

<b>Algorithm</b>	<b>Apriori</b>	<b>Eclat</b>
<b>type</b>	BFS (breadth first search)	DFS(depth first search)
<b>Data representation</b>	Horizontal (transactions)	Vertical (item -> transaction IDs)
<b>Support calc</b>	Count itemsets by scanning the database multiple times	Intersect transaction ID lists
<b>Memory Usage</b>	Moderate to high for large datasets	Often lower
<b>Efficiency</b>	Slower on large/dense datasets due to multiple scans and many candidates	Faster on dense datasets due to fewer database scans
<b>Complexity</b>	Generates lots of candidates, pruning needed	No candidate generation, recursively intersects tid-lists
<b>Output</b>	Frequent itemsets and association rules	Frequent itemsets and association rules
<b>Ease of Implementation</b>	Easy with libraries	More complex due to recursive implementation
<b>Best For</b>	Sparse datasets, smaller databases	Dense datasets, larger databases
<b>Candidate Explosion Problem</b>	have	Haven't
<b>Execution Time to Produce Rules</b>	Slower than eclat	Fast