
Course: FN-504-01-22WNTR: Adv Econometric & Fin Modeling

1 - This course counts towards.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
The Core	(1)	5	50.00%		
My Major	(2)	4	40.00%		
My Minor or a Certificate	(3)	0	0.00%	I	
The Core and My Major	(4)	1	10.00%		
The Core and my Minor	(5)	0	0.00%	I	
Free Elective	(6)	0	0.00%]	
Not Sure	(7)	0	0.00%		
	•			0 25 50 100	
			Res	ponse Rate	
			10/1	4 (71.43%)	

2 - For this course, I feel I need	to spend this many h	ours per wee	k on the ave	rage outside of clas	SS.			
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Respons	es	Меа	ans	
1-3	(1)	2	25.00%					
4-6	(2)	2	25.00%					
7-8	(3)	1	12.50%					
9-11	(4)	1	12.50%					
12-14	(5)	0	0.00%]				
15+	(6)	2	25.00%					
				0 25 50	100			
			Res	oonse Rate				
			8/1	4 (57.14%)				

3 - For this class, I expect to receive	ve a grade of.							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Respons	ses	Me	ans	
Α	(1)	3	33.33%					
A-	(2)	2	22.22%					
B+	(3)	0	0.00%	1				
В	(4)	3	33.33%					
B-	(5)	0	0.00%	I				
C+	(6)	0	0.00%					
С	(7)	0	0.00%					
D+	(8)	0	0.00%	1				
D	(9)	1	11.11%					
F	(10)	0	0.00%	1				
	•			0 25 50	100			
			Res	oonse Rate				
			9/1	1 (64.29%)				

Course: FN-504-01-22WNTR: Adv Econometric & Fin Modeling




4 - Please rate this course and instructor	in the follo	wing areas:									
The course activities are related to the le	arning obje	ctives.									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Perc	cent	Perce	nt Respo	nses		Mea	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	30.0	00%					4.64		
Agree	(4)	2	20.0	00%				3.40			
Mixed	(3)	3	30.0	00%							
Disagree	(2)	0	0.0	0%	1						
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	20.0	00%							
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.0	0%	1						
					0 2	5 50	100	Question	University		
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Me	dian		Univ	versity	Mean	STD	Median
10/14 (71.43%)	3.40	1.51		3	.50		(936	4.64	0.69	5.00

4 - Please rate this course and instructor	in the follo	wing areas:									
The grading criteria is clear.											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Per	cent	Percen	nt Respons	es		Me	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	30.0	00%					4.63		
Agree	(4)	3	30.0	00%				3.70			
Mixed	(3)	3	30.0	00%							
Disagree	(2)	0	0.0	0%	1						
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	10.0	00%							
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.0	0%	1						
	•				0 25	50	100	Question	University		
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Me	dian		Univ	versity	Mean	STD	Median
10/14 (71.43%)	3.70	1.25		4	.00		9	936	4.63	0.68	5.00

4 - Please rate this course and instructor	in the follo	wing areas:												
The instructor is is prepared for class, or	ne instructor is is prepared for class, organized, with material presented in a logical sequence.													
Response Option														
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	20.00	0%					4.63					
Agree	(4)	4	40.00	0%				3.30						
Mixed	(3)	1	10.00	0%										
Disagree	(2)	1	10.00	0%										
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	20.00	0%										
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00)%										
					0 25	50	100	Question	University					
Response Rate	Mean	STD)	Me	dian		Uni	versity	Mean	STD	Median			
10/14 (71.43%)	10/14 (71.43%) 3.30 1.49								4.63	0.72	5.00			

