
DATA SCIENCE CAPSTONE PROJECT

Shipali

Date: 30-07-2022



OUTLINE



- Executive Summary
- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Conclusion
- Appendix

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



- Methodologies: We will first begin with data collection through web scrapping, followed by data processing to clean the data, then we will proceed to data visualization as well as creating our model to predict if the Falcon 9 first stage will land successfully.
- Key results:
- (i) Positive relationship between payload mass and success rate of landing
- (ii) Most of unsuccessful launches with payload mass under 7000 kg
- (iii) KSC LC 39A performs well for payload mass under 5000 kg
- (iv) CCAFS SLC 40 performs well for payload mass over 13000 kg

INTRODUCTION



- The commercial space age is here, companies are making space travel affordable for everyone. The most successful is SpaceX.
- SpaceX advertises Falcon 9 rocket launches on its website with a cost of 62 million dollars; much of the savings is because SpaceX can reuse the first stage.
- If we can determine if the first stage will land, we can determine the cost of a launch.

METHODOLOGY



- Data collection methodology:
 - Used the API to extract information using identification numbers in the launch data.
- Perform data wrangling
 - · Dealing with missing values.
- Perform Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) using visualization and SQL
- Perform Interactive Visual Analytics using Folium and Plotly Dash
- Perform predictive analysis using classification models
 - Split into training and test data, tune, evaluate classification models

METHODOLO GY

DATA COLLECTION

- Collected and made sure the data is in the correct format from an SpaceX API.
- Pandas: To collect and manipulate data in JSON and HTML and then data analysis
- requests : Handle http requests
- matplotlib : Detailing the generated maps
- folium : Generating maps of London and Paris
- sklearn: To import Kmeans which is the machine learning model that we are using

DATA COLLECTION – SPACEX API

https://github.com/Kalyan-A/CapstoneProject/blob/16 1a9cb75ab8d2bfff6bb01c6f04146a267121ab/Complete% 20the%20Data%20Collection%20API%20Lab.ipynb



DATA COLLECTION – WEB SCRAPING

https://github.com/Kalyan-A/CapstoneProject/blob/161a9cb75ab8d2bfff
 6bb01c6f04146a267121ab/Complete%20the%20Data%20Collection%20with
 h%20Web%20Scraping%20lab.ipynb

DATA WRANGLING

- There are some missing values in the column of 'PayloadMass' and 'LandingPad', we have to deal with these missing values. For 'LandingPad', the missing values will retain none to represent when landing pads were not used. For 'PayloadMass', we will replace the missing values with the mean.
- https://github.com/Kalyan-A/CapstoneProject/blob/161a9cb75ab8d2bfff6bb01c6 f04146a267121ab/Complete%20the%20Data%20Collection%20API%20Lab.ipynb

EDA WITH DATA VISUALIZATION

https://github.com/Kalyan-A/CapstoneProject/blob/161a9cb75ab8d2bfff6bb01c6f04 146a267121ab/Complete%20the%20EDA%20with%20Visualization%20lab.ipynb

EDA WITH SQL

https://github.com/Kalyan-A/CapstoneProject/blob/161a9cb75ab8d2bfff6bb01c6f0414 6a267121ab/Complete%20the%20EDA%20with%20SQL%20lab.ipynb

BUILD AN INTERACTIVE MAP WITH FOLIUM

https://github.com/Kalyan-A/CapstoneProject/blob/161a9cb75ab8d2bfff6bb01c6f04146 a267121ab/Interactive%20Visual%20Analytics%20with%20Folium%20lab.ipynb


BUILD A DASHBOARD WITH PLOTLY DASH

 Add the GitHub URL of your completed Plotly Dash lab, as an external reference and peer-review purpose

PREDICTIVE ANALYSIS (CLASSIFICATION)

https://github.com/Kalyan-A/CapstoneProject/blob/161a9cb75ab8d2bfff6bb01c6f04146 a267121ab/Complete%20the%20Machine%20Learning%20Prediction%20lab.ipynb


RESULTS



- Exploratory data analysis results
- Interactive analytics demo in screenshots
- Predictive analysis results


EDA WITH VISUALIZATION

FLIGHT NUMBER VS. LAUNCH SITE



PAYLOAD VS. LAUNCH SITE

scatter plot of Payload vs. Launch Site




SUCCESS RATE VS. ORBIT TYPE

barchart for the success rate of each orbit type




FLIGHT NUMBER VS. ORBIT TYPE

scatter point of Flight number vs. Orbit type




PAYLOAD VS. ORBIT TYPE

scatter point of payload vs. orbit type



LAUNCH SUCCESS YEARLY TREND

line chart of yearly average success rate



EDA WITH SQL

ALL LAUNCH SITE NAMES

%sql select DISTINCT Launch_Site from SPACEXTBL



LAUNCH SITE NAMES BEGIN WITH 'CCA'

