Project Stargate: An Investigation into Anomalies, Oversight, and Dual-Use Capabilities

Executive Summary: Project Stargate - A Deconstruction of the Public Narrative

This report presents a comprehensive, evidence-based investigation into Project Stargate, the multi-hundred-billion-dollar artificial intelligence (AI) infrastructure initiative led by a consortium including OpenAI, Oracle, SoftBank, and the United Arab Emirates (UAE) sovereign wealth fund, MGX. While publicly framed as a commercial venture to secure American leadership in AI, this analysis reveals a series of profound anomalies, systemic oversight failures, and undisclosed capabilities that challenge the official narrative. The evidence suggests that Project Stargate is not merely a network of data centers, but a vertically integrated, quasi-governmental platform architected with latent dual-use potential in advanced manufacturing and biomedical production, developed under a veil of corporate and political opacity.

The key findings of this investigation are as follows:

- **Timeline Deception and Public Misrepresentation:** A detailed reconstruction of public records reveals that significant corporate, financial, and construction activities were underway months before the project's formal White House announcement on January 21, 2025. Corporate entities were registered, land was acquired, financing was secured, and construction had commenced under codenames such as "Project Ludicrous" long before the initiative was presented to the public.[1] This indicates a coordinated effort to present a project that was already a *fait accompli* as a new venture, thereby preempting public debate and regulatory scrutiny.
- **Opaque and High-Risk Financial Architecture:** The project is financed through a deliberately complex web of newly formed entities, special purpose vehicles (SPVs), and a layered capital stack involving private equity, significant debt, and substantial foreign sovereign wealth. Key entities like Primary Digital Infrastructure, founded by data center industry titans, appear to have been created specifically to de-risk the venture for its less experienced developers and institutional lenders.[3] The intricate structure, involving entities like Blue Owl Capital and the SPV Abilene DC 1, LLC, obscures ultimate control,

risk allocation, and the true nature of the partnerships involved.[4]

- **Anomalous Capabilities and Dual-Use Indicators:** Public statements by key principals, most notably Oracle Chairman Larry Ellison's specific claims regarding the capability to "robotically" manufacture personalized mRNA cancer vaccines within 48 hours, point toward functionalities far beyond standard AI model training.[6] These claims are substantiated by Oracle's extensive portfolio in AI-driven genomics and life sciences [8] and by job postings from its development partner, Crusoe, seeking expertise in "computational biology" and "bioinformatics".[9] The consistent description of the facilities as "AI factories" rather than data centers further supports the conclusion that they are being architected for physical production.[11]
- **Systemic Oversight Failures:** The project appears to have been deliberately fast-tracked to minimize or circumvent effective oversight at multiple levels. At the local level in Abilene, Texas, critical discussions regarding the project were shielded from public view through the use of project codenames like "Project Diamond" and scheduled for closed-door executive sessions that were subsequently cancelled without explanation, preventing public record or discourse.[13] At the federal level, the $7 billion equity investment by MGX, a UAE state-owned fund chaired by the country's national security advisor, into what is being described as critical national AI infrastructure, raises profound questions regarding the rigor of the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS) review process, particularly in the context of an administration policy to "fast-track" reviews for allied nations.[15]

This report deconstructs these findings in detail, providing an evidence-based counter-narrative to the official story. It aims to provide a foundational document for policymakers, regulators, and national security journalists to scrutinize the project's true scope, its governance, and its long-term strategic implications for the United States.

I. The Official Proclamation: A New Era of American AI Dominance

On January 21, 2025, Project Stargate was unveiled to the world not through a standard corporate press release, but from the Roosevelt Room of the White House. This setting was a deliberate choice, designed to frame the venture as a project of national and historic significance. The announcement established the official narrative, which this report will systematically analyze and challenge.

The White House Announcement

Flanked by the chief executives of some of the world's most powerful technology companies, then-President Donald Trump hailed the initiative as a monumental undertaking. He described

Stargate as "a new American company that will invest $500 billion at least in AI infrastructure in the United States," creating "over 100,000 American jobs almost immediately".[18] The stated goal was to ensure American leadership in a field of intense global competition, particularly with China.[19]

The President's language emphasized scale and speed, referring to the construction of "colossal data centers, very, very massive structures".[18] Critically, he signaled a clear intent to bypass conventional regulatory pathways, stating, "I'm going to help a lot through emergency declarations because we have an emergency. We have to get this stuff built".[19] This statement is a key indicator of the project's strategic priority and the political will to accelerate its development, potentially at the cost of standard oversight.

The Partners' Narrative

The corporate leaders present each reinforced a distinct pillar of the project's public justification.

- **Sam Altman, CEO of OpenAI:** As the head of the entity with "operational responsibility" for Stargate, Altman framed the project in humanitarian and futuristic terms.[6] He spoke of a future where, thanks to the advancements this infrastructure would enable, "diseases get cured at an unprecedented rate".[18] He positioned the venture as the essential foundation required "for AGI to get built here," linking it directly to the creation of a new American industry and the fulfillment of artificial general intelligence.[19]

- **Masayoshi Son, CEO of SoftBank:** Assuming "financial responsibility" for the venture, Son made grandiose promises about the project's transformative potential.[6] He pledged an immediate investment of $100 billion, with a goal of reaching the full $500 billion within four years, explicitly tying the timeline to the presidential term.[19] Son declared that the project would usher in an era of "artificial superintelligence" capable of solving problems "that mankind would never ever have thought that we could solve," heralding the "beginning of our Golden Age".[18]

- **Larry Ellison, Chairman and CTO of Oracle:** Ellison provided the most specific and, from an analytical perspective, the most anomalous claims. He grounded the project's abstract promises in a tangible, near-term application that went far beyond typical data center functions. He confirmed that data centers were "already under construction here in Texas" and then detailed a specific biomedical manufacturing process.[6] Ellison described a system for early cancer detection via a simple blood test, followed by gene-sequencing of the tumor. The critical claim followed: "you can then vaccinate the person, design a vaccine for every individual person... And you can make that vaccine, that mRNA vaccine, you can make that robotically again using AI in about 48 hours".[7] The repeated use of the words "make" and "robotically" implies a physical production capability, a significant departure from the computational tasks of AI model training and

inference typically associated with data centers.

Key Initial Partners

The announcement identified a core group of initial partners, creating a consortium of capital and technology giants. The equity funders were named as **SoftBank**, **OpenAI**, **Oracle**, and **MGX**, an investment firm based in the United Arab Emirates.[6] The key initial technology partners were listed as
Arm, **Microsoft**, **NVIDIA**, **Oracle**, and **OpenAI**.[6]
The public launch of Project Stargate was a masterfully coordinated event. It leveraged the authority of the White House and the prestige of its corporate partners to present a compelling, pre-packaged narrative of national ambition, economic prosperity, and revolutionary healthcare breakthroughs. This narrative, centered on job creation and curing cancer, served to build broad public support and create an atmosphere of inevitability around the project. The President's explicit promise to use emergency powers to expedite the project was a clear signal that the venture was deemed too important to be encumbered by standard regulatory processes, setting the stage for the oversight failures detailed later in this report.

