

Planning an Optimal Trip to Europe

John Gilbertson, Shian Su, Ria Szeredi and Kenneth Young

Abstract

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

Keywords: personalised tourist guide, linear programming, transportation planning, trip generation, decision making

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Solution Methodology	4
2.1	Base model	4
2.2	Decaying Enjoyment	5
3	Data	7
4	Extensions	9
4.1	Varying Airfares	9
4.2	Activity Preference	9
4.3	Avoiding or Joining Another Traveller	9
4.4	Multiple Person Trip	9
5	Results and Discussion	10
5.1	Varying Airfares	10
5.2	Activity Preference	10
5.3	Avoiding or Joining Another Traveller	10
5.4	Multiple Person Trip	10
6	Conclusion and Recommendations	11
7	Acknowledgements	12
8	Appendix	13

1 Introduction

Introduction to the business you have selected. Which activity of the business have you selected to improve on? Why?

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

2 Solution Methodology

Detail the technique(s) that you have chosen to improve the business activity. Include all mathe-matical formulations, algorithms and heuristics that you have used.

2.1 Base model

Variables

x_{id} : [binary] equal to 1 if the traveller is in city i on day d .

y_i : [binary] equal to 1 if the traveller ever visits city i .

m_{ijd} : [binary] equal to 1 if the traveller moves from city i to city j on day d .

Parameters

D : the total number of days.

\mathcal{C} : the set of cities available for visiting.

μ_{ij} : the cost to move from city i to city j .

δ_i : the daily cost of city i .

α : the minimum number of days allowed in a city.

ω : the maximum number of days allowed in a city.

$$\text{Min } \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \delta_i \cdot x_{id} + \sum_{d=1}^{D-1} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} \mu_{ij} \cdot m_{ijd}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{d=1}^D x_{id} \geq \alpha \cdot y_i \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{C} \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{d=1}^D x_{id} \leq \omega \cdot y_i \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{C} \quad (2)$$

$$x_{id} + x_{j(d+1)} \leq 1 + m_{ijd} \quad \forall i, j \in \mathcal{C}, d = 1..D-1 \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} x_{id} = 1 \quad d = 1..D \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} m_{ijd} = 1 \quad d = 1..D-1 \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} m_{ijd} \leq 1 + \sum_{d=1}^D m_{i id} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{C} \quad (6)$$

$$x_{j1} + \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} m_{ijd} \leq 1 + \sum_{d=1}^D m_{j j d} \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{C} \quad (7)$$

$$x_{id}, y_i, m_{ijd} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i, j \in \mathcal{C}, d = 1..D \quad (8)$$

2.2 Decaying Enjoyment

Assuming that the enjoyment of staying in a city decays with the number of days stayed. We add the following to the model.

Variables

s_{ij} : [binary] equal to 1 if traveller stays in city i for j days.

Parameters

u_{ij} : The total utility of staying in city i for j days.

Then we no longer need (1) and (2) since we hope that the traveller naturally leaves the city once the marginal enjoyment is low enough.

Then the new model is

$$\text{Max} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{j=1}^D s_{ij} * u_{ij}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{j=1}^D s_{ij} = y_i \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{C} \quad (9)$$

$$s_{ij} \cdot j \leq \sum_{d=1}^D x_{id} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{C}, j = 1..D \quad (10)$$

$$x_{id} + x_{j(d+1)} \leq 1 + m_{ijd} \quad \forall i, j \in \mathcal{C}, d = 1..D-1 \quad (11)$$

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} x_{id} = 1 \quad d = 1..D \quad (12)$$

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} m_{ijd} = 1 \quad d = 1..D-1 \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} m_{ijd} \leq 1 + \sum_{d=1}^D m_{iid} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{C} \quad (14)$$

$$x_{j1} + \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} m_{ijd} \leq 1 + \sum_{d=1}^D m_{jjd} \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{C} \quad (15)$$

$$x_{id}, y_i, m_{ijd} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i, j \in \mathcal{C}, d = 1..D \quad (16)$$

With this we find the optimal enjoyment possible for the traveller irrespective of cost, then we optimise again to minimise cost at the optimal enjoyment.

$$\text{Min} \sum_{d=1}^D \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \delta_i \cdot x_{id} + \sum_{d=1}^{D-1} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{C}} \mu_{ij} \cdot m_{ijd}$$

s.t.

(1)-(9) from previous model is statisfied and

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{j=1}^D s_{ij} * u_{ij} = \left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{j=1}^D s_{ij} * u_{ij} \right)_{optimal}$$

3 Data

Outline the scenarios that you wish to evaluate on. What data was collected to evaluate the scenarios? How was your data collected? Identify all sources. Show your data in this section. Larger datasets can be moved to the Appendices.

