====== stable marriage ======

 $mod p. => k^m = k^m \mod (p-1) \mod p$

nonexistence of stable match of roommates

private key: d. Property: (x^e)^d = 1 mod N

 $[A \mid B \mid C \mid D], [B \mid C \mid A \mid D], [C \mid A \mid B \mid D], [D \mid ---]$ $\{(A, B), (C, D)\} \Rightarrow \{(A, D), (B, C)\} \Rightarrow \{(A, B), (C, D)\} \Rightarrow \dots$

Stable Marriage Algorithm: Every Morning: Each man goes to the first woman on his list not yet crossed off and proposes to her. Every Afternoon: Each woman says maybe to the man she likes best among the proposals (she now has him on a string) and never to all the rest.

Fermat's Little Theorem: for any prime p and integer a in $\{1,2,...,p-1\}$, we have $a^{(p-1)} = 1$

Every Evening: Each of the rejected suitors crosses off the woman from his list.

(d, a, b) = extended-gcd (y, x mod y); return (d, b, (a - int(x / y) * b)) **RSA:** Pick N = pq (p, q primes), e such that gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)) = 1. **public key:** (N, e);

Termination Lemma: guaranteed to terminate in n^2 days.

Improvement Lemma: If W has M on a string on the kth day, then on every subsequent day she has someone on a string whom she likes at least as much as M.

optimal woman: highest woman on a man's list whom he is paired with in any stable pairing. Male optimal pairing: a stable pairing s.t. each man is paired with his optimal woman. Theorem: The pairing output by the traditional propose & reject algorithm is male optimal.

Theorem: If a pairing is male optimal, then it is also female pessimal.

====== error correction **======**

Lagrange interpolation: delta_i (x) = prod_{j!=i} (x-xj) / prod_{j!=i} (xi-xj) Secret sharing: at least k people => pick random polynomial with deg k-1 s.t. P(0) = secret. Give P(1) to 1st, ..., P(n) to nth. Erasure errors: n packets, <=k packets lost => deg=n-1. send n+k packets. Prime q>=n+k General errors: n packets, <=k packets lost => deg=n-1. send n+2k packets. Prime q>=n+2k Error locator polynomial E(x) = (x-e1)...(x-ek); P(i)E(i)=R(i)E(i). Let P(x)E(x)=Q(x). Solve Q(x)=R(x)E(x). deg n+k-1 + deg k. But leading coefficient of E is 1. $Q(x) = a_{n+k-1}x^{n+k-1} + ... + a0$; $E(x) = x^k + b_{k-1}x^{n+k-1} + ... + b0$

====== graph theory ======

Euler's Theorem: An undirected graph G = (V, E) has an Eulerian tour if and only if the graph is connected (except possibly for isolated vertices) and every vertex has even degree. (for directed graph: for every vertex v, in-degree = out-degree)

de Bruijn sequence: a 2^n-bit circular sequence such that every string of length n occurs as a contiguous substring of the sequence exactly once.

de Bruijn graph: $V = \{0, 1\}^{(n-1)}$, $E = \text{for each ala2...an-1, (ala2...an-1, a2a3...a{n-1}}0)$, (ala2...an-1, a2a3...a{n-1}1), (0ala2...an-2, ala2...a{n-1}), (1ala2...an-2, ala2...a{n-1})

n-dimensional hypercube graph: $|E| = n2^{(n-1)}$. Let **E_{S, V-S}** denote the set of edges connecting vertices in S to vertices in V-S. Then $|E_{S, V-S}| >= \min(|S|, |V-S|)$ (prove by induction on n, consider S0, S1 both <= $2^{(n-1)/2}$; or S0 > $2^{(n-1)/2}$)

====== counting ======

place k balls into n bins with replacement, order does not matter: Represent each of the balls by a 0 and the separations between boxes by 1 = k * 0 + (n-1) * 1 = n+k-1 choose k

