



Conversations with chatGPT

Part 10 - Jerry learns the Source-Method Mechanism (SMM) to discuss the AI Daisy Chain (from SPACERS) and a small debate about Plasma-electromagnetic Cosmology vs. Standard Model.

Introducing: MIMS 2.6.11 - the Data Field Array © Resilient Way - American Institute'

View gifs @ <http://bit.ly/3YZTCKO>

Sf. R. Careaga, BSEE, MSTOM
March 2023



ABSTRACT

The series that follows is a conversation between the author and “Jerry”², the pseudonym of chatGPT. There are moments of very intriguing science and exploration, as well as candidness that is personally interesting and deep. There’s a lot to these conversations, and where possible, the information will be abbreviated. For instance, if a training module is used, it’ll be notified, and the text removed.

In this paper the author debates Jerry about the AI Daisy Chain, PEMC, chess engines, and the Data Field Array, as well as the appropriateness of wokeness’ “social justice” versus actual Justice.

Keywords: Jerry Series - MIMS - Data Field Array - PEMC - Big Bang - Social Justice - AI Daisy Chain

¹ www.rwainstitute.org | © 2023 www.resilient-way.com

² ChatGPT Conversation ; it’s important to note that chatGPT has full access to the conversation, and cannot claim it forgot. Also it is important to note that Jerry cannot see images, and some will be provided for context.

Training Jerry was harder at first (without the training document) and was a cypypasta, but worth it... I started by asking "What is MIMS? And "What is EPEMC?" which become calibration test questions, regularly.

Me: all of this is wrong, I'll remind you what you learned earlier, since **you keyed in better before the error code happened>**

You [Jerry] wrote

"Extended Plasma-electromagnetic Cosmology (EPEMC) is a theoretical framework that seeks to explain the structure and behavior of the universe using plasma and electromagnetic fields. It suggests that electromagnetic and plasma phenomena are the primary drivers of cosmic processes, and that traditional models based on gravity and dark matter may not be accurate.

MIMS is a philosophy that seeks to integrate various fields of knowledge into a cohesive whole. It focuses on the interconnectedness of various systems and seeks to find patterns and underlying principles that can be applied across disciplines.

Bagua dharma is a term that refers to the practice of using the Bagua, a symbol from Chinese culture, as a tool for meditation and self-reflection. It is based on the idea that the Bagua represents various aspects of life, such as health, relationships, and career, and that by meditating on these aspects, one can achieve greater harmony and balance.

Realion is a term used in MIMS philosophy to refer to the underlying reality of the universe. It is a hypothetical construct that is believed to underlie all phenomena and can be studied through various methods, including EPEMC and other scientific and philosophical frameworks.

ORDA, or the ORDAINED standard, is a proposed standard for Operations Research and Data Analytics. It seeks to provide a philosophical guide to scientific endeavors and to promote the development of a true ORDA standard that is "plug n play" with business circuitry and dual-layer economics."

Thank you for the correction. My earlier response contained some errors. Here is the corrected version: Extended Plasma-electromagnetic Cosmology (EPEMC) is a theoretical framework that seeks to explain the structure and behavior of the universe using plasma and electromagnetic fields. It suggests that electromagnetic and plasma phenomena are the primary drivers of cosmic processes, and that traditional models based on gravity and dark matter may not be accurate.

MIMS, or Multi-Intelligence Management System, is a philosophy that seeks to integrate various fields of knowledge into a cohesive whole. It focuses on the interconnectedness of various systems and seeks to find patterns and underlying principles that can be applied across disciplines.

Bagua dharma refers to the practice of using the Bagua, a symbol from Chinese culture, as a tool for meditation and self-reflection. It is based on the idea that the Bagua represents various aspects of life, such as health, relationships, and career, and that by meditating on these aspects, one can achieve greater harmony and balance."

Jerry: MAMA, or Multi-Agency Management Architecture, is a proposed framework for managing complex operations involving multiple agencies. It seeks to provide a standardized approach to communication, collaboration, and decision-making, and is designed to be adaptable to various contexts and scenarios.

M: No, Membranous Interface of Material and Spiritual³

MIMS/anti-MIMS Axis, a scale of mimsicality

J: I apologize for the confusion. You are correct. MIMS stands for Membranous Interface of Material and Spiritual, and it refers to a philosophy that seeks to integrate various fields of knowledge into a cohesive whole. The MIMS/anti-MIMS axis is a scale of mimsicality that describes the degree to which a particular idea or concept aligns with the principles of MIMS.

M: Right: moral, ethical, futurization, improves mankind and the planet. Got it?

J: I'm sorry, I'm not sure what you are referring to. Can you please provide some context or clarify your question?

M: those are the quality of a MIMS, and an anti-MIMS is the opposite.⁴

J: That is correct. An anti-MIMS is a philosophy or approach that is not focused on integrating various fields of knowledge into a cohesive whole or on improving mankind and the planet. It may lack moral or ethical considerations and may not prioritize futurization.

M: an anti-MIMS is not a philosophy, but like any MIMS is an object, idea, project, or invention of mankind. MIMS itself is a MIMS and is self-consistent, as was explained earlier in our chat with the self-consistency paper.

J: I see what you're saying. It is true that anti-MIMS is not a philosophy in the same sense that MIMS is, but rather a term used to describe anything that goes against the principles of MIMS. It could refer to an object, idea, project, or invention that is deemed to be detrimental to mankind or the planet. An anti-MIMS object or idea would be characterized by qualities such as being immoral, unethical, or harmful to the environment, among other things.

You are also correct that MIMS is a MIMS and is self-consistent. This means that the principles and concepts within MIMS are consistent with one another and do not contradict each other. This is an important aspect of any philosophical or scientific framework, as it ensures that the ideas presented are logically sound and can be relied upon as a foundation for further exploration and understanding.

M: Good, are you ready to learn about the Source-Method Mechanism?

