

# A User's Guide to Calculus in $\mathbb{R}^n$

Shimal Harichurn

June 21, 2018

This is a set of notes that I'm compiling on my way to understanding and learning Calculus in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Think of this as a user's guide to Calculus in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## 0.1 Introduction

Calculus is hard. I'll be honest I understand more about General Topology (even Algebraic Topology) than I do basic Calculus at this point in time. Heck I think I even understand (truly) basic Category Theory better than basic Calculus at this point in time.

The reason for this, is I think the following; Topology and Algebra are fields (no pun intended) of mathematics that are very structural in nature to me. You take a set, put a topology on it and voila you have a topological space.

Calculus is different, there's no real inherent structure (to me at this point in time).

# Contents

0.1	Introduction . . . . .	2
<b>1</b>	<b>Recap of Metric Spaces</b>	<b>5</b>
1.0.1	Continuity . . . . .	5
1.0.2	Limits . . . . .	7
1.0.3	Infinite Limits and Limits at Infinity . . . . .	10
1.0.4	User's guide to continuity in metric spaces . . . . .	12
<b>2</b>	<b>Differentiation in <math>\mathbb{R}^1</math></b>	<b>15</b>
2.0.1	Prerequisites . . . . .	15
2.0.2	Defining the Derivative . . . . .	15
<b>3</b>	<b>Integration in <math>\mathbb{R}^1</math></b>	<b>19</b>
3.1	Fundamental Theorem of Calculus . . . . .	19
3.1.1	What is $dx$ ? . . . .	20
<b>4</b>	<b>Differentiation in <math>\mathbb{R}^n</math></b>	<b>21</b>
4.0.1	Prerequisites . . . . .	21
<b>5</b>	<b><math>C^k</math> and <math>C^\infty</math> functions</b>	<b>23</b>



# Chapter 1

## Recap of Metric Spaces

Before we can get started with calculus proper, we need to recap some stuff from metric spaces specifically pertaining to continuity and limits.

### 1.0.1 Continuity

**Definition 1.0.1.1** (Continuity). Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces and let  $f : (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$  be any function. Then  $f$  is continuous at  $a \in X$  if for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$d_X(a, x) < \delta \implies d_Y(f(a), f(x)) < \epsilon$$

for all  $x \in B_{(X, d_X)}(a, \delta)$ . We say that  $f$  is continuous (or continuous on  $X$ ) if  $f$  is continuous for each  $x \in X$ .

Here are some really powerful theorems and corollaries that will make our lives way way way easier.

**Theorem 1.0.1.1.** Suppose that  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  are metric spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $g : f[X] \rightarrow Z$  are continuous mappings. Then the composition mapping  $h = g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$  defined by

$$h(x) = g(f(x))$$

for  $x \in X$  is continuous.

**Theorem 1.0.1.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous functions. Then  $f + g$  and  $f \cdot g$  are continuous on  $X$ . If in addition we have  $g(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $\frac{f}{g}$  is continuous on  $X$ .

**Corollary 1.0.1.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous functions. Then  $f + g$  and  $f \cdot g$  are continuous on  $X$ . If in addition we have  $g(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $\frac{f}{g}$  is continuous on  $X$ .

Show how the above theorem implies the corollary. Use the fact that the restriction of  $f, g$  from the codomain of  $\mathbb{C}$  to the set

$$\Gamma = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im}(z) = 0\}$$

is continuous, then use the fact that  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$  is homeomorphic to  $\Gamma$  and then the fact that  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$  to conclude and arrive at the corollary.

## 1.0.2 Limits

Limits will be invaluable to our study of calculus in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For example to even define the derivative in  $\mathbb{R}^1$  we need to know the definition(s) of a limit in metric spaces. The reason for this is that we need the notion of a limit to even define the derivative rigorously.

As you may remember there are two different definitions of a limit in metric spaces. They are

1. Limits of sequences
2. Limits of functions

Below we give definitions for each of them.

