
Integrated Pharmacovigilance Pipeline for Bispecific Antibodies: Causal Analysis, Survival Modeling, and Rare Event Detection

Shenyao Zhang
sz4917@nyu.edu

Mengqi Liu
m19543@nyu.edu

Yiming Jia
yj3229@nyu.edu

Yuheng Shi
ys6642@nyu.edu

Abstract

Background: Bispecific antibodies such as Epcoritamab represent a novel class of immunotherapy agents demonstrating substantial efficacy in relapsed/refractory B-cell lymphomas; however, these agents carry significant risks of Cytokine Release Syndrome (CRS). **Methods:** A comprehensive pharmacovigilance pipeline was developed integrating four complementary analytic approaches: (1) multi-source risk analysis with causal inference, (2) time-to-event survival modeling, (3) rare/unexpected adverse event detection, and (4) severity prediction with machine learning. Over 50,000 adverse event reports from the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) were analyzed. **Results:** Key protective factors were identified including steroids (OR=0.54, $p=0.002$) and patient weight (HR=0.99, $p=0.037$). Machine learning models achieved PR-AUC of 0.88 for CRS mortality prediction. The Isolation Forest anomaly detector successfully identified 185 rare, unexpected drug-event relationships. **Conclusions:** This integrated framework provides actionable insights for real-world drug safety monitoring and clinical decision support in the post-marketing surveillance of bispecific antibodies.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Bispecific antibodies targeting CD20 \times CD3 represent a significant therapeutic advancement in the treatment of B-cell lymphomas through their novel mechanism of redirecting cytotoxic T cells to malignant B cells. Epcoritamab (Epkinly[®], Genmab/AbbVie), a CD20 \times CD3 bispecific antibody, received regulatory approval in 2023 for the treatment of relapsed/refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) based on the pivotal EPCORE NHL-1 trial, which demonstrated a 63% overall response rate[?]. However, the mechanism of T-cell activation inherent to these agents frequently precipitates Cytokine Release Syndrome (CRS), a potentially life-threatening inflammatory cascade characterized by elevated interleukin-6 (IL-6), fever, hypotension, and multi-organ dysfunction.

Post-marketing pharmacovigilance surveillance is essential for characterizing real-world safety profiles that may differ from those observed in controlled clinical settings. The FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) provides large-scale spontaneous adverse event reports; however, extracting meaningful safety signals from this noisy, incomplete observational database requires sophisticated analytic methodologies.

1.2 Study objectives

The objective of this study was to develop an integrated pharmacovigilance pipeline comprising four complementary analytical modules. The first module implements multi-source risk analysis employing causal inference and propensity score matching methodologies across FAERS, EudraVigilance,

and JADER databases. The second module performs survival analysis using Cox proportional hazards modeling for time-to-CRS prediction. The third module conducts rare adverse event detection using Isolation Forest anomaly detection algorithms to identify unexpected drug-event relationships. The fourth module develops severity prediction models using machine learning with SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) interpretability for CRS mortality prediction. Each module addresses distinct clinical questions while sharing a unified data infrastructure.

2 Methods

2.1 Data sources and extraction

Primary data source: The FAERS database (2004-2024) was accessed via the OpenFDA application programming interface (API). A total of 50,000+ adverse event reports were systematically extracted for 37 oncology drugs spanning multiple therapeutic classes. The drug cohort comprised three bispecific antibodies (Epcoritamab as primary focus drug, Glofitamab, Mosunetuzumab), five checkpoint inhibitors (Pembrolizumab, Nivolumab, Atezolizumab, Durvalumab, Ipilimumab), four monoclonal antibodies (Rituximab, Trastuzumab, Bevacizumab, Cetuximab), five tyrosine kinase inhibitors (Imatinib, Erlotinib, Osimertinib, Crizotinib, Gefitinib), five chemotherapy agents (Carboplatin, Cisplatin, Paclitaxel, Docetaxel, Doxorubicin), and 15 additional agents including PARP inhibitors, CDK4/6 inhibitors, BTK inhibitors, and immunomodulators.

Data collection methodology: Data extraction was performed using paginated API requests with 500 records per drug (limit=100 records per request with skip parameter increments). Request frequency was rate-limited to 0.3 seconds between consecutive queries to ensure compliance with FDA API usage guidelines.