====== probability ======

Union bound: $Pr[union] \le sum of Pr$ $E(X) = (definition) sum_{a in A} a * Pr[X=a] = sum_{w in Omega} X(w) * Pr(w)$ $variance: Var(X) = E((X - E(X)))^2 (definition) = E(X^2) - (E(X))^2$

```
standard deviation: sigma(X) = sgrt(Var(X))
covariance of X, Y: E(XY) - E(X)E(Y)
X,Y independent => E(XY) = E(X)E(Y)
X,Y independent => Var(X+Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y)
Theorem: Let X be a random variable that takes on only non-negative integer values. Then
E[X] = sum \{i=1\}^infinity Pr[X>=i].
===== distribution ======
binomial distribution: Pr[X=i] = (n \text{ choose } i)p^i(1-p)^(n-i). X \sim Bin(n, p). E[X] = np.
E(X^2) = n^2p^2 + np(1-p). Var = np(1-p).
geometric distribution: X \sim \text{Geom}(p). Pr[X=i] = (1-p)^(i-1)p. E[X] = 1/p. Pr[X>=i] = (1-p)^n
p)^{(i-1)}. Var = (1-p)/p^2.
Poisson distribution: X ~ Poiss(lambda). Pr[X=i] = lambda^i/i! * e^(-lambda). E[X]=lambda.
E(X^2)=lambda*(lambda+1), Var = lambda.
fixed points in random permutation: E(X) = 1, E(X^2) = 2, Var = 1
random walk: E(X) = 0, E(X^2) = n, Var(X) = n
====== example of probabilities =======
error correction: encode n packets into n+k packets s.t. the recipient can reconstruct the
original n packets from any n packets received. packets lost with prob p. X (packets
received) \sim Bin(n+k, 1-p)
Hash table: n locations. A = event: insert m keys with no collisions, assuming uniformly
distributed hash function.
    Pr[A] = (1 - 1/n) * (1 - 2/n) * ... * (1 - (m-1)/n). Take ln: ln(1-x) ~ -x. ln(Pr[A])
\sim -m^2/2n \Rightarrow Pr[A] \sim e^(-m^2/2n)
    union bound: Ai: pair i has collision. Pr[bar A] <= sum Pr[Ai] = m(m-1)/2n
throw m balls into n bins. Y = number of empty bins. E[Y] = n(1-1/n)^m
collect at least one copy of each of n different cards. X = boxes need to. Xi = #boxes buy
while trying to get the ith new card.
    E(Xi) = n / (n - i + 1) => E(X) = n sum_{i=1}^n 1/i \sim n (ln n + lambda).
    lambda = 0.5772... Euler constant
====== approximation ======
Markov's inequality: For a nonnegative r.v. X with E(X) = miu, and any alpha > 0, Pr[X > = miu]
alpha <= E(X) / alpha
  proof technique: note sum_a a*Pr[X=a] >= sum_{a >= alpha} a*Pr[X=a]
Chebyshev's inequality: For a random variable X with expectation E(X) = miu, and for any
alpha > 0, Pr[|X-miu| >= alpha] <= Var(X) / alpha^2
  proof technique: define r.v. Y := (X-miu)^2. Pr[|X-miu| >= alpha] = Pr[Y >= alpha^2].
  corollary: r.v. X with E(X) = miu, sigma = sqrt(Var(X)), Pr[|X-miu| >= beta*sigma] <= 1
/ beta^2
i.i.d: independent, identically distributed
estimate a proportion p by taking a small sample
  An = Sn / n = (X1 + ... + Xn) / n
  Var(An) = Var(Xi) / n = p(1-p) / n
  Pr[|An-p| \ge epsilon*p] \le Var(An) / (epsilon*p)^2 \le delta
    => n >= (sigma^2 / miu^2) * 1 / (epsilon^2 * delta)
    \Rightarrow n >= (1-p)/p*1/(epsilon^2 * delta)
Law of Large Numbers: X1, ..., Xn i.i.d. r.v. with common E(Xi) = miu. Define An := 1/n
sum i Xi. Then for any alpha > 0, we have Pr[|An - miu| >= alpha] -> 0 as n -> oo
===== conditional distribution ======
conditional distribution: X given Y=b is collection of values {(a, Pr[X=a|Y=b]): a in A}
conditional expectation: E(X|Y=b) = sum_{a in A} a * Pr[X=a|Y=b]
conditional independence: A and B are said to be conditionally independent given C if
