

## **Criminal Revision : 59/2018 vs Smt. Ramwati Devi on 25 September, 2018**

These eight revision petitions are directed against order dated 01.02.2018, passed by trial court in one complaint case titled as Ramwati v. Brig. Rajender Singh & Ors., bearing CC No. 772/2017, under [Section 200](#) Cr.P.C., PS Krishna Nagar. Vide impugned order, trial court allowed application under [Section 156\(3\)](#) Cr.P.C moved by complainant Smt. Ramwati (respondent no.1 herein) and gave direction to SHO concerned for registration of FIR. BRIEF FACTS OF THIS CASE :-

2. Briefly stated, the relevant facts giving rise to the petitions in hand are that complainant/respondent no.1 herein filed a complaint against employees of EDMC. The allegations were made against 15 officers of EDMC, including Commissioner EDMC, various Deputy Commissioners and Engineers. The complainant alleged that she Page 4 of 14 (Pulastya Pramachala) Special Judge (PC Act) CBI, East District Karkardooma Courts, Delhi Criminal Revision Nos. 59/2018, 83/2018, 86/2018, 87/2018, 88/2018, 89/2018, 90/2018 & 92/2018 had purchased a property bearing no.B-5, Kanti Nagar, Delhi, on 24.06.1971 from its erstwhile owner. This property was an old property, which was built way back in the year 1971. One show cause notice dated 17.02.2010 was issued by MCD in the name of Sh. Chet Ram. It was replied by son of complainant. Sh. Chet Ram was husband of complainant, who expired on 13.06.2006. Applications were moved on behalf of complainant for desealing her aforesaid property and complainant also approached Appellate Tribunal MCD against actions of MCD (now EDMC). Appellate Tribunal had directed for desealing of her property vide order dated 12.12.2011 and had also directed for fresh decision on the representation of complainant.

3. Though, the averments have not been made in very coherent manner, but it transpires from the complaint that after concluding the proceeding of hearing the complainant, once again an order under Section 345-A of DMC Act was passed by EDMC, thereby giving direction for sealing the property of complainant. This order is dated 22.06.2012, but same was signed by Ms. Alka R. Sharma with date of 20.06.2012. The notice dated 17.02.2010 was issued in the name of Mr. Chet Ram, though he was not alive at that time and son of complainant had given information of such fact in his communication to EDMC. Still such notice was issued in the name of Chet Ram. Furthermore, the aforesaid order dated 22.06.2012 referred to inspections carried out at site on 30.01.2012 and 13.02.2012. According to complainant, no such inspections were carried out and even notice was not actually sent to the complainant. Complainant alleged that officials of EDMC had forged the documents in order to harass her and to cause wrongful loss to her. Complainant alleged about commission of offences under [Section 419/420/467/468/471/](#) Page 5 of 14 (Pulastya Pramachala) Special Judge (PC Act)

CBI, East District Karkardooma Courts, Delhi Criminal Revision Nos. 59/2018, 83/2018, 86/2018, 87/2018, 88/2018, 89/2018, 90/2018 & 92/2018 409/469/120B/34 [IPC](#).