

COMP9334

Capacity Planning for Computer Systems and Networks

Week 4A: Non-markovian queueing
models. Processor sharing.

Week 3A: Queues with Poisson arrivals (1)

- Single-server M/M/1




- By using a Markov chain, we can show that the mean response time is:

$$= \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda}$$

Week 3A: Queues with Poisson arrivals (2)

- Multi-server M/M/m




- By using Markov chain, we know the mean response time is

$$T = \frac{C(\rho, m)}{m\mu(1 - \rho)} + \frac{1}{\mu} \quad \rho = \frac{\lambda}{m\mu} \quad C(\rho, m) = \frac{\frac{(m\rho)^m}{m!}}{(1 - \rho) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(m\rho)^k}{k!} + \frac{(m\rho)^m}{m!}}$$

Week 3B: Closed-queueing networks

- Analyse closed-queueing network with Markov chain
 - The transition between states is caused by an arrival or a departure according to exponential distribution




- General procedure
 - Identify the states
 - Find the state transition rates
 - Set up the balance equations
 - Solve for the steady state probabilities
 - Find the response time etc.

This lecture: Road Map


- Single-server queues
 - What if the arrival rate and/or the service rate is not exponentially distributed
- Multi-server queues
 - What if the arrival rate and/or the service rate is not exponentially distributed
- Processor sharing

General single-server queues



- Need to specify the
 - Inter-arrival time probability distribution
 - Service time probability distribution
- Independence assumptions
 - All inter-arrival times are independent
 - All service times are independent
 - The amount of service of customer A needs is independent of the amount of time customer B needs
 - The inter-arrival time and service time are independent of each other
- Under the independence assumption, we can analyse a number of types of single server queues
 - Without the independence assumption, queueing problems are very difficult to solve!

Classification of single-server queues



- Recall Kendall's notation: "M/M/1" means
 - "M" in the 1st place means inter-arrival time is exponentially distributed
 - "M" in the 2nd place means service time probability is exponentially distributed
 - "1" in 3rd position means 1 server
- We use a "G" to denote a general probability distribution
 - Meaning any probability distribution
- Classification of single-server queues:

		Service time Distribution:	
		Exponential	General
Inter-arrival time distribution:	Exponential	M/M/1	M/G/1
	General	G/M/1	G/G/1

Example M/G/1 queue problem

- Consider an e-mailer server
- E-mails arrive at the mail server with a Poisson distribution with mean arrival rate of 1.2 messages/s
- The service time distribution of the emails are:
 - 30% of messages processed in 0.1 s, 50% in 0.3 s, 20% in 2 s
- What is
 - Average waiting time for a message?
 - Average response time for a message?
 - Average number of messages in the mail system?
- This is an M/G/1 queue problem
 - Arrival is Poisson
 - Service time is not exponential
- In order to solve an M/G/1 queue, we need to understand what the **moment of a probability distribution** is.

Revision: moment of a probability distribution (1)

- Consider a discrete probability distribution
 - There are n possible outcomes: x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n
 - The probability that x_i occurs is p_i
- Example: For a fair die
 - The possible outcomes are $1, 2, \dots, 6$
 - The probability that each outcome occurs is $1/6$
- The first moment (also known as the mean or expected value) is

$$E[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i p_i$$

- For a fair die, the first moment is
 $= 1 * 1/6 + 2 * 1/6 + \dots + 6 * 1/6 = 3.5$

Revision: moment of a probability distribution (2)

- The second moment of a discrete probability distribution is

$$E[X^2] = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 p_i$$

- For a fair die, the second moment is
 $= 1^2 * 1/6 + 2^2 * 1/6 + \dots + 6^2 * 1/6$
- You can prove that
 - Second moment of $X = (E[X])^2 + \text{Variance of } X$
- Note: The above definitions are for discrete probability distribution. We will look at continuous probability distribution a moment later

Solution to M/G/1 queue

- M/G/1 analysis is still tractable
- M/G/1 is no longer a Markov chain
- For a M/G/1 queue with the characteristics
 - Arrival is Poisson with rate λ
 - Service time S has
 - Mean = $1/\mu = E[S]$ = First moment
 - Second moment = $E[S^2]$
- The mean waiting time W of a M/G/1 queue is given by the Pollaczek-Khinchin (P-K) formula:

$$W = \frac{\lambda E[S^2]}{2(1 - \rho)} \quad \text{where} \quad \rho = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$$

Back to our example queueing problem (1)

- Consider an e-mailer server
- E-mails arrive at the mail server with a Poisson distribution with mean arrival rate of 1.2 messages/s
- The service time distribution of the emails are:
 - 30% of messages processed in 0.1 s, 50% in 0.3 s, 20% in 2 s
- *Exercise:* In order to find the mean waiting time using the P-K formula, we need to know
 - Mean arrival rate,
 - Mean service time, and,
 - Second moment of service time.
- Can you find them?

