STAT 302: Linear Regression

Sheridan Grant

University of Washington Statistics Department slgstats@uw.edu

April 15, 2020

Linear models are much more comprehensive than you might guess. Suppose you thought the $X,\,Y$ relationship was quadratic, i.e.

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \beta_2 X_i^2 + \epsilon_i$$

Then just define a new covariate, X^2 , by considering the squares of the X_i !

Linear models are much more comprehensive than you might guess. Suppose you thought the X,Y relationship was quadratic, i.e.

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \beta_2 X_i^2 + \epsilon_i$$

Then just define a new covariate, X^2 , by considering the squares of the X_i ! Interpretation: an increase of SAT from x_0 to x_1 points is associated with an expected increase in GPA of

$$[\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_1^2] - [\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_0 + \beta_2 x_0^2] = \beta_1 [x_1 - x_0] + \beta_2 (x_1^2 - x_0^2)$$

You can transform the response variable too:

$$\log(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon$$

You can transform the response variable too:

$$\log(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon$$

This cannot be interpreted on the Y scale, however, because

$$Y = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon)$$

= $\exp(\beta_0) \exp(\beta_1) X \exp(\epsilon)$

is not a linear model: it is not additive, but multiplicative! Such models are common in, e.g., finance, because investments can be expected to grow exponentially in the long run, but noisily. When else?

3/6

If we suspect that the linear relationship between X and Y differs based on a second covariate Z, we can fit an *interaction* term:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_X X + \beta_Z Z + \beta_{XZ} XZ + \epsilon$$

If we suspect that the linear relationship between X and Y differs based on a second covariate Z, we can fit an *interaction* term:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_X X + \beta_Z Z + \beta_{XZ} XZ + \epsilon$$

If Y is College GPA, X is HS GPA, and Z is sex (0 == male, 1 == female), then the linear relationship between HS and College GPA can differ by sex:

(men):
$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_X X + \beta_Z Z$$

(women): $Y = \beta_0 + (\beta_X + \beta_{XZ})X + \beta_Z Z$

How certain are we about \hat{Y}_i for any given i? How certain are we that a change of a unit in X leads to a change of $\hat{\beta}$ in Y on average? There are 2 facts we need in the univariate case:

- 1. Regression coefficients obey a CLT, just like the sample mean (regression with just β_0 and no covariates is computing the sample mean). There are assumptions...
- 2. $\operatorname{sd}(\hat{\beta}x) = x\operatorname{sd}(\hat{\beta})$

So if the S.E. estimate for $\hat{\beta}$ is $\hat{\sigma}$, then

- 1. a 95% confidence interval for $\hat{\beta}$ is $[\hat{\beta}-1.96\hat{\sigma},\hat{\beta}+1.96\hat{\sigma}]$
- 2. a 95% confidence interval for the expected change in Y associated with a change in X of a units is $[a\hat{\beta}-1.96a\hat{\sigma},a\hat{\beta}+1.96a\hat{\sigma}]$

To get inference for \hat{Y}_i or complex quantities in multivariate models, we need one more concept:

Definition

Variance-covariance matrix Let $\hat{\beta}$ be a random vector (a vector of random variables). Then

$$\mathsf{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \hat{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathsf{Var}}(\hat{\beta}_0) & \mathsf{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1) & \cdots & \mathsf{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_d) \\ \mathsf{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_0) & \mathsf{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \mathsf{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_d, \hat{\beta}_0) & \cdots & \mathsf{Var}(\hat{\beta}_d) \end{bmatrix}$$

All you need to know is: if $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, then $\hat{\text{Var}}(a^T \hat{\beta}) = a^T \hat{\Sigma} a$. And if lmod is a linear model object, then vcov(lmod) gives you $\hat{\Sigma}$.

Sheridan Grant (UW) STAT 302 April 15, 2020