

# AI Parliament - Complete Product Requirements Document (PRD)

Version: 1.0

Date: February 12, 2026

Status: Ready for Implementation

Project: AI Parliament - Multi-Agent Blockchain Governance Platform

## Executive Summary

AI Parliament is a blockchain-integrated, multi-agent deliberation platform that simulates structured policy debates between 20+ specialized AI agents, each representing distinct philosophical and domain perspectives. Built on Archestra.ai's MCP-native infrastructure and secured by blockchain technology, it produces balanced, well-reasoned policy recommendations with full transparency and auditability.

## Value Proposition

For Governments:

- Transparent policy-making process
- Multi-stakeholder perspectives considered systematically
- Immutable audit trail for accountability
- Public trust through verifiable deliberation

For Corporations:

- Strategic decision-making with comprehensive risk analysis
- Stakeholder impact assessment
- Board-level compliance documentation
- Ethical review automation

For Communities:

- Democratic deliberation at scale
- Budget allocation transparency
- Long-term planning with diverse perspectives

## Key Differentiators

20+ Specialized Agents - Not generic AI, but purpose-built personas

Dynamic Participation - Agents self-select based on relevance

Blockchain-Verified - Every debate immutably recorded

Production-Ready - Built on Archestra's enterprise platform

Token Economics - Governance and incentive alignment

## Table of Contents

Product Vision & Goals

Target Users

Core Features

Technical Architecture

Agent System

Debate Protocol

Blockchain Integration

UI/UX Design System

User Flows

API Specifications

Smart Contract Specifications

Security & Compliance

Performance Requirements

Analytics & Metrics

Implementation Timeline

Success Metrics

## 1. Product Vision & Goals

### 1.1 Vision Statement

"To make governance transparent, inclusive, and verifiable through AI-powered deliberation that considers every stakeholder perspective while maintaining complete public accountability via blockchain."

### 1.2 Mission

Enable better collective decision-making by:

- Surfacing blind spots through diverse AI perspectives

- Documenting reasoning processes immutably
- Democratizing access to expert-level policy analysis
- Building trust through transparency

### 1.3 Primary Goals (6 Months)

Launch MVP with 20 agents and basic blockchain integration

100+ Debates conducted on production

5 Enterprise Pilots (government/corporate)

10,000+ PARL Tokens in circulation

Community of 500+ active governance participants

## 2. Target Users

### 2.1 Primary Personas

#### Persona 1: Policy Director Paula

- Role: Senior policy advisor at city government
- Age: 42
- Tech Savvy: Medium
- Goals:
  - Make evidence-based policy decisions
  - Show constituents transparent process
  - Balance competing stakeholder interests
- Pain Points:
  - Traditional consultations are slow and expensive
  - Hard to demonstrate neutrality
  - Missing perspectives in decision-making
- Use Cases:
  - Climate policy development
  - Budget allocation
  - Zoning decisions

#### Persona 2: Corporate Strategist Sam

- Role: Chief Strategy Officer at tech company
- Age: 38

- Tech Savvy: High
- Goals:
  - Risk assessment for strategic initiatives
  - ESG compliance documentation
  - Board-ready decision frameworks
- Pain Points:
  - Blind spots in strategic planning
  - Time-consuming stakeholder analysis
  - Need audit trail for decisions
- Use Cases:
  - M&A evaluation
  - Product launch ethics review
  - Sustainability strategy

### **Persona 3: Crypto DAO Member Devon**

- Role: Active DAO governance participant
- Age: 29
- Tech Savvy: Very High
- Goals:
  - Transparent governance processes
  - Token-weighted voting
  - Verifiable decision history
- Pain Points:
  - DAO proposals lack depth
  - Governance fatigue
  - Need better deliberation tools
- Use Cases:
  - Treasury allocation
  - Protocol upgrades
  - Partnership decisions

