## Moodify - Emotion Detection in Music: A Multimodal Approach

Yonathan Ron Shiri Gilboa

March 2024

#### Abstract

Music is a powerful medium that can evoke a wide range of emotions in listeners. However, the perception of emotion in music is subjective and can be influenced by various factors, including lyrics, melody, and the listener's personal experiences. In this study, we present a novel approach to detect emotions in music tracks using a combination of audio features, lyrics analysis, and convolutional neural networks. We leverage the Spotify API to gather audio features, employ the Roberta-based language model SamLowe/roberta-base-go\_emotions for sentiment analysis of lyrics, and utilize a CNN model to analyze audio embedding generated from open13 model. Our dataset comprises songs from curated Spotify playlists, each representing a specific emotion. This paper details our methodology for dataset creation and presents preliminary results of our emotion detection models. Our research aims to shed light on the question of what aspects of a song contribute most to its emotional impact, whether it be the lyrics, the melody, or other factors.

#### 1 Dataset Creation

To create a diverse and representative dataset for our study, we manually selected Spotify playlists that are indicative of specific emotions, such as sadness, happiness, love, and anger. The playlists and their corresponding emotions are as follows:

For each playlist, we extracted the audio features using the Spotify API, downloaded the audio files using the YouTube API, and retrieved the lyrics using the Lyