

## Rubric for Period of a Pendulum

### Heading and Abstract

Item	Comments	Score
1. Title accurately describes the experiment. Author listed and date listed.		/1
2. Describes what the experiment was and how it worked in a way that would be understood by a reader not familiar with the experiment.		/3
3. Describes the specific results of the experiment in a way that is understandable to a reader not familiar with the experiment.		/2
4. Written concisely (penalty if excessive unneeded details included).		<b>Penalty:</b> /-0.5
<b>General comments on the abstract.</b>		/6

### Introduction

Item	Comments	Score
1. Describes the given theory and assumptions of theory that angle is small and dissipative forces negligible.		/2
3. Describes in general terms how the experiment tested the hypothesis.		/1
4. Written concisely (penalty if excessive unneeded details included).		<b>Penalty:</b> /-0.5
<b>General comments on the introduction.</b>		/3

**Procedure/Methods**

Item	Comments	Score
1. Describes the procedure in a way that could be replicated by someone who was not familiar with the experimental setup.		/4
2. Experiment tests amplitude and length with enough variation in each variable and well-defined controls.		/3
3. Multiple swings used in each trial.		/1
4. Other quality control measures. (Multiple trials, particularly thoughtful design, etc.)		<b>Bonus:</b>
6. Includes a clearly labeled diagram(s) or pictures(s) of the experimental setup.		/2
7. Written in the past tense. (Penalty if not in the past tense).		<b>Penalty:</b> /-0.5
<b>General comments on the procedure.</b>		/9

**Data**

Item	Comments	Score
1. Data is presented clearly, with associated units and context.		/2
2. Uncertainty in data is presented clearly, and the uncertainty estimates are reasonable.		/2
3. The uncertainty estimates are explained in the text, and the explanations are reasonable.		/2
4. Exceptional methodology for estimating uncertainty		<b>Bonus:</b>
5. Raw data is not mixed with calculated quantities.		<b>Penalty:</b>
6. No extra material (graphs, uncertainty propagation etc.) is included.		<b>Penalty:</b>
<b>General comments on the data section.</b>		/6

### Analysis

Item	Comments	Score
1. Section clearly divided into parts by independent variable.		/1
2. Prop of uncertainty through averaging and dividing by the number of swings is incorrect.		/1
3. Graphs of data corresponding to each ind. variable, with proper labels and error bars.		/3
4. For amplitude, MC done to get uncert. in slope.		/1
5. For length, data transformation done to test power law, graph made, and process discussed.		/3
6. Prop of uncert. through $\ln$ done correctly and explained.		/2
7. For transformed data graph, slope and intercept reported w/ uncertainty using MC		/1
8. Bonus for exceptional data/analysis		<b>Bonus:</b>
<b>General comments on the analysis section.</b>		/12

### Conclusion

Item	Comments	Score
1. Explicit comparisons made, using uncertainty, between theoretical values for 2 slopes and y-int. on transformed graph for length.		/3
2. When exp. agrees with theory, power/uncert. discussed. and/or When exp. disagrees with theory possible reasons theory may be invalid are discussed.		/2
<b>General comments on the conclusion section.</b>		/5

## Overall

Item	Comments	Score
1. Language in the report is easy to understand. It avoids unnecessarily long sentences. Technical language is used correctly.		/5
2. The grammar, spelling, and punctuation in the report is correct.		/2
Additional bonuses		<b>Bonus:</b>
Additional penalties		<b>Penalty:</b>
<b>General comments.</b>		

Total: \_\_\_\_\_/48