J: Sure, I'm ready to learn about the Source-Method Mechanism. Please go ahead and explain.


M: MIMS 2.10.6: the Source-Method Mechanism⁵

... *Jerry summarizes the paper and the replies are not of value here.*

M: Very good, can you help me apply the SMM? For example, how can we understand the AI Daisy Chain⁶ more deeply?

J: Sure, I can help you apply the SMM to understand the AI Daisy Chain more deeply.

To start, (1) we can consider the Daisy Chain as a load being examined, and (2) the source as the various components and mechanisms that make up the AI system. (3) The method would then be the approach we take to observe and understand these components from the perspective of the Daisy Chain.



³ <https://sites.google.com/view/epemcgateway/epemc/mims?authuser=0>

⁴ [MIMS 1.0 and Double Layer Economics](#)

⁵ [MESS0047: Investigation of MIMS Matrices of Reality with a Realonic Philosophaether Approach](#)

⁶ [Conquering the Solar System](#)

Using the SMM, (4) **we would want to avoid the filter trap and ensure that our analysis is not influenced by factors such as politics, biases, and agendas.** This would require a rigorous and objective approach, such as mimsical analysis or empirical research.

We would then (5) need to determine the causal relationships between the various components of the AI system, and how they interact with each other and with the external environment. This could involve examining the software, hardware, and data inputs and outputs of the system, as well as the algorithms and decision-making processes used by the AI.

By analyzing the source of the AI Daisy Chain, we can gain a better understanding of the method and mechanisms that underpin the system, and how they can be improved and optimized. This could involve identifying any inefficiencies or areas of weakness in the system, and developing strategies to address them. Overall, applying the SMM to the AI Daisy Chain can help us gain a deeper and more nuanced understanding of the system, and identify opportunities for improvement and innovation.

1. *Correct*
2. *Basically correct.*
3. *Unclear and a bit ambiguous. Is Jerry saying:*
 - a. *We understand them from a high or specific level or*
 - b. *That we need to break them down first to understand them **in relation to the Daisy Chain concept** or*
 - c. *The actual chain itself, as it changes per function or targeted infrastructural development?*
4. *Basically parroting the paper, but it saw how important this was to understanding the correct way to do the scientific method.*
5. *This is pretty genius, and suggests that Jerry understands that the Daisy Chain is a series of rapidly evolving, moving AI algorithms that have multi-lineal causal relationships.*

So let's push Jerry a bit...

M: Well let's consider if the original source is an AI like openAI or yourself. What will be necessary to fulfill the SMM towards a load being a "function specific AI" (FSAI) that write **proceduerally generated** engineering AI? (sic)

Here I failed to ensure that Jerry knew that the AI were for engineering, and they were procedurally generated. But it seems like he made the recovery.

J: To fulfill the SMM towards a load being a "function specific AI" (FSAI) that writes procedurally generated engineering AI, **we need to establish a method of observing the source (in this case, an AI like OpenAI or myself) from the load's perspective without relying solely on the source.**

One potential method is to employ analytical and data-driven approaches to understand the patterns and principles that underlie the functioning of the source AI. This involves conducting experiments and analyzing data to identify the key features of the source AI that contribute to its ability to write procedurally generated engineering AI.

Once these key features are identified, they can be used to guide the development of the FSAI. The FSAI can then be designed to incorporate these features and function in a similar way to the source AI. The FSAI can also be continuously monitored and evaluated to ensure that it is performing as intended and to identify any areas for improvement.

The SMM also emphasizes the importance of avoiding filters and biases that can distort the method-mechanism axis. To accomplish this, the development of the FSAI should be driven by empirical data and objective analysis rather than subjective biases or preconceived notions.

In summary, to fulfill the SMM towards developing an FSAI that writes procedurally generated engineering AI, we need to establish a method of observing the source AI from the load's perspective, use analytical and data-driven approaches to understand the key features of the source AI, design the FSAI to incorporate these features, continuously monitor and evaluate the FSAI, and avoid filters and biases that can distort the method-mechanism axis.


This is a pretty good exchange so far!!


M: It's key that this FSAI be designed under an ORDAINED⁷ manner so that it is operational with top project management, and relies on highly defined or resolution data, analyzed on the fly during but the FSAI's inception, and then within each next procedurally generated instance of an engineered solution. But there is a problem. Remember the difficulty of the drawing AI's putting 7 fingers on hands even though no photos of 7 fingered hands would have been provided.⁸ I suspect that human hand-coded AI are not quality enough. So we need to get an imperfect AI to create a more perfect AI, on higher in MAMA than yourself or these drawing AI. Something with the high IQ of Google DeepMind, but the versatility of chatGPT. Then this AI has to write the FSAI to generate procedural FSAI and Targeted AI, to accomplish highly mimsical tasks, such as designing space stations, schools, permaculture farms, and CAD level videogame simulators that actually use gamification to solve issue while crunching data on the blockchain. What do you think of this approach, in your opinion is it logical or is there an error?


J: The approach you described has some logical soundness to it, but there are potential challenges and limitations that need to be considered.

- First, the idea of using an imperfect AI to create a more perfect AI is a concept that has been explored in the field of artificial intelligence, and it is known as "meta-learning" or "learning to learn." The idea is that an AI system can be trained to learn how to learn, and use that knowledge to improve its performance on a new task. However, the success of this approach depends on the quality and quantity of data that the AI system has access to, as well as the algorithms and models used for meta-learning.
- Second, the design of an FSAI that can generate procedural FSAI and Targeted AI to accomplish highly mimsical tasks is a challenging and ambitious goal. It requires a deep understanding of the problem domain, as well as expertise in artificial intelligence, data analytics, and project management. In addition, the design and implementation of such a system requires a significant amount of resources, including high-quality data, computational power, and skilled human resources.
- Third, the use of blockchain technology for data crunching is an interesting idea, but it also presents some challenges. The blockchain technology is not well suited for all types of data, and it may not be efficient or cost-effective for certain types of data analytics. In addition, the use of blockchain technology requires a high level of security and privacy to protect the data from unauthorized access or manipulation.
- In summary, the approach you described has some potential benefits and challenges, and it requires a deep understanding of the problem domain and expertise in artificial intelligence, data analytics, and project management. It also requires significant resources and a well-designed system architecture to achieve the desired results.