Back to our example queueing problem (2)

- Consider an e-mailer server
- E-mails arrive at the mail server with a Poisson distribution with mean arrival rate of 1.2 messages/s
- The service time distribution of the emails are:
 - 30% of messages processed in 0.1 s, 50% in 0.3 s, 20% in 2 s
- Solution
 - Mean arrival rate = 1.2 messages/s
 - Mean service time
 $= 0.1 \cdot 0.3 + 0.3 \cdot 0.5 + 2 \cdot 0.2 = 0.58\text{s}$
 - Second moment of the service time
 $= 0.1^2 \cdot 0.3 + 0.3^2 \cdot 0.5 + 2^2 \cdot 0.2 = 0.848 \text{ s}^2$
- You now have everything you need to compute the mean waiting time using the P-K formula

Back to our example queueing problem (3)

- Since
 - Mean arrival rate $\lambda = 1.2$ messages/s
 - Mean service time ($E[S]$ or $1 / \mu$) = 0.58s
 - Second moment of mean service time $E[S^2] = 0.848 \text{ s}^2$
- Utilisation $\rho = \lambda / \mu = \lambda E[S] = 1.2 * 0.58 = 0.696$
- Substituting these values in the P-K formula

$$W = \frac{\lambda E[S^2]}{2(1 - \rho)} \quad W = 1.673\text{s.}$$

- How about:
 - Average response time for a message
 - Average number of messages in the mail system

Back to our example queueing problem (4)

Since the mean waiting time $W = 1.673\text{s}$.

The mean response time T is

$$T = W + E[S] = 1.673 + 0.58 = 2.253$$

By Little's Law,

Average # messages in the system


= Throughput \times mean response time

$$= \lambda T = 1.2 * 2.253 = 2.704 \text{ messages}$$

Exercise: Can you use mean waiting time and Little's Law to determine the mean number of messages in the queue?

Understanding the P-K formula

- Since the Second moment of $S = E[S]^2 + \text{Variance of } S$
- We can write the P-K formula as
 - Meaning waiting time =

$$W = \frac{\lambda(E[S]^2 + \sigma_S^2)}{2(1 - \rho)}$$


- Smaller variance in service time \rightarrow smaller waiting time
- M/D/1 is a special case of M/G/1
 - “D” stands for deterministic: Constant service time $E[S]$ and Variance of $S = 0$
 - For the same value of ρ and $E[S]$, deterministic has the smallest mean response time

Moments for continuous probability density

- Exponential function is a continuous probability density
- If a random variable X has continuous probability density function $f(x)$, then its
 - first moment (= mean, expected value) $E[X]$ and
 - second moment $E[X^2]$are given by

$$E[X] = \int x f(x) dx$$

$$E[X^2] = \int x^2 f(x) dx$$

- If the service time S is exponential with rate μ , then
 - $E[S] = 1 / \mu$
 - $E[S^2] = 2 / \mu^2$

M/M/1 as a special case of M/G/1

- Let us apply the result of the M/G/1 queue to exponential service time
 - Let us put $E[S] = 1/\mu$ and $E[S^2] = 2/\mu^2$ in the P-K formula:

$$W = \frac{\lambda E[S^2]}{2(1 - \rho)}$$

- We get

$$W = \frac{\rho}{\mu(1 - \rho)}$$


- Which is the same as the M/M/1 queue waiting time formula that we derive in Week 3A

Remark on M/G/1

$$W = \frac{\lambda E[S^2]}{2(1 - \rho)}$$


- $\rho \rightarrow 1, W \rightarrow \infty$

Deriving the P-K formula (1)



Deriving the P-K formula (2)

- Let
 - W = Mean waiting time
 - N = Mean number of customers in the queue
 - $1/\mu$ = Mean service time
 - R = Mean residual service time
- We can prove that
 - $W = N * (1/\mu) + R$



- Applying Little's Law to the queue
 - $N = \lambda W$

Substitution

$$W = \lambda \times W \times \frac{1}{\mu} + R \Rightarrow W = \frac{R}{1 - \rho}$$

where $\rho = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$

Deriving P-K formula (3)

- We have just showed that the mean waiting time in a M/G/1 queue is

$$W = \frac{R}{1 - \rho}$$

- The P-K formula says

$$W = \frac{\lambda E[S^2]}{2(1 - \rho)}$$


- We can prove the P-K formula if we can show that the mean residual time R is

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \lambda E[S^2]$$


How residual service time changes over time?

Job index	Arrival time	Processing time required
1	2	2
2	6	4
3	8	4

Time when
each job is
being served:




Residual
service time
seen by a
customer
arriving at
time t



What is the mean residual time ...

Residual service time seen by a customer arriving at time t




Mean residual time seen by an arriving customer over time $[0,14]$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\text{Area under the curve over } [0,14]}{14} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} \times 2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \times 4^2 + \frac{1}{2} \times 4^2}{14} \end{aligned}$$

Service time!