Pr[A, B|C] = Pr[A|C] * Pr[B|C]
total expectation law: E(X) = sum_{b in B} Pr[Y=b] * E(X|Y=b)
prior distribution: \{(i, Pr[X=i]): i = 1, ..., n\}
posterior distribution: \{(i, Pr[X=i|Y1=H]): i = 1, ..., n\}
Pr[Y2=H|Y1=H] = sum_{i=1}^n Pr[X=i|Y1=H] * Pr[Y2=H|X=i, Y1=H]
MAP (maximum a posteriori) rule: guess the value a* for which the conditional probability
of X=a* given the observations is the largest
error probability analysis:
  Pr[E] = Pr[sum_i Z_i > n/2] = sum_{k=ceil(n/2)}^n {n choose k} p^k(1-p)^(n-k)
```

```
= Pr[S > n/2] < Pr[|S-np|>n(1/2-p)] <= Var(S)/(n^2(1/2-p)^2) = p(1-p)/(1/2-p)^2 *
(1/n)
====== continuous probability =======
probability density function: a function f:R->R s.t. Pr[a<=X<=b] = int a^b f(x)dx for all
a <= b
  condition: int -\infty^{\circ} of (x) dx = 1
X discrete, Y = cX => distribution of Y: Pr[X=a] = Pr[Y=a/c]
X continuous, Y = cX => pdf of Y: f Y(x) = 1/c f X(x/c)
expectation: E(X) = int_-oo^oo xf(x) dx
variance: Var(X) = E((X - E(X))^2 = int -oo^oo x^2f(x) dx - (int -oo^oo xf(x) dx)^2
joint density: a function f:R^2->R s.t. Pr[a<=X<=b, c<=Y<=d] = int c^d int a^b f(x,y) dxdy
for all a<=b, c<=d
independence for continuous r.v.'s: events a <= X <= b and c <= Y <= d are independent for all
a,b,c,d. or f(x,y) = f1(x)f2(y)
exponential distribution: f(x) = lambda*e^(-lambda*x) if x>=0 else 0 (lambda > 0) (with
parameter lambda)
  E(X) = 1/lambda, E(X^2) = 2/lambda^2, Var(X) = 1/lambda^2
  Pr[X>t] = int t^oo lambda*e^(-lambda*x) dx = e^(-lambda*t)
 memoryless property: exponential distribution & geometric distribution
    Pr[X>s+t \mid X>t] = Pr[X>s] (s > 0, t > 0)
  X1 exp with param l_1, X2 exp with param l_2 \Rightarrow Y = \min\{X1, X2\} exp with param l_1+l_2
Normal distribution: X \sim N(miu, sigma^2). f(x) = 1/sqrt(2*pi*sigma^2) * e^(-(x-miu)^2 / (x-miu)^2)
(2*sigma^2)) (sigma > 0)
  when miu=0 and sigma=1, standard normal distribution
  in general, Y = (X-miu) / sigma has the standard normal distribution
Central Limit Theorem: Let X1,...,Xn be i.i.d.r.v. with common expectation miu=E(Xi) and
variance sigma^2=Var(Xi) (both assumed to be <oo). Define A'n = (An-miu)/(sigma/sgrt(n)) =
((sum i Xi)-n*miu) / (sigma*sqrt(n)). Then as n->oo, the distribution of A'n approaches
the standard normal distribution in the sense that, for any real alpha,
  Pr[A'n \le alpha] \rightarrow 1/sqrt(2pi) int_-oo^alpha e^(-x^2/2) dx as n->oo
====== cardinality and intractability =======
bijection from N to Z: f(x) = x/2 if x is even else -(x+1)/2
Cantor-Bernstein theorem: if |A| \le |B| and |B| \le |A| then |A| = |B|
injection from N to Q: N -> Z*Z -> Q. The latter by mapping rational number to 2D points,
and go by spiral
finite binary strings countable (prove by listing strings in increasing order of length,
and then in lexicographic order)
[0, 1] uncountable. prove by diagonalization, add 2 to each digit
cantor set: repeatedly remove 1/3 ~ 2/3 of interval. uncountable set of measure 0
  represent each number as ternary strings. C = \{x \text{ in } [0,1]: x \text{ has ternary representation } \}
of only 0's and 2's}
  divide each ternary string by 2 -> onto [0, 1]
```

halting problem: Turing(P): if TestHalt(P, P) = "yes" then loop forever; else halt.

S countably infinite => |P(S)| > |S|

Turing(Turing) contradiction