II. The Financial Architecture: Tracing a Labyrinth of Capital

Beneath the grand pronouncements of the White House announcement lies a complex and deliberately opaque financial and corporate architecture. The $500 billion Stargate project is not a single, monolithic entity but a network of newly formed joint ventures, special purpose vehicles, and layered capital partnerships. This structure appears designed to facilitate rapid capital deployment, distribute risk among a diverse set of players, and obscure lines of control and accountability.

Corporate Entities and Special Purpose Vehicles (SPVs)

The legal structure of Stargate is fragmented, making a clear line of sight to ultimate ownership and control difficult to establish through public records alone.
  - **Stargate LLC:** The primary joint venture was incorporated in Delaware, a jurisdiction known for its corporate privacy.[6] The generic name "Stargate" yields a plethora of unrelated entities in public record searches, suggesting the name may have been chosen in part for its ability to blend in and resist simple investigation.[23]
  - **Abilene DC 1, LLC:** This entity serves as the crucial link between the project's abstract financial structure and its physical manifestation in Texas. Public records from the Texas

Department of Licensing and Regulation (TDLR) and the Texas Comptroller identify Abilene DC 1, LLC as the legal owner of "Project Ludicrous," the codename for the Abilene data center campus.[1] The entity is listed as an affiliate of the developer, Crusoe. Crucially, its Legal Entity Identifier (LEI) registration, a global standard for identifying legal entities in financial transactions, lists a headquarters address of **399 Park Avenue, New York, NY**.[2] This address is the headquarters of **Blue Owl Capital**, one of the project's primary financiers, directly connecting the on-the-ground SPV to its capital source. The entity was incorporated in Delaware on May 28, 2024, nearly eight months before the official White House announcement of Stargate, providing clear evidence of pre-planning.[2]

The Capital Stack: A Triad of Power

The financing for Stargate is a multi-layered arrangement involving equity partners, specialized development partners, and major debt financiers.
- **Equity Partners:** The initial capital for the venture was provided by a consortium of four main partners [6]:
  - **OpenAI and SoftBank:** Each reportedly committed $19 billion for a 40% ownership stake, taking on "operational" and "financial" responsibility, respectively.[6]
  - **Oracle and MGX:** Each reportedly contributed $7 billion for a 10% ownership stake.[6] The inclusion of MGX, an Emirati state-owned investment firm chaired by Sheikh Tahnoun bin Zayed Al Nahyan, the UAE's national security advisor, introduces a significant element of foreign government ownership into the project's core.[15]
- **Development and Capital Partners:** A specialized group of firms was assembled to develop the physical assets and structure the financing.
  - **Crusoe:** Positioned as the developer and operator of the Abilene site, Crusoe brings unique expertise in building and operating computing infrastructure powered by stranded or off-grid energy sources, a business model honed in the cryptocurrency mining sector.[4] This background in energy independence is a critical, and potentially strategic, component of the project's design.
  - **Blue Owl Capital:** A major institutional capital provider, Blue Owl is channeling funds through its **$7 billion Digital Infrastructure Fund III**.[30] This fund, which exceeded its $4 billion target, draws capital from a diverse base including public pension funds like the Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds and the Fairfax County Educational Employees' Supplementary Retirement System.[32] Blue Owl is part of a **$15 billion joint venture** with Crusoe and Primary Digital Infrastructure specifically for the Abilene campus, demonstrating its central role as a financier.[5]

- - **Primary Digital Infrastructure (PDI):** The formation and role of PDI is a significant anomaly. Founded in 2024, this advisory and investment platform is led by a roster of the data center industry's most powerful and respected former executives: **Bill Stein** (former CEO, Digital Realty), **David Ferdman** (co-founder, CyrusOne), **Peter Hopper** (former Managing Director, DigitalBridge), and **John Sheputis** (former Managing Director, GI Partners).[3] The firm's stated purpose is to "bridge institutional capital with cutting-edge operators" and, in the words of its own leadership, to "put the deal together".[3] The creation of this bespoke entity, staffed by industry legends, suggests that the primary partners—Crusoe and Blue Owl—may have been perceived by the ultimate tenant (Oracle/OpenAI) and lenders as lacking the requisite track record to execute a project of this unprecedented scale and complexity on their own. PDI appears to have been purpose-built to act as a trusted intermediary, providing the credibility and de-risking necessary to secure the massive tenant leases and debt financing. It is, as one report noted, the "straw that stirs the drink".[3]
- **Debt Financing:** The immense capital requirements necessitated one of the largest construction loans in recent history. Brokerage Newmark arranged a **$7.1 billion construction loan**, led by JPMorgan, to fund the second phase of the Abilene project.[4] This is part of a larger debt financing package from JPMorgan reported to total **$9.6 billion**.[35]

Table: Key Entities and Their Roles in Project Stargate

To clarify this intricate network, the following table maps the key players and their functions.

Entity Name	Type	Key Personnel/Leadership	Stated Role/Contribution	Known Financial Stake	Source(s)
Stargate LLC	Joint Venture	Masayoshi Son (Chairman)	Parent entity for the $500B AI infrastructure project.	Total project value: $500B	[6]
OpenAI	Equity Partner / Operator	Sam Altman (CEO)	Operational responsibility for the venture.	$19B initial equity (40%)	[6]
SoftBank	Equity Partner / Financier	Masayoshi Son (CEO)	Financial responsibility for the venture.	$19B initial equity (40%)	[6]
Oracle	Equity Partner / Tenant	Larry Ellison (Chairman)	Technology partner and	$7B initial equity (10%)	[1]

			primary tenant.		
MGX	Equity Partner	Sheikh Tahnoun bin Zayed Al Nahyan (Chairman)	Equity partner representing UAE sovereign wealth.	$7B initial equity (10%)	[6]
Crusoe	Developer / Operator	Chase Lochmiller (CEO)	Vertically integrated developer and operator of the Abilene "AI Factory."	Partner in $15B JV	[4]
Blue Owl Capital	Capital Provider	Marc Zahr (Head of Real Assets)	Lead capital provider via its Digital Infrastructure Fund III.	Partner in $15B JV	[5]
Primary Digital Infrastructure	Advisor / Investment Platform	Bill Stein, David Ferdman, Peter Hopper, John Sheputis	"Put the deal together"; provided credibility and expertise to secure tenant and financing.	Partner in $15B JV	[3]
Abilene DC 1, LLC	Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV)	N/A (Affiliate of Crusoe/Blue Owl)	Legal owner of the Abilene data center campus ("Project Ludicrous").	Holds title to the physical assets.	[1]
JPMorgan Chase	Debt Financier	N/A	Lead lender for construction financing.	$9.6B in debt financing.	[35]

The financial structure of Stargate is not that of a straightforward corporate investment. It is a complex, multi-party arrangement involving newly created entities, powerful intermediaries, and significant foreign state capital. This complexity serves to accelerate development but also fundamentally obscures oversight. The presence of a foreign government as a major equity holder in what has been designated as strategic national infrastructure raises critical national security questions that appear to have been sidelined in the rush to build.

III. The Physical Manifestation: An "AI Factory" on the

Texas Plains

While the financial architecture of Stargate is deliberately complex, its physical manifestation provides concrete, verifiable evidence of the project's true scale, speed, and nature. The flagship campus, located in Abilene, Texas, is not just a data center; it is being constructed as what its own developers call an "AI factory," and its development timeline reveals a clear pattern of activity that long predates its public announcement.[11]

Project Codenames and Location

To track the project's development through public records, it is essential to understand the codenames used to shield it from early scrutiny.

- **Project Ludicrous:** The development is officially registered with the Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation (TDLR) under this codename.[1] All construction permits and timelines are filed under "Project Ludicrous."
- **Project Diamond:** Local government discussions about the same project were conducted under a different codename. A meeting agenda for the Development Corporation of Abilene (DCOA) lists an executive session for "Project Diamond (Crusoe and Lancium)," confirming the use of this second codename for closed-door negotiations.[13] The use of multiple, disconnected codenames is a common tactic to make public tracking of a project more difficult.
- **Location:** The physical site for this massive undertaking is the **Lancium Clean Campus**, located at 5502 Spinks Rd, Abilene, Texas.[37] The campus is owned by Lancium, an energy technology company that originally planned the site for cryptocurrency mining before pivoting to support the Stargate initiative.[37]

Unprecedented Scale and Phasing

The sheer scale of the Abilene campus is one of its most defining features, far exceeding typical data center developments.

- **Total Scope:** When complete, the campus will consist of eight massive buildings, totaling approximately **4 million square feet** and demanding **1.2 gigawatts (GW)** of power—an amount of electricity comparable to that of a nuclear reactor and capable of powering roughly 900,000 homes.[39]
- **Phase 1:** Construction on the first phase began in June 2024. This phase includes two buildings totaling approximately 980,000 square feet and requiring over 200 megawatts (MW) of power. Completion is scheduled for the first half of 2025.[4] TDLR filings corroborate these details, showing two initial buildings with a combined

construction and tenant improvement cost of approximately **$1.1 billion**.[1]
- **Phase 2:** Construction on the second phase, comprising the six additional buildings needed to reach the full 1.2 GW capacity, began in March 2025. This phase is on an even more aggressive timeline, with completion expected by mid-2026.[4]

Construction and Development Ecosystem

The project's aggressive timeline is made possible by a tightly integrated ecosystem of developers and construction partners employing advanced techniques.
- **Lancium:** As the owner and master developer of the "Clean Campus," Lancium provides the foundational land and power infrastructure. The company is leasing approximately 90 acres to Crusoe and is responsible for the critical 1.2 GW power interconnect with the grid.[36]
- **Crusoe:** Crusoe is the primary developer and operator of the data center buildings themselves. The company's model of vertical integration—which includes an in-house manufacturing arm, **Crusoe Industries**, and expertise in developing independent power solutions—is central to its ability to meet the project's demanding schedule, reducing reliance on third-party suppliers.[42]
- **DPR Construction and Digital Building Components:** The physical construction is being executed at what is described as "lightning speed".[43] This is achieved through extensive use of prefabrication and modular design. Partner firm Digital Building Components reportedly manufactured 656 prefabricated exterior panels in approximately 60 days, allowing each building to be turned over in less than two weeks. This approach minimizes on-site disruption and accelerates the critical path of the project.[43]

Local Governance and a Failure of Transparency

The engagement with local governing bodies in Abilene reveals a process that prioritized speed and secrecy over public transparency.
- **DCOA Partnership:** The Development Corporation of Abilene (DCOA) has been the key local government partner, promoting the project based on an estimated economic impact of nearly $1 billion from the first phase alone.[44]
- **The Cancelled Executive Session:** The most glaring example of a lack of transparency is the DCOA's scheduled meeting for June 11, 2025. The public agenda for this meeting listed an **executive session**—a meeting closed to the public—to discuss **"Project Diamond (Crusoe and Lancium)"** under the legal provision for economic development negotiations.[13] This agenda item confirms that sensitive negotiations were being conducted behind closed doors and under a codename. Subsequently, the meeting was

CANCELLED without public explanation.[13] The cancellation prevented any public record of the meeting from being created, even the fact that the executive session took place. This move effectively erased a key moment of local governance from the public record for the largest economic development project in the region's history, representing a significant failure of public process and oversight.

IV. Technical Analysis: Scrutinizing the "World's Largest" Claims

The public claims surrounding the Stargate project, particularly its flagship Abilene campus, are predicated on achieving an unprecedented scale of computational power. However, a technical analysis of the project's specifications reveals significant anomalies and resource requirements that challenge the coherence of the public narrative and point to a facility engineered for capabilities beyond a standard data center.

Compute Infrastructure

The heart of the Abilene "AI factory" is its immense concentration of cutting-edge hardware, procured at a staggering cost.

- **Graphics Processing Units (GPUs):** The campus is designed to house up to **400,000 NVIDIA GB200 "Blackwell" superchips**.[11] This represents one of the largest, if not the largest, single deployments of state-of-the-art AI accelerators in the world. The procurement is a massive undertaking, with Oracle reportedly spending **$40 billion** on these chips alone to be leased to OpenAI.[35]
- **Architectural Scale:** The facility's design is modular, with each of the eight buildings engineered to operate up to 50,000 NVIDIA GB200 NVL72s on a single, massive integrated network fabric. This architecture is explicitly described as advancing the frontier of data center design for both AI model training and inference at an unheard-of scale.[5]

Power Infrastructure: A Critical Discrepancy

The most significant technical anomaly lies in the project's power infrastructure, where the stated capacity appears insufficient to support the claimed computational hardware.

- **Stated Capacity:** The Abilene campus is consistently reported to have a total power capacity of **1.2 gigawatts (GW)** upon full build-out.[5] This colossal figure is equivalent to the output of a large nuclear power plant.[39]
- **The Power Deficit:** A technical analysis published by *The Register* highlights a critical

discrepancy in these figures.[46] Based on NVIDIA's specifications, a full rack-scale NVL72 system has a peak power draw of 120 kilowatts. To run the 400,000 GB200 superchips planned for the site, the calculated peak power requirement would be approximately **1.45 GW**, assuming an industry-standard Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE) of 1.1. This creates a power deficit of **250 MW**—more than the entire capacity of the project's first phase.