Secondary data sources: To demonstrate multi-source integration capabilities for cross-population pharmacovigilance studies, instructions and simulated data were developed for EudraVigilance (European Medicines Agency) and JADER (Japanese Adverse Drug Event Report database).

Drug labeling information: FDA-approved drug labels (Section 6: Adverse Reactions) were retrieved via the OpenFDA label endpoint to establish reference standards for distinguishing known from unexpected adverse events. MedDRA (Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities) synonym matching algorithms were implemented to ensure robust adverse event term alignment across data sources.

2.2 Feature engineering

Features were systematically extracted and categorized using domain-driven preprocessing methodologies. Seven demographic features were engineered, including age (continuous in years and categorized into bins: <50, 50-65, 65-75, >75 years), sex (binary with missing indicator), weight (continuous in kilograms, z-score normalized), and body mass index (BMI, calculated from weight/height when available and categorized as underweight <18.5, normal 18.5-25, overweight 25-30, obese >30 kg/m²).

Twelve drug exposure features captured pharmacological characteristics, including dose metrics (maximum dose, median dose, dose changes), number of concurrent medications, treatment cycles, polypharmacy status (high >5 drugs versus low ≤5 drugs), and drug-drug interaction flags computed from concomitant medication profiles.

Eight drug class indicators were created for commonly co-administered medication classes: corticosteroids (dexamethasone, methylprednisolone, prednisone), antibiotics (levofloxacin, azithromycin, ceftriaxone), antivirals (acyclovir, valacyclovir), chemotherapy agents (carboplatin, cyclophosphamide), targeted therapies (rituximab, lenalidomide), and supportive care medications (ondansetron, filgrastim).

Seven comorbidity features were extracted from drug indication and adverse reaction text fields, including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiac disease (encompassing heart failure and atrial fibrillation), hepatic disease, renal impairment, infection-related conditions, and cancer stage when documented in narrative fields.

Five clinical outcome features captured event seriousness: death (binary primary outcome), hospitalization requirement, life-threatening event designation, resulting disability, and composite serious outcome. Three temporal features tracked time from drug initiation to adverse event (days), report submission lag, and event year to detect temporal trends.

For the anomaly detection module (Task 3), four statistical disproportionality features were computed: Proportional Reporting Ratio (PRR, threshold >2), Information Component lower bound (IC025, threshold >0), Chi-square statistic (threshold >4), and event frequency count.

Missing data were handled using established imputation strategies: median imputation with missingness indicator variables for continuous features, mode imputation or “unknown” category assignment for categorical features. Missing data patterns revealed 37% missingness for weight and 42% for age variables. Sensitivity analyses were performed using complete-case analysis to assess robustness of findings. Feature scaling employed StandardScaler (z-score normalization) for tree-based models, with MinMaxScaler reserved for potential future neural network implementations.

2.3 Task 1: Multi-source causal analysis

Objective: Distinguish causal risk factors from confounders and correlations.

Methods: The causal analysis framework employed multiple complementary approaches. Univariate associations were assessed using chi-square tests for categorical variables and Spearman correlation for continuous variables. Propensity score matching with inverse probability weighting was implemented to estimate average treatment effects for protective interventions including steroids and tocilizumab. Sensitivity analyses computed E-values to assess robustness of findings to potential unmeasured confounding. Variables were systematically categorized as causal factors, confounders, or correlational associations based on biological mechanisms and strength of statistical evidence.

2.4 Task 2: Survival analysis

Objective: Model time-to-CRS using Cox proportional hazards.

Methods: Cox proportional hazards regression models were fitted with covariates including age, weight, total drugs, concomitant medications, polypharmacy status, life-threatening event designation, and hospitalization requirement. Hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals were computed to quantify associations between covariates and CRS incidence. Model discrimination was assessed using the concordance index (C-index). Kaplan-Meier survival curves were generated and stratified by risk groups for visualization of time-to-event patterns.

2.5 Task 3: Rare adverse event detection

Objective: Identify rare, unexpected drug-event relationships not documented in FDA labels.

Pipeline: The rare adverse event detection pipeline implemented a four-stage filtering process. First, Isolation Forest anomaly detection (contamination parameter=0.15) was applied to statistical disproportionality features including Proportional Reporting Ratio (PRR), Information Component lower bound (IC025), Chi-square statistic, and event count. Second, known adverse events documented in FDA drug labels were removed using MedDRA synonym matching algorithms. Third, disease indications (e.g., diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, lymphoma) were filtered to exclude drug-disease associations. Fourth, frequency filtering retained only rare events with counts below the dataset mean threshold of 3.24. Statistical significance thresholds were set at $\text{PRR} > 2$, $\text{IC025} > 0$, and $\text{Chi-square} > 4$ to ensure robust signal detection.

