PSY 364 Proposal

Iris Zhong¹ & Yena Li¹

<sup>1</sup> Smith College

### PSY 364 Proposal

## Hypothesis

Due to the ongoing pandemic, many people have transitioned to work remotely. Therefore, their work-family relationship and chore division with their partner could be altered. Little research has been conducted on how working from home would affect romantic relationships. This study is trying to bridge the gap of this line of research, investigating how an individual or partner's telework could influence their perceived fairness in household chores, thereby affecting their relationship quality. We also incorporate the factor of gender into our research, exploring how males and females differ in their perceived fairness in chore division that leads to differences in relationship qualities.

Our research question is twofold:

- 1. Whether the respondent or their partner is teleworking can affect their perceived fairness in household chores, and further influence their relationship quality;
- 2. Whether gender also plays a role in perceived chore fairness and consequently affects relationship quality.

We plan to adopt an actor-partner model that is present in West, Pearson, Dovidio, Shelton, and Trail (2009). In this model, the outcome from the actor is influenced by both the actor themselves and their partner. Thus, we predict that whether the partner is teleworking can influence the actor's perceived fairness in household chores. Additionally, the partner's perceived fairness in chores can have an impact on the actor's relationship quality.

In addition, in Radcliffe and Cassell (2015)'s study, for heterosexual couples, when the female has more flexibility in working, she tends to take on more family responsibilities without noticing the unfairness. However, when the male has a more flexible job, the female

still involves in the majority of family-related activities. Our research is built on top of this study. We hypothesize that telework allows for a more flexible working schedule, therefore creating more opportunities for the individual to participate in household tasks. On the other hand, females might report less perceived unfairness in chore division, regardless of their working flexibility, because of the traditional gender norms that women internalize.

Finally, we predict that perceived fairness in chore division could have a significant impact on romantic relationship quality. We believe that an even share of household tasks can lead to a higher level of relationship satisfaction.

### Variables

We are planning to use the following variables:

Explanatory Variables.

- **Gender** (*gender*): whether the respondent is male or female
- Participant ID (partID): the ID of the participant
- **Dyad ID** (*dyadID*): the ID of the couple
- Telework (Q139): whether the respondent or their partner is/are teleworking
- Chore fairness (fair\_chores): how the respondent felt about the fairness of the division of household tasks on a particular day
- Time (no direct variable available): indicate the entry in the daily diary

Response variable.

• Relationship quality (qmi1 to qmi4): the quality of marriage index (4 questions in total, 4 point scale)

# Reading List

Below is the list of readings for annotated bibliography:

We have used R (Version 4.0.3; R Core Team, 2020) and the R-package papaja (Version 0.1.0.9997; Aust & Barth, 2020) in this proposal.

#### References

- Aust, F., & Barth, M. (2020). papaja: Create APA manuscripts with R Markdown.

  Retrieved from https://github.com/crsh/papaja
- Radcliffe, L. S., & Cassell, C. (2015). Flexible working, work–family conflict, and maternal gatekeeping: The daily experiences of dual-earner couples. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 88(4), 835–855.
- R Core Team. (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

  Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from https://www.R-project.org/
- West, T. V., Pearson, A. R., Dovidio, J. F., Shelton, J. N., & Trail, T. E. (2009).
  Superordinate identity and intergroup roommate friendship development.
  Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 45(6), 1266–1272.