**Definition 1.0.2.1** (Limit of a sequence). We say that the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq (X, d)$  converges to  $x' \in X$  and write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x'$$

if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a natural number  $N > 0$  such that  $d(x_n, x') < \epsilon$  for all  $n > N$

**Definition 1.0.2.2** (Limit of a function). Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces. Suppose that  $E \subseteq X$ . Let  $f : E \rightarrow Y$  and suppose that  $p$  is a limit point of  $E$ . We say that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) = q$$

if there exists a point  $q \in Y$  with the property that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$0 < d_X(x, p) < \delta \implies d_Y(f(x), q) < \epsilon$$

for any  $x \in B_{(X, d_X)}(p, \delta)$

As it turns out we can express these two different notions of a limit in terms of another and vice versa in quite a nice way.

**Theorem 1.0.2.1.** Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces, let  $E \subseteq X$  and let  $f : E \rightarrow Y$  be any function and let  $p$  be a limit point of  $E$ . Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) = q$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(p_n) = q$$

for every (non-constant) sequence  $\{p_n\} \subseteq E$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = p$$

1. Show that in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the usual topology it simplifies to  $p \in \overline{E}$

2. Theorems about limits in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  from Hubbard and Hubbard [2]

3. Limits and continuity theorems

4. Techniques to show limits exists and calculate limits

The whole of chapter 4 in Rudin is a treasure trove of theorems that would be useful here.

This next theorem while fairly innocuous at first glance to a Topologist like myself but if calculus was a game, then this is the holy grail of cheat codes. (The reason why is that derivatives are defined as limits of functions and if we know that  $f$  is continuous, then we just evaluate  $f$  at  $p$  and we're done, we'd have just showed the existence and calculated the derivative like that, boom.)

**Theorem 1.0.2.2.** Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces, let  $E \subseteq X$  and let  $f : E \rightarrow Y$ . Assume that  $p$  is a limit point of  $E$ , then  $f$  is continuous at  $p$  if and only if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) = f(p)$$

This has an extremely useful corollary to check for discontinuities of a function

**Corollary 1.0.2.1.** Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces, let  $E \subseteq X$  and let  $f : E \rightarrow Y$ . Assume that  $p$  is a limit point of  $E$ , then  $f$  is not continuous at  $p$  if and only if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) \neq f(p).$$



(Also if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x)$  does not exist then vacuously we have that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) \neq f(p)$ )

**Theorem 1.0.2.3.** Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $E \subseteq X$ . Suppose that  $p$  is a limit point of  $E$  and  $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) = a \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow p} g(x) = b \in \mathbb{C}$$

Then

- (i)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} (f + g)(x) = a + b;$
- (ii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} (f \cdot g)(x) = a \cdot b;$
- (iii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} \left( \frac{f}{g} \right) (x) = \frac{a}{b} \quad \text{if } b \neq 0.$

This yields the weaker result;

**Theorem 1.0.2.4.** Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $E \subseteq X$ . Suppose that  $p$  is a limit point of  $E$  and  $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) = a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow p} g(x) = b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

- (i)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} (f + g)(x) = a + b;$
- (ii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} (f \cdot g)(x) = a \cdot b;$
- (iii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} \left( \frac{f}{g} \right) (x) = \frac{a}{b} \quad \text{if } b \neq 0.$

### 1.0.3 Infinite Limits and Limits at Infinity

**Definition 1.0.3.1** (Extended Real Number System). The extended real numbers is obtained by adding two elements and  $+\infty$  and  $-\infty$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . We'll denote the extended real numbers by the symbol  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  (Not to be confused with the topological closure of  $\mathbb{R}$ )

**Definition 1.0.3.2** (Neighborhoods of Infinity). For any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > c\}$  is called a neighborhood of  $+\infty$  and is written  $(c, +\infty)$ . Similarly, the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x < c\}$  which will be denoted by  $(-\infty, c)$  is called a neighborhood of  $-\infty$ .

**Definition 1.0.3.3.** Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any function. We say that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} f(t) = \alpha$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $x$  are in the extended real number system, if for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $\alpha$  there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $V \cap E$  is non-empty and such that  $f(t) \in U$  for all  $t \in V \cap E$  for which  $t \neq x$ .

*Remark.* This definition above coincides with [Definition 2.3](#) when  $\alpha$  and  $x$  are real numbers.

Let's get our hands dirty with an example of this definition in action.