Course: FN-504-01-22WNTR: Adv Econometric & Fin Modeling




4 - Please rate this course and instructor	in the follo	wing areas:									
This course is challenging.											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Per	cent	Perce	nt Respons	es		Me	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	8	80.	00%				4.70	4.46		
Agree	(4)	1	10.	00%							
Mixed	(3)	1	10.	00%							
Disagree	(2)	0	0.0	00%	1						
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.0	00%	1						
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.0	00%							
	•				0 2	5 50	100	Question	University		
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Me	dian		Univ	ersity	Mean	STD	Median
10/14 (71.43%)	4.70	0.67		5	.00		9	36	4.46	0.79	5.00

4 - Please rate this course and instructor	in the follo	wing areas:									
The instructor is available during posted	office hour	s or by appoi	intment								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Perc	ent Pe	rcent	t Respons	es		Ме	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	40.00	0%		1			4.62		
Agree	(4)	1	10.00	0%				3.50			
Mixed	(3)	3	30.00	0%							
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00)%							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	20.00	0%							
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00)%							
				0	25	50	100	Question	University		
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Median			Univ	versity	Mean	STD	Median
10/14 (71.43%)	3.50	1.58		3.50			(936	4.62	0.69	5.00

4 - Please rate this course and instructor	in the follo	wing areas:							
The instructor generally cares about the	students.								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Perce	ent Perce	nt Responses		Mea	ins	
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	20.00)%			4.62		
Agree	(4)	2	20.00)%		3.20			
Mixed	(3)	4	40.00)%		3.20			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00	%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	2	20.00)%					
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.00	%					
				0 2	5 50 100	Question	University		
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Median	Un	iversity	Mean	STD	Median
10/14 (71.43%)	3.20	1.40		3.00		936	4.62	0.73	5.00

Course: FN-504-01-22WNTR: Adv Econometric & Fin Modeling





4 - Please rate this course and instructor	in the follo	wing areas:									
My work is returned in a timely, construc	tive manne	r.									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Per	cent	Percer	it Respons	es		Mea	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	40.0	00%					4.62		
Agree	(4)	2	20.0	00%				3.90			
Mixed	(3)	3	30.0	00%							
Disagree	(2)	1	10.0	00%							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.0	0%	1						
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.0	0%	1						
					0 25	50	100	Question	University		
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Me	dian		Univ	ersity	Mean	STD	Median
10/14 (71.43%)	3.90	1.10		4	.00		9	936	4.62	0.68	5.00


4 - Please rate this course and instructor	in the follo	wing areas:									
My grades reflect a fair evaluation by my	professor.										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Per	cent	Percen	t Respons	es		Me	ans	
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	30.0	00%					4.62		
Agree	(4)	2	20.0	00%				3.20			
Mixed	(3)	2	20.0	00%				3.20			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.0	00%							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	3	30.0	00%							
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.0	00%	1						
	•				0 25	50	100	Question	University		
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Me	dian		Univ	versity	Mean	STD	Median
10/14 (71.43%)	3.20	1.69		3	.50		ę	936	4.62	0.72	5.00


4 - Please rate this course and instructor	in the follo	wing areas:									
I would recommend this course to other	students.										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Perc	cent	Percer	nt Response	s		Mea	ıns	
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	20.0	00%					4.60		
Agree	(4)	1	10.0	00%							
Mixed	(3)	2	20.0	00%				2.70			
Disagree	(2)	2	20.0	00%							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	3	30.0	00%							
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.0	0%							
	•			•	0 25	50 1	00	Question	University		
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Med	lian	ι	Jnive	ersity	Mean	STD	Median
10/14 (71.43%)	2.70	1.57		2.	50		93	36	4.60	0.77	5.00

Course: FN-504-01-22WNTR: Adv Econometric & Fin Modeling











Course: FN-504-01-22WNTR: Adv Econometric & Fin Modeling





5 - Please rate the effectiveness of the following:												
How effectively is MULTIMEDIA (Powerpoints, films, etc.) used in this class?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Per	cent	Percent Responses		s	Means				
Very Effective	(5)	3	30.	00%					4.58			
Effective	(4)	2	20.	00%				3.60				
Mixed	(3)	3	30.	00%								
Ineffective	(2)	2	20.	00%								
Very Ineffective	(1)	0	0.0	00%	1							
Not Applicable	(0)	0	0.0	00%	1							
					0 25	50 1	00	Question	University			
Response Rate	Mean	STD		Median		Univ		versity	Mean	STD	Median	
10/14 (71.43%)	3.60	1.17	1.17		5.50	936			4.58	0.71	5.00	