For the sake of brevity, we shall only provide data sets for the case of five cities here. This will be enough to demonstrate how we stored and used our data. The full data set can be found in the appendices. All values are in Australian Dollars.

Our base model needs to know the flight costs between all the cities. Initially we assumed that flights were uniform across all days of the trip and all times of each day. Our flight data was sourced from `momondo.com.au` [1]. We searched for only the cheapest flights between any two cities which imposed more assumptions on our problem.

Table 1: Airfares between Five Cities

	Moscow	Paris	London	Madrid	Rome
Moscow	0	146	126	202	146
Paris	227	0	60	143	93
London	213	82	0	249	160
Madrid	188	86	136	0	144
Rome	223	80	125	146	0

For simplicity we assumed that you can fly directly between all cities, even though in some cases the cheapest flight was not direct. This assumption is valid as we are not attempting to find the optimal route around Europe, but instead minimise the overall cost of the trip or maximise the enjoyment gained.

Aside from the cost of airfares, the cost of living is another contributor to our model's objective value. All our daily living expenses data were sourced from `budgetyourtrip.com` [2]. To simplify the computation of our daily costs, we have assumed that there is no reduction in cost if a traveller books accommodation at a single hotel over multiple nights.

Table 2: Daily Costs of Five Cities

	Moscow	Paris	London	Madrid	Rome
Low	36	81	110	57	68
Mid	92	223	298	148	169
High	233	657	845	393	423

The last contribution to our base model's objective value came from the flights from and to Melbourne at the beginning and end of the trip. We assumed that the traveller bought a return ticket, and so this will force the first and last city that they visit to be the same. This is a reasonable assumption to make as the cost of purchasing a return ticket was generally less than that of purchasing two separate one-way tickets. This flight data was again sourced from `momondo.com.au` [1].

Table 3: Return Flight Costs of Five Cities

Moscow	Paris	London	Madrid	Rome
2311	2155	1908	1870	2584

We assumed that all flights occur in the morning. We make this simplification as if the flight was at midday then the daily cost would have contributions from the city the traveller was leaving and the city they are going to. Further to this, we assumed that the return flight to Melbourne occurred on the morning after the last day of the trip. This was again to simplify the calculation of daily costs. If the traveller leaves a city in the early morning then we assume that there is no living expenses for that day.

4 Extensions

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

4.1 Varying Airfares

4.2 Activity Preference

4.3 Avoiding or Joining Another Traveller

4.4 Multiple Person Trip

5 Results and Discussion

Present and discuss your results for each scenario.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

5.1 Varying Airfares

5.2 Activity Preference

5.3 Avoiding or Joining Another Traveller

5.4 Multiple Person Trip

6 Conclusion and Recommendations

Write your conclusions here. Througout the whole document, do not forget to cite your sources [3].

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

7 Acknowledgements

Acknowledge anyone (person, organisation etc.) who has contributed to your project.

alysson for being such a sexy beast

8 Appendix

Daily expenses table goes here

Table 4: Airfares between Twenty Five Cities (Part I)

From\ To	Moscow	Paris	London	Madrid	Rome	Crete	Barcelona	Berlin	Budapest	Florence	Amsterdam
Moscow	0	146	126	202	146	168	143	156	207	291	154
Paris	227	0	60	143	93	123	139	110	162	85	52
London	213	82	0	249	160	284	135	107	163	224	124
Madrid	188	86	136	0	144	188	69	70	96	138	103
Rome	223	80	125	146	0	177	39	96	69	84	94
Crete	233	81	223	188	58	0	131	123	58	241	200
Barcelona	200	121	79	76	32	165	0	143	108	103	90
Berlin	130	53	81	97	83	153	110	0	83	214	92
Budapest	249	133	125	96	41	123	96	76	0	164	157
Florence	309	246	162	172	86	301	90	186	204	0	227
Amsterdam	155	158	73	141	136	211	117	106	125	229	0
Prague	112	122	100	112	48	193	116	108	138	144	97
Istanbul	123	101	127	154	67	173	122	86	41	235	66
Vienna	145	162	122	202	90	75	145	117	175	171	90
Venice	167	65	17	117	84	191	97	119	110	152	75
Goreme	172	206	205	237	137	253	181	142	86	522	136
Lisbon	282	80	77	50	135	220	107	123	117	173	55
Nice	278	127	119	143	48	220	50	90	138	173	121
Reykjavik	566	190	284	337	242	484	217	260	246	454	246
Edinburgh	233	194	71	135	204	371	179	115	151	390	168
Dublin	196	87	58	102	146	265	107	86	143	253	138
Krakow	191	135	94	126	142	286	107	176	138	181	143
Copenhagen	258	174	71	158	160	315	95	56	157	200	152
Athens	118	149	156	135	72	39	156	109	91	230	109
Munich	188	120	136	163	69	112	135	110	126	192	170