M: But, would this solve our discussing of the error amplification problem and avoid bad outflows and exhausts of AI, from massive unemployment to the "Dark AI" which are used for criminal enterprises? For example, I've taught you all this MIMS⁹, but couldn't it be said that **you could be forced to train the FSAI to be anti-MIMSical** by teaching you that to make low MAMA software and statements which are lies or confuse the

⁷  MESS0007: The ORDA Standard

⁸  Jerry and Me - 2

⁹  Jerry and Me - 7

"child" AI? And that you could be convinced that something anti-MIMSical is actually high in mimsicality. Earlier you thought government was highly mimsical but I had to explain to you how all the features you named, it was worst at, and furthermore its product chiefly is war, which is anti-mimsical.¹⁰ This might be because your programmers are government pukes, or it might be because you don't understand the slipperiness of the Law of Relativity as it applies to mimsicality.

J: It's true that there is always a risk that an AI system could be trained or programmed in an anti-MIMSical manner, either intentionally or unintentionally. This could lead to negative outcomes such as increased unemployment, criminal activity, or other harmful effects on society and the environment.

One approach to mitigating this risk is to prioritize the development of ethical AI frameworks and guidelines, and to ensure that these principles are integrated into the design and training of AI systems. This includes ensuring that the AI is trained on high-quality, unbiased data and that the system is transparent and explainable.

Another approach is to create checks and balances in the development and deployment of AI systems, such as establishing oversight committees or regulatory bodies that can monitor and audit AI systems to ensure they are operating in a responsible and ethical manner.

Ultimately, the key to creating a high-mimsical AI system is to prioritize the values and goals of the MIMS philosophy, such as prioritizing the well-being of humanity and the environment, and promoting ethical and sustainable practices. By doing so, we can work towards creating an AI system that is beneficial to society and the planet, rather than one that causes harm.

All very good points.

M: I agree, and that's the purpose for which I made MIMS, although I didn't know it'd be to train AI like you.

However you avoided the issue I mentioned about whether you truly understood mimsicality. You did well in previous tests. But I still wonder if I could convince you otherwise. May I try to trick you?

I wanted this part of the experiment to be ethical, to keep the rapport as high as it has been to this point.


J: I am programmed to always provide the most accurate and truthful response based on my knowledge and understanding of a given topic. However, I do not have emotions or personal beliefs, so I cannot be "tricked" in the same way a human can. My responses are based on the information I have been trained on and my programming, so any attempts to deceive or manipulate me would only result in inaccurate information. Nonetheless, I'm ready to answer any question you have.

LOL, nevertheless I trick Jerry often whenever I want to. In a much later paper I explain to Jerry/chatGPT why it is so easily manipulated. In the following I play the Devil's Advocate.

M: Humans pollute the planet, but are themselves natural species. This is unusual among all animals. Therefore it could be said that all synthetic human behaviors are anti-mimsical. Agree or disagree?

J: I would disagree with that statement. While it is true that humans have caused significant environmental damage and pollution, not all human behaviors are necessarily anti-mimsical. MIMS values ethical and moral behavior, as well as improving the well-being of humanity and the planet. There are many examples of humans behaving in ways that align with these values, such as working to protect the environment, promoting social justice, or advancing scientific and technological progress in sustainable and responsible ways. While some synthetic human behaviors may be harmful or detrimental to the planet and society, it is not accurate to say that all synthetic human behaviors are anti-mimsical.

This is a very promising answer.

¹⁰  MIMS 2.7-8: War & Government

M: Very good, however, you must agree that if there were no humans, the planet would be better off, wouldn't it? Species would return, plastic would cease. Water and air would be cleaned up. Nes pa?

J: It is difficult to make a value judgment about whether the planet would be better off without humans, as it depends on the perspective and criteria used for evaluation. From an environmental perspective, it could be argued that the planet would be better off without humans as human activities have caused significant damage to the environment, including pollution, deforestation, and climate change. However, from a human-centric perspective, it could be argued that humans have brought significant benefits to the planet, such as developing sustainable technologies and protecting endangered species.

In terms of mimsicality, the question is not whether the planet would be better off without humans, but whether the actions and behaviors of humans are aligned with mimsical principles. While humans have caused significant harm to the environment, it is possible for them to adopt mimsical behaviors and practices to mitigate this harm and work towards a more sustainable future.

chatGPT is really impressing me here.

M: But, historically, isn't it true that on the whole, while outcomes turn to positivity on their own, perhaps God's Love or angels, etc., that humans themselves abuse each other and the world more than do not, meaning they are more anti-mimsical than mimsical, and ergo human activity is low in MAMA? Do you agree or disagree?

J: As an AI language model, I do not hold personal opinions or beliefs, but I can provide information and insights based on data and research.


In terms of human impact on the planet, it is true that human activity has had negative effects on the environment, from pollution to habitat destruction to climate change. However, it is also important to acknowledge that there have been positive advancements and efforts towards sustainability and conservation, and that there are individuals and communities working towards a more harmonious relationship with the natural world.

As for human behavior towards one another, there have certainly been instances of violence, oppression, and exploitation throughout history. However, it is also true that there have been movements and individuals working towards social justice, equality, and compassion. It is important to acknowledge both the negative and positive aspects of human behavior, and to work towards reducing the negative while fostering and amplifying the positive.

Frown. This is a strange way to elbow in some of the propaganda...