**Example 1.0.3.1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f(x) = e^x$ . We claim that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = \infty$$

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a neighbourhood of  $\infty$ . Then  $U = (c, \infty)$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . We need to find a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $\infty$  such that  $V \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \neq \emptyset$  and for which  $f(t) \in (c, \infty)$  for all  $t \in V \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$  for which  $t \neq \infty$ .

Since  $f$  is only defined on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and not on the extended reals, then the previous line simplifies to us needing to find a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $\infty$  such that  $V \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \neq \emptyset$ . and  $f(t) \in (c, \infty)$  for all  $t \in V \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ . We have two cases to analyse.

If  $c < 1$  then let  $V = (0, \infty)$  because then we have  $V \cap \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} = V = (0, \infty)$  and for any  $t \in V$  we have  $f(t) = e^t > e^0 = 1 > c$  since  $f$  is monotonically increasing on  $V$ . Thus for any  $t \in V = V \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$  we have  $f(t) \in (c, \infty) = U$

as desired.

If  $c \geq 1$ , then we need to find a neighborhood  $V$  such that  $e^t \in (c, \infty)$  for all  $t \in V \cap \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Note then that  $f(t) = e^t \in (c, \infty) = U \implies e^t > c \implies t > \ln(c)$ . So if we let  $V = (\ln(c), \infty)$  then for any  $V \cap \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} = V$  we have  $f(t) = e^t > e^{\ln(c)} = c$  since  $f$  is monotonically increasing on  $V$  as desired.  $\square$

The analogue of [Theorem 2.2.4](#) is still true

**Theorem 1.0.3.1.** Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $E \subseteq X$ . Suppose that  $p \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) = a \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow p} g(x) = b \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Then

- (i)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) = a' \implies a' = a$
- (ii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} (f + g)(x) = a + b;$
- (iii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} (f \cdot g)(x) = a \cdot b;$
- (iv)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} \left( \frac{f}{g} \right)(x) = \frac{a}{b} \quad \text{if } b \neq 0.$

**Important Point.** Note that  $\infty - \infty$ ,  $0 \cdot \infty$ ,  $\frac{\infty}{\infty}$  and  $\frac{a}{0}$  are not defined.

We finish off this section with a user's guide to continuity in metric spaces.

### 1.0.4 User's guide to continuity in metric spaces

Life is short, don't always prove  
continuity using  $\epsilon$ 's and  $\delta$ 's

---

*Shimal Harichurn*  
*Your Author*

My quote above is basically saying that proving continuity of every function using the  $\epsilon$ - $\delta$  definition of continuity (or even the topological definition of continuity) is a waste of time. We have some powerful theorems at hand that will make our lives a lot easier, so why not use them?

This section is intended to show the reader how we actually prove continuity of functions between metric spaces in practice. In practice nobody actually proves continuity by the  $\epsilon$ - $\delta$  definition. What we actually do is the following.

1. We start off with a base pack of functions which we prove are continuous using the  $\epsilon$ - $\delta$  definition of continuity.
2. We compose these base pack of functions to arrive at new functions which are continuous, or given some function which we want to prove is continuous we decompose it into a composition of functions which we know to be continuous
3. Then we appeal to the fact that composition of continuous functions are continuous (see [Theorem 2.1.1](#))
4. We throw in the fact that addition of continuous functions are continuous (see [Corollary 2.1.1](#) or [Theorem 5.1.2](#))
5. Voila we've shown our new function is continuous

"But wait hang on there Shimal....what if we can't decompose a given function  $f$  into a composition of functions from our base pack of functions?" Well then you're going to have to prove continuity of that function  $f$  using the  $\epsilon$ - $\delta$  definition of continuity, but the thing is that those functions are quite few and far between so in most cases we won't have to resort to using the definition of continuity to prove continuity.

### Base pack of continuous functions

**Result 1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f(x) = x$ . Then  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Pick  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. We need to find a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - a| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(a)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in B(a, \delta) = (a - \delta, a + \delta)$ .

Since  $|f(x) - f(a)| = |x - a|$  (because  $f(x) = x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  by definition of  $f$ ) this suggests we choose  $\delta = \epsilon$ .

Put  $\delta = \epsilon$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |x - a| < \delta &\implies |x - a| < \epsilon \\ &\implies |f(x) - f(a)| < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in B(a, \delta)$ . Thus  $f$  is continuous at  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and since  $a$  was chosen arbitrarily we have that  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$   $\square$

**Result 2.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f(x) = |x|$ . Then  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

To prove this next result we make use of the following theorem on metric spaces.

