode<>();
```

```
ILinkedList<Cat> catList = new EmptyNode<>();
```

...will enforce type requirements in any methods that have **T** as a parameter

GUARANTEEING TYPE SAFETY

```
ILinkedList<Book> bookList = new EmptyNode<Book>();  
Book book = new Book("A Book", "An Author", 2019, 8.99f);  
bookList = bookList.append(book);  
bookList = bookList.append("Not a Book"); → compile-time error
```

EXAMPLE: VET CLINIC

CS 5004, SPRING 2022 – LECTURE 7

GENERIC CLASS FROM SCRATCH: VET CLINIC EXAMPLE


Software to manage a vet's patient list

Each vet has:

- a maximum number of patients
- a specialty e.g.
 - domestic animals
 - farm animals
 - birds




VET CLINIC EXAMPLE: ANIMALS



VET CLINIC EXAMPLE: USING INHERITANCE

In `AbstractVet`:

- Patients stored in `List<AbstractNonHuman>`
 - Ensures only animals added to the list
- Specialty encoded as an enum



VET CLINIC EXAMPLE: USING INHERITANCE

Adding a patient → must ensure the patient matches the specialty

```
public boolean canAcceptPatient(AbstractNonHuman animal) {  
    // Not extensible! What if new species categories are added?  
    if (this.specialty == Specialty.DOMESTIC)  
        return (animal instanceof AbstractDomestic);  
    else if (this.specialty == Specialty.FARM)  
        return (animal instanceof AbstractFarm);  
    else if (this.specialty == Specialty.BIRD)  
        return (animal instanceof AbstractBird);  
    return false;  
}
```

A GENERIC PATIENTLIST

Create a new generic class to:

- **encapsulate** the maximum number of patients a vet can have *and* their patient information
- **restrict** patients to the appropriate species/category

```
PatientList<Cat> catsOnly = new PatientList<>(100);  
PatientList<AbstractFarm> farmPatients = new PatientList<>(20);
```


A GENERIC PATIENTLIST

```
public class PatientList<T> {  
    private int maxPatients;  
    private List<T> patients;  
    public PatientList(int maxPatients)  
    {  
        this.maxPatients = maxPatients;  
        this.patients = new ArrayList<>();  
    }  
    public List<T> getPatients() {  
        return this.patients;  
    }  
    public void addPatient(T patient) {  
        this.patients.add(patient);  
    }  
}
```

A GENERIC PATIENTLIST

```
public class PatientList<T> {  
    private int maxPatients;  
    private List<T> patients;  
    public PatientList(int maxPatients) {  
        this.maxPatients = maxPatients;  
        this.patients = new ArrayList<>();  
    }  
    public List<T> getPatients() {  
        return this.patients;  
    }  
    public void addPatient(T patient) {  
        this.patients.add(patient);  
    }  
}
```

**A placeholder for the datatype
that will
be stored in the list**

A GENERIC PATIENTLIST

```
public class PatientList<T> {
    private int maxPatients;
    private List<T> patients;
    public PatientList(int maxPatients) {
        this.maxPatients = maxPatients;
        this.patients = new ArrayList<>();
    }
    public List<T> getPatients() {
        return this.patients;
    }
    public void addPatient(T patient) {
        this.patients.add(patient);
    }
}
```

**Use the placeholder anywhere
you need to indicate generic type**

GENERICCS – PART 2

CS 5004, SPRING 2022 – LECTURE 7

MULTIPLE GENERIC PARAMETERS

Design a class that can hold **any pair of objects**

For example:

- First name and last name
- Birth month (Jan... Dec) and birth day (1...31)
- X and Y coordinates

MULTIPLE GENERIC PARAMETERS

```
public class Pair<T, U> {  
    private T first;  
    private U second;
```

← List multiple params

- Must have different names, even if types might be the same

```
    public Pair(T first, U second) { ... }
```

```
    public T getFirst() { ... }
```

```
    public U getSecond() { ... }
```

```
}
```

EXTENDING A GENERIC CLASS

```
public class Point2D extends Pair<Double, Double> {  
    public Point2D(Double x, Double y) {  
        super(x, y);  
    }  
  
    public Double getX() { return super.getFirst(); }  
  
    public Double getY() { return super.getSecond(); }  
}
```

EXTENDING A GENERIC CLASS

```
public class Point2D extends Pair<Double, Double> {  
    public Point2D(Double x, Double y) {  
        super(x, y);  
    }  
  
    public Double getX() { return super.getFirst(); }  
  
    public Double getY() { return super.getSecond(); }  
}
```

**Generic placeholders
replace with actual types**

EXTENDING A GENERIC CLASS

```
public class Point2D extends Pair<Double, Double> {  
    public Point2D(Double x, Double y) {  
        super(x, y);  
    }  
}
```

More meaningful getter names...