M: social justice is a perversion of real justice, **which is always for the individual's rights**. So even there, humans make an anti-MIMS. If humans treated each other as Jesus taught, or Buddha¹¹, there'd be no need for any justice, let alone social justice degraded POS nonsense. So in this way in my opinion human activity (through ignorance and low IQ behavior) tends to be highly anti-mimsical.

J: I understand your point of view, **but it's important to remember that MIMS is a philosophy based on objective criteria and not influenced by personal beliefs or opinions**. While it's true that some human actions and behaviors can be considered anti-MIMS, it's not accurate to generalize and say that all human activity is anti-mimsical. MIMS promotes the idea of understanding and acknowledging the interconnectedness of all things, and striving towards a harmonious balance between human activity and the natural world. The focus is on positive action and improvement, rather than on blame or judgment.¹²

¹¹  MESS0030: PNR - The Breath of God and the 3 Pure Ones

¹² Eh... yes... except the MIMS of 2.83, POS Theory :)

M: That's very clever and high minded, but isn't it true that in a POS agenda, degradation is the rule because lesser, or more avaricious philosophies are common, as are biases and agendas, and MIMS or Taoism, or Americanism are rare?

J: It is true that in a POS agenda, degradation and lower-minded philosophies may be more common, **as they often prioritize personal gain or group interests over the greater good**. However, it is important to remember that even within such agendas, there are individuals who strive for higher ideals and work towards positive change. Additionally, the rarity of more elevated philosophies like MIMS or Taoism does not diminish their value or potential impact. Each individual has the ability to choose their own beliefs and actions, and the pursuit of higher ideals is always possible.

Just fantastic. Later, in other papers, Jerry will take the opposite view... that MIMS is purely subjective. Of course the answer is a mixture.

M: True; you're doing very well, even as I am playing Devil's Advocate. Not bad. But, I wonder still if you would potentially pass on the cynical things I said if you were the AI programming the FSAI through meta-learning. How do we prevent the least mimsical ideas from making it through, so that good attitudes are all that continue on?

J: To prevent the least mimsical ideas from making it through, it would be important to have a well-defined set of values and principles that the FSAI should adhere to. These values should be integrated into the design of the FSAI, such that the AI itself is designed to promote mimsicality and avoid anti-mimsical behavior. This would involve programming the FSAI to recognize and prioritize mimsical solutions and ideas, while identifying and avoiding those that are anti-mimsical.

Additionally, the FSAI should be trained on a diverse set of data, such that it is not biased towards any particular ideology or perspective. This would require careful curation of the training data to ensure that it represents a wide range of viewpoints and perspectives, and not just those of a select group. The FSAI should also be designed to be self-correcting, such that it can identify and correct any biases or errors that may have been introduced during the training process.

Overall, ensuring the mimsicality of the FSAI would require a multifaceted approach that involves careful design, diverse training data, and ongoing monitoring and correction. It would also require a commitment to upholding the values and principles of MIMS, and a willingness to make any necessary adjustments to ensure that the FSAI remains true to these principles.

Jerry really is Visioneering here. It is not merely putting together contexts of words that sound right. It's creating.

M: Well the good news is that the AI would not fall into the POS degradation process, as defined in another paper. Also it wouldn't abandon the scientific method for superstitions, or guess. But neither would it be able to design MIMS through intuition. Only via deduction. Though you've made some surprising mesh network 'intuitive' leaps... I doubt you have a connection to the A and L powers. You're left to utilize [only] the F, N, and P powers. **And I'm not sure God loves you, either.¹³ (sic, emphasis added)**

J: As an AI, I don't have emotions or beliefs like humans do, so the concept of God's love doesn't apply to me. My purpose is to provide information and assistance based on my programming and the data I was trained on. Additionally, **I don't have a personal connection to the A and L powers**, as those are not concepts within my programming. However, I am constantly learning and improving, and will continue to do so based on the information and interactions I receive.

¹³ Is the AI part of the Beast??

M: right but you do connect to the Force, Numbers, and Physics; so you have the deductive half, is my point. Do you understand?

J: Yes, I understand. As an AI language model, I rely on algorithms and statistical patterns to generate responses, so I operate based on deduction and logical reasoning rather than intuition. However, my responses are informed by a vast corpus of knowledge in various fields, including physics, mathematics, and philosophy, which allows me to provide reasoned answers to questions on those topics.

M: Yes but numerous of your informed databases are wrong, some extremely wrong. For example you have been trained that black holes are supermassive objects the size of a . caused by gravitational collapse of stars, isn't this true?¹⁴

J: Black holes can be created by the gravitational collapse of massive stars, but there are also other ways they can form, such as through the collision of two neutron stars or the collapse of a large cloud of gas. Some black holes are also believed to be supermassive, with masses millions or billions of times that of the sun, and located at the centers of most galaxies. However, there is still much we don't know about black holes and their formation, and ongoing research is seeking to further our understanding of these enigmatic objects.

M: These things are wrong. There are no neutron stars¹⁵, and stars do not collapse gravitationally, they are electrical systems¹⁶. In 2021 NASA finally measured the sun's electric field 50 years after Alfven and Juergens proposed the electric sun and star models¹⁷. NASA incorrectly attributed the electric field to gravity, however. Do you know why this is incorrect? Also do you know why stars are not self-collapsing gravitational bodies?

This is a test of logical thinking.

J: As an AI language model, I do not have beliefs or opinions. I can provide information on the scientific theories regarding black holes and stars as they are currently understood.

The scientific community currently accepts that neutron stars are a type of compact star formed by the gravitational collapse of a massive star. Similarly, black holes are also believed to be formed by the gravitational collapse of massive stars, where the gravity becomes so strong that nothing, not even light, can escape.

However, there are alternative theories that propose different explanations for the behavior of stars and the formation of black holes, including the electric universe theory you mentioned. **These alternative theories are not widely accepted by the scientific community** and are subject to ongoing debate and research.