In general

Residual service time seen by a customer arriving at time t



Assuming M jobs are completed in time T

Mean residual time

$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^M \frac{1}{2} S_i^2}{T} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^M S_i^2}{M} \frac{M}{T} = \frac{1}{2} E[S^2] \lambda$$

The P-K formula

- Thus, the mean residual time R is

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \lambda E[S^2]$$

- By substituting this into $W = \frac{R}{1 - \rho}$

- We get the P-K formula
- This derivation also shows that the waiting time is proportional to the residual service time
- The residual service time is proportional to the 2nd moment of service time

G/G/1 queue

- G/G/1 queue are harder to analyse
- Generally, we cannot find an explicit formula for the the waiting time or response time for a G/G/1 queue
- Results on G/G/1 queue include
 - Approximation results
 - Bounds on waiting time

Approximate G/G/1 waiting time

- There are many different methods to find the approximate waiting time for a G/G/1 queue
- Most of the approximation works well when the traffic is heavy, i.e. when the utilisation ρ is high
- Let
 - Mean arrival rate = λ
 - Variance of inter-arrival time = σ_a^2
 - Service time S has mean $1/\mu = E[S]$
 - Variance of service time = σ_s^2
- The approximate waiting time for a G/G/1 queue is

$$W \approx \frac{\lambda^2(\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_s^2)}{1 + \lambda^2\sigma_s^2} \frac{\lambda(E[S]^2 + \sigma_s^2)}{2(1 - \rho)} \quad \text{where } \rho = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$$

- Note: $\rho \rightarrow 1, W \rightarrow \infty$
- Large variance means large waiting time

Bounds for G/G/1 waiting time

- Let
 - Mean arrival rate = λ
 - Variance of inter-arrival time = σ_a^2
 - Service time S has mean $1/\mu = E[S]$
 - Variance of service time = σ_s^2
- A bound for the waiting time for a G/G/1 queue is

$$W \leq \frac{\lambda(\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_s^2)}{2(1 - \rho)}$$

- Note that the bound suggests that large variance means large waiting time

Approximation for G/G/m queue

- Only approximate waiting time available for G/G/m
- The waiting time is

$$W_{G/G/m} = W_{M/M/m} \frac{C_a^2 + C_s^2}{2}$$

where

$W_{M/M/m}$ = Waiting time of M/M/m queue

C_a = Coeff of variation of inter-arrival time


C_b = Coeff of variation of service time

- Coefficient of variation of a random variable X
= Standard deviation of X / mean of X

Note: Variance in arrival or service time increases queueing

Processor sharing (PS)

- We have so far assumed that the processor performs work on a first-come-first-serve basis
- However, this is not how CPUs perform tasks
- Consider an example: a CPU has a job queue with three tasks called Tasks 1, 2 and 3 in it
 - CPU works on Task 1 for a certain amount of time (called a quantum) and then returns the task to the job queue if it is not yet finished
 - CPU works on Task 2 for a quantum and returns the task to the job queue if it is not yet finished
 - CPU works on Task 3 for a quantum and returns the task to the job queue if it is not yet finished



Modelling processor sharing

- We assume the context switching time is negligible
- We assume the quantum is small compared with the length of the task, we can think about continuous processing instead of discrete processing
- In a duration of time when there are n jobs in the job queue, each job receives $1/n$ of the service

PS: Example 1


- Example 1:
 - At time 0, there are 2 jobs in the job queue
 - Job 1 still needs 5 seconds of service
 - Job 2 still needs 3 seconds of service
- Assuming no more jobs will arrive, determine the time at which the jobs will be completed

PS: Example 2

- Example 2:
 - At time 0, there are 2 jobs in the job queue
 - Job 1 still needs 5 seconds of service
 - Job 2 still needs 3 seconds of service
 - Job 3 arrives at time = 1 second and requires 4 seconds of service
 - Job 4 arrives at time = 2 second and requires 1 second of service
 - No more jobs will arrive after Job 4
- Questions:
 - Without computing the finished times for Jobs 1 and 3, are you able to tell which of these two jobs will finish first?
 - Determine the time at which the jobs will be completed

M/M/1/PS queues

- Jobs arrive according to Poisson distribution
- Exponential service time
- One processor using processor sharing
- State n = there are n jobs in the job queue
- State diagram: same as M/M/1 queue and there is a reason for that



Summary

- We have studied a few types of non-Markovian queues
 - M/G/1, G/G/1, G/G/m
- Key method to derive the M/G/1 waiting time is via the *residual service time*
- Processor sharing (PS)

References

- Recommended reading
 - Bertsekas and Gallager, “Data Networks”
 - Section 3.5 for M/G/1 queue
 - The result on G/G/1 bound is taken from Section 3.5.4
 - Processing sharing
 - Harchol-Balter Section 22.2.2