

Problem Sheet 12

Problem 1 Three-stage Runge-Kutta method (core problem)

The most widely used class of numerical integrators for IVPs is that of *explicit* Runge-Kutta (RK) methods as defined in [1, Def. 11.4.9]. They are usually described by giving their coefficients in the form of a Butcher scheme [1, Eq. (11.4.11)].

(1a) ☹ Implement a header-only C++ class RKIntegrator

```
1  template <class State>
2  class RKIntegrator {
3  public:
4      RKIntegrator(const Eigen::MatrixXd & A,
5                  const Eigen::VectorXd & b) {
6          // TODO: given a Butcher scheme in A,b, initialize
6          //          RK method for solution of an IVP
7      }
8
9      template <class Function>
10     std::vector<State> solve(const Function &f, double T,
11                             const State & y0,
12                             unsigned int N) const {
13         // TODO: computes N uniform time steps for the ODE
13         //           $y'(t) = f(y)$  up to time T of RK method with
13         //          initial value y0 and store all steps (y_k) into
13         //          return vector
14     }
15 private:
16     template <class Function>
```


```

17 void step(const Function &f, double h,
18           const State &y0, State &y1) const {
19     // TODO: performs a single step from y0 to y1 with
20           step size h of the RK method for the IVP with rhs f
21 }
22 // TODO: hold data for RK methods
23 };

```

which implements a generic RK method given by a Butcher scheme to solve the autonomous initial value problem $\dot{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})$, $\mathbf{y}(t_0) = \mathbf{y}_0$.

HINT: See `rkintegrate_template.hpp` for more details about the implementation.

(1b)  Test your implementation of the RK methods with the following data. As autonomous initial value problem, consider the predator/prey model (cf. [1, Ex. 11.1.9]):

$$\dot{y}_1(t) = (\alpha_1 - \beta_1 y_2(t))y_1(t) \quad (39)$$

$$\dot{y}_2(t) = (\beta_2 y_1(t) - \alpha_2)y_2(t) \quad (40)$$

$$\mathbf{y}(0) = [100, 5] \quad (41)$$

with coefficients $\alpha_1 = 3$, $\alpha_2 = 2$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0.1$.

Use a Runge-Kutta single step method described by the following *Butcher scheme* (cf. [1, Def. 11.4.9]):

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
0 & 0 & & \\
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \\
\frac{2}{3} & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\
\hline
\frac{3}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{3}{4}
\end{array} \quad (42)$$

Compute an approximated solution up to time $T = 10$ for the number of steps $N = 2^j$, $j = 7, \dots, 14$.

Use, as reference solution, $\mathbf{y}(10) = [0.319465882659820, 9.730809352326228]$.


Tabulate the error and compute the experimental order of algebraic convergence of the method.

HINT: See `rk3prey_template.cpp` for more details about the implementation.

Problem 2 Order is not everything (core problem)

In [1, Section 11.3.2] we have seen that Runge-Kutta single step methods when applied to initial value problems with sufficiently smooth solutions will converge algebraically (with respect to the maximum error in the mesh points) with a rate given by their intrinsic order, see [1, Def. 11.3.21].

In this problem we perform empiric investigations of orders of convergence of several explicit Runge-Kutta single step methods. We rely on two IVPs, one of which has a perfectly smooth solution, whereas the second has a solution that is merely piecewise smooth. Thus in the second case the smoothness assumptions of the convergence theory for RK-SSMs might be violated and it is interesting to study the consequences.

(2a)  Consider the scalar autonomous ODE

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0, \quad (43)$$

where $\mathbf{f}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{y}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Using the class `RKIntegrate` of Problem 1 write a C++ function

```
1 template <class Function>
2 void errors(const Function &f, const double &T, const
   VectorXd &y0, const MatrixXd &A,
3 const VectorXd &b)
```

that computes an approximated solution \mathbf{y}_N of (43) up to time T by means of an explicit Runge-Kutta method with $N = 2^k$, $k = 1, \dots, 15$, uniform timesteps. The method is defined by the Butcher scheme described by the inputs `A` and `b`. The input `f` is an object with an evaluation operator (e.g. a lambda function) for arguments of type `const VectorXd &` representing \mathbf{f} . The input `y0` passes the initial value \mathbf{y}_0 .

For each k , the function should show the error at the final point $E_N = \|\mathbf{y}_N(T) - \mathbf{y}_{2^{15}}(T)\|$, $N = 2^k$, $k = 1, \dots, 13$, accepting $\mathbf{y}_{2^{15}}(T)$ as exact value. Assuming algebraic convergence for $E_N \approx CN^{-r}$, at each step show an approximation of the order of convergence r_k (recall that $N = 2^k$). This will be an expression involving E_N and $E_{N/2}$.


Finally, compute and show an approximate order of convergence by averaging the relevant r_N s (namely, you should take into account the cases before machine precision is reached in the components of $\mathbf{y}_N(T) - \mathbf{y}_{2^{15}}(T)$).

(2b)  Calculate the analytical solutions of the logistic ODE (see [1, Ex. 11.1.5])

$$\dot{y} = (1 - y)y, \quad y(0) = 1/2, \quad (44)$$

and of the initial value problem

$$\dot{y} = |1.1 - y| + 1, \quad y(0) = 1. \quad (45)$$

(2c)  Use the function `errors` from (2a) with the ODEs (44) and (45) and the methods:

- the explicit Euler method, a RK single step method of order 1,
- the explicit trapezoidal rule, a RK single step method of order 2,
- an RK method of order 3 given by the Butcher tableau

0			
1/2	1/2		
1	-1	2	
	1/6	2/3	1/6

- the classical RK method of order 4.

(See [1, Ex. 11.4.13] for details.) Set $T = 0.1$.

Comment the calculated order of convergence for the different methods and the two different ODEs.

Problem 3 Integrating ODEs using the Taylor expansion method

In [1, Chapter 11] of the course we studied single step methods for the integration of initial value problems for ordinary differential equations $\dot{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})$, [1, Def. 11.3.5]. Explicit single step methods have the advantage that they only rely on point evaluations of the right hand side \mathbf{f} .

This problem examines another class of methods that is obtained by the following reasoning: if the right hand side $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of an autonomous initial value problem

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0, \quad (46)$$

with solution $\mathbf{y} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is smooth, also the solution $\mathbf{y}(t)$ will be regular and it is possible to expand it into a Taylor sum at $t = 0$, see [1, Thm. 2.2.15],


$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{\mathbf{y}^{(n)}(0)}{n!} t^n + R_m(t) , \quad (47)$$

with remainder term $R_m(t) = O(t^{m+1})$ for $t \rightarrow 0$.


A single step method for the numerical integration of (46) can be obtained by choosing $m = 3$ in (47), neglecting the remainder term, and taking the remaining sum as an approximation of $\mathbf{y}(h)$, that is,

$$\mathbf{y}(h) \approx \mathbf{y}_1 := \mathbf{y}(0) + \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt}(0)h + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\mathbf{y}}{dt^2}(0)h^2 + \frac{1}{6} \frac{d^3\mathbf{y}}{dt^3}(0)h^3 .$$

Subsequently, one uses the ODE and the initial condition to replace the temporal derivatives $\frac{d^l\mathbf{y}}{dt^l}$ with expressions in terms of (derivatives of) \mathbf{f} . This yields a single step integration method called *Taylor (expansion) method*.

(3a)  Express $\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt}(t)$ and $\frac{d^2\mathbf{y}}{dt^2}(t)$ in terms of \mathbf{f} and its Jacobian \mathbf{Df} .

HINT: Apply the chain rule, see [1, § 2.4.5], then use the ODE (46).