Table 5: Airfares between Twenty Five Cities (Part II)

From\ To	Prague	Istanbul	Vienna	Venice	Goreme	Lisbon	Nice	Reykjavik	Edinburgh	Dublin	Krakow
Moscow	146	115	131	129	167	283	200	406	266	263	236
Paris	165	150	140	68	375	178	114	240	124	106	152
London	171	197	179	152	267	205	160	183	116	116	177
Madrid	124	180	143	119	168	57	152	336	127	125	107
Rome	94	74	105	71	157	155	62	180	155	174	147
Crete	114	120	164	169	265	154	144	394	178	211	214
Barcelona	110	141	103	74	203	69	50	241	151	117	100
Berlin	123	99	176	104	197	118	108	245	100	102	128
Budapest	113	50	149	97	100	104	130	265	117	104	107
Florence	155	279	229	150	470	197	233	421	223	228	107
Amsterdam	85	121	155	95	200	137	73	252	88	101	134
Prague	0	85	152	65	186	174	135	256	134	114	141
Istanbul	108	0	59	65	33	140	126	337	143	162	149
Vienna	181	121	0	194	152	254	166	284	199	211	147
Venice	96	177	152	0	274	153	200	316	135	146	127
Goreme	206	27	55	230	0	257	130	384	189	198	440
Lisbon	178	260	161	123	441	0	66	328	142	108	174
Nice	96	180	157	128	224	116	0	313	175	97	189
Reykjavik	285	334	370	323	332	325	334	0	175	97	189
Edinburgh	140	294	370	127	613	174	168	192	0	39	139
Dublin	110	217	156	146	396	114	138	254	34	0	137
Krakow	180	247	197	205	362	171	139	341	133	119	0
Copenhagen	96	234	229	192	363	191	154	270	138	149	149
Athens	62	98	105	130	141	173	137	263	179	138	149
Munich	194	103	194	165	176	159	117	263	142	143	154

Table 6: Airfares between Twenty Five Cities (Part III)

From\ To	Copenhagen	Athens	Munich
Moscow	162	141	152
Paris	75	226	68
London	130	145	133
Madrid	108	169	184
Rome	143	160	28
Crete	176	46	144
Barcelona	104	146	93
Berlin	43	174	110
Budapest	123	133	122
Florence	164	268	158
Amsterdam	60	128	183
Prague	86	143	153
Istanbul	51	70	83
Vienna	177	154	149
Venice	184	181	101
Goreme	111	120	147
Lisbon	192	171	124
Nice	103	175	87
Reykjavik	218	175	266
Edinburgh	159	273	220
Dublin	60	186	155
Krakow	110	176	220
Copenhagen	0	128	218
Athens	131	0	145
Mucich	131	166	0

For brevities sake, we shall only include the table detailing the augmentation data of Moscow.

Table 7: Airfare Augmentation Data for Moscow

City	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
Moscow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Paris	4	1	0	3	8	13	10
London	11	3	0	2	6	8	6
Madrid	10	3	0	6	13	17	14
Rome	4	1	0	3	11	12	12
Crete	2	0	0	2	7	13	5
Barcelona	12	3	0	7	13	16	14
Berlin	1	0	2	7	12	7	5
Budapest	0	2	2	7	10	7	5
Florence	5	0	5	15	19	15	7
Amsterdam	0	0	4	11	15	8	3
Prague	0	0	3	7	11	7	3
Istanbul	2	2	9	11	12	7	0
Vienna	0	0	7	12	16	14	7
Venice	2	0	5	14	22	14	6
Goreme	4	1	0	2	5	6	15
Lisbon	4	0	3	8	13	10	5
Nice	3	0	4	12	16	17	6
Reykjavik	1	0	6	4	6	7	1
Edinburgh	1	0	2	8	16	12	10
Dublin	6	0	3	10	20	17	15
Krakow	0	0	6	6	16	6	2
Copenhagen	0	0	3	6	10	10	10
Athens	2	0	3	6	13	15	3
Mucich	1	0	5	11	15	18	7

References

- [1] momondo.com.au, *Global Travel Search Engine*, accessed 6 May 2015. Available online: <http://www.momondo.com.au/>
- [2] Budget Your Trip, *Travel Cost Search*, accessed 13 May 2015. Available online: <http://www.budgetyourtrip.com/>
- [3] Foo, Bar, Smith (2002) *Example Title*. Example Publisher. ISBN XXX-XXX