## **3. Core Features**

### **3.1 Feature Hierarchy**

## ■ AI PARLIAMENT

### F1: AGENT SYSTEM

- F1.1: 20+ Specialized Agents
- F1.2: Dynamic Agent Selection
- F1.3: Agent Reputation System
- F1.4: Coalition Formation
- F1.5: Devil's Advocate Mode

### F2: DEBATE ENGINE

- F2.1: 6-Phase Debate Protocol
- F2.2: Evidence Integration (Web Search)
- F2.3: Real-Time Argumentation
- F2.4: Position Tracking
- F2.5: Policy Synthesis

### F3: BLOCKCHAIN LAYER

- F3.1: On-Chain Debate Registry
- F3.2: IPFS Transcript Storage
- F3.3: Smart Contract Voting
- F3.4: Governance Token (PARL)
- F3.5: NFT Achievement Badges

### F4: USER INTERFACE

- F4.1: Debate Setup Wizard
- F4.2: Live Debate Visualization
- F4.3: Coalition Map View
- F4.4: Argument Graph
- F4.5: Policy Document Export
- F4.6: Blockchain Explorer Integration

### F5: COLLABORATION

- F5.1: Multi-User Observation
- F5.2: Moderator Controls
- F5.3: Comment System
- F5.4: Share & Export
- F5.5: Workspace Management

### F6: ANALYTICS

- F6.1: Cost Dashboard
- F6.2: Performance Metrics
- F6.3: Quality Scoring
- F6.4: Historical Trends
- F6.5: Agent Leaderboard

#### F7: TOKEN ECONOMY

- F7.1: Prediction Markets
- F7.2: Staking Mechanisms
- F7.3: Reward Distribution
- F7.4: DAO Governance
- F7.5: Token Utility

## 4. Technical Architecture

### 4.1 System Overview

### 4.2 Technology Stack

Frontend:

- Next.js 14 (App Router)
- React 18
- Tailwind CSS
- RainbowKit (Wallet Connection)
- wagmi (Ethereum Hooks)
- Socket.io Client (WebSocket)

Backend:

- Node.js 20
- Express.js
- PostgreSQL (Database)
- Redis (Caching)
- Socket.io (WebSocket Server)

AI/MCP:

- Archestra.ai (Agent Runtime)

- Model Context Protocol SDK
- Claude Sonnet 4.5

Blockchain:

- Base L2 (Network)
- Ethers.js v6 (Web3 Library)
- Hardhat (Development)
- OpenZeppelin Contracts

Storage:

- IPFS (via Pinata)
- AWS S3 (Backup)

Deployment:

- Vercel (Frontend)
- AWS ECS (Backend)
- CloudFlare (CDN)

## 5. Agent System

### 5.1 Complete Agent Roster (21 Agents)

### 5.2 Agent Characteristics

Each agent has:

- System Prompt: 500-800 token personality definition
- Temperature: 0.4-0.9 (varies by personality)
- Max Tokens: 300-500 per turn
- Tools: Web search, calculator, parliament MCP
- Cost Limit: \$2 per debate maximum
- Expertise Keywords: For auto-selection algorithm

### 5.3 Dynamic Agent Selection

Agents are selected based on:

Core 5: Always included (Utilitarian, Risk-Averse, Innovation, Ethical, Budget)

Keyword Matching: Topic analyzed for relevant expertise

Relevance Scoring: Algorithm scores each agent 0-10

User Override: User can manually add/remove agents

Cost Consideration: More agents = higher cost

Selection Algorithm:

- Extract keywords from topic
- Match against agent expertise areas
- Score based on keyword frequency + domain relevance
- Recommend top 5 additional agents beyond core 5
- Maximum 15 agents per debate (performance limit)

## 6. Debate Protocol

### 6.1 Six-Phase Structure

Phase 1: Initial Positions (2-3 min)

- Each agent states their position
- 1 turn per agent
- No cross-talk yet
- Establishes baseline stances

Phase 2: Socratic Questioning (3-4 min)

- Agents ask clarifying questions
- 2 turns per agent
- Expose assumptions
- Identify factual vs. value disagreements

Phase 3: Evidence Presentation (4-5 min)

