	cance	er	norm	al		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	r M-H, Random, 95% CI
1.1.1 all								
Zochbauer et al (2001, Multi)	40	107	9	104	5.1%	6.30 [2.87, 13.85]	2001	ı
Kim.H et al (2004, Korea)	19	85	36	127	5.5%	0.73 [0.38, 1.38]		l
Fraipont et al (2005, France)	6	16	18	56	4.3%	1.27 [0.40, 4.03]		
Kim.D et al (2007, Korea)	34	99	17	99	5.4%	2.52 [1.29, 4.92]		
Hsu et al (2007, Taiwan)plasma	18	57	7	35	4.7%	1.85 [0.68, 5.01]		
Hsu et al (2007, Taiwan)	22	57	9	63	4.9%	3.77 [1.56, 9.13]		
Yanagawa et al (2007, Japan)	34	101	7	101	5.0%	6.81 [2.85, 16.29]		
Fischer et al (2007, Germany)	43	92	0	7	1.6%	13.18 [0.73, 237.55]		
Verri et al (2009, Multi)	84	229	68	208	5.9%	1.19 [0.80, 1.77]		
Li et al (2010, China)	42	123	0	105	1.7%	110.03 [6.67, 1814.87]		
Zhang et al (2011, China)	1	40	1	40	1.7%	1.00 [0.06, 16.56]		
Li et al (2014, China)	19	56	0	56	1.7%	58.76 [3.44, 1003.02]		
Haroun et al (2014, Egypt)	15	28	1	28	2.5%	31.15 [3.70, 262.06]		l
Subtotal (95% CI)		1090		1029	50.0%	3.49 [1.84, 6.62]		•
Total events	377		173					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.89; Chi ² =		= 12 (F		01): I² =	= 80%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.83 (P		•		/, -				
1.1.2 primer set1								
Zochbauer et al (2001, Multi)	40	107	9	104	5.1%	6.30 [2.87, 13.85]	2004	
Kim.H et al (2004, Korea)	19	85	36	127	5.5%	0.30 [2.87, 13.83]		
•	6				4.3%	1.27 [0.40, 4.03]		
Fraipont et al (2005, France)		16 101	18	56	4.3% 5.0%	6.81 [2.85, 16.29]		
Yanagawa et al (2007, Japan) Fischer et al (2007, Germany)	34	92	7 0	101 7				
` '	43				1.6% 5.9%	13.18 [0.73, 237.55]		l
Verri et al (2009, Multi)	84	229	68 0	208		1.19 [0.80, 1.77]		
Li et al (2010, China)	42	123 56		105 56	1.7% 1.7%	110.03 [6.67, 1814.87]		
Li et al (2014, China)	19 15		0 1		2.5%	58.76 [3.44, 1003.02]		
Haroun et al (2014, Egypt) Subtotal (95% CI)	15	28 837	'	28 792	33.3%	31.15 [3.70, 262.06] 4.92 [1.91, 12.69]	2014	
	302	031	139	132	33.3 /6	4.32 [1.31, 12.03]		
Total events Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.47; Chi ² =		- 0 (D		1 \. 2 _	070/			
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.29 (P		•	< 0.0000	1), 1	01 70			
1001101010101101100112 0120 (1	0.0010)							
1.1.3 primer set2								
Hsu et al (2007, Taiwan)plasma	18	57	7	35	4.7%	1.85 [0.68, 5.01]	2007	, •
Hsu et al (2007, Taiwan)	22	57	9	63	4.9%	3.77 [1.56, 9.13]	2007	,
Zhang et al (2011, China)	1	40	1	40	1.7%	1.00 [0.06, 16.56]	2011	
Subtotal (95% CI)		154		138	11.3%	2.61 [1.37, 4.98]		•
Total events	41		17					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² =	= 1.58, df =	= 2 (P =	0.45); I ²	= 0%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.92 (P	= 0.004)							
1.1.4 primer set3								
Kim.D et al (2007, Korea)	34	99	17	99	5.4%	2.52 [1.29, 4.92]	2007	,
Subtotal (95% CI)		99		99	5.4%	2.52 [1.29, 4.92]		•
Total events	34		17			. ,1		
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	5 T		• •					
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.72 (P	= 0.007)							
Total (95% CI)		2180		2058	100.0%	3.42 [2.22, 5.27]		•
Total events	754	2.00	346	_000	100.070	0.∓= [2.22, 0.21]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.79; Chi ² =		√ − 2E		001\- J2	- 80%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.57 (P			(1 > 0.001	ου i j, i-	- 00 /0			0.001 0.1 1 10 1000
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.57 (P		,	0 - 0 64	I2 - ∩0/	_			cancer normal
restion subdroub dilierences: Ch	ı – ı.oö. (ai – 3 (F	U.04).	ı- – U%	0			