001

000

002 004

006

007 008 009

010 011

012 013

014

018

015 016 017

019 021

023 024 025

026 027 028

029

031

033

034 035 037

043

038

048 050

051

052

Prototype selection for nearest neighbor

Qimin Chen

A53284263

qic003@ucsd.edu

Prototype selection

I firstly applied PCA (Principal Components Analysis) on original MNIST dataset to reduce the dimensionality from 28×28 to 25, then each class of MNIST (roughly 5000 images per class) was clustered by K-means into M/10 clusters and the center of clusters are used to represent the corresponding classes.

Pseudocode

As described above, PCA and K-means are used to implement prototype selection. The original MNIST training set is read as 60000×784 matrix so each image is converted to one-hot.

Algorithm 1 Prototype selection

Input: original MNIST training set T with 60000×784 dimension and integer M.

Output: reduced training set R with $M \times 25$ dimension.

- 1: apply PCA on training set and testing set to reduce dimensionality;
- 2: reduced_training = []
- 3: for $label = 0 \cdots 9$ do
- model = KMeans(T, M/10)
- 5: reduced_training += model.cluster_centers
- 6: end for

Experimental results

The confidence intervals is computed by equation (1):

$$\left(\bar{x} - z^* \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + z^* \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \tag{1}$$

where \bar{x} is accuracy mean, z^* is critical value which is 1.96 in 95% confidence intervals, σ is the standard deviation of accuracy and n is the number of accuracy.

Table 1 shows the comparison of average accuracy and confidence intervals along with accuracy standard deviations in parentheses over 10 times for each value of M = 100, 500, 1000, 5000, 10000between Prototype selection and Random selection. It is apparent that the performance of Prototype selection is better than Random selection. Also, PCA helps speeding up nearest neighbor classification because it reduces the dimensionality of dataset.

Critical evaluation

My method is a clear improvement over random selection as seen from Table 1. Since I have already applied PCA on dataset so that it helps improving the accuracy and saving training time as well, I would like to try on other methods like k-NN where k is greater than 1 or neural networks.

Experiment	Prototype selection	Random selection
M = 100	$92.19 \pm 0.0011 \% (0.0012)$	$73.83 \pm 0.0162 \% (0.0184)$
M = 500	$94.97 \pm 0.0010 \% (0.0011)$	$87.35 \pm 0.0036 \% (0.0041)$
M = 1000	$95.69 \pm 0.0007 \% (0.0008)$	$90.40 \pm 0.0022 \% (0.0025)$
M = 5000	$96.59 \pm 0.0012 \% (0.0014)$	$94.37 \pm 0.0012 \% (0.0013)$
M = 10000	$96.81 \pm 0.0009 \% (0.0010)$	$95.58 \pm 0.0013 \% (0.0015)$

Table 1: Averaged accuracy and confidence intervals along with accuracy standard deviations in parentheses over 10 times experiments per M.