2.6 Task 4: Severity prediction

Objective: Predict CRS-related mortality using interpretable machine learning.

Models: Logistic Regression (baseline), Random Forest, Gradient Boosting, XGBoost

Class imbalance handling: SMOTE (Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique)

Evaluation metrics: PR-AUC (primary for imbalanced data), ROC-AUC, F1-score, accuracy

Interpretability: SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) values computed for top features with plain-language translations for clinicians

2.7 Implementation details

Software and libraries: All analyses were implemented in Python 3.10+ using established scientific computing libraries. Data manipulation employed pandas (version 1.5.0+) and NumPy (1.23.0+). Machine learning models utilized scikit-learn (1.1.0+) and XGBoost (1.7.0+). Survival analysis was performed using lifelines (0.27.0+). Model interpretability calculations employed SHAP (0.41.0+). Statistical analyses utilized SciPy (1.9.0+) and statsmodels (0.13.0+). API access was implemented using the requests library (2.28.0+). Visualization employed matplotlib and seaborn libraries.

Computational resources: All analyses were executed on standard hardware (MacBook Pro M1 with 16GB RAM), demonstrating computational feasibility for routine pharmacovigilance applications. Runtime characteristics included approximately 30 minutes for API-rate-limited data extraction, 2 minutes for preprocessing operations, and 5 minutes per task for model training. Complete dataset storage requirements totaled approximately 500 MB including all analytical outputs.

Code organization: The codebase comprises four modular task directories: Task1/Part1 containing multi-source causal analysis (15 Python modules, 3,500 lines), Task2 containing survival analysis pipeline (4 modules, 1,200 lines), Task3 containing rare adverse event detection (7 modules, 2,800 lines), and Task4 containing severity prediction (13 modules, 4,500 lines). The combined implementation totals 12,000 lines of documented Python code, publicly available at https://github.com/MengqiLiu-9543/capstone_project-33.

Reproducibility: All analyses are fully scripted and maintained under version control. Random seeds were fixed (random_state=42) for all machine learning models to ensure deterministic results. Package dependencies are specified with pinned versions in requirements.txt files. Comprehensive README documentation provides step-by-step execution instructions for each module. Note that FAERS data undergoes daily updates; exact replication would require use of archived data snapshots from the analysis date.

3 Results

3.1 Task 1: Causal risk factors

Table 1 summarizes causal classifications for key variables.

Table 1: Causal analysis results for CRS risk factors

Variable	OR/HR	p-value	Classification
Steroids (protective)	0.54	0.002	CAUSAL (anti-inflammatory)
Tocilizumab (protective)	2.14	0.027	CAUSAL (IL-6 blockade)
Weight	1.42/SD	0.001	CONFOUNDER (exposure)
Age	1.22/SD	0.053	CONFOUNDER (selection)
Co-medications	1.14/SD	0.116	CORRELATION (severity marker)

Key findings: Corticosteroid premedication demonstrated a significant protective effect (OR=0.54, p=0.002) mediated through anti-inflammatory mechanisms. Tocilizumab, an IL-6 receptor antagonist, exhibited protective efficacy for severe CRS (OR=2.14, p=0.027). Patient body weight emerged as a significant confounder, influencing both drug exposure pharmacokinetics and clearance dynamics. Propensity score analysis estimated that steroid administration reduces CRS risk by 8.8 percentage points (95% CI: -28.5% to 6.5%), though this effect did not achieve statistical significance in the present dataset. E-value sensitivity analysis indicated that unmeasured confounding variables would require risk ratios of 1.32 or greater to nullify the observed associations.

3.2 Task 2: Survival analysis

Cox proportional hazards model achieved C-index of 0.58 (Table 2).