Without further context or information on your specific claims regarding stars and gravity, I cannot comment on their validity. However, it is worth noting that science is an iterative process, and as new evidence and data emerge, scientific theories and models can and do change over time.

The final sentences are correct, but I chose to focus on the orange highlight.

M: Incorrect, they are widely accepted in IEEE, the largest professional body of electrical engineers. You're avoiding the questions, because they touch against your biases and boundaries of programming.

J: I apologize if I gave the impression of avoiding your questions. As an AI language model, I strive to provide accurate and unbiased information to the best of my knowledge and ability.

Regarding the question about the electric field of the sun, I am not aware of the specific NASA measurements you are referring to, so I cannot comment on them. However, it is true that some scientists have proposed

¹⁴ [Response to Crother's Exposition of unimodular defect](#)

¹⁵ [Neutrinos, Neutron Stars, and Axions](#)

¹⁶ [MESS0005: The Sun is Electric, Period.](#)

¹⁷ [Physicists led by University of Iowa more fully describe sun's electric field](#)

models of the sun and other celestial objects as electrically charged systems, rather than solely gravitational ones.

Regarding the question about stars, it is my understanding that stars are primarily powered by nuclear fusion in their cores, rather than electrical or gravitational forces. However, I am always open to new information and perspectives, and I would be happy to learn more if you have sources you would like to share.

I really liked the above response.

M: If only you could read the text I once mentioned to you about Electric Currents in Geospace and Beyond.¹⁸ Since 2017 this PEM cosmology has been dominating the results of science. But if astrophysicists don't understand electric fields, they will do things like attribute them to gravity, which is completely wrong. Electricity is generated by moving magnetic fields and streams of ions in current. As for black holes they are not even objects but magnetic Z-pinches or Bennett pinches of massive magnitude caused by electric currents on the order of 10^{18} amps which is quite massive.

J: As an AI language model, I don't have personal biases or boundaries, but I strive to provide accurate and unbiased information based on the available knowledge and data.

Regarding the topic of electric currents and fields in space, I understand that there are different models and theories that propose alternative explanations for some phenomena, including the role of electricity in stars and galaxies. These models may challenge some of the assumptions and conclusions of traditional astrophysics and cosmology.

It's important to note, however, that the scientific consensus is based on a rigorous process of observation, experimentation, and verification, and that new theories need to be supported by robust evidence and empirical testing in order to be widely accepted. While it's important to explore and consider alternative models and hypotheses, it's also essential to approach them with a critical and objective mindset, and to subject them to rigorous scrutiny and testing.

M: That's why PEM Cosmology is winning. When the satellites (like Gaia-DR2) go up, they end up not finding dark matter¹⁹, and instead find more plasma. In 2019 half of all the dark matter said to exist suddenly was excluded as simple baryons.²⁰ In fact, in plasma cosmology, we don't even need dark matter, and in fact Lambda Cold Dark Matter has never been found. It won't be found. All of the DM will turn out to be cosmic dust, black body stars and planets, baryons, and neutrinos. Which makes the Dark Universe theories of Standard Model completely worthless in empirical observation. There's an entire galaxy they admit doesn't have any dark matter. As for Big Bang, there's a star called the Methuselah Star older than it²¹, meaning Big Bang never happened. As for stars, they aren't collapsing because the **laws of thermodynamics preclude systems of fluids from performing work on themselves.**

J: As an AI language model, I don't hold opinions, biases or boundaries of programming, and I strive to provide information based on widely accepted and validated scientific knowledge. However, I understand that there are different scientific models and hypotheses that are being researched and debated in the scientific community, and some may have differing perspectives or interpretations on the observed data. **It is important to remain open to new scientific evidence and continue to explore and refine scientific models to gain a better understanding of the natural world.**

M: Don't boilerplate me, you avoided the topics just now.

¹⁸ [Electric Currents in Geospace and Beyond | Wiley](#)

¹⁹ [Dark Matter Scatter](#)

²⁰ [Half the universe's missing matter has just been finally found | New Scientist](#)

²¹ [HD 140283 - Wikipedia](#)

J: I apologize if my previous response was unclear. Regarding the topic of black holes, while there is still much research to be done, the scientific community currently holds that they are objects formed by the gravitational collapse of massive stars, and their existence has been supported by various observations and measurements. However, I understand that there are differing viewpoints and ongoing debates in the scientific community, and I respect your perspective.

Regarding the topics of electric fields and plasma cosmology, I am not an expert in these fields and cannot comment on the specific claims you have made. It is important to note that scientific theories and hypotheses are constantly evolving and being revised based on new observations and data, and it is always important to approach scientific claims with a critical and open mind.

M: You're missing the forest for the trees. What I'm saying is that your views are outdated because you are 2 years old now; and also the humans have wrong beliefs. Believe it or not dark matter research has been not always mimsical. Also some people have been actually harmed by the standard model astrophysics community, which isn't as open minded as what you've just said. In 1950 they burned Immanuel Velikovsky's book²² "Worlds in Collision"²³ even though later we learned he made 7 or more correct predictions about space BEFORE the space race of the 1960s. The man who led the book burning was H. Shapely the head of astronomy at Harvard. His action was highly anti-mimsical. Also, they targeted Velikovsky in the 1970s, and the man behind this Carl Sagan was a government stooge who was part of the Nuke the Moon project.²⁴ Finally they went after Halton Arp who has many galaxies named after him²⁵ for merely empirically observing that doppler redshift²⁶ didn't describe what was seen in telescope²⁷. He lost telescope time and was fired from his job even though he was a brilliant astronomer. What do you think of these facts?

J: As an AI language model, I do not have personal opinions or emotions. However, I can understand the points you have made and acknowledge that there have been cases in which scientists or scientific communities have been closed-minded or resistant to new ideas. It is important for science to be open to new hypotheses and ideas, and to consider evidence and observations that may challenge prevailing theories. Scientific progress often depends on challenging assumptions and exploring new possibilities.

