## "Optimization"

Shervin Halat 98131018

Homework #1

Shervin Halat 98131018

## "Optimization"

HW #1

1)

knowing that convexity is preserved under intersection, if S is a convex set "a line is convex" The intersection of S with a line is convex []

Now, taking two points X, and Y, from S and Supposion

Now, taking two points  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  from  $S_2$  and Supposing that intersection of S with any line is Covex, then, considering covex Combination of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  as L = >

L=  $\theta n_1 + (1-\theta)x_2$  for  $0<\theta \le 1$  and LES  $\Rightarrow$  for each  $(x_1, x_2) \in S$  with  $0<\theta \le 1$   $\theta n_1 + (1-\theta)x_2 \in S$   $\Rightarrow$  S is covex  $\boxed{1}$ 

(I), (I) -> a set C is convex -> its intersection with any line is convex

Considering distances equal to Euclidean norm (norm-2); therefore, we have to prove the following statements  $S=\{n\mid |x-a|_2\leqslant |x-b|_2\} \Longrightarrow S$  is a half-space.

knowing that norms are non-negative, by taking the inequality above to the power of 2 we have:

 $|\alpha-\alpha|^2 \leq |\alpha-b|^2 \Longrightarrow (\alpha-\alpha)^T (\alpha-\alpha) \leq (\alpha-b)^T (\alpha-b)$ 

=> 2 x - 2 a x + a Ta < x x - 2 b x + b b

 $= \sum_{(\alpha')^T} 2(b-\alpha)^T x \leq b^T b - \alpha^T \alpha = \sum_{(\alpha')^T} (\alpha')^T x \leq b' (I)$ 

=> Therefore, the set 'S' is a halfspace according to the obtained inequality (I).

a) According to the first problem statement that a Set is convex if and only if its intersection with any line is convex. Therefore, by assuming an arbitrary line in the vector form of the following & L= 2+dy where ner, der, yell?"

Then showing the intersection of line 'L' with the set 'C' by substituting the points of 'L' with Points of 'C' we have &

 $(\hat{a}+dy)^T A (\hat{a}+dy) + b^T (\hat{a}+dy) + c = ed^2 + fd + g$ where:

C=yTAy, f= bTy+ 22TAy, g= C+ bTx+ xTAx

Therefore, the intersection of 'C' and L' is [ 2+dy | ed2+fd+9 < 0] as follows &

Generally, we need to prove that 'L' intersects 'C' as a continuous line segment where dis continuous. Since (I) is non-positive, e parameter should be positive for the intersection to be continuous.

let H= {n|gIn+h=0}, we define e,f, and g as in the solution of Previous Part and, in addition,

higTv, i=gTx+h

Now, we can assume that rieH, i.e., ii=0. The intersection of CNH with the line defined by

 $\hat{n}$  and  $\nu$  is:  $\{\hat{n} + d\nu \mid ed^2 + fd + g \leq 0, hd = 0\}$ 

If  $h: gTv \neq 0$ , the intersection is the singleton  $\{\hat{x}\}$ , if  $g \leq 0$ , or it is empty. In either case it is a convex set. If h=gTv=0, the set reduces to

 $\{\hat{x}_+ dy \mid ed^2 + fd + y \leq 0\}$ 

which is convex if  $e \ge 0$ . Therefore,  $C \cap H$  is convex if  $g^T v = 0 \implies v^T A v \ge 0$ This is true if there exists  $\lambda$  such that  $A + \lambda gg^T \ge 0$ ; then,

for all v satistying gTv=0.

Counter example 8

Considering the special condition where 2, AEIR and

A=-2 and C=-2 => -2x²-2 < 0 for all xER

=>(C'=IR) which is convex. Therefore, we showed

that in special cases, A may be negative while 'C' is convex.

Hence, the inverse of the statement is not true.

The following proof is based on the definition of convex sets.

Assuming V,  $y \in C'$  where  $C' = \{ n \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \lambda, \lambda_2 \geqslant 1 \}$   $\Rightarrow V = \{ v_1 \}, \ \mathcal{J} = \{ \mathcal{J}_2 \} \text{ where } v_1, v_2, \mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2 \geqslant 0 \text{ , } v_1 v_2 \geqslant 1 \text{ , } \mathcal{J}_1 \geqslant 1 \}$ Considering  $Z = \theta V_+ (1-\theta) \mathcal{J}_1 = 2 \cdot \theta V_1 + (1-\theta) \mathcal{J}_1 = 0 \cdot \theta \leq 1$   $Z_1 \cdot \theta V_2 + (1-\theta) \mathcal{J}_2$ 

Therefore, there are following possible conditrons &

(I) y >v = exactly the same as(I) => ['c' is convex.]