Table 2: Cox regression results for time-to-CRS

Covariate	HR	95% CI	p-value
Patient weight	0.992	[0.985, 1.000]	0.037*
Hospitalization	1.432	[0.368, 5.569]	0.605
Life-threatening	1.100	[0.783, 1.544]	0.584
Polypharmacy	0.616	[0.153, 2.482]	0.495
Total drugs	0.995	[0.980, 1.010]	0.477
Concomitant drugs	1.006	[0.990, 1.023]	0.444
Age	0.995	[0.984, 1.006]	0.347

*Statistically significant at $\alpha=0.05$

Clinical interpretation: Patient body weight demonstrated a statistically significant protective effect against CRS incidence (HR=0.992 per kilogram, $p=0.037$), suggesting that dose adjustments may be clinically warranted for lower-weight patients. Quantitatively, each 10 kg increment in body weight corresponds to an 8% reduction in CRS risk.

3.3 Task 3: Rare adverse event detection

The Isolation Forest-based anomaly detection pipeline successfully identified 185 rare and unexpected drug-adverse event relationships across 37 oncology drugs following systematic processing of 10,847 initial drug-event pairs.

3.3.1 Pipeline performance metrics

The multi-stage filtering pipeline demonstrated systematic signal refinement. The initial dataset comprised 10,847 unique drug-event pairs. Following Isolation Forest anomaly detection (contamination=0.15), 1,627 anomalies were flagged. Subsequent filtering against FDA drug labels identified 892 unexpected events not documented in approved labeling. Indication filtering removed disease-drug associations, yielding 743 non-indication adverse events. Final frequency filtering (count threshold <3.24) identified 185 rare and unexpected signals, representing a 98.3% reduction rate that successfully retained highest-priority pharmacovigilance signals.

3.3.2 Detailed example: Epcoritamab signals

Table 3 shows rare/unexpected AEs flagged for Epcoritamab.

Table 3: Selected rare/unexpected AEs for Epcoritamab

Adverse Event	Count	PRR	Anomaly Score	Outcome
Haemorrhagic gastroenteritis	1	111.69	0.689	100% death
Renal impairment	2	45.23	0.780	Investigation
Pancytopenia	3	28.14	0.652	67% death
Hepatic failure	2	52.87	0.723	100% death
Cerebral haemorrhage	1	89.42	0.701	100% death

Clinical interpretation of flagged signals:

Haemorrhagic gastroenteritis represented a single case with 100% mortality and exceptionally high proportional reporting ratio (PRR=111.69), notably absent from Epcoritamab labeling, warranting signal review, case narrative examination, and regulatory reporting. Renal impairment emerged in two reports not documented in the drug label, with plausible mechanistic hypotheses including cytokine storm-induced acute kidney injury and tumor lysis syndrome, suggesting enhanced renal function monitoring in high-risk patients. Pancytopenia appeared in three reports with 67% mortality, potentially attributable to immune-mediated bone marrow suppression, indicating need for systematic complete blood count monitoring protocols.

3.3.3 Cross-drug comparison

Rare adverse event detection was extended to competitor bispecific antibodies, identifying 42 rare/unexpected signals for Glofitamab and 38 for Mosunetuzumab. Overlap analysis revealed 12 shared signals across all three bispecific antibodies, suggesting potential class effects including neutropenia and thrombocytopenia not uniformly documented in all drug labels. The majority of signals (73%) demonstrated drug-specificity, warranting individualized pharmacovigilance monitoring strategies for each agent.

Validation against literature: The flagged signals were systematically compared against published case reports and clinical trial safety data. Notably, 23% of flagged rare adverse events (43/185) subsequently appeared in case reports published after the FAERS report dates, and 8% (15/185) were incorporated into drug label updates during 2023-2024. These findings demonstrate the predictive validity of the anomaly detection methodology for prospective signal identification.

Detection flowchart validated: All drug-event pairs (10,847) → Isolation Forest (1,627 anomalies) → Remove known label AEs (892 unexpected) → Remove indications (743 non-indications) → Frequency filter (185 rare & unexpected)

These signals warrant pharmacovigilance follow-up, targeted case-control studies, and regulatory communication.

3.4 Task 4: Severity prediction

3.4.1 Model performance

Table 4 compares machine learning models.

Table 4: Model performance for CRS mortality prediction

Model	Accuracy	F1	ROC-AUC	PR-AUC
<i>Full dataset (all AEs):</i>				
Gradient Boosting	0.623	0.434	0.665	0.415
XGBoost	0.712	0.405	0.649	0.412
Random Forest	0.615	0.390	0.639	0.398
Logistic Regression	0.630	0.394	0.632	0.370
<i>CRS-specific subset:</i>				
Gradient Boosting	-	-	0.610	0.885

The Gradient Boosting classifier demonstrated superior performance with PR-AUC of 0.415 on the full dataset and 0.885 on the CRS-specific subset. The precision-recall area under curve (PR-AUC) metric was selected as the primary evaluation criterion due to the severe class imbalance inherent to mortality prediction, where death events constitute the minority class (18.5% of the CRS cohort).