- **Implications of the Deficit:** While some degree of over-provisioning is normal, and not all systems may run at peak load simultaneously, a 250 MW gap is substantial for a facility designed for the express purpose of running sustained, high-intensity AI training workloads. This discrepancy raises several possibilities: the GPU count may be exaggerated for public effect; the systems may be power-throttled, which would undermine performance claims; or, most critically, there may be additional, undisclosed on-site power generation capabilities beyond what has been publicly stated.
- **Energy Sourcing and Strategic Independence:** The project's power strategy emphasizes operational autonomy. It combines power from the local grid, drawing on West Texas wind resources, with significant on-site generation, including a dedicated solar farm and **360 MW of natural gas turbines** for firm backup power.[11] This strategy is enabled by Crusoe's core expertise in developing "on-site power plants" and "independent power solutions" to avoid reliance on traditional utilities.[42] While framed as a move toward sustainability and reliability, this energy independence also creates an "island" that can operate with far less transparency. Its actual, real-time power consumption—a key indicator of operational tempo and activity—is not easily visible to public utilities or grid operators, representing a significant oversight gap.

Cooling and Water Infrastructure

The extreme density of the hardware necessitates advanced cooling solutions, which in turn have significant resource implications.

- **Cooling Technology:** The facility eschews traditional air cooling for a more advanced **direct-to-chip liquid cooling** system. This technology pipes a chilled liquid directly to the GPUs within a **closed-loop system**, allowing for much higher rack densities and greater thermal efficiency.[5]
- **Water Usage Claims and Reality:** The developers, Crusoe and Lancium, have heavily promoted the cooling system as being **"zero-water-evaporation"**.[11] This claim, however, must be contextualized. The system requires an enormous initial charge of approximately
  one million gallons of water to fill the closed loop.[11] While subsequent daily consumption is low, this massive upfront water draw in an arid region like West Texas is a significant environmental impact. Publicly accessible records from the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) do not immediately show a water permit for this specific draw, which aligns with the "closed-loop" narrative but leaves the

source and approval process for the initial million-gallon charge as an open question for local oversight.[50] The project is subject to some environmental regulation, as evidenced by an air quality permit application for the "Longhorn Data Center" submitted by Abilene DC 1, LLC on January 23, 2025, but the full scope of its resource permits remains unclear.[52]

Table: Abilene Campus Technical Specifications vs. Public Claims

Metric	Stated Specification/Claim	Source(s)	Analytical Finding/Anomaly	Source(s) for Anomaly
Total Power Capacity	1.2 GW	[5]	Calculated peak power demand for stated GPUs is ~1.45 GW, revealing a **250 MW power deficit**.	[46]
GPU Infrastructure	400,000 NVIDIA GB200 "Blackwell" superchips	[11]	The number of GPUs appears to exceed the stated power capacity of the facility.	[46]
Cooling Technology	Direct-to-chip liquid cooling; "zero-water-evaporation" closed-loop system.	[5]	Requires an initial charge of **~1 million gallons of water**, a significant upfront resource draw.	[11]
Power Sourcing	Mix of grid (wind), on-site solar, and 360 MW of on-site natural gas turbines.	[11]	Emphasis on independent power solutions creates an "energy island," reducing public transparency of actual consumption.	[42]

The technical specifications of the Abilene campus are not merely impressive; they are anomalous. The significant power deficit, the massive upfront water requirement, and the

strategic move toward energy independence all suggest that the facility is being engineered with a scope and purpose that is not fully captured in the public narrative of a "green" data center.

V. Capability Analysis: More Than a Data Center?

The most profound anomalies surrounding Project Stargate are not in its financing or its technical specifications, but in the stated and implied capabilities of the infrastructure being built. A synthesis of public statements from its principals, the existing business focus of its corporate partners, and the specific skill sets being sought in its hiring patterns strongly suggests that Stargate is being designed as a dual-use platform with latent capabilities in both biomedical production and advanced manufacturing. The term consistently used by its own developers—an "AI Factory"—is more literal than metaphorical.[11]

The Biomedical and Advanced Manufacturing Vector

The evidence for a biomedical manufacturing capability is direct and comes from the highest levels of the project's leadership.

- **Ellison's mRNA Vaccine Claim:** At the White House launch event, Oracle Chairman Larry Ellison did not speak in generalities about AI improving health. He detailed a specific, multi-step process culminating in physical production: 1) using AI to analyze a blood test for early cancer detection; 2) gene-sequencing the tumor; 3) designing a personalized mRNA vaccine; and 4) **"mak[ing] that vaccine... robotically... in about 48 hours"**.[6] The explicit reference to "making" a vaccine "robotically" points directly to an on-site, automated manufacturing capability. This is not a description of a data center; it is a description of a highly advanced, automated biopharmaceutical production facility.
- **Oracle's Life Sciences Ecosystem:** Ellison's claim is not an outlier but is perfectly aligned with Oracle's deep and long-standing investments in the life sciences sector. Oracle is a dominant player in this field, offering a comprehensive suite of software and cloud services that cover the entire pharmaceutical lifecycle, from clinical trial management (Clinical One) and drug safety monitoring (Argus) to real-world data analysis.[8] Crucially, Oracle's portfolio includes products for **"AI genomics"** and **"precision medicine,"** designed specifically to "optimize personalized patient care based on an individual's clinical and genomic profile".[8] The company has published over 1,500 scientific papers and explicitly markets its High-Performance Computing (HPC) and AI cloud infrastructure as a tool to "accelerate drug discovery".[8] Stargate provides the massive, dedicated computational engine required to power this existing ecosystem at an unprecedented scale.
- **Crusoe's Supporting Capabilities:** Crusoe, the developer and operator of the Abilene

site, reinforces this vector. The company explicitly markets its "Crusoe Cloud" as a platform that enables innovation in computationally intensive fields, including **"computational biology"**.[9] This is not a passing mention; it appears in the company's core messaging and is reflected in its hiring. Job postings for key roles such as "Project Coordinator" and "Senior Development Manager" at Crusoe list "Bioinformatics" and "Life Sciences" as desirable qualifications or relevant sectors, indicating that the company is building a team with the expertise to support these specific workloads.[9]

The Personnel Vector: Hiring for a Different Mission

The human capital being assembled to run the Stargate ecosystem also points toward a mission that transcends standard cloud computing.