```
public Double getX() { return super.getFirst(); }  
  
public Double getY() { return super.getSecond(); }  
}
```

EXTENDING A GENERIC CLASS

```
public class Point2D extends Pair<Double, Double> {  
    public Point2D(Double x, Double y) {  
        super(x, y);  
    }  
}
```

**More meaningful getter names...
.... still call the inherited methods**

```
public Double getX() { return super.getFirst(); }  
  
public Double getY() { return super.getSecond(); }  
}
```

BOUNDED DATA PARAMETERS

CS 5004, SPRING 2022 – LECTURE 7

SETTING BOUNDARIES

If type is not specified → defaults to **T** (**Object**) e.g.

```
Person doolittle = new Person("Dr.", "Doolittle");
```

```
Cat mittens = new Cat("Mittens", doolittle);
```

```
PatientList patients = new PatientList(10);
```

```
patients.addPatient(doolittle);
```

```
patients.addPatient(mittens);
```

SETTING BOUNDARIES

If type is not specified → defaults to **T** (**Object**) e.g.

```
Person doolittle = new Person("Dr.", "Doolittle");
```

```
Cat mittens = new Cat("Mittens", doolittle);
```

```
PatientList patients = new PatientList(10);
```

```
patients.addPatient(doolittle);
```

```
patients.addPatient(mittens);
```

Treated as class **Object**

- Actual class methods no longer available
- Type erasure

BOUNDED TYPE PARAMETERS

Restrict the types that can be passed to a class by **bounding** the type parameter:

`<T extends ClassName>`

BOUNDED TYPE PARAMETERS

Restrict the types that can be passed to a class by **bounding** the type parameter:

```
<T extends ClassName>
```

Only objects that are type **ClassName** can be passed to the class.

- Always **extends**, even if **ClassName** is an interface

BOUNDING THE PATIENTLIST CLASS

```
public class PatientList<T extends AbstractNonHuman> {  
    private int maxPatients;  
    private List<T> patients;  
    public PatientList(int maxPatients) {  
        this.maxPatients = maxPatients;  
        this.patients = new ArrayList<>();  
    }  
    public List<T> getPatients() {  
        return this.patients;  
    }  
    public void addPatient(T patient) {  
        this.patients.add(patient);  
    }  
}
```

Only need **extends...** in the the header

- Anywhere there's a **T** will have compile-time type of **AbstractNonHuman**

BOUNDING THE PATIENTLIST CLASS

If type is not specified → defaults to **AbstractNonHuman** e.g.

```
Person doolittle = new Person("Dr.", "Doolittle");
```

```
Cat mittens = new Cat("Mittens", doolittle);
```

```
PatientList patients = new PatientList(10);
```

```
patients.addPatient(doolittle);
```


```
patients.addPatient(mittens);
```

Compile time error!

- A Person is not an AbstractNonHuman

A LIMITATION FOR THE VET CASE

In real life, vets may be qualified to treat multiple types of animal that don't correspond to the inheritance tree



E.g. birds & domestics but not farm animals

No way to represent this using generics alone!

GENERIC METHODS

CS 5004, SPRING 2022 – LECTURE 7

WHEN ARE GENERICS MOST USEFUL?

Generics are most useful for:

- Collections of things – standard functionality, common to all types
- Generic algorithms e.g. sorting → generic methods

GENERIC METHODS

- Allow you to write one method that can handle different argument types
- Can (sometimes) be used instead of method overloading
 - Most useful for methods that act on arrays/collections

GENERIC METHODS EXAMPLE

Imagine we want to print all items of an array in a particular format

- Could overload a method – one version per array type
- ...redundant code

```
public void printArr(Integer[] arr) {  
    for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {  
        System.out.println(i + ": "  
            + arr[i]);  
    }  
}  
  
public void printArr(String[] arr) {  
    for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {  
        System.out.println(i + ": "  
            + arr[i]);  
    }  
}
```

GENERIC METHODS EXAMPLE

Or we could use generics and write one method for all arrays...