- Agents support positions with data
- Web search enabled
- 2-3 turns per agent
- Citations required

Phase 4: Argument Refinement (3-4 min)

- Respond to critiques
- Acknowledge valid points
- Shift positions if warranted



- 2 turns per agent

Phase 5: Coalition Building (2-3 min)

- Identify areas of agreement
- Form temporary alliances
- Propose compromises
- 1-2 turns per agent

Phase 6: Synthesis (1-2 min)

- System generates final policy
- Categorizes recommendations
- Preserves dissent
- 1 turn (automated)

## 6.2 Phase Transition Criteria

Debate advances when:

- All agents have spoken required number of times
- Minimum time elapsed for phase
- Quality threshold met (e.g., citations provided)
- Moderator manually advances (if needed)

## 6.3 Coalition Detection Algorithm

Coalitions form when:

- 2+ agents share similar position (>70% overlap)
- Agents reference each other supportively
- Shared priorities identified

Coalition strength calculated by:

- Position similarity score
- Number of members
- Confidence levels of members
- Stability over time

# 7. Blockchain Integration

## 7.1 Smart Contract Architecture

ParliamentRegistry.sol

- Stores all agent metadata
- Tracks agent reputation scores
- Manages authorized deployers

DebateSession.sol

- Records debate metadata on-chain
- Stores statement hashes (not full text)
- Tracks phase progression
- Links to IPFS for full content

ParliamentToken.sol (PARL)

- ERC-20 governance token
- Staking mechanisms
- Reward distribution
- 1,000,000 total supply

DAOGovernance.sol

- Proposal creation & voting
- Quorum requirements (100,000 PARL)
- 7-day voting periods
- Execution logic

ParliamentBadges.sol

- ERC-721 NFT badges
- Achievement tracking
- Unique metadata per badge
- Viewable on OpenSea

## 7.2 IPFS Storage Strategy

What goes on IPFS:

- Full debate transcripts (JSON)
- Final policy documents (JSON + rendered HTML)
- Evidence bundles (all cited sources)
- Agent response signatures

IPFS Structure:

Pinning Strategy:

- Use Pinata for reliable pinning
- Pin immediately upon upload
- Verify CID after pinning
- Store CID on-chain
- Gateway: <https://gateway.pinata.cloud/ipfs/{CID}>

## 7.3 Token Economics

PARL Token Allocation:

- 40% Public Sale (400,000)
- 20% Reward Pool (200,000)
- 15% Team (150,000)
- 15% Treasury (150,000)
- 10% Liquidity (100,000)

Token Utility:

Governance Voting - Vote on proposals

Prediction Markets - Stake on outcomes

Debate Initiation - Burn to create debates (anti-spam)

Agent Sponsorship - Stake on favorite agents

Premium Features - Access private debates

Reward Mechanisms:

- Debate participation: 10 PARL
- Correct prediction: 20% bonus on stake
- Proposal creation: 5 PARL
- Top agent performance: 50 PARL
- NFT minting: Free with debate participation

## 8. UI/UX Design System

### 8.1 Color Palette

Primary Colors:

Agent Colors:

## 8.2 Typography

Fonts:

- Display & Body: Inter
- Monospace: JetBrains Mono

Scale:

- xs: 12px
- sm: 14px
- base: 16px
- lg: 18px
- xl: 20px
- 2xl: 24px
- 3xl: 30px
- 4xl: 36px
- 5xl: 48px

## 8.3 Component Examples

Button:

Agent Card:

Statement Card:

# 9. User Flows

## 9.1 New User Onboarding

Land on homepage → See value proposition

Click "Start a Debate" → Tutorial begins

Choose topic → Suggested topics or custom

Meet agents → Interactive agent cards

Set preferences → Blockchain, budget, duration

Launch debate → Watch in real-time

Policy generated → Download & share

Achievement unlocked → "Parliament Founder" NFT

Next steps → Create another or explore

Success Criteria:

- Time to first debate: < 5 minutes
- Completion rate: > 80%
- Return rate (7d): > 50%