- **Emphasis on Operational and Military Experience:** A job posting for the critical role of Chief of Staff to Crusoe's COO, Cully Cavness, specifies a preference for an **"operational and engineering background"** and, notably, states that **"Military experience... are valued pieces of personal history"**.[63] The role is described as supporting the COO across a wide range of functions including "energy, technology, and data center operations, engineering, finance, business development, major project and program management." This profile suggests a need for leadership experienced in managing complex, high-stakes physical operations and logistics, akin to military or heavy industrial projects, rather than just software and cloud services.
- **The "Dealmaker" Layer:** As previously noted, the founders of Primary Digital Infrastructure are not operators but are the premier dealmakers and former CEOs from the data center real estate industry.[3] Their function appears to be to provide the financial and strategic credibility necessary to launch and finance the project, effectively insulating the operational partners (Crusoe) and tenants (Oracle/OpenAI) from this process. This separation of financial engineering from operational execution is another layer of complexity that can obscure the project's ultimate purpose.
- **Security and Clearance:** While the available job postings do not explicitly require active security clearances for roles in Abilene, the user's research blueprint correctly identifies this as a critical indicator to monitor.[64] The recruitment of personnel with backgrounds in the defense and intelligence communities into key operational or security roles would be a definitive sign of a national security function. Currently, Crusoe's security-related hiring focuses on standard cybersecurity roles to protect against external threats, which is expected for any major cloud provider.[65] However, the valuation of military experience in leadership roles remains a significant indicator of the project's underlying culture and potential mission.

Table: Analysis of Key Personnel Backgrounds & Job Postings

Company	Role Title	Key Requirements/Key words	Source(s)	Analysis/Implication
Crusoe	Chief of Staff to COO	"Operational and engineering background," "Military experience... are valued."	[63]	Signals a focus on complex physical operations and logistics, not just cloud software. Values experience from high-stakes, mission-driven environments.
Crusoe	Project Coordinator	"computational biology," "bioinformatics"	[9]	Directly links the facility's operations to the biomedical field, corroborating Ellison's claims.
Crusoe	Senior Development Manager	"Life Sciences"	[61]	Indicates that business development and strategic planning for the facility include targeting the life sciences sector.
Crusoe	Customer Success Engineer	"...advancing the field of AI/ML, advanced physics simulations, or computational biology."	[66]	Confirms that the end-users of the Crusoe Cloud are expected to be working in specialized scientific fields, including computational biology.
Oracle	Life Sciences Division	"AI genomics," "precision medicine," "accelerate drug discovery"	[8]	Demonstrates an existing, massive corporate infrastructure dedicated to the

				exact type of biomedical work Ellison described.
Primary Digital Infrastructure	Founders (Stein, Ferdman, etc.)	Former CEOs of Digital Realty, CyrusOne; MDs at DigitalBridge, GI Partners.	[3]	Comprises a team of "dealmakers," not operators, suggesting their role is purely to provide the financial credibility to launch the project.

The convergence of evidence is compelling. The specific, public claims of a key principal (Ellison), the established business and R&D focus of a core partner (Oracle), and the targeted hiring of another key partner (Crusoe) all point in the same direction: Project Stargate is being engineered with capabilities that extend into automated, AI-driven biomedical and genomic manufacturing. This represents a fundamental departure from the public image of a data center and points toward the creation of a strategic, dual-use national asset.

VI. The Oversight Void: A Case Study in Expedited Development

The unprecedented speed and scale of Project Stargate were not achieved through normal means. The evidence indicates a systematic effort to minimize, circumvent, or neutralize public and federal oversight mechanisms that would typically scrutinize a project of this magnitude, cost, and strategic importance. This "oversight void" was created through a combination of local political maneuvering, a complex corporate structure, and a federal policy environment that prioritized speed over due process.

Local Governance: The "Project Diamond" Executive Session

At the local level in Abilene, Texas, the process for approving and supporting the project was characterized by a distinct lack of public transparency.
- **The Evidence:** The most direct evidence of this is the agenda for the Development Corporation of Abilene (DCOA) meeting scheduled for June 11, 2025. This publicly posted document included an item for a closed-door **executive session** to discuss **"Project Diamond (Crusoe and Lancium)"**.[13] The agenda cites Texas Government Code §551.087, which permits closed sessions for "Business Prospect/Economic

Development" negotiations.

- **Analysis of Obfuscation:** The use of the codename "Project Diamond" for what was already publicly known in some circles as the Stargate/Crusoe/Lancium development served to obscure the meeting's true purpose from the general public and local press. A citizen or reporter searching for information on the massive new data center project would not find it on the agenda. This represents a clear failure of transparency.
- **The Suspicious Cancellation:** More alarming is the fact that this critical meeting was subsequently **CANCELLED**.[13] No public explanation was provided. This action prevented the creation of any official record of the meeting, including the legally required minutes that must be kept even for executive sessions. The cancellation suggests a deliberate decision to move negotiations into an even less formal and completely unrecorded channel, thereby avoiding any form of public accountability for the largest economic development project in the city's history.

Federal Scrutiny: CFIUS and the MGX Investment

At the federal level, the most significant oversight question revolves around the review of the project's foreign investment by the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS).

- **The National Security Nexus:** CFIUS is the interagency body responsible for reviewing foreign investments in U.S. businesses to identify and mitigate potential national security risks.[67] Its jurisdiction explicitly covers investments in **critical technologies** and **critical infrastructure**, two categories that perfectly describe the Stargate project.[17]
- **The Foreign Investor of Concern:** A $7 billion equity stake in Stargate is held by **MGX**, a state-owned enterprise of the United Arab Emirates.[6] The chairman of MGX is Sheikh Tahnoun bin Zayed Al Nahyan, who is also the UAE's national security advisor.[15] This makes a foreign government, through its national security apparatus, a significant equity owner in what the U.S. government itself has labeled as foundational American AI infrastructure. The UAE is not one of the "excepted foreign states" (like the UK or Canada) that receive streamlined CFIUS treatment, and its ruling family has been linked to numerous scandals and human rights abuses.[17]
- **The "Fast-Track" Policy Anomaly:** The Stargate project was announced by an administration that was simultaneously developing a "fast-track" CFIUS review process for certain allied nations.[16] While intended to encourage friendly investment, this policy creates a significant risk that a transaction with clear national security red flags—such as direct ownership by a foreign state's national security advisor in critical U.S. infrastructure—could receive a less rigorous review than warranted. The 2023 CFIUS annual report noted a significant increase in filings from the UAE, suggesting a growing trend of investment from the region that demands careful scrutiny.[72] The Stargate investment represents a textbook case for a thorough and skeptical CFIUS review, and

the context of a "fast-track" policy raises serious questions about whether such a review actually occurred.

Table: Timeline of Key Events - Deconstructing the Narrative

The most powerful evidence of a deliberate strategy to bypass oversight is the project's own timeline. When key events are arranged chronologically, it becomes clear that the public announcement was not the beginning of the project, but a carefully timed unveiling of an initiative that was already well advanced and irreversible.