```
public <E> void printArr(E[] arr) {  
    for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {  
        System.out.println(i + ": " + arr[i].toString());  
    }  
}
```

GENERIC METHODS EXAMPLE

```
public <E> void printArr(E[] arr) {  
    for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {  
        System.out.println(i + ": " + arr[i].toString());  
    }  
}
```



Indicate this is a generic method in the method header

- Goes before the return type
- (It is not the return type!)

GENERIC METHODS EXAMPLE

```
public <E> void printArr(E[] arr) {  
    for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {  
        System.out.println(i + ": " + arr[i].toString());  
    }  
}
```



Use the type placeholder in the parameters

GENERIC METHODS – RETURNING A GENERIC

```
public <E> E lastItem(E[] arr) {  
    int lastIndex = arr.length - 1;  
    return arr[lastIndex];  
}
```

**What is this method doing?
...and what is it returning?**

CALLING GENERIC METHODS

```
MyClass myVar = new MyClass();  
String[] strings = {"A", "B", "C"};  
myVar.printArr(strings);  
// prints:  
0: A  
1: B  
2: C
```

Called in the same way as any other method:

- Instantiate a new object of the class

CALLING GENERIC METHODS

```
MyClass myVar = new MyClass();  
String[] strings = {"A", "B", "C"};  
myVar.printArr(strings);  
// prints:  
0: A  
1: B  
2: C
```

Called in the same way as any other method:

- Instantiate a new object of the class
- Call the method using **objectName.methodName(params);**

CALLING GENERIC METHODS

```
MyClass myVar = new MyClass();
String[] strings = {"A", "B", "C"};
myVar.printArr(strings);
// prints:
0: A
1: B
2: C
```

Called in the same way as any other method:

- Instantiate a new object of the class
- Call the method using **objectName.methodName(<params>);**
- The compiler will check that any params meet the placeholder needs:
 - Inherit Object if unbounded
 - Inherit the given class if bounded

STATIC METHODS WITH GENERICS

Sometimes it doesn't make sense to instantiate a new object just to call a method.

- e.g. if the method doesn't reference a property belonging to the class.

```
public <E> void printArr(E[] arr) {  
    for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++)  
    {  
        System.out.println(i + ": " +  
            arr[i].toString());  
    }  
}
```

STATIC METHODS WITH GENERICS

Make these methods static so they can be used without creating an unnecessary Object.

- Static methods must be “standalone”-- can't access non-static properties or methods

```
public static <E> void printArr(E[] arr)
{
    for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {
        System.out.println(i + ": " +
            arr[i].toString());
    }
}
```

STATIC METHODS WITH GENERICS

Call a static method without creating an instance of the class:

- `ClassName.methodName(params);`
- `ClassName.printArr(anArray);`

```
String[] strings = {"A", "B", "C"};
```

```
ArrayHelper myVar = new ArrayHelper();
```

```
myVar.printArr(strings);
```

...becomes...

```
ArrayHelper.printArr(strings);
```

WILDCARDS

CS 5004, SPRING 2022 – LECTURE 7

WILDCARDS

- ? indicates a wild-card type parameter, one that can be any type
`List<?> list = new List<?>(); // anything`
- Difference between `List<?>` and `List<Object>` :
 - ? can become any particular type;
 - `Object` is just one such type
 - `List<Object>` is restrictive; wouldn't take a `List<String>`
- Difference between `List<Foo>` and `List<? extends Foo>`:
 - The latter binds to a particular `Foo` subtype, and allows only that
 - `List<? extends Animal>` might store only Giraffes but not Zebras
 - The former allows anything that is a subtype of `Foo` in the same list,
 - `List<Animal>` could store both Giraffes and Zebras

WILDCARDS

- A wildcard is essentially an anonymous type variable
- Each ? stands for some possibly-different unknown type
- Use a wildcard when you would use a type variable exactly once, so no need to give it a name
- Avoids declaring generic type variables
- Communicates to readers of your code that the type's “identity” is not needed anywhere else
- For a type-parameter instantiation (inside the <...>), can write:
 - ? is shorthand for ? extends Object
 - ? extends Type, some unspecified subtype of Type
 - ? super Type, some unspecified supertype of Type

WILDCARDS

- ? is used in generic code to represent an **unknown** type
 - Used in methods (return or parameter type), not class headers

WILDCARD EXAMPLE

`equals()` in `PatientList`

`@Override`