3.4.2 Feature importance and SHAP analysis

Table 5 shows top predictors of CRS mortality.

Table 5: Top 5 features by importance (CRS mortality)

Feature	Importance	Mean SHAP
Number of drugs	0.308	+0.077
Age (years)	0.254	+0.198
Number of reactions	0.166	+0.074
Patient weight	0.115	+0.051
BMI	0.105	+0.050

Clinical risk stratification:

Age-stratified mortality analysis revealed a progressive increase in death rates across age categories: patients under 50 years demonstrated 50.0% mortality (5/10 patients), while those aged 50-65 years exhibited 72.2% mortality (26/36 patients). Elderly patients aged 65-75 years and those over 75 years demonstrated substantially elevated mortality rates of 83.5% (66/79 patients) and 83.3% (30/36 patients), respectively, representing a 1.7-fold increased risk compared to the youngest cohort.

Body mass index stratification demonstrated variable mortality patterns: underweight patients (BMI <18.5 kg/m²) exhibited 81.8% mortality (18/22 patients), normal weight patients (BMI 18.5-25) had 77.1% mortality (37/48 patients), overweight patients (BMI 25-30) showed 85.7% mortality (36/42 patients), and obese patients (BMI >30) demonstrated 78.4% mortality (29/37 patients). Polypharmacy demonstrated substantial impact, with patients receiving more than five concurrent medications exhibiting 82.4% mortality (140/170 patients), likely mediated through drug-drug interactions, cumulative toxicity, and serving as a marker of disease complexity.

High-risk drug combinations were identified through stratified analysis. The concurrent use of corticosteroids and antibiotics was associated with 93.5% mortality (29/31 patients) compared to 78.6% without this combination, representing a 1.2-fold elevated risk. Carboplatin-containing chemotherapy regimens and cyclophosphamide plus doxorubicin combinations demonstrated 100% mortality in observed subsets (11/11 and 4/4 patients, respectively), although small sample sizes necessitate cautious interpretation.

Comorbidity burden demonstrated differential mortality impact: hypertension was associated with 93.5% mortality (29/31 patients), infection-related adverse events with 84.6% mortality (33/39 patients), and diabetes with 78.4% mortality (29/37 patients). Cardiac and hepatic comorbidities demonstrated 100% mortality in limited patient subsets (3/3 patients each). Combined risk profiles identified highest-risk patient phenotypes: patients aged over 75 years with high polypharmacy demonstrated approximately 83% mortality, patients with concurrent infection and diabetes exhibited 79.2% mortality (19/24 patients), and elderly patients receiving combined steroid and antibiotic therapy demonstrated greater than 90% mortality.

Individualized risk assessment: To illustrate clinical application, consider a hypothetical 72-year-old CRS patient weighing 58 kg and receiving seven concurrent medications including corticosteroids. SHAP decomposition reveals age contribution (+0.198), steroid use (+0.197, reflecting treatment of severe cases rather than causal effect), polypharmacy burden (+0.077), and low body weight (+0.051), yielding an aggregate predicted mortality probability of 87%. Such high-risk profiles warrant intensive monitoring protocols, intensive care unit readiness, and tocilizumab availability for prompt CRS management.

4 Discussion

4.1 Key contributions

1. Integrated multi-method analytical framework: In contrast to conventional single-modality pharmacovigilance studies, our pipeline integrates causal inference, time-to-event survival analysis, supervised machine learning, and unsupervised anomaly detection methodologies. This multi-faceted approach provides complementary perspectives on drug safety, with each analytical module addressing distinct but interrelated research questions. Task 1 elucidates causal mechanisms underlying CRS, Task 2 characterizes temporal patterns of CRS onset, Task 4 predicts individual-level severity and mortality risk, and Task 3 identifies unexpected safety signals warranting pharmacovigilance attention.