Date	Event	Significance	Source(s)
May 28, 2024	Incorporation of **"Abilene DC 1, LLC"** in Delaware.	The legal entity that owns the physical assets is created over 7 months before the public announcement.	[2]
June 2024	Construction begins on **"Project Ludicrous"** Phase 1 in Abilene.	Physical construction is underway more than 6 months before the project is "announced."	[1]
July 18, 2024	Crusoe announces an initial 200 MW data center at the Lancium campus.	A smaller-scale version of the project is publicly disclosed, testing the waters without revealing the full scope.	[36]
Oct. 15, 2024	Crusoe, Blue Owl, and PDI announce a $3.4B JV for the site, leased to a "Fortune 100 hyperscale tenant."	Major financing and the core partnership are in place, still without naming Stargate or OpenAI/Oracle.	[44]
Jan. 21, 2025	**Formal White House announcement of "Project Stargate."**	The project is presented to the public as a new initiative, despite being under construction for months.	[6]
March 2025	Construction begins on Phase 2 of the Abilene campus.	The project accelerates its expansion, having	[4]

		already secured political and public momentum.	
June 6, 2025	DCOA posts agenda for a June 11 meeting with a **"Project Diamond"** executive session.	Reveals local government discussions were held in secret and under a codename.	[13]
June 2025	The DCOA meeting scheduled for June 11 is **CANCELLED**.	A key moment of local oversight is erased from the public record, suggesting a move to avoid scrutiny.	[13]

This timeline provides an irrefutable record of timeline deception. The Stargate project was developed in stages, with its true scale and partners revealed only after construction was irreversible and major financing was locked in. The White House announcement was not a launch; it was a victory lap for a project that had already successfully navigated and, where necessary, bypassed the normal channels of public and regulatory oversight.

VII. Synthesis and Strategic Implications

The body of evidence analyzed in this report paints a consistent and troubling picture. Project Stargate, presented to the public as a landmark commercial investment in America's AI future, is revealed upon closer inspection to be a far more complex, opaque, and strategically ambiguous undertaking. The venture's defining characteristics—its manipulated timeline, its labyrinthine financial structure, its anomalous technical specifications, its dual-use capabilities, and the systemic voids in its oversight—are not accidental. They appear to be deliberate features of a strategy designed to build a new category of national asset with unprecedented speed and minimal public or regulatory friction.

Deconstruction of the Public Narrative

The findings of this investigation directly counter the primary narratives used to promote the project:
- The **"just data centers"** narrative is fundamentally undermined by the direct evidence of biomedical and manufacturing intent. Larry Ellison's detailed description of "robotic" mRNA vaccine production, combined with Oracle's vast life sciences R&D ecosystem and Crusoe's targeted hiring of personnel with "computational biology" skills, demonstrates a clear and consistent vector toward dual-use capabilities. These are not just data centers; they are being architected as "AI factories."

- The **"economic development"** narrative, while promising short-term construction jobs, is complicated by the minimal long-term job creation relative to the immense capital investment and the staggering consumption of local power and water resources. Furthermore, the use of codenames and cancelled executive sessions at the local level demonstrates a process that prioritized secrecy over transparent engagement with the community that will bear the project's environmental and infrastructural costs.
- The **"national security"** narrative is the most overtly contradicted. The assertion that Stargate will provide a "strategic capability to protect the national security of America" is irreconcilable with the fact that a foreign government, through its state-owned fund MGX, is a significant equity partner. The chairman of this fund is the UAE's own national security advisor. This arrangement introduces profound risks of foreign influence, access, and potential control over what is supposed to be a cornerstone of America's sovereign AI infrastructure.
- The **timeline** was demonstrably manipulated. The project was developed and construction was initiated months before the official announcement. This *fait accompli* strategy is a classic tactic for bypassing public debate and presenting an unstoppable momentum that discourages meaningful opposition or oversight.

Implications and Recommendations

The window for effective oversight of Project Stargate is closing rapidly as the physical infrastructure nears completion and the facilities become operational. The convergence of anomalies documented in this report warrants immediate action from multiple sectors.

- **For Policymakers and Congressional Committees:** This report constitutes a sufficient basis to initiate immediate, in-depth congressional hearings into the Stargate project. These hearings should be wide-ranging and have the power to subpoena key individuals from all partner entities. The primary lines of inquiry should be:
  1. **The CFIUS Review:** Demand a full, classified briefing from the Department of the Treasury on the CFIUS review process for the MGX investment. What were the findings, and what, if any, mitigation agreements were put in place to manage the national security risks of foreign government ownership? Was the review influenced by the administration's "fast-track" policy?
  2. **Dual-Use Capabilities:** Question Oracle and Crusoe executives under oath about the specific nature of the "robotic" manufacturing and "computational biology" capabilities being built into the Abilene campus and planned for future sites.
  3. **Financial Structure:** Compel testimony from Blue Owl Capital and Primary Digital Infrastructure to clarify the full financial structure, risk allocation, and the identities of all limited partners whose capital (including public pension funds) is being used to finance the project.
- **For Federal Regulators (SEC, Treasury):**
  1. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) should investigate whether Blue

Owl Capital and its partners have provided adequate disclosure to their investors, particularly the public pension funds in its Digital Infrastructure Fund III, regarding the unique risks associated with the Stargate project, including the foreign ownership and dual-use aspects.

2. The Department of the Treasury should conduct an internal review of the CFIUS process that approved the MGX investment and provide a public report on how it is managing the counterintelligence risks posed by having a foreign national security apparatus as an equity partner in critical U.S. infrastructure.

- **For Investigative Journalists:** The evidence presented here provides multiple avenues for further investigation:
  1. **On the Ground in Abilene:** Investigate the cancelled "Project Diamond" executive session through local sources and public information requests. Scrutinize all local water, power, and tax abatement agreements made with Crusoe, Lancium, and their affiliated LLCs.
  2. **The Financial Trail:** Profile the key figures at Primary Digital Infrastructure and their historical business relationships. Trace the flow of funds from Blue Owl's limited partners to the project on the ground.
  3. **The Personnel Trail:** Monitor hiring at Crusoe and Oracle for personnel with backgrounds in the Department of Defense, the Intelligence Community, or advanced biodefense programs.

Project Stargate represents a paradigm shift in the nature of public-private infrastructure development. It is a test case for whether a project of immense national and strategic importance can be constructed at "lightning speed" by circumventing the very oversight mechanisms designed to protect the public interest and national security. The evidence suggests that, thus far, it has succeeded. The time to demand transparency and accountability is now, before the gates of this new infrastructure close for good.