```
public boolean equals(Object o) {  
    if (this == o) return true;  
    if (o == null || getClass() != o.getClass()) return false;  
    PatientList<?> that = (PatientList<?>) o;  
    return maxPatients == that.maxPatients &&  
           currentPatients.equals(that.currentPatients);  
}
```

ANOTHER WILDCARD EXAMPLE

foo accepts an ArrayList containing objects of unknown type

```
public void foo(ArrayList<?> things) {  
    for (    thing : things) {  
        System.out.println(thing.toString()  
            + " is a thing");  
    }  
}
```

ANOTHER WILDCARD EXAMPLE


foo accepts an ArrayList containing objects of unknown type

- Indicates the wildcard in the parameter.

```
public void foo(ArrayList<?> things) {  
    for (    thing : things) {  
        System.out.println(thing.toString()  
            + " is a thing");  
    }  
}
```

ANOTHER WILDCARD EXAMPLE – CLIENT METHOD

Still need to indicate type here so Java knows how to treat **thing**

```
public void foo(ArrayList<?> things) {  
    for (  thing : things) {  
        System.out.println(thing.toString()  
            + " is a thing");  
    }  
}
```


ANOTHER WILDCARD EXAMPLE – CLIENT METHOD

Still need to indicate type here so Java knows how to treat **thing**

- Can't use **?**, it's a placeholder
- Will be the base type – **Object**

```
public void foo(ArrayList<?> things) {  
    for (Object thing : things) {  
        System.out.println(thing.toString()  
            + " is a thing");  
    }  
}
```

ANOTHER WILDCARD EXAMPLE – CLIENT METHOD

Still need to indicate type here so Java knows how to treat **thing**

- Can't use **?**, it's a placeholder
- Will be the base type – **Object**
 - An **unbounded** wildcard

```
public void foo(ArrayList<?> things) {  
    for (Object thing : things) {  
        System.out.println(thing.toString()  
            + " is a thing");  
    }  
}
```

BOUNDED WILDCARDS

Wildcards can be bounded just like class parameters:

- ? is an unknown type of at least type **Animal** (i.e. it is **Animal** or it inherits **Animal**).
- An **upper bounded** wildcard

```
public void foo(  
    ArrayList<? extends Animal> things) {  
    for (Object thing : things) {  
        System.out.println(thing.toString()  
            + " is a thing");  
    }  
}
```

BOUNDED WILDCARDS

Wildcards can be bounded just like class parameters:

- ? is an unknown type of at least type **Animal** (i.e. it is **Animal** or it inherits **Animal**).
- An **upper bounded** wildcard

```
public void foo(  
    ArrayList<? extends Animal> things) {  
    for (Animal thing : things) {  
        System.out.println(thing.toString()  
            + " is a thing");  
    }  
}
```

Change to upper bound type, Animal.

- Could be anything lower down the inheritance tree (e.g. Cat)
- ... but not anything higher up (e.g. Object)

BOUNDED WILDCARDS

super instead of **extends**:

- ? is an unknown type of **Cat** or above (i.e. Cat, AbstractAnimal, Object...excludes sibling, Dog).
- A **lower bounded** wildcard


```
public void foo(  
    ArrayList<? super Cat> things) {  
    for (Object thing : things) {  
        System.out.println(thing.toString()  
            + " is a thing");  
    }  
}
```

BOUNDED WILDCARDS

super instead of **extends**:

- ? is an unknown type of **Cat** or above (i.e. Cat, AbstractAnimal, Object...excludes sibling, Dog).
- A **lower bounded** wildcard