## 9.2 Creating a Debate

Click "New Debate"

Enter topic → AI suggests refinements

Select agents → Auto-recommended + manual

Enable blockchain → Toggle options

Review summary → Cost estimate shown

Approve transaction → MetaMask popup

Debate launches → Real-time stream begins

Share link → Invite observers

Success Criteria:

- Setup completion: > 85%
- Time to launch: < 3 minutes
- Blockchain opt-in: > 60%

## 9.3 Observing a Debate

Receive link → Email, social, direct

Load debate page → See live stream

Watch agents → Real-time statements

View coalitions → Sidebar updates

Make prediction → Stake PARL tokens

Debate completes → Policy appears

Claim rewards → If prediction correct

Mint NFT → Observer badge

Success Criteria:

- Observer retention: > 70% stay until end
- Engagement: > 40% comment or interact

- Share rate: > 15%

## 10. API Specifications

### 10.1 Base URL

### 10.2 Authentication

### 10.3 Key Endpoints

POST /debates

Create a new debate

GET /debates/{debateId}

Get debate details

GET /debates/{debateId}/statements

Get all statements

GET /debates/{debateId}/policy

Get final policy document

GET /agents

List all agents

GET /agents/{agentId}

Get agent details

POST /governance/proposals/{id}/vote

Vote on governance proposal

GET /governance/tokens/balance

Get PARL token balance

### 10.4 WebSocket Events

Connection:

Events:

- agent\_statement - New statement posted

- phase\_change - Debate phase advanced
- coalition\_formed - Agents formed alliance
- position\_changed - Agent changed stance
- debate\_completed - Policy generated
- cost\_update - Cost tracking update
- error - Error occurred

## 11. Smart Contract Specifications

### 11.1 Contract Suite

ParliamentRegistry.sol

- Register agents
- Update reputation
- Track performance

DebateSession.sol

- Create debates
- Record statements
- Advance phases
- Complete debates

ParliamentToken.sol

- ERC-20 token
- Staking functions
- Reward distribution

DAOGovernance.sol

- Proposal creation
- Voting mechanism
- Execution logic

ParliamentBadges.sol

- ERC-721 NFTs
- Achievement tracking
- Metadata storage

## 11.2 Deployment

Networks:

- Base Sepolia (Testnet): Chain ID 84532
- Base Mainnet: Chain ID 8453

Estimated Gas Costs:

- Deploy all contracts: ~\$50 (one-time)
- Create debate: ~\$0.50
- Record statement: ~\$0.10
- Complete debate: ~\$0.30
- Mint NFT: ~\$0.25
- Vote on proposal: ~\$0.15

Contract Addresses (will be deployed):

## 12. Security & Compliance

### 12.1 Security Measures

Smart Contracts:

- OpenZeppelin audited libraries
- Reentrancy guards
- Access control
- Rate limiting
- Emergency pause
- Multisig ownership

API:

- JWT authentication
- Rate limiting (100 req/min)
- Input validation
- SQL injection prevention
- XSS protection
- HTTPS only (TLS 1.3)

Data Privacy:



- GDPR compliant
- CCPA compliant
- Right to deletion (off-chain only)
- Encrypted at rest (AES-256)
- Encrypted in transit (TLS)

## 12.2 Compliance

Legal:

- Terms of Service
- Privacy Policy
- Cookie Policy
- DMCA Compliance

Blockchain:

- No securities (PARL is governance only)
- Compliance with local regulations
- KYC/AML for large transactions (if required)

# 13. Performance Requirements

## 13.1 Performance Targets

## 13.2 Scalability

- Horizontal scaling (Kubernetes)
- Database read replicas
- Redis caching
- CDN for static assets
- IPFS gateway caching
- Load balancers

# 14. Analytics & Metrics

## 14.1 Key Metrics

Product:

- Daily/Weekly/Monthly Active Users
- Debates created per day
- Debate completion rate
- Average debate duration
- Consensus achievement rate

Engagement:

- Time spent per session
- Observer participation
- Prediction market engagement
- Governance voting rate
- NFT mint rate

Business:

- PARL token price
- Token circulation
- Enterprise pilots
- Revenue (if monetized)

Technical:

- API uptime (99.9% target)
- Error rate (< 0.1%)
- Average response time
- Blockchain tx success rate

## 15. Implementation Timeline

### 15.1 Hackathon Sprint (48 Hours)

Day 1 (0-24h):

- Hours 0-4: Setup & Foundation
- Hours 4-12: Core MCP Server
- Hours 12-20: Smart Contracts
- Hours 20-24: Frontend Foundation

Day 2 (24-48h):

- Hours 24-32: Integration
- Hours 32-40: Polish & Features
- Hours 40-46: Demo Preparation
- Hours 46-48: Final Touches

## 15.2 Post-Hackathon (6 Months)

Month 1-2: MVP Polish

- UI/UX improvements
- More agents (30+)
- Performance optimization
- Security audit
- Beta testing (50 users)

Month 3-4: Enterprise Features

- Team workspaces
- Private debates
- Custom agents
- API access
- SSO authentication

Month 5-6: Public Launch

- Marketing campaign
- Token sale (if applicable)
- Partnerships
- Community building

## 16. Success Metrics

### 16.1 Hackathon Success

Judging Criteria:

- Innovation: AI + MCP + Blockchain
- Technical Depth: 3 systems integrated
- Practical Impact: Solves real problem
- Demo Quality: Live on blockchain

- Completeness: End-to-end functional

Target Metrics:

- Complete 3+ debates during demo
- Record all on Base Sepolia
- Mint 3+ NFT badges
- Sub-\$5 cost per debate
- < 2 min demo setup

## 16.2 Post-Launch (6 Months)

User Metrics:

- 500+ registered users
- 100+ debates created
- 10,000+ PARL distributed
- 50+ NFT badges minted
- 5 enterprise pilots

Quality Metrics:

- 70%+ consensus rate
- 8.5/10 quality score
- 4.5/5 user satisfaction
- < 0.5% error rate
- 99.9% uptime

## 17. Appendices

### 17.1 Glossary

- Agent: AI persona with specific philosophy
- Coalition: Temporary alliance between agents
- Consensus: Agreement level (>70% = strong)
- Debate: Structured deliberation
- IPFS: Decentralized storage
- MCP: Model Context Protocol
- NFT: Non-fungible token

- PARL: Parliament governance token
- Phase: Stage of debate (6 total)
- Policy: Final recommendation
- Synthesis: Policy generation process

## 17.2 References

- Anthropic Claude: <https://docs.anthropic.com>
- Archestra: <https://archestra.ai/docs>
- MCP: <https://modelcontextprotocol.io>
- Base Network: <https://base.org>
- IPFS: <https://ipfs.io>
- OpenZeppelin: <https://docs.openzeppelin.com>

## 17.3 Contact

- GitHub: <https://github.com/aiparliament/core>
- Discord: <https://discord.gg/aiparliament>
- Twitter: @AIParliament
- Email: [support@aiparliament.xyz](mailto:support@aiparliament.xyz)
- Demo: <https://demo.aiparliament.xyz>

## Conclusion

AI Parliament represents a novel approach to governance by combining:

- AI Multi-Agent Systems for diverse perspectives
- Model Context Protocol for structured tool use
- Blockchain Technology for transparency and trust
- Archestra Platform for production-ready deployment

The result is a platform that can:

Generate balanced, well-reasoned policy recommendations

Consider 20+ distinct philosophical and domain perspectives

Provide complete transparency through blockchain verification

Scale to handle government and enterprise use cases

Enable democratic participation through token governance

This is not just a hackathon demo—it's a glimpse into the future of collaborative decision-making.

Document Status: Complete

Ready for Implementation: Yes

Estimated Implementation Time: 48 hours (MVP)

Long-term Vision: 6-12 months to production scale

■■ AI Parliament - Making governance transparent, one debate at a time.

END OF DOCUMENT