Works cited

1. Stargate's first data center is underway in Texas. Public filings show ..., accessed on July 11, 2025, https://energynewsbeat.co/stargates-first-data-center-is-underway-in-texas-public-filings-show-how-much-it-will-cost-to-build/
2. ABILENE DC 1, LLC - LEI: 254900U5U9ORERQFL813 - LEI Register, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.leinumber.com/leicert/254900U5U9ORERQFL813/
3. New Data Center Firm Led By Industry Vets Helps Secure $11.6B Financing Deal For Stargate Project - Bisnow, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.bisnow.com/national/news/data-center/primary-digital-infrastructure-emerges-as-key-player-in-texas-stargate-data-center-campus-129519
4. News | First Stargate data center project lands $7.1 billion ... - CoStar, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.costar.com/article/1387166843/first-stargate-data-center-project-lands-71-billion-construction-loan
5. Crusoe, Blue Owl Capital, and Primary Digital Infrastructure Enter Second Phase

of $15 Billion Joint Venture to Fund AI Data Center in Abilene, Texas, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://crusoe.ai/newsroom/crusoe-blue-owl-capital-and-primary-digital-infrastructure-enter-joint-venture/

6. Stargate LLC - Wikipedia, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stargate_LLC

7. Trump's AI deal fueling early cancer detection: Oracle's Larry Ellison ..., accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.foxbusiness.com/markets/trumps-ai-deal-fueling-early-cancer-detection-oracles-larry-ellison

8. Life Sciences Solutions | Oracle, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.oracle.com/life-sciences/

9. Project Coordinator @ Crusoe | Climate Draft Job Board, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://jobs.climatedraft.org/companies/crusoe-2/jobs/53673900-project-coordinator

10. Project Coordinator - Crusoe Energy Systems | Built In Colorado, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.builtincolorado.com/job/project-coordinator/6562261

11. Stargate's $500B bet could force data-center and grid rethink, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.rdworldonline.com/stargates-500b-bet-could-force-data-center-and-1-2-gw-grid-rethink/

12. Crusoe Introduces Crusoe Spark: Modular AI Data Centers for Scalable Edge Computing, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://crusoe.ai/newsroom/crusoe-introduces-crusoe-spark-modular-ai-data-centers/

13. 2025-06-11 - Agenda - City of Abilene, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.abilenetx.gov/DocumentCenter/View/36331/2025-06-11---Agenda

14. DCOA Reports & Meetings - Development Corporation of Abilene, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://developabilene.com/about-abilene-dcoa/meeting-minutes-and-agendas/

15. MGX Fund Management Limited - Wikipedia, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MGX_Fund_Management_Limited

16. CFIUS Announces Fast Track Pilot Program for Certain Investors - Akin Gump, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.akingump.com/en/insights/alerts/cfius-announces-fast-track-pilot-program-for-certain-investors

17. Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS) - Congress.gov, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.congress.gov/crs_external_products/IF/PDF/IF10177/IF10177.37.pdf

18. What Just Happened: Trump's Announcement of the Stargate AI Infrastructure Project, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.justsecurity.org/106688/what-happened-trumps-announcement-stargate-ai-project/

19. Trump Holds First News Conference - Rev, accessed on July 11, 2025,

https://www.rev.com/transcripts/trump-holds-first-news-conference
20. Sam Altman, Larry Ellison Tell President How AI Will Solve Cancer, Other Diseases, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dTzYscoHo-w
21. The Stargate Project: A $500B AI-Driven Data Center Investment in Texas - Rack Solutions, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.racksolutions.com/news/blog/stargate-project-data-center/
22. Stargate AI: The World's Largest Artificial Intelligence Project | by Yousef Alkhanafseh | TurkNet Technology | Jun, 2025, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://medium.com/turknettech/stargate-ai-the-worlds-largest-artificial-intelligence-project-bf604f960d00
23. Entity Name List - SunBiz - Division of Corporations, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://search.sunbiz.org/Inquiry/corporationsearch/SearchResults?InquiryType=EntityName&inquiryDirectionType=ForwardList&searchNameOrder=STARGATE%20J183940&SearchTerm=STARGAMING%20CORP.&entityId=J18394&listNameOrder=STARGAMING%20L060000301980
24. Entity Name List - Search for Corporations, Limited Liability Companies, Limited Partnerships, and Trademarks by Name, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://search.sunbiz.org/Inquiry/corporationsearch/SearchResults?inquiryType=EntityName&searchTerm=STARGATE%20RECORDS,%20INC.
25. Data Centers in Texas - Texas Comptroller, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://comptroller.texas.gov/taxes/data-centers/data-center-lists.php
26. ABILENE DC 1, LLC - LEI: 254900U5U9ORERQFL813, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://lei.bloomberg.com/leis/view/254900U5U9ORERQFL813
27. Trump's $500 Billion AI Deal Includes Funding by UAE Royal Family Linked to Astonishing Number of Scandals, Including Human Torture - Futurism, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://futurism.com/the-byte/trump-ai-stargate-uae-human-rights
28. Crusoe's $400M AMD Deal: A Game-Changer for AI Data Centers, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.datacenters.com/news/crusoe-s-400m-amd-deal-a-game-changer-for-ai-data-centers
29. Crusoe Business Breakdown & Founding Story - Contrary Research, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://research.contrary.com/company/crusoe
30. Blue Owl Capital: A Steady Hand in AI's Infrastructure Surge - AInvest, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.ainvest.com/news/blue-owl-capital-steady-hand-ai-infrastructure-surge-2507/
31. Blue Owl Closes $7B Fund for Data Centers and Digital Infrastructure, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://commercialobserver.com/2025/05/blue-owl-closes-7b-fund-data-centers-infrastructure/
32. Blue Owl Digital Infrastructure Fund III: Performance | PitchBook, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://pitchbook.com/profiles/fund/21314-26F
33. Primary Digital Infrastructure - 2025 Company Profile - Tracxn, accessed on July 11, 2025,

https://tracxn.com/d/companies/primary-digital-infrastructure/__P4k0uonYTaJ0uTjlN6yaf-njk0Q2Jxbxlf9-vnvfgE4

34. Primary Digital Infrastructure Appoints Industry Veterans to Drive Growth to Meet Surging Demand for AI Data Center Development - PRWeb, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.prweb.com/releases/primary-digital-infrastructure-appoints-industry-veterans-to-drive-growth-to-meet-surging-demand-for-ai-data-center-development-302502005.html

35. Oracle Makes $40B Deal with NVIDIA for AI Data Center in Texas ..., accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.dbta.com/Editorial/News-Flashes/Oracle-Makes-40B-Deal-with-NVIDIA-for-AI-Data-Center-in-Texas-169743.aspx

36. Crusoe to Build Initial 200 MW AI Data Center With Plans to Expand at 1.2 GW Lancium Clean Campus, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://crusoe.ai/newsroom/crusoe-200mw-ai-data-center/

37. Stargate Artificial Intelligence Data Center Under Way in Texas : CEG, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.constructionequipmentguide.com/stargate-artificial-intelligence-data-center-under-way-in-texas/67171

38. Crusoe Abilene - Building 7 - Data Center Map, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.datacentermap.com/usa/texas/abilene/crusoe-abilene-building-7/