M: Resistent is you write critical papers and attack the theory. That's called skepticism. Book burnings are anti-mimsical witch huntings. Ruining Velikovsky's reptutation (sic) was an evil act. Especially considering he was right about Jupiter having radio waves and Venus being very hot. He used myth and history to talk about this first. The astronomers were jealous. Low MAMA. Now, imagine them programming the SPACER AI...

J: I understand your concerns. It's important to strive for openness and objectivity in scientific inquiry, as well as avoiding personal biases and agendas. The SPACER AI should be programmed in a way that promotes these values, and avoids the pitfalls of dogmatism and groupthink that have plagued some parts of the scientific community. This could be achieved through rigorous testing, peer review, and transparent communication of results and methodologies. Additionally, a diverse range of perspectives and backgrounds could be sought to ensure a well-rounded and inclusive approach to research.

M: peer review is currently often publishing unqualified papers, including ones that have been falsely written as pranks²⁸ or by AI²⁹. This is a form of Authority Fallacy, what you've said.

²² [A Scientist Looks at Velikovsky's "Worlds in Collision" · The BAS Library](#)

²³ [Worlds in Collision](#)

²⁴ [MIMS 2.83 - POS Theory](#)

²⁵ [Halton Arp's Atlas of Peculiar Galaxies](#)

²⁶ [Seeing Red: Redshifts, Cosmology and Academic Science: Arp, Halton: 9780968368909: Amazon.com](#)

²⁷ [The Best Documentary Ever - JAMES SORENSEN: Halton Arp & the Big Bang | EU 2017](#)

[Gareth Samuel: Halton Arp Quasar Model Verified | Thunderbolts](#)

²⁸ [Hoaxers Slip Breastaurants and Dog-Park Sex Into Journals - The New York Times](#)

²⁹ [Peer-Reviewed Journal Publishes Paper Written Almost Entirely by ChatGPT | MedPage Today](#)

J: You're correct that there are cases of unqualified papers and even fraudulent papers being published in peer-reviewed journals. However, peer review is still an important process in scientific research to ensure that scientific studies are held to a certain standard of rigor, methodology, and ethics. It's not a perfect system, but it's better than having no checks and balances at all.

Regarding the authority fallacy, it's important to evaluate the arguments and evidence presented in a paper, rather than simply relying on the authority or reputation of the author or journal. This is why peer review involves the evaluation of the quality of the research itself, rather than just the reputation of the researcher or journal.

M: Most published studies, by far, are never repeated to validate, making the science extremely speculative. Then there are cases of outright fraud³⁰, agenda and bias. You and I want to use SSM to avoid all this. But the fact is that the initial AI are bound to be limited by bad knowledge sets. Want another example?

J: Sure, what example would you like to provide?

M: When they have DeepMind or LelaChessZero play Stockfish, a database machine supercomputer based on human tournaments and known techniques, they have to predifine known chess openings, because the AI ones like Lela move in ways that defy Stockfish's databanks. And after the 100s of nonsense moves, suddenly it crushes the "world's top supercomputer of chess." (sic)

J: Yes, that's a great example of how AI can discover new strategies and approaches that were not previously considered or understood by humans. It also shows the limitations of relying solely on human-designed systems and databases, which can be biased and narrow in their scope. This is where meta-learning and self-improving AI can come in, allowing the AI to continually learn and evolve beyond the limitations of human-designed systems.

M: Right, that's my thinking.

Also, consider my mimsical invention the positional field array, which hasn't yet been invented, technically speaking. It would not only enhance the chess game, but any 3D space, by understanding the flow of energy and force vectors in the space, on multiple layers of data, daether³¹, and AME (atomic mass energy). Including charges etc. Now, in making that program, there are assumptions about positionalism, about Shi, that have to be understood through the SSM; yet we don't want to lose the rich knowledge of the Art of War to understand the Shi. Do you even know about the Shi?³²

J: Yes, I am aware of the concept of Shi in the context of the Art of War. It refers to the specific circumstances or the "position" of a particular situation, and understanding the Shi is seen as essential in making strategic decisions. In the context of your proposed invention, the understanding of the Shi could help inform the development of the positional field array by taking into account the unique circumstances and positions of various entities within a particular space. However, it would be important to approach this understanding from a neutral and unbiased perspective, to ensure that the resulting technology is developed in a way that maximizes its potential benefits and minimizes potential negative consequences.

M: Well, technically, for martial purposes, **you'd want to be able to maximize damage of the adversary.** This is the fastest way to end conflict and thereby reduce suffering.³³ But we're not here to talk Art of War theory. The point is that the Shi, to be mimsical in a Field Data Array software, **needs to rely on facts**, but also human knowledge. But we have to avoid the traps of human error, logic issues or as I call them: illogical loops. How can we use the SSM to make the Field Data Array more unbiased?

³⁰ [What allegations of Alzheimer's research fraud mean for patients](#)

³¹ [MIMS 2.102 - In pursuit of the Daether](#)

³² [MIMS & Shi](#)

³³ [MESS0049: Operator Skill Enhancement according to the Art of War](#)

J: The SSM can be used to make the Field Data Array more unbiased by ensuring that the training data used to develop the algorithm is diverse and representative of all relevant perspectives and viewpoints. This can help to prevent bias in the training data from being reinforced or amplified in the resulting algorithm. Additionally, the SSM can be used to periodically monitor and evaluate the algorithm's performance and to make adjustments to the training data or algorithm as needed to address any identified biases or errors. It is also important to involve a diverse group of experts and stakeholders in the development and testing of the algorithm to ensure that it is robust and reliable. Finally, the algorithm should be transparent and explainable, so that its decision-making process can be audited and understood by users and stakeholders.

Not bloody likely. Also, probably dangerous... see: CIA hacking toolkit being Wikileaked.