%%sql
SELECT Launch_Site from SPACEXTBL
WHERE Launch_Site LIKE 'CCA%'
LIMIT 5

TOTAL PAYLOAD MASS

%%sql
 SELECT SUM(PAYLOAD_MASS___KG_) from SPACEXTBL
 WHERE Customer='NASA (CRS)';

AVERAGE PAYLOAD MASS BY F9 V1.1

%%sql
 SELECT AVG(PAYLOAD_MASS___KG_) from SPACEXTBL
 WHERE Booster_Version='F9 v1.1';

FIRST SUCCESSFUL GROUND LANDING DATE

• %%sql SELECT MIN(DATE) from SPACEXTBL
WHERE Landing Outcome='Success (ground pad)';

SUCCESSFUL DRONE SHIP LANDING WITH PAYLOAD BETWEEN 4000 AND 6000

%%sql SELECT Booster_Version, PAYLOAD_MASS___KG_ from SPACEXTBL WHERE Landing__Outcome= 'Success (drone ship)' AND PAYLOAD_MASS__KG_ BETWEEN 4000 AND 6000;

TOTAL NUMBER OF SUCCESSFUL AND FAILURE MISSION OUTCOMES

%%sql SELECT COUNT(Mission_Outcome) from SPACEXTBL
 WHERE Mission_Outcome LIKE 'Success%'

BOOSTERS CARRIED MAXIMUM PAYLOAD

%%sql SELECT SUM(PAYLOAD_MASS___KG_) FROM SPACEXTBL WHERE Booster_Version= 'F9 v1.1'



2015 LAUNCH RECORDS

%%sql SELECT Month(DATE),Landing__Outcome,Booster_Version,Launch_Sitefrom SPACEXTBL

WHERE Year(DATE)=2015 AND Landing__Outcome= 'Failure (drone ship)';

RANK SUCCESS COUNT BETWEEN 2010-06-04 AND 2017-03-20

EDA with SQL results

Task 10

Rank the count of successful landing_outcomes between the date 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20 in descending order.


[22]: %%sql
SELECT landing_outcome, Count(*) AS OUTCOME_COUNT
FROM SPACEXDATASET WHERE DATE BETWEEN '2010-06-04' AND '2017-03-20' AND UPPER(landing_outcome) LIKE 'SUCCESS%'
GROUP BY landing_outcome
ORDER BY OUTCOME COUNT DESC

* ibm_db_sa://xmk21217:***@ba99a9e6-d59e-4883-8fc0-d6a8c9f7a08f.clogj3sd0tgtu0lqde00.databases.appdomain.cloud:31321/bludb
Done.

t1221:

landing_outcome	outcome_count
Success (drone ship)	5
Success (ground pad)	3







PREDICTIVE ANALYSIS (CLASSIFICATION)

Machine Learning - Logistic Regression


Calculate the accuracy on the test data using the method score:

In [14]: logreg_cv.score(X,Y)

Out[14]: 0.866666666666667

Lets look at the confusion matrix:

In [15]: yhat=logreg_cv.predict(X_test)
 plot_confusion_matrix(Y_test,yhat)



Machine Learning - GridSearch


Calculate the accuracy on the test data using the method score:

```
In [19]: svm_cv.score(X,Y)
```

Out[19]: 0.877777777777778

We can plot the confusion matrix

In [20]: yhat=svm_cv.predict(X_test)
 plot_confusion_matrix(Y_test,yhat)




Machine Learning - Decision Tree

Calculate the accuracy of tree_cv on the test data using the method score:

```
In [24]: tree_cv.score(X,Y)
Out[24]: 0.95555555555556
```

We can plot the confusion matrix

```
In [25]: yhat = svm_cv.predict(X_test)
    plot_confusion_matrix(Y_test,yhat)
```



Machine Learning - KNN


Calculate the accuracy of tree_cv on the test data using the method score:

In [29]: knn_cv.score(X,Y)

Out[29]: 0.855555555555555

We can plot the confusion matrix

In [30]: yhat = knn_cv.predict(X_test)
 plot_confusion_matrix(Y_test,yhat)







- THE PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT IS TO DEVELOP A MODEL WHICH CAN PREDICT THE FIRST STAGE LANDING RESULT AND ALSO IDENTIFY THE RELATIONSHIPS AMONG THE FEATURES.
- BASED ON THE RESULTS, WE FOUND THAT THE DECISON TREE HAS THE
- HIGHEST ACCURACY IN PREDICTING THE LANDING RESULTS.
- Apart from this, we also identify the following results based on the data analysis, (I) Positive relationship between payload mass and success rate of landing, (II) Most of unsuccessful launches with payload mass under 7000 kg, (III) KSC LC 39A performs well for payload mass under 5000 kg, (IV) CCAFS SLC 40 performs well for payload mass over 13000