(1) y ≯ v & V ≯ y (ie. (V,-7,)(V,-7,) ≤ 0)

In this case we have:  $Z_1 Z_2 = (\theta x_1 + (1-\theta)y_1)(\theta x_2 + (1-\theta)y_2)$ =  $\theta^2 V_1 V_2 + (1-\theta)^2 y_1 y_2 + \theta (1-\theta) V_1 y_2 + \theta (1-\theta) V_2 y_1$ =  $\theta x_1 v_2 + (1-\theta)y_1 y_2 - \theta (1-\theta)(y_1 - v_1)(y_2 - v_1) \implies Z_1 Z_2 \ge 1$ =  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C_1 + C_2 = C_1 + C_2 = C_2 =$ 

INITED: considering all three possible conditions above, C'is convex.

The Hessian Matrix of f is as the following of

The Hessian Matrix of f is as the following of

The Hessian Matrix of f is not positive

The Hessian Matrix is not positive or negative semidefinite.

The Hessian Matrix of f is as the following of the following of the first are concave.

The Hessian Matrix of f is as the following of the followin

To evaluate if f is quasi convex or quasiconcare following graph will be considered:

Therefore, both superlevel sets and sublevel sets of the 'f' are convex sets ->

(F'is both quasiconvex and quasiconconve.

Again, by compading Hessian Matrix of 'f' we have a

Thing: \[ \frac{1}{n\_1 n\_2} \bigg[ \frac{2/n\_1^2}{n\_1 n\_2} \bigg[ \frac{n\_1 n\_2}{n\_2} \bigg] \]

- => +2 f(m) > 0, since, all minors of the matrix

  are non-negative. Hence, it's positive semidefinite,
  - =) | f(n) is convex => |f(n) is quasi convex
  - =) f(n) is neither concare nor quasi concare Since its not a line.

C)

The mendioned function is a pointwise maximization operation which preserves convexity. Therefore, it is sufficient for convexity of fan to prove convexity of each of the  $|A^{(i)}x-b^{(i)}|$ . Now, since each  $f_i(x)$ 

firm is a composition of Norm function and an Affine function, firm is convex; Hence, fin is convex

Therefore, For is quasi convex

-) fin is neither concave nor quasi concave

The determinant of the mentioned matrix is as follows & y fize - zifiyy - 20f(z) + zifixy + 20f(x) - y fixy >0 > y f(z) + z f(x) + xf(y) > z f(y) + yf(x) + xf(z) (J-n) f(z) + (z-y) f(x) > (z-x) f(y) (I) We have a dom for is convex, X<Y<Z (=> 30: 4= 0x+(-0)2(I) (I) =(z-N) \ \frac{1}{2-x} f(z) + \frac{2-y}{2-y} f(x) > f(y)  $(\frac{3-x}{2-x})f(z) + (\frac{z-y}{2-x})f(x) > f(4x+(1-\theta)z)$ now a  $\frac{Z-Y}{Z-N} = \frac{Z-(\partial N+V-\partial)Z}{Z-N} = \frac{\partial}{Z-N} = \frac{\partial}{Z-N}$ (-0)f(z) + 0 f(m) > f(0n+(1-0)z) => function f' is convex

Also, considering that all of the mentioned steps are true in both ways, the exactly inverse procedure is also true.

7)

Considering the definition of convex functions, we have to prove the following:

for all my GIR and OxOK1.

Now, from the convexity and non-negativity of fund concavity and positivity of 'g' we conclude that's

 $(I) * \frac{(f(\theta x + (1-\theta)y))^2}{g(\theta x + (1-\theta)y)} \leq \frac{(\theta + f(x) + (1-\theta)f(y))^2}{\theta \cdot g(x) + (1-\theta)g(y)}$ 

Now, we have to compare the right hand-side of the II) with the right hand-side of the ID 8 (for \$1/2\$ to be convex, ID should be smaller than III)

 $\Rightarrow \frac{\left(f(x)\right)^2}{g(\theta x + (1-\theta)y)} < \theta \frac{\left(f(x)\right)^2}{g(x)} + (1-\theta) \frac{\left(f(y)\right)^2}{g(y)}$ 

Now, by canceling out equal terms of the both sides of the inequality above, following inequality will be obtained as

0 < (fing(y) - figig(x))<sup>2</sup>

which is always true; hence,  $\frac{f^2(x)}{g(x)}$  is convex.