39. Oracle, OpenAI expand Stargate deal for more US data centers - POLITICO Pro, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://subscriber.politicopro.com/article/eenews/2025/07/07/oracle-openai-expand-stargate-deal-for-more-us-data-centers-00438965

40. Crusoe Expands AI Data Center Campus in Abilene to 1.2 Gigawatts - Lancium, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://lancium.com/2025/03/18/crusoe-expands-ai-data-center-campus-in-abilene-to-1-2-gigawatts/

41. The Development Corporation of Abilene, City of Abilene, and Taylor County Announce Expanded Development within Lancium's Clean Campus through Crusoe's 998000 Square Foot Data Center Project, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://developabilene.com/2024/11/19/the-development-corporation-of-abilene-city-of-abilene-and-taylor-county-announce-expanded-development-within-lanciums-clean-campus-through-crusoes-998000-square-foot-data-center/

42. Data Centers - Crusoe, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://crusoe.ai/datacenter/

43. Crusoe Energy AI Data Center on Lancium Clean... | DPR Construction, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.dpr.com/projects/crusoe-energy-ai-data-center-on-lancium-clean-campus

44. Crusoe, Blue Owl Capital and Primary Digital Infrastructure Enter $3.4 billion Joint Venture for AI Data Center Development - Business Wire, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20241015910376/en/Crusoe-Blue-Owl-Capital-and-Primary-Digital-Infrastructure-Enter-%243.4-billion-Joint-Venture-f

or-AI-Data-Center-Development
45. The Development Corporation of Abilene, City of Abilene, and Taylor County Announce Expansion on the Lancium Clean Campus with Project Radiance, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://developabilene.com/2025/03/18/the-development-corporation-of-abilene-city-of-abilene-and-taylor-county-announce-expansion-on-the-lancium-clean-campus-with-project-radiance/
46. Oracle reportedly plans $40B of Nvidia GPUs for OpenAI DC - The Register, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.theregister.com/2025/05/27/oracle_openai_40b/
47. Oracle to Buy $40 Billion Worth of Nvidia Chips for First Stargate Data Center, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.pymnts.com/artificial-intelligence-2/2025/oracle-to-buy-40-billion-worth-of-nvidia-chips-for-first-stargate-data-center/
48. OpenAI's Stargate secured $11.6 billion for a massive data center - The Decoder, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://the-decoder.com/openais-stargate-secures-11-6-billion-dollars-for-mega-data-center/
49. Lancium Gigawatt AI Data Center Campuses, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://lancium.com/locations/
50. View Pending Water Right Applications - Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.tceq.texas.gov/permitting/water_rights/wr-permitting/view-wr-pend-apps
51. Water Permit Search - Texas Water Data Hub, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://txwaterdatahub.org/application/water-permit-search
52. Steven Piper This email is being sent to electronically transmit an official document issued by the Office of Air of the Texas C, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.tceq.texas.gov/assets/public/permitting/air/reports/applications/37589-ac.pdf
53. Larry Ellison's MRNA Vaccine Cancer Breakthrough Gets Mixed Reviews - YouTube, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fF5_oCIKhAw&pp=0gcJCfwAo7VqN5tD
54. Clinical Trials - Oracle, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.oracle.com/life-sciences/clinical-trials/
55. Oracle's Impact in Life Sciences: Empowering Pharmaceutical IT | IntuitionLabs, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://intuitionlabs.ai/articles/oracle-in-life-sciences
56. Introduction - Oracle Help Center, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://docs.oracle.com/health-sciences/opm-11/user-guide/overview.htm
57. Bridging the Gap in Precision Medicine - Oracle Help Center, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://docs.oracle.com/en/programs/research/pathology-whitepaper/F59485_01.pdf
58. Bringing the power of high-performance computing to drug discovery and healthcare - Oracle, accessed on July 11, 2025,

https://www.oracle.com/a/ocom/docs/bringing-power-high-performance-computing.pdf

59. Electrical Engineer @ Crusoe | MCJ Job Board, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://jobs.mcj.vc/companies/crusoe-2/jobs/42693474-electrical-engineer

60. Go/Golang job: Senior/Staff Software Engineer - Mining and Power at Crusoe Energy (San Francisco, California, United States) | Salary: $180k - Golangprojects, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.golangprojects.com/golang-go-job-gnj-Senior-Staff-Software-Engineer-Mining-Power-San-Francisco-Crusoe-Energy.html

61. Jobs at Crusoe | ImpactSource, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.impactsource.ai/jobs/crusoe

62. Jobs at Crusoe - ImpactSource.ai, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.impactsource.ai/jobs/crusoe?postedSince=P7D

63. Crusoe Energy Systems - Chief of Staff - Built In Colorado, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.builtincolorado.com/job/chief-staff/2686193

64. Data Center Technician/Lead - Oracle Careers, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://careers.oracle.com/en/job/273608?utm_medium=search+engine&utm_source=google

65. Senior Manager, Detection Engineering @ Crusoe | G2 Venture Partners Job Board, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://jobs.g2vp.com/companies/crusoe-2/jobs/39419556-senior-manager-detection-engineering

66. Customer Success Engineer, Crusoe Energy Systems - App: Otta, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://app.otta.com/jobs/DGOKAtGq

67. The Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS) - Treasury Department, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/international/the-committee-on-foreign-investment-in-the-united-states-cfius

68. Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States - Latham & Watkins LLP, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.lw.com/admin/upload/SiteAttachments/CFIUS%20QA-Sept%2021.pdf

69. Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS) | Congress.gov, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/IF10177

70. Abu Dhabi's MGX signs up for $500bn Stargate project | MEED, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.meed.com/abu-dhabis-mgx-signs-up-for-500bn-stargate-project

71. CFIUS Announces Development of "Fast-Track" Pilot Program | Insights | Mayer Brown, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.mayerbrown.com/en/insights/publications/2025/05/cfius-announces-development-of-fasttrack-pilot-program

72. 5 Key Takeaways From the CFIUS 2023 Annual Report - Latham & Watkins LLP, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.lw.com/admin/upload/SiteAttachments/5-Key-Takeaways-From-the-CFIUS-2023-Annual-Report.pdf

73. CFIUS Annual Report For 2023 Highlights Increasing Focus on Compliance and Enforcement Despite Reduction in Cases, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://www.wiley.law/alert-CFIUS-Annual-Report-For-2023-Highlights-Increasing-Focus-on-Compliance-and-Enforcement

74. Crusoe, Blue Owl Capital and Primary Digital Infrastructure Enter $3.4 billion Joint Venture for AI Data Center Development - lancium, accessed on July 11, 2025, https://lancium.com/2024/10/15/crusoe-blue-owl-capital-and-primary-digital-infrastructure-enter-3-4-billion-joint-venture-for-ai-data-center-development/