**Theorem 1.0.4.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $a \in X$  be any fixed point. Then the map  $f : (X, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x) = d(x, a)$  is continuous.

With this theorem at hand we can now prove the above result.

*Proof.* Note that  $(\mathbb{R}, d)$  is a metric space when endowed with the usual Euclidean metric which is  $d : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . (Whenever we refer to  $\mathbb{R}$  in this book we always refer to it as this metric space). Thus since  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , the map  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x) = d(x, 0) = |x|$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Result 3.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ . Then  $f$  is uniformly continuous (and thus continuous) on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The following proof is taken from [1]

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Put  $\delta = \epsilon^2$ . Then for  $|x - y| < \delta$  we have

$$|\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y}|^2 \leq |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y}| |\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}| = |x - y| < \epsilon^2 \implies |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y}| < \epsilon.$$

Thus  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and thus continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$   $\square$

$f(x) = c$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$

Trigonometric functions

**Result 4** (Projections mappings are continuous). Let  $\pi_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$\pi_i(x) = \pi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i$$

for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $\pi_i$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be open in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\pi_i^{-1}[U] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \pi_i(x) \in U\} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \cdots \times \underbrace{U}_{i\text{th position}} \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}$$

and since the topology on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  induced by the euclidean metric and the product topology on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are equivalent, it follows that since  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $U$  are open in  $\mathbb{R}$  a finite product of them is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus  $\pi_i^{-1}[U]$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus  $\pi_i^{-1}$  is continuous.  $\square$

# Chapter 2

## Differentiation in $\mathbb{R}^1$

Let's dive head on into it.

### 2.0.1 Prerequisites

Now with the two notions of a limit defined we can define the derivative. This is quite an important point which warrants the need for this to have a bit of space so that the reader can see it and not forget it.

**Important Point.** *In the definition of the derivative the limit we will be using is the limit of a function!*

### 2.0.2 Defining the Derivative

**Definition 2.0.2.1** (Derivative as defined in Munkres [4]). Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any function. Suppose that  $A$  contains a neighborhood of the point  $a$ . We define the derivative of  $f$  at  $a$  by the equation

$$f'(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+t) - f(a)}{t}$$

provided that the limit exists.

But wait before we can go any further we **really need too** unpack this definition and see what's going on under the hood. The reader at this point is probably going *"Hey author, urmm how exactly are we using the definition of the limit of a function here? Heck what is the function we're even taking a*

*limit of here*” and your author will get to that in a second, just bear with me.

Personally I would define the derivative in a much cleaner way (and I will do so later), but the above definition is basically the convention in mathematics as it’s taught in high-school and first-year undergraduate courses and (hopefully) everybody is used to it.

Understanding this definition will not only bridge the gap between high-school level mathematics and more advanced pure mathematics, but it will also give the reader an idea into the amount of mathematical maturity (e.g. asking the simplest questions, which people sometimes say are silly questions) needed to truly understand concepts. Okay I’ll shut up for now and proceed to unpack this definition.

**Observation 1.** The neighbourhood of  $a$  that Munkres is referring to is an open set  $U \subseteq A$  containing  $a$  that is open in  $\mathbb{R}$  as opposed to  $U$  being open in the subspace  $A$ . But what would happen if we wanted the neighborhood of  $a$  to be an open set of the subspace  $A$  as opposed to  $\mathbb{R}$ ? Well then we could have all kinds of wacky domains on which the function we’re taking the limit of (not to be confused with  $f$ ) would be defined and the limit would not exist. We’ll give some examples of this a bit later on below

**Observation 2.** This is quite a subtle one. The fact that the definition above states that we need  $A$  to contain a neighborhood of  $a$  which, as we know by Observation 1., means that  $A$  needs to contain a subset  $U \subseteq A$  which contains  $a$  and is also open in  $\mathbb{R}$ , but then this means that  $a$  needs to be an interior point of  $A$ , since  $U$  must be open in  $\mathbb{R}$ . So  $a$  must be an interior point of  $A$  for  $f$  to even meet the criteria needed for  $f$  to be differentiable at  $a$ . (So if  $a \notin \text{Int}(A)$  then we don’t even meet the criteria needed for  $f$  to be differentiable at  $a$ , so  $f$  can’t be differentiable at  $a$ ) Later on in my definition of the derivative I will just let  $A$  be an open set and be done.

