

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study
(e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar

Some overlap

Little overlap

Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

☒

excellent

☐ good

☐ fair

☐ weak

☐ poor

Was the problem introduced well?

☒

excellent

☐ good

☐ fair

☐ weak

☐ poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

☒

excellent

☐ good

☐ fair

☐ weak

☐ poor

Was the methodology well explained?

☒

excellent

☐ good

☐ fair

☐ weak

☐ poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

☒

excellent

☐ good

☐ fair

☐ weak

☐ poor

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so?

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of

☒ excellent

☐ good

☐ fair

☐ weak

☐ poor

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study (e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar Some overlap Little overlap Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

excellent good fair weak poor

Was the problem introduced well?

excellent good fair weak poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

excellent good fair weak poor

Was the methodology well explained?

excellent good fair weak poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

excellent good fair weak poor

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so?

Too long

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of excellent 3 good 1 fair 1 weak poor

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study (e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar

Some overlap

Little overlap

Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the problem introduced well?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the methodology well explained?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so?

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Scientists meeting – "Identification of novel Hemochromatosis genes using Recessive Diplotype Approach in the Marshfield Clinic Personalized Medicine Research Project (PMRP)"

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study (e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar

Some overlap

Little overlap

Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

✓
excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the problem introduced well?

✓
excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

excellent

✓
good

fair

weak

poor

Was the methodology well explained?

excellent

✓
good

fair

weak

poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

excellent

good

✓
fair

weak

poor

↳ a little long for Scientist ~~but~~ very interesting!

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so?

Use of methylation patterns to help id CA & tissue biomarkers to help to distinguish CA from normal and detect early presence of CA biomarkers pop. tissue of origin.

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

Very nice & interesting presentation: very cutting edge work!

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of

2
excellent2
good1
fair

weak

poor

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study (e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar

Some overlap

Little overlap

Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

excellent✓
good
fair
weak
poor

Was the problem introduced well?

excellent✓
good
fair
weak
poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

excellent
good✓
fair
weak
poor

Was the methodology well explained?

excellent
good✓
fair
weak
poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

excellent✓
good
fair
weak
poor

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so?

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of

excellent✓
good
fair
weak
poor

Scientists meeting – "Identification of novel Hemochromatosis genes using Recessive Diplotype Approach in the Marshfield Clinic Personalized Medicine Research Project (PMRP)"

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study (e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar

Some overlap

Little overlap

Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the problem introduced well?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the methodology well explained?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so?

Becoming aware of other researchers utilizes machine learning is useful.

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of

4
excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study (e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar

Some overlap

Little overlap

Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the problem introduced well?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the methodology well explained?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so?

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study (e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar Some overlap Little overlap Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

excellent good fair weak poor

Was the problem introduced well?

excellent good fair weak poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

excellent good fair weak poor

Was the methodology well explained?

excellent good fair weak poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

excellent good fair weak poor

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so?

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

well presented, very interesting, good use of visuals on the slides, speaking English is very good!

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of excellent good fair weak poor

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study (e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar

Some overlap

Little overlap

Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the problem introduced well?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the methodology well explained?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so?

Graph + nomenclature were difficult

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study (e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar

Some overlap

Little overlap

Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

excellent
good
fair
weak
poor

Was the problem introduced well?

excellent
good
fair
weak
poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

excellent
good
fair
weak
poor

Was the methodology well explained?

excellent
good
fair
weak
poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

excellent
good
fair
weak
poor

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so?

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of

excellent
good
fair
weak
poor

Scientists meeting – "Identification of novel Hemochromatosis genes using Recessive Diplotype Approach in the Marshfield Clinic Personalized Medicine Research Project (PMRP)"

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study (e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar

Some overlap

Little overlap

Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

excellent ✓
good
fair
weak
poor

Was the problem introduced well?

excellent ✓
good
fair
weak
poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

excellent ✓
good
fair
weak
poor

Was the methodology well explained?

excellent
good ✓
fair
weak
poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

excellent ✓
good
fair
weak
poor

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so?

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

A little background on how methylation is tested for and presented in graphic format would be helpful to follow the presentation for those not doing bench research

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of

excellent
good
fair
weak
poor

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study (e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar

Some overlap

Little overlap

Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

excellent

good ✓

fair

weak

poor

Was the problem introduced well?

excellent

good

fair ✓

weak

poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the methodology well explained?

excellent

good ✓

fair

weak

poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

excellent

good

fair

weak ✓

poor

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so?

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of

excellent

good ✗

fair

weak

poor

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study (e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar

Some overlap

Little overlap

Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

excellent
good
fair
weak
poor

Was the problem introduced well?

excellent
good
fair
weak
poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

excellent
good
fair
weak
poor

Was the methodology well explained?

excellent
good
fair
weak
poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

excellent
good
fair
weak
poor

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so?

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of

excellent
good
fair
weak
poor

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study (e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar

Some overlap

Little overlap

Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the problem introduced well?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the methodology well explained?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so? **No.**

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

The combination of strong accent and "foreign" topic influenced my scores. Thus, please "weight" my scores accordingly.

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of

excellent

good

fair

weak

poor

Blee

As a point of reference for the speaker, how similar is your area of study (e.g. same department) to the speaker's area of study?

Very similar

Some overlap

Little overlap

Dissimilar

Was sufficient background presented?

excellent

~~good~~

fair

weak

poor

Was the problem introduced well?

excellent

~~good~~

fair

weak

poor

Was the terminology understandable, using minimal jargon or prefaced by clear explanation?

excellent

good

~~fair~~

weak

poor

Was the methodology well explained?

~~excellent~~

good

fair

weak

poor

Was the scope of the presentation well suited for the amount of time and audience?

~~excellent~~

good

fair

weak

poor

speaker went over time but there were a lot of questions,

If this seminar represented a different discipline from your own, did it offer understandable insights or techniques of potential use to you, or convey a sense as to how researchers in this field approach problems? How so?

so understandable

the presentation was well organized, excellent graphics, very technical + not my area of expertise

Please elaborate, or add any other comments on the presentation.

Please add up reviewer scores from above

Number of 2 excellent 2 good 1 fair 0 weak 0 poor