

our shielding • Your smart contracts, our shielding • Your smart c



shieldify



PROTOCOL NAME

SECURITY REVIEW

Date: Month, Year

CONTENTS

1. About Shieldify	3
2. Disclaimer	3
3. About <ProtocolName>	3
4. Risk classification	3
4.1 Impact	3
4.2 Likelihood	4
5. Audit Summary	4
5.1 Protocol Summary	4
5.2 Scope	4
6. Findings Summary	5
7. Findings	5

1. About Shieldify Security

We are Shieldify Security – a company on a mission to make web3 protocols more secure, cost-efficient and user-friendly. Our team boasts extensive experience in the web3 space as both smart contract auditors and developers that have worked on top 100 blockchain projects with multi-million dollars in market capitalization.

Book an audit and learn more about us at [security.org](https://shieldify.org)

2. Disclaimer

This security review does not guarantee a bulletproof protection against a hack or exploit. Smart contracts are a novel technological feat with many known and unknown risks. The protocol, which this report is intended for, indemnifies Shieldify Security against any responsibility for any misbehavior, bugs, or exploits affecting the audited code during any part of the project's life cycle. It is also pivotal to acknowledge that modifications made to the audited code, including fixes for the issues described in this report, may introduce new problems and necessitate additional auditing.

3. About <ProtocolName>

Provides a short summary of the protocol, its functionality and internal a high-level overview of its internal mechanisms.

4. Risk Classification

Severity level	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: High	Critical	High	Medium
Likelihood: Medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: Low	Medium	Low	Low

4.1 Impact

- **High** - results in a significant risk for the protocol’s overall wellbeing. Affects all or most users
- **Medium** - results in a non-critical risk for the protocol affects all or only a subset of users, but is still unacceptable
- **Low** - losses will be limited but bearable – and covers vectors similar to griefing attacks that can be easily repaired or even gas optimization techniques

4.2 Likelihood

- **High** – almost certain to happen and highly lucrative for execution by malicious actors
- **Medium** – still relatively likely, although only conditionally possible or incentivized
- **Low** – requires a unique set of circumstances and poses non-lucrative cost-of-execution to rewards ratio for the actor

5. Audit Summary

Covers the amount of days and hours spent on the audit. Reviews the types of the findings, their severity and gives an overall assessment of the protocol’s documentation and code quality.

5.1 Protocol Summary

Project Name	name of the project
Repository	link to the Github repository
Type of Project	project category (i.e NFT, DeFi, etc.)
Audit Timeline	date timeframe during which the audit has been prepared
Review Commit Hash	the commit hash on which the audit report is based
Fixes Review Commit Hash	the hash on which the fixes review has been submitted

5.2 Scope

The following smart contracts were in scope of the audit:

File	nSLOC
relative path of the file	source lines of code in file

6. Findings Summary

The following number of issues have been identified, sorted by their severity:

- **Critical** and **High** issues - number
- **Medium** issues - number
- **Low/Informational** issues - number

ID	Title	Severity
[index of the finding]	title of the finding	severity type

7. Findings

Severity
Type of the finding

Description
A detailed description of the finding

Location of Affected Code
The place in the codebase in which the finding has been identified

Recommendation
Recommended steps to fix the finding

Team Response
Feedback from the protocol’s team in relation to the finding

our shielding • Your smart contracts, our shielding • Your smart c



shieldify



Thank you!