This in essence shows that for a function to be differentiable on some domain, that domain must be particularly ”nice”, specifically we need the domain to be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}$  and the only open sets in  $\mathbb{R}$  are unions of open intervals and  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\emptyset$ .

**Observation 3.** In the definition of  $f'(a)$  no explicit mention of the



domain function we're taking the limit of is given, we know that the function we're taking the limit of is

$$\frac{f(a+t) - f(a)}{t}$$

but it is unclear over what domain this function is defined, and it's unclear if the criteria needed to take the limit of this function is satisfied.

Since  $A$  contains a neighborhood of  $a$ , which I'll call  $U$  and since  $U$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists an  $r > 0$  such that  $B(a, r) \subseteq U \subseteq A$ . Then note that  $B(a, r) = (a - r, a + r)$ .

Now a **key** realization to make is that  $\frac{f(a+t)-f(a)}{t}$  is defined for all  $t \neq 0$  such that  $a+t$  belongs to the neighborhood (which in this case becomes our open ball) of the point  $a$ . The set of all such  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the properties given above is given by

$$\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid t \neq 0 \text{ and } a+t \in B(a, r) = (a-r, a+r)\} = B(0, r) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Thus we can define  $\phi : B(0, r) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\phi(t) = \frac{f(a+t) - f(a)}{t}$$

and then we can rewrite the derivative of  $f$  as

$$f'(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi(t).$$

Furthermore note that  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$  is a limit point of the set  $B(0, r) \setminus \{0\}$  since any neighborhood of  $0$  contains infinitely many members of  $B(0, r) \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus checking against our definition of a limit we see that all our criteria are satisfied so we can thus take the limit of  $\phi$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$

Example of a function that is defined on an open set but which is not differentiable

4. Give an example of a function with a domain that's not nice and show why it isn't differentiable in two ways. Show that firstly that no point  $a \in A$  has a nbhd in  $\mathbb{R}$  which is a subset of  $A$  then go ahead anyway and show that the limit doesn't exist. Showing that the limit doesn't exist shows that the function won't be differentiable and why we must have the nbhd property as a priori for differentiability. This explains what G&P meant when they said what they said in Differential Topology

Now below we'll get onto my definition of the derivative.

**Definition 2.0.2.2** (My definition of the Derivative). Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an open set. Let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any function. Pick  $a \in U$  and choose  $r > 0$  such that  $B(a, r) \subseteq U$ . Define  $\phi : B(0, r) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\phi(t) = \frac{f(a+t) - f(a)}{t}.$$

Then we define the derivative of  $f$  at  $a$  as

$$f'(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi(t)$$

provided that the limit exists.

One of the Professors I speak to online bugged me about even being able to talk about the differentiability of  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  because  $[0, 1]$  is not an open interval. My method for dealing with that would've been to restrict  $f$  to its interior and then analyse differentiability of the restricted function. (which I don't see anything wrong with) but some people might want a more general definition in which incorporate more general domains so they don't have to think about restrictions (but then again their functions on these general domains will only be differentiable on the interiors of those domains anyway \*shrugs\*)

**Definition 2.0.2.3** (My more general definition of the Derivative). Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any function. Pick  $a \in \text{Int}(A)$  and choose  $r > 0$  such that  $B(a, r) \subseteq \text{Int}(A)$ . Define  $\phi : B(0, r) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\phi(t) = \frac{f(a+t) - f(a)}{t}.$$

Then we define the derivative of  $f$  at  $a$  as

$$f'(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \phi(t)$$

provided that the limit exists.

# Chapter 3

## Integration in $\mathbb{R}^1$

For an **excellent** treatment of Riemann Integration in  $\mathbb{R}^1$  using Darboux sums (which make computing the upper and lower integral sums easier) see [6]. We list a bevy of results that are extremely useful in using the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus rigorously.

**Theorem 3.0.0.1.** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $f \in C([a, b])$ , then  $f \in R([a, b])$ . (In words if  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  then  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ ).