5 - Please rate the effectiveness of the following:													
How effectively are INTERACTIVE DISCUSSIONS used in this class?													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	uency Percent		Percent Responses				Means				
Very Effective	(5)	2	20.0	00%						4.57			
Effective	(4)	1	10.0	00%									
Mixed	(3)	2	20.0	00%					2.78				
Ineffective	(2)	1	10.0	00%									
Very Ineffective	(1)	3	30.0	00%		l							
Not Applicable	(0)	1	10.0	00%									
					0 2	25	50 100		Question	University			
Response Rate	Mean	STD)	Ме	dian		Univ		ity	Mean	STD	Median	
10/14 (71.43%)	2.78	1.64		3	3.00			936		4.57	0.75	5.00	

Course: FN-504-01-22WNTR: Adv Econometric & Fin Modeling

Instructor: Xingxing Yang *
Response Rate: 10/14 (71.43 %)

5 - Please rate the effectiveness of the following: How effectively is EMAIL used in this class? **Response Option** Weight Frequency Percent **Percent Responses** Means Very Effective 3 30.00% 4.61 (5) 3.90 3 Effective (4) 30.00% Mixed (3)4 40.00% Ineffective 0 0.00% (2)Very Ineffective (1) 0 0.00% Not Applicable 0 (0)0.00% 25 50 100 University Response Rate Mean STD Median University Mean STD Median 10/14 (71.43%) 3.90 0.88 4.00 936 4.61 0.69 5.00

6 - What was the most valuable aspect of this course?

Response Rate 4/14 (28.57%)

- Everything
- The most valuable aspect of this course was using R. I was intrigued with coding and expected to have knowledge in using this application but the way the class turned out, it did not go well.
- Nothing, this class was extremely challenging and difficult.
- N/A

7 - What was the least valuable aspect of this course?

Response Rate 4/14 (28.57%)

- Nothing
- The least valuable aspect was that the professor is very inconsistent with his lectures. The first day he skimmed through a bunch of slides without giving a clear explanation of the material he was trying to teach us. The second meeting he started writing his lecture notes on the board and the class was still confused. We tried asking him questions because we still are not getting what he is trying to teach us but even the most simplest method of teaching, he failed to explain his material clearly to us. By the third meeting, everyone gave up trying to reason with him. He vaguely taught us how to use R. I think R is a valuable program to use but he failed to teach us the concept and the basic use of it. I do not understand how someone can give an assignment on something he went over. The class did their best to do the assignments he assigned and thankfully he changed the final to a presentation.
- I'm not sure what i was taught
- N/A

8 - How can this course be improved?

Response Rate 5/14 (35.71%)

- This was best
- begin the course with recognizing the familiarity of the topics to all students is not at the same level and adjust the material accordingly. building a strong foundation fort he students and not assuming they know what it being taught would be helpful as the course progresses.
- This course can be improved if the professor was more enthusiastic in his lectures instead of being monotonic. He also needs to level with his students to see where they are struggling and what they understand. I get most schools don't do this a lot but this institution has a reputation of instructors and students building some kind of relationship and interaction a lot. That is where the leveling down with students and understanding comes from.
- By having an instructor who knows what they are teaching.
- N/A

9 - Use this space for additional comments:

Response Rate 2/14 (14.29%)

- extremely knowledgable and a nice professor, the material in this course is extremely difficult. Grasping the basic understanding is hard, therefore I wish the delivery of the course topics and concepts were explained a bit more clearly. I feel this would have been something of greater benefit and improve the class grades
- N/A