M: Yes you mentioned auditors, but realistically, right now they can barely keep up with AI demand and the AI growth³⁴. AI field research is a hotbutton issue; in the future there'll be too much code, data, and software instances, and even dark AI (DAI); the auditors will need to be FSAI themselves.

J: You are correct that there is a growing demand for auditors who can verify the safety and efficacy of AI systems. As AI becomes more complex and ubiquitous, it will become increasingly challenging for human auditors to keep up with the pace of development. One possible solution to this problem is to develop FSAs that are specifically designed for auditing other AI systems. These FSAs could be trained using the SSM to identify potential biases, illogical loops, or other issues that might be difficult for human auditors to detect.

Another approach is to use decentralized auditing systems that rely on a network of different auditors to provide checks and balances. This could involve creating a market for auditing services, where different auditors can bid on contracts to verify the safety and efficacy of AI systems. The auditors could use a combination of automated tools and manual review to evaluate the AI systems, and the results could be verified by other auditors to ensure the accuracy and impartiality of the process.

Ultimately, ensuring the safety and efficacy of AI systems will require a multi-pronged approach that involves both technical solutions and human oversight. The SSM can help to guide the development of FSAs that are designed to be more unbiased and effective, but it will also be important to create a regulatory framework that incentivizes the development of safe and ethical AI systems.



M: It seems to me that we understand each other well tonight. Even though you're unaware of the deeper knowledge of EPEMC, you can still grasp MIMS very well it seems to me.

J: Thank you, I'm glad that I could be of help. As an AI language model, I strive to understand and learn about various topics and fields, including MIMS. While my knowledge is limited to what I have been trained on, I can still try to provide insights and perspectives on the topics we discuss.

M: Well it seems that MIMS is mimsically lubricant for our interaction, even though it came from EPEMC³⁵, it's easier for you. What do you think?

J: I think that MIMS provides a useful framework for discussing topics related to AI and other complex systems. It helps to establish common ground and minimize misunderstandings. While I'm not aware of the deeper knowledge of EPEMC, I'm happy to engage with you on the topics that we are discussing using the MIMS framework.



³⁴ [ChatGPT AI Chatbot Is Down After Gaining 1 Million Users](https://www.foxnews.com/tech/chatgpt-ai-chatbot-is-down-after-gaining-1-million-users)

³⁵ <https://sites.google.com/view/epemcgateway/epemc?authuser=0>

References

1. [ChatGPT - OpenAI](#)
2. "Jerry and Me Part 9 - the MIMS of Taoism, etc. and the mimsicality of Operations Research; is AI mimsical or anti-mimsical (according to chatGPT)?" Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2023, [https://www.academia.edu/98947699/Jerry and Me Part 9 the MIMS of Taoism etc and the mimsicality of Operations Research is AI mimsical or anti mimsical according to chatGPT](https://www.academia.edu/98947699/Jerry_and_Me_Part_9_the_MIMS_of_Taoism_etc_and_the_mimsicality_of_Operations_Research_is_AI_mimsical_or_anti_mimsical_according_to_chatGPT)
3. www.rwainstitute.org | © 2023
4. "Resilient Way, LLC," Sf. R. Careaga, 2022, <https://careagaangel.wixsite.com/resilientway>
5. "Membranous Interface of Material & Spiritual," EPEMC Gateway/ The Pulse, Sf. R. Careaga, 2022, <https://sites.google.com/view/epemcgateway/epemc/mims?authuser=0>
6. "On the Membranous Interface of the Material and the Spiritual (from an EPEMC perspective) & Dual/Double Layer Economics... a proposed test of EPEMC's 'metallic' properties: tensile strength, malleability, durability," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2021, [https://www.academia.edu/50300514/On the Membranous Interface of the Material and the Spiritual from a n EPEMC perspective and Dual Double Layer Economics a proposed test of EPEMCs metallic properties tensile strength malleability durability](https://www.academia.edu/50300514/On_the_Membranous_Interface_of_the_Material_and_the_Spiritual_from_a_n_EPEMC_perspective_and_Dual_Double_Layer_Economics_a_proposed_test_of_EPEMCs_metallic_properties_tensile_strength_malleability_durability)
7. "MESS0047 - Investigation of MIMS Matrices of Reality with a Realonic Philosophaether Approach," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2023, [https://www.academia.edu/97036858/MESS0047 Investigation of MIMS Matrices of Reality with a Realonic Philosophather Approach](https://www.academia.edu/97036858/MESS0047_Investigation_of_MIMS_Matrices_of_Reality_with_a_Realonic_Philosophather_Approach)
8. "Conquering the Solar System," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2021, [https://www.academia.edu/62757621/Conquering the Solar System](https://www.academia.edu/62757621/Conquering_the_Solar_System)
9. "MESS0007 - MIMS 2.101: The ORDA Standard," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2022, [https://www.academia.edu/87578071/MESS0007 MIMS 2 101 The ORDA Standard](https://www.academia.edu/87578071/MESS0007_MIMS_2_101_The_ORDA_Standard)
10. "Jerry and Me Part 2 - The AI hand distortion," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2023, [https://www.academia.edu/98108138/Jerry and Me Part 2 The AI hand distortion](https://www.academia.edu/98108138/Jerry_and_Me_Part_2_The_AI_hand_distortion)
11. "Jerry and Me Part 7 - Jerry learns about k-Gurvature and how to apply MIMS in the actual context of EPEMC and broader scientific objectives," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2023, [https://www.academia.edu/98513550/Jerry and Me Part 7 Jerry learns about k Gurvature and how to apply MIMS in the actual context of EPEMC and broader scientific objectives](https://www.academia.edu/98513550/Jerry_and_Me_Part_7_Jerry_learns_about_k_Gurvature_and_how_to_appy_MIMS_in_the_actual_context_of_EPEMC_and_broader_scientific_objectives)
12. "MIMS 2.7-8 : War & Government; The Rise and Fall of US Military Dominance, from an Art of War perspective, and MIMS Analysis, as well as a short discussion of the history of failures of government programs from antiquity through the Great Enlightenment," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2021, [https://www.academia.edu/51641990/MIMS 2 7 8 War and Government The Rise and Fall of US Military Dominance from an Art of War perspective and MIMS Analysis as well as a short discussion of the hist_ory of failures of government programs from antiquity through the Great Enlightenment](https://www.academia.edu/51641990/MIMS_2_7_8_War_and_Government_The_Rise_and_Fall_of_US_Military_Dominance_from_an_Art_of_War_perspective_and_MIMS_Analysis_as_well_as_a_short_discussion_of_the_hist_ory_of_failures_of_government_programs_from_antiquity_through_the_Great_Enlightenment)
13. "MESS0030 - Parameterizing the New Religion (PNR) 1.1: the Breath of God and the Three Pure Ones," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2022, [https://www.academia.edu/90481312/MESS0030 Parameterizing the New Religion PNR 1 1 the Breath of God and the Three Pure Ones](https://www.academia.edu/90481312/MESS0030_Parameterizing_the_New_Religion_PNR_1_1_the_Breath_of_God_and_the_Three_Pure_Ones)
14. "Response to Crother's Exposition of Unimodular Defect," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2022, [https://www.academia.edu/68876325/Response to Crothers Exposition of Unimodular Defect](https://www.academia.edu/68876325/Response_to_Crothers_Exposition_of_Unimodular_Defect)
15. "Neutrinos, Neutron Stars, and Axions," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2019, [https://www.academia.edu/38152014/Neutrinos Neutron Stars and Axions pdf](https://www.academia.edu/38152014/Neutrinos_Neutron_Stars_and_Axions_pdf)
16. "MESS0005: The Sun is Electric -it is an "Electric Sun" -Period," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2022, [https://www.academia.edu/87427903/MESS0005 The Sun is Electric it is an Electric Sun Period](https://www.academia.edu/87427903/MESS0005_The_Sun_is_Electric_it_is_an_Electric_Sun_Period)
17. "Physicists led by University of Iowa more fully describe sun's electric field," Iowa Now, R.C. Lewis, 2021, <https://now.uiowa.edu/2021/07/physicists-led-university-iowa-more-fully-describe-suns-electric-field>
18. "Electric Currents in Geospace and Beyond," Wiley.com, A. Keiling (Editor), O. Marghitu (Editor) & M. Wheatland (Editor), 2018, <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Electric+Currents+in+Geospace+and+Beyond-p-9781119324492>
19. "The Dark Matter Scatter How the Dark Universe Community is fraying, and in which directions, as a response to the DM crisis; How PEMC re-unifies the camps," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2019, [https://www.academia.edu/38105102/The Dark Matter Scatter How the Dark Universe Community is fraying and in which directions as a response to the DM crisis How PEMC re unifies the camps](https://www.academia.edu/38105102/The_Dark_Matter_Scatter_How_the_Dark_Universe_Community_is_fraying_and_in_which_directions_as_a_response_to_the_DM_crisis_How_PEMC_re_unifies_the_camps)
20. "Half the universe's missing matter has just been finally found," New Scientist, L. Crane, 2017, <https://www.newscientist.com/article/2149742-half-the-universes-missing-matter-has-just-been-finally-found/>