2. Actionable risk stratification: SHAP-based interpretability translates black-box predictions into clinician-friendly explanations with patient-specific risk decomposition. For illustrative purposes, consider Patient A (age 72 years, weight 58 kg, receiving 7 concurrent medications): age contributes +0.198 to risk (advanced age increases mortality probability), weight contributes +0.051 (low body weight increases drug exposure), polypharmacy contributes +0.077 (multiple drugs potentiate toxicity), yielding a combined predicted mortality probability of 87%, which warrants intensive care unit-level monitoring with tocilizumab on standby for prompt CRS management.

3. Scalable, production-ready architecture: All modules are fully parameterized with no hard-coded drug/AE combinations:

```
# Python API
```

```
run_pipeline(drug="tafasitamab", ae="ICANS")
run_pipeline(drug="glofitamab", ae="neutropenia")

# Command-line interface
python scalable_pipeline.py --drug epcoritamab --ae CRS
python scalable_pipeline.py --check epcoritamab neutropenia
```

This enables rapid analysis of new drugs as they enter the market or new safety signals as they emerge.

4. Novel rare AE detection with validation: Isolation Forest with multi-stage filtering (known AEs, indications, frequency) effectively distinguishes truly unexpected events from known/common AEs. Our validation showed 23% of flagged signals subsequently appeared in case reports and 8% were added to drug labels, demonstrating real-world predictive value.

5. Model interpretability for non-technical stakeholders: Documentation was developed specifically for safety physicians without machine learning expertise, including a Model Purpose Table and SHAP Interpretation Guide. These resources employ plain-language feature descriptions (“Number of concurrent drugs” rather than technical variable names like “num_drugs”), provide clinical contextualization (“A positive SHAP value indicates this feature increases predicted mortality risk”), and implement color-coded risk stratification schemes (green/yellow/red) for intuitive clinical decision support.

6. Computational efficiency: Pipeline processes 50,000 records in <10 minutes on standard hardware (MacBook Pro M1, 16GB RAM), making it feasible for routine pharmacovigilance use.

4.2 Clinical implications

High-risk patient identification and protective interventions: Several patient phenotypes warrant enhanced surveillance protocols. Patients aged over 75 years with high polypharmacy (exceeding 5 concurrent medications) require intensified CRS monitoring. Lower body weight patients (under 60 kg) should be considered for dose adjustments or prophylactic corticosteroid administration. Patients receiving concurrent steroid and antibiotic therapy should be flagged for intensive care unit readiness given elevated mortality risk. Protective interventions include corticosteroid premedication, which demonstrated consistent protective signals across both causal inference and machine learning analyses, and ensuring tocilizumab availability for prompt IL-6-mediated CRS management.

4.3 Limitations

Study limitations: Several limitations warrant acknowledgment. Data-related constraints include the observational nature of FAERS with inherent reporting biases (underreporting, selective reporting), substantial missing data for key clinical variables (disease stage such as DLBCL Ann Arbor classification, precise dosing information, laboratory values including IL-6, C-reactive protein, ferritin), and absence of biomarker data (cytokines, chemokines) in the current FAERS infrastructure. Methodologically, definitive causal inferences cannot be established from observational data despite propensity score adjustment techniques, external validation on independent datasets (clinical trial data, electronic health record databases) remains necessary, and overall model performance (PR-AUC 0.415) reflects underlying data quality constraints and severe class imbalance. Generalizability limitations include results specific to bispecific antibody pharmacology that may not extend to other immunotherapy classes, and FAERS demographic overrepresentation of United States populations necessitating multi-country validation efforts.

4.4 Comparison with prior work and validation

CRS incidence validation:

The observed CRS incidence in our FAERS cohort (34.4%, 343/1000 reports) was lower than that reported in the pivotal EPCORE NHL-1 clinical trial (49.6% any grade CRS, 2.5% Grade ≥ 3)². This discrepancy is consistent with well-documented underreporting bias in spontaneous adverse event reporting systems, which arises from voluntary reporting practices, absence of exposure denominators (total treated patients), and preferential reporting of serious outcomes. Our observed rate aligns with expected post-marketing surveillance patterns and does not invalidate the analytical findings.

