

Bellman-Ford Algorithm for shortest paths

Input: Directed graph $G = (V, E)$, edge weights $w : E \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, source $s \in V$.

Output: For each $u \in V$, $u.distance = \delta(s, u)$, shortest path distance from s to u , and, $u.parent =$ predecessor of u in such a path. If G has a negative cycle, algorithm returns *false*, otherwise *true*.

Idea: Dynamic program of the following recursive algorithm.

Define $d_k(u)$ to be the length of a shortest path from s to u that uses at most k edges. When $k = 0$, $d_0(u) = \infty$, if $u \neq s$, and, $d_0(s) = 0$.

Recurrence for d_k :

$$d_k(u) = \min\{d_{k-1}(u), \min_{(p,u) \in E} \{d_{k-1}(p) + w(p, u)\}\}.$$

If G does not have a negative cycle, then $d_{|V|-1}(u) = \delta(s, u)$, because a simple shortest path has at most $|V| - 1$ edges. In addition, if $d_k(u) = d_{k-1}(u)$, for all $u \in V$, then the recursion can be stopped at k . If G has a negative cycle, then $d_{|V|}(u) \neq d_{|V|-1}(u)$, for some $u \in V$, and the algorithm returns *false*.

Dynamic program to compute d_k : Take 2

Recurrence for d_k is guaranteed to be feasible, and therefore all elements of $u.d[]$ can be overlaid on the same location, thus replacing the array by a scalar, $u.distance$. In addition, all edges are relaxed in each iteration of k . Edges of the graphs can be relaxed in any order, for a given k .

Bellman-Ford(Graph $G = (V, E)$, Vertex s)

```

for  $u \in V$  do
     $u.distance \leftarrow \infty$ 
     $u.parent \leftarrow null$ 
 $s.distance \leftarrow 0$ 
for  $k \leftarrow 1$  to  $|V|$  do
     $nochange \leftarrow true$ 
    for edge  $e = (u, v) \in E$  do
        if  $v.distance > u.distance + w(e)$  then
             $v.distance \leftarrow u.distance + w(e)$ 
             $v.parent \leftarrow u$ 
             $nochange \leftarrow false$ 
    if  $nochange$  then
        return true
return false //  $G$  has a negative cycle

```

Dynamic program to compute d_k : Take 1

```

// Store  $d_k(u)$  in array  $d[]$  defined in Vertex class.
// Solve problems in increasing values of  $k$  to avoid recursive calls.
for  $u \in V$  do
     $u.d[0] \leftarrow \infty$ ;  $u.parent \leftarrow null$ 
 $s.d[0] \leftarrow 0$ 
// Invariant:  $u.d[k-1] = d_{k-1}(u)$ , for all  $u \in V$ .
for  $k \leftarrow 1$  to  $|V|$  do
     $nochange \leftarrow true$ 
    for  $u \in V$  do
         $u.d[k] \leftarrow u.d[k-1]$ 
        for edge  $e = (p, u) \in E$  do
            if  $u.d[k] > p.d[k-1] + w(e)$  then
                 $u.d[k] \leftarrow p.d[k-1] + w(e)$ 
                 $u.parent \leftarrow p$ 
                 $nochange \leftarrow false$ 
    if  $nochange$  then
        for  $u \in V$  do  $u.distance \leftarrow u.d[k]$ 
        return true
return false //  $G$  has a negative cycle

```

Faster algorithm: Take 3

Process edges out of u only when $u.distance$ changes. Keep track of how many times a node has been processed in field *count*. Worst-case RT is $O(|E||V|)$, but actual RT for many graphs is significantly less than the algorithm in Take 2.

Create a queue q to hold vertices waiting to be processed

```

for  $u \in V$  do
     $u.distance \leftarrow \infty$ ;  $u.parent \leftarrow null$ ;  $u.count \leftarrow 0$ ;  $u.seen \leftarrow false$ 
 $s.distance \leftarrow 0$ ;  $s.seen \leftarrow true$ ;  $q.add(s)$ 
while  $q$  is not empty do
     $u \leftarrow q.remove()$ ;  $u.seen \leftarrow false$  // no longer in  $q$ 
     $u.count \leftarrow u.count + 1$ 
    if  $u.count \geq |V|$  then return false // Negative cycle
    for Edge  $e = (u, v) \in u.Adj$  do
        if  $v.distance > u.distance + w(e)$  then
             $v.distance \leftarrow u.distance + w(e)$ 
             $v.parent \leftarrow u$ 
            if not  $v.seen$  then
                 $q.add(v)$ ;  $v.seen \leftarrow true$ 
return true

```