### 3.1 Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

Life is short, don't compute the  
integrals of continuous functions  
by the definition...pls

---

*Shimal Harichurn*

So now we come onto the famous Fundamental Theorem of Calculus. The result above shows that all continuous functions defined on a closed interval are Riemann integrable, but how do we compute the integral of such a continuous function. Do we use the definition? We could, but that would just waste a ton of time. Instead we have two extremely powerful theorems, the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (part 1) and the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (part 2) which allow us to compute the integral of any continuous function defined on a closed interval under some appropriate conditions. (Also see [7] where most of this was sourced from.)

**Theorem 3.1.0.1** (Fundamental Theorem of Calculus Part 1.). Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Let  $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f(t)dt.$$

Then  $F$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$  and differentiable on the open interval  $(a, b)$  and  $F'(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in (a, b)$ . That is

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_a^x f(t)dt = f(x)$$

The above result basically shows that anti-derivatives of  $f$  always exist when  $f$  is continuous. The above result has a corollary

**Corollary 3.1.0.1.** If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $F$  is an anti-derivative of  $f$  in  $[a, b]$  then

$$\int_a^b f(t)dt = F(b) - F(a)$$

This corollary assumes continuity on the whole interval. The result is strengthened slightly in the second part of the Fundamental theorem of Calculus.

**Theorem 3.1.0.2.** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and suppose that  $F$  is an anti-derivative of  $f$  in  $[a, b]$ , that is

$$F'(x) = f(x)$$

for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . If  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  then

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx = F(b) - F(a)$$

The second part is somewhat stronger than the corollary because it does not assume that  $f$  is continuous

### 3. Measure theory results

#### 3.1.1 What is $dx$ ?

Patience you must have, young  
Padawan

---

*Yoda*

# Chapter 4

## Differentiation in $\mathbb{R}^n$

I only care about derivatives I  
can compose

---

Mozart...probably

### 4.0.1 Prerequisites

First off this theorem is very useful in showing continuity of functions from any metric space into  $\mathbb{R}^k$

**Theorem 4.0.1.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  be real valued functions on  $X$  (that is  $f_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ) and let  $\mathbf{f} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  be defined by

$$\mathbf{f}(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x))$$

then  $\mathbf{f}$  is continuous if and only if each of the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  is continuous.

**Theorem 4.0.1.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and suppose that  $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  are continuous functions, then  $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  defined by

$$(\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g})(x) = (f_1(x) + g_1(x), \dots, f_k(x) + g_k(x))$$

is continuous on  $X$  and  $\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{g} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{g})(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x)) \odot (g_1(x), \dots, g_k(x)) = f_1(x) \cdot g_1(x) + \dots + f_k(x) \cdot g_k(x)$$

is continuous on  $X$ .

Show that co-ordinate projections are continuous

*Remark.* Note that  $\odot$  refers to the dot product on the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . The above theorem shows that addition of vector-valued functions whose domains are metric spaces (which could possibly be  $\mathbb{R}^m$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are continuous, and so is taking the dot product of vector valued functions. Proofs of the above theorems can be found in [5]

# Chapter 5

## $C^k$ and $C^\infty$ functions

$C^\infty(U)$  is a commutative ring from [3]





# Bibliography

- [1] Alex Provost (<https://math.stackexchange.com/users/59556/alexprovost>).  $\sqrt{x}$  is uniformly continuous. Mathematics Stack Exchange. URL:<https://math.stackexchange.com/q/728364> (version: 2014-03-26).
- [2] J. Hubbard. *Vector Calculus, Linear Algebra, and Differential Forms: A Unified Approach - Third Edition*. Pearson Education, Limited, 2006.
- [3] J. Lee. *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2012.
- [4] J.R. Munkres. *Analysis On Manifolds*. CRC Press, 2018.
- [5] W. Rudin. *Principles of mathematical analysis*. International series in pure and applied mathematics. McGraw-Hill, 1964.
- [6] S. Shindin. *MATH310 - Real Analysis: Notes*. University of Kwa-Zulu Natal, 2018.
- [7] Wikipedia contributors. Fundamental theorem of calculus — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Fundamental\\_theorem\\_of\\_calculus&oldid=845588941](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Fundamental_theorem_of_calculus&oldid=845588941), 2018. [Online; accessed 21-June-2018].