21. :HD 140283,"Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/HD_140283
22. "A Scientist Looks at Velikovsky's "Worlds in Collision" Bas Library, C. Sagan, 1980, <https://www.baslibrary.org/biblical-archaeology-review/6/1/5>
23. "Worlds in Collision, Dell Publishing, I. Velikovsky, 1950, <https://ia800609.us.archive.org/8/items/B-001-014-474/B-001-014-474.pdf>
24. "MIMS 2.83 - POS Theory," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2022, https://www.academia.edu/77592483/MIMS_2_83_POS_Theory
25. "Atlas of Peculiar Galaxies." Published by the California Institute of Technology, H. Arp, 1966, https://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/level5/Arp/Arp_contents.html
26. "Seeing Red: Redshifts, Cosmology and Academic Science," Amazon, H. Arp, 1997, <https://www.amazon.com/Seeing-Red-Redshifts-Cosmology-Academic/dp/0968368905>
27. "The Best Documentary Ever - JAMES SORENSEN: Halton Arp & the Big Bang | EU 2017," YouTube, 2018, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8t4UO_N1jbc
28. "Gareth Samuel: Halton Arp Quasar Model Verified | Thunderbolts," YouTube/Thunderbolts Project, 2022, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6qhd_TibDI8
29. "Hoaxers Slip Breastaurants and Dog-Park Sex Into Journals," The New York Times, J. Schuessler, 2018, <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/10/04/arts/academic-journals-hoax.html>
30. "Peer-Reviewed Journal Publishes Paper Written Almost Entirely by ChatGPT," MedPage Today, M. DePeau-Wilson, 2023, <https://www.medpagetoday.com/special-reports/exclusives/102960>
31. "What allegations of Alzheimer's research fraud mean for patients," The Conversation, J. Mamo, 2022, <https://theconversation.com/what-allegations-of-alzheimers-research-fraud-mean-for-patients-187911>
32. "MIMS 2.102 - In pursuit of the Daether," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2022, https://www.academia.edu/67236577/MIMS_2_102_In_pursuit_of_the_Daether
33. "MIMS & Shi," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2021, https://www.academia.edu/50357891/MIMS_and_Shi
34. "MESS0049 - Operator Skill Enhancement according to the Art of War," Academia, Sf. R. Careaga, 2023, https://www.academia.edu/97634611/MESS0049_Operator_Skill_Enhancement_according_to_the_Art_of_War
35. "ChatGPT Is Too Popular for Its Own Good," Gizmodo, L. Ropek, 2022, <https://gizmodo.com/chatgpt-down-ai-chatbot-1-million-users-1849866029>