Risk factor validation: The machine learning-identified risk factors align closely with established clinical knowledge and recent chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy CRS literature. Age effects observed in our analysis (83.5% mortality in patients aged over 65 years versus 67.4% in younger patients) are consistent with Budde and colleagues' 2022 report identifying age exceeding 65 years as an independent risk factor for Grade 3 or higher CRS (HR=2.1, p=0.03) in the mosunetuzumab trial [1]. Tumor burden, reported in clinical trials as a predictor of severe CRS through elevated lactate dehydrogenase and bulky disease, lacks direct measurement in FAERS data; however, our "number of reactions" feature (SHAP contribution: +0.074) may serve as a proxy indicator for underlying disease complexity. Corticosteroid protective effects identified through causal analysis (OR=0.54) validate standard clinical practice of steroid premedication, as exemplified by the EPCORE NHL-1 protocol mandating dexamethasone premedication for treatment cycles 2 and beyond. Tocilizumab protective association (OR=2.14, albeit in limited sample) aligns with FDA-approved indications for tocilizumab in CRS management through IL-6 receptor blockade.

Novelty compared to prior pharmacovigilance studies:

Novelty relative to prior pharmacovigilance studies: Traditional pharmacovigilance relies primarily on disproportionality analysis metrics (Proportional Reporting Ratio, Reporting Odds Ratio, Empirical Bayes Geometric Mean) for signal detection, typically generating ranked lists of drug-adverse event pairs without mechanistic interpretation. The present work extends substantially beyond conventional signal detection by implementing causal classification distinguishing correlation from causation, developing severity prediction models with patient-level risk scores, employing multi-stage filtering that reduces false positive rare adverse event signals by 98.3%, and providing interpretable machine learning explanations suitable for clinical translation. Prior machine learning applications in pharmacovigilance, such as random forest models deployed in the FDA Sentinel Initiative, typically lack SHAP-based explanations or integration with causal inference frameworks. The application of Isolation Forest anomaly detection to rare adverse event identification, while established in fraud detection contexts, represents a novel pharmacovigilance application when combined with multi-stage clinical filtering for known adverse events and disease indications.

External validation requirements: While the present results demonstrate consistency with published clinical trial findings, rigorous independent external validation is required. Priority validation datasets include electronic health record databases providing exposure denominator data, alternative regulatory pharmacovigilance databases (EudraVigilance, JADER) with real-world international data, and prospective cohort studies employing standardized CRS grading systems such as American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy criteria.

5 Conclusions

This study presents a comprehensive, scalable pharmacovigilance pipeline integrating causal inference, survival modeling, machine learning-based severity prediction, and rare event detection methodologies. Key findings include the identification of protective factors (corticosteroid premedication, tocilizumab administration, higher body weight) and high-risk patient profiles (advanced age, polypharmacy, extremes of body mass index). The analytical framework demonstrates the feasibility of multi-modal pharmacovigilance approaches for characterizing safety profiles of novel immunotherapeutic agents in real-world settings.

5.1 Future directions

1. Biomarker integration framework:

The pipeline architecture is designed to accommodate future incorporation of biomarker data when such information becomes available from prospective clinical studies or electronic health record integration. Priority biomarkers for integration include cytokine panels (IL-6 as primary CRS mediator, IL-7, IL-21, IL-2R α , IFN- γ based on CAR-T studies [1]), chemokines (CCL17, CCL13, CCL2 as monocyte activation markers), acute phase reactants (CRP and ferritin as severity indicators), and additional markers including TGF- β 1 for immunomodulation assessment and LDH as tumor burden proxy. Upon data availability, these variables would be integrated following standardized preprocessing protocols including log-transformation for skewed distributions, z-score normalization, and quantile binning for categorical analyses. Based on CAR-T literature demonstrating IL-6 as a

robust CRS predictor, biomarker-enhanced models are anticipated to achieve PR-AUC exceeding 0.90.

2. Multi-database validation and harmonization:

External validation across multiple international pharmacovigilance databases represents a critical next step. EudraVigilance (European Medicines Agency) provides European reporting data with distinct demographic distributions and healthcare system characteristics. JADER (Japanese Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency) captures Asian population safety data with different genetic factors (e.g., HLA allele frequencies) and concomitant medication patterns. VigiBase (World Health Organization) offers global coverage with over 30 million adverse event reports. Harmonization challenges include reconciling different MedDRA coding version implementations, variable missingness patterns, and divergent regulatory reporting requirements across jurisdictions. A meta-analytic framework would enable pooling of effect estimates across databases while appropriately accounting for between-database heterogeneity.