(3b)  Verify the formula

$$\frac{d^3\mathbf{y}}{dt^3}(0) = \mathbf{D}^2\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}_0)(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}_0), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}_0)) + \mathbf{Df}(\mathbf{y}_0)^2\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}_0) . \quad (48)$$


HINT: this time we have to apply both the product rule [1, (2.4.9)] and chain rule [1, (2.4.8)] to the expression derived in the previous sub-problem.

To gain confidence, it is advisable to consider the scalar case $d = 1$ first, where $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real valued function.

Relevant for the case $d > 1$ is the fact that the first derivative of \mathbf{f} is a linear mapping $\mathbf{Df}(\mathbf{y}_0) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. This linear mapping is applied by multiplying the argument with the Jacobian of \mathbf{f} . Similarly, the second derivative is a *bilinear* mapping $\mathbf{D}^2\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}_0) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. The i -th component of $\mathbf{D}^2\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}_0)(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$ is given by

$$\mathbf{D}^2\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}_0)(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})_i = \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{Hf}_i(\mathbf{y}_0) \mathbf{v} ,$$

where $\mathbf{Hf}_i(\mathbf{y}_0)$ is the Hessian of the i -th component of \mathbf{f} evaluated at \mathbf{y}_0 .


(3c)  We now apply the Taylor expansion method introduced above to the *predator-prey* model (39) introduced in Problem 1 and [1, Ex. 11.1.9].

To that end write a header-only C++ class `TaylorIntegrator` for the integration of the autonomous ODE of (39) using the Taylor expansion method with uniform time steps on the temporal interval $[0, 10]$.

HINT: You can copy the implementation of Problem 1 and modify only the `step` method to perform a single step of the Taylor expansion method.

HINT: Find a suitable way to pass the data for the derivatives of the r.h.s. function `f` to the `solve` function. You may modify the signature of `solve`.

HINT: See `taylorintegrator_template.hpp`.

(3d)  Experimentally determine the order of convergence of the considered Taylor expansion method when it is applied to solve (39). Study the behaviour of the error at final time $t = 10$ for the initial data $\mathbf{y}(0) = [100, 5]$.

As a reference solution use the same data as Problem 1.

HINT: See `taylorprey_template.cpp`.


(3e)  What is the disadvantage of the Taylor method compared with a Runge-Kutta method?

Problem 4 System of ODEs

Consider the following initial value problem for a second-order system of ordinary differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\ddot{u}_1 - \ddot{u}_2 &= u_1(u_2 + u_1) , \\
 -\ddot{u}_{i-1} + 2\ddot{u}_i - \ddot{u}_{i+1} &= u_i(u_{i-1} + u_{i+1}) , \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1 , \\
 2\ddot{u}_n - \ddot{u}_{n-1} &= u_n(u_n + u_{n-1}) , \\
 u_i(0) &= u_{0,i} \quad i = 1, \dots, n , \\
 \dot{u}_i(0) &= v_{0,i} \quad i = 1, \dots, n ,
 \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

in the time interval $[0, T]$.

(4a)  Write (49) as a first order IVP of the form $\dot{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y})$, $\mathbf{y}(0) = \mathbf{y}_0$ (see [1, Rem. 11.1.23]).

(4b)  Apply the function `errors` constructed in Problem 2 to the IVP obtained in the previous subproblem. Use

$$n = 5, \quad u_{0,i} = i/n, \quad v_{0,i} = -1, \quad T = 1,$$

and the classical RK method of order 4. Construct any sparse matrix encountered as a sparse matrix in EIGEN. Comment the order of convergence observed.

Issue date: 03.12.2015

Hand-in: 10.12.2015 (in the boxes in front of HG G 53/54).

Version compiled on: December 6, 2015 (v. 1.0).

References

- [1] R. Hiptmair. *Lecture slides for course "Numerical Methods for CSE"*.
<http://www.sam.math.ethz.ch/~hiptmair/tmp/NumCSE/NumCSE15.pdf>. 2015.