```
public void foo(  
    ArrayList<? super Cat> things) {  
    for (Object thing : things) {  
        System.out.println(thing.toString()  
            + " is a thing");  
    }  
}
```



In this case, thing's type must be Object

- Could be anything higher up the inheritance tree (e.g. Object)
- ... but not anything more specific

TYPE ERASURE

CS 5004, SPRING 2022 – LECTURE 7

TYPE ERASURE

= how Java compiles generic placeholders and wildcards

- All placeholders and wildcards are replaced with either `Object` (if unbounded) or the bound class (if bounded)
- `<T>` compiles as `Object`
- `<T extends AbstractAnimal>` compiles as `AbstractAnimal`

TYPE ERASURE & OVERLOADING

Can't use method overloading with generic parameters if multiple signatures compile as the same type e.g.:

```
public void print(List<String> list) {...};  
public void print(List<Integer> list) {...};
```

TYPE ERASURE & OVERLOADING

Can't use method overloading with generic parameters if multiple signatures compile as the same type e.g.:

```
public void print(List<String> list);  
public void print(List<Integer> list);
```



If the generic parameter is unbounded <T> → both compile to Object

GENERICIS AND SUBTYPING

CS 5004, SPRING 2022 – LECTURE 7


NOT ALL GENERICS ARE FOR COLLECTIONS

```
class Utils {
    static double sumList(List<Number> lst) {
        double result = 0.0;
        for (Number n : lst) {
            result += n.doubleValue();
        }
        return result;
    }
    static Number choose(List<Number> lst) {
        int i = ... // random number < lst.size
        return lst.get(i);
    }
}
```

NOT ALL GENERICS ARE FOR COLLECTIONS

- Weaknesses:
- We would like to use `sumList` for any subtype of `Number`
 - For example, `Double` or `Integer`
- We would like to use `choose` for any element type
 - I.e. any subclass of `Object`
 - No need to restrict to subclasses of `Number`
 - Want to tell clients more about return type than `Object`
- Class `Utils` is not generic, but the methods should be generic


GENERICIS AND SUBTYPING



- **Integer** is a subtype of **Number**
- Is **List<Integer>** a subtype of **List<Number>**?
- Use subtyping rules (stronger, weaker) to find out...

LIST<NUMBER> AND LIST<INTEGER>

```
interface List<T> {  
    boolean add(T elt);  
    T get(int index);  
}
```



So type `List<Number>` has:

```
boolean add(Number elt);  
Number get(int index);
```

So type `List<Integer>` has:

```
boolean add(Integer elt);  
Integer get(int index);
```

Java subtyping is *invariant* with respect to generics

- Not covariant and not contravariant
- Neither `List<Number>` nor `List<Integer>` subtype of other

HARD TO REMEMBER?

If **Type2** and **Type3** are different,
then **Type1<Type2>** is *not* a subtype of **Type1<Type3>**

Previous example shows why:

- Observer method prevents “one direction”
- Mutator/producer method prevents “the other direction”

If our types have only observers or only mutators, then one direction of subtyping would be sound

- But Java’s type system does not “notice this” so such subtyping is never allowed in Java

READ-ONLY ALLOWS COVARIANCE

```
interface List<T> {  
    T get(int index);  
}
```

So type `List<Number>` has:

```
    Number get(int index);
```

So type `List<Integer>` has:


```
    Integer get(int index);
```

So *covariant* subtyping would be correct:

- `List<Integer>` a subtype of `List<Number>`

But Java does not analyze interface definitions like this

- Conservatively disallows this subtyping



READ-ONLY ALLOWS CONTRAVARIANCE

```
interface List<T> {  
    boolean add(T elt);  
}
```

So type `List<Number>` has:

```
boolean add(Number elt);
```

So type `List<Integer>` has:


```
boolean add(Integer elt);
```

So *contravariant* subtyping would be correct:

- `List<Number>` a subtype of `List<Integer>`

But Java does not analyze interface definitions like this

- Conservatively disallows this subtyping



ABOUT PARAMETERS

- So we have seen `List<Integer>` and `List<Number>` are not subtype-related
- But there is subtyping “as expected” on the generic types themselves
- Example: If `HeftyBag` extends `Bag`, then
 - `HeftyBag<Integer>` is a subtype of `Bag<Integer>`
 - `HeftyBag<Number>` is a subtype of `Bag<Number>`
 - `HeftyBag<String>` is a subtype of `Bag<String>`
 - ...

YOUR QUESTIONS



[Meme credit: imgflip.com]