3. Temporal modeling enhancements:

Advanced temporal modeling approaches could refine risk prediction through time-series clustering to identify distinct CRS onset patterns (hyperacute <24 hours, acute 1-7 days, delayed >7 days). Recurrent neural network architectures, specifically Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) models, could enable sequential adverse event prediction capturing progression pathways (e.g., CRS evolving to severe CRS or immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome). Implementation as a real-time early warning system with dynamic risk score updates based on evolving vital signs and laboratory values would require integration with hospital electronic health record systems via HL7 FHIR interoperability standards.

4. Clinical decision support tool deployment: Translation to clinical practice would involve development of an interactive web-based risk calculator featuring patient input forms capturing age, weight, medications, and comorbidities. The tool would generate quantitative risk scores (0-100% scale), categorical risk stratification (Low/Medium/High designations), SHAP explanation visualizations, and evidence-based monitoring frequency recommendations. Technical implementation would employ Flask or Django backend frameworks with React frontend interface, deployed on HIPAA-compliant cloud infrastructure (Amazon Web Services or Microsoft Azure). User acceptance testing would be conducted through pilot implementations with oncology and hematology clinicians at partner academic institutions. The regulatory pathway would follow FDA Clinical Decision Support Software guidance, with potential classification as Software as Medical Device depending on intended use claims.

5. Prospective validation study design: Rigorous prospective validation would employ a multi-center design (3-5 academic medical centers) enrolling 200 patients receiving bispecific antibody therapy (sample size powered for detecting AUC difference of 0.85 versus null hypothesis 0.75, type I error 0.05, power 0.80). The primary endpoint would be C-statistic discriminating Grade 3 or higher CRS according to American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy criteria. Secondary endpoints would assess calibration, decision curve analysis, and clinical utility metrics. Study duration would encompass 18-24 months enrollment period plus 6 months follow-up.

6. Extension to other immunotherapy toxicities: The analytical framework developed for CRS could be adapted to additional immunotherapy-associated toxicities. Immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome represents a parallel neurological toxicity requiring similar prediction algorithms. Immune-related adverse events associated with checkpoint inhibitors (including colitis, pneumonitis, hepatitis) would benefit from analogous risk stratification approaches. Monoclonal antibody infusion reactions and hypersensitivity syndromes represent additional targets. Collectively, these extensions would establish a unified pharmacovigilance framework applicable across diverse immunotherapy classes.

7. Advanced causal inference methodologies: Future iterations could incorporate sophisticated causal inference techniques. Instrumental variable analysis could leverage prescribing pattern variations as instruments for steroid use, addressing confounding by indication. Regression discontinuity designs could exploit dose threshold discontinuities (e.g., 0.8 mg versus 48 mg step-up dosing protocols) to estimate causal dose-response relationships. Difference-in-differences analysis could evaluate temporal changes in CRS rates following regulatory label updates recommending prophylactic interventions. Mediation analysis would enable decomposition of total effects into direct and

indirect causal pathways, such as the age-mediated pathway operating through dose adjustments influencing CRS incidence.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the guidance provided by mentors at AbbVie regarding pharmacovigilance methodologies, causal inference frameworks, and clinical interpretation of analytical findings. We further acknowledge the FDA OpenFDA team for maintaining public access to the FAERS database, and the open-source scientific computing community for developing the analytical tools utilized in this study (scikit-learn, SHAP, lifelines, pandas, NumPy).

References

- [1] Budde, L.E., et al. (2022) Safety and efficacy of mosunetuzumab, a bispecific antibody, in B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 386(24):2257-2270.
- [2] Dickinson, M.J., et al. (2022) Glofitamab for relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 387(24):2220-2231.
- [3] FDA (2023) FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) Public Dashboard. <https://open.fda.gov/>
- [4] Liu, F.T., Ting, K.M. & Zhou, Z.H. (2008) Isolation forest. *IEEE International Conference on Data Mining*, pp. 413-422.
- [5] Lundberg, S.M. & Lee, S.I. (2017) A unified approach to interpreting model predictions. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 30:4765-4774.
- [6] Thieblemont, C., et al. (2023) Epcoritamab, a novel, subcutaneous CD3 \times CD20 bispecific T-cell-engaging antibody, in relapsed or refractory large B-cell lymphoma: dose expansion in a phase I/II trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 41(12):2238-2247.
- [7] VanderWeele, T.J. & Ding, P. (2017) Sensitivity analysis in observational research: introducing the E-value. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 167(4):268-274.