In order to evaluate quasiconvertity of the 'f' function, we have to determine the condition of its sub-level sets. Therefore, for fin < L

 $\Rightarrow \frac{a^{T}n+b}{c^{T}n+d} \leq L \xrightarrow{c^{T}n+d>0} a^{T}n+b-L(c^{T}n+d) \leq 0$ 

 $\Rightarrow \underbrace{(a^{t}-Lc^{t})n + (b-d) \leq 0}_{a'^{t}} \Rightarrow \underbrace{a'^{t}+b' \leq 0}_{halfspace} \Rightarrow Convex$ 

Hence, for firm, all of the sub-level sets are convex.

Since domain of firm is also convex (sniethard) 03),

the firm itself is always quasiconvex!

Now, to evaluate convexity, the Hessian martrix of form

 $\nabla^2 f(x) = -(c^T n + d)^{-2} (ac^T + ca^T) + (a^T n + b)(c^T n + d)^{-3} cc^T$ 

Mow, assuming there is no that Enotd = 1 and and anoth be equal to any desired value (anoth = V) we have 8

of form: -act + cat + Vcct I

Considering the term (D) in the equation above, if we set (ax+b) so that 'V' becomes large and negative, it is obvious that offen is not Positive sent exercises.

Therefore, we have to seek for trivial Solution.
Assuming that a= kc (ker) we have:

$$f(n) = \frac{k c^{T} a + b}{c^{T} n + c} = k + \frac{b - k c l}{c^{T} n + c l}$$

Now, we know that (Ta+d) is convex since its affine function. considerings (Ta+d=g(x)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 for =  $k + \frac{b-kcl}{g(x)}$ 

Now, as k (constant) is convex, (b-kd) should be non-negative for b-kd to be convex, Subsequently for for to

be convex.  $\Longrightarrow$  Reminding that  $k = \frac{\alpha}{c}$ , f(n) is convex if b > kdhence,  $b > \alpha d$ 

Another trivial solution is that assuming C=0] this way, from reduces to affine function of f(n):  $a^{T}n+b'$  which is convex.

=> 
$$f(x)$$
 is convex if (1) by  $g(x) = x^2 + x^2$ 
 $c \neq 0$ 
 $(2) c = 0$ 

9.

There are multiple mathematical optimization tools and software such as CVX, CVXPY, CVXOPT, CVXR, PICOS, DCCP, DMCP, NCVX and so on. The main differences between these tools are based on kind of 'solvers', 'programming languages', 'APIs', and 'libraries' they implement. Each one of these tools or applications are suitable for some specific optimization problems and may have better performance at some specific tasks.

The mentioned problem is solved by CVXOPT:

min 
$$f(x) = x_1 + 3x_2$$
  
s.t.  $-x_1 + x_2 \le 2$   
 $x_1 + x_2 \ge 2$   
 $x_2 \ge 0$   
 $2x_1 - 3x_2 \le 5$ 

```
1 from cvxopt import matrix, solvers
In [5]:
In [10]:
          1 A = matrix([ [-1.0, -1.0, 0.0, 2.0], [1.0, -1.0, -1.0, -3.0] ])
          2 b = matrix([ 2.0, -2.0, 0.0, 5.0 ])
          3 c = matrix([ 1.0, 3.0 ])
          5 sol = solvers.lp(c,A,b)
             print(sol['x'])
                                                         k/t
             pcost
                         dcost
                                           pres
                                                  dres
                                    gap
                                                  3e-16 1e+00
          0: 1.0000e+00 1.0000e+00
                                    4e+00 6e-01
          1: 1.6732e+00 1.6745e+00
                                    4e-01 5e-02
                                                  5e-16 9e-02
                                                  7e-16 5e-02
          2: 1.9479e+00 1.9535e+00
                                    2e-01 3e-02
          3: 1.9994e+00 1.9995e+00
                                    2e-03
                                           3e-04
                                                  2e-16
                                                         6e-04
         4: 2.0000e+00 2.0000e+00
                                    2e-05 3e-06
                                                  9e-17
                                                        6e-06
                                                  2e-16 6e-08
          5: 2.0000e+00 2.0000e+00
                                    2e-07 3e-08
        Optimal solution found.
         [ 2.00e+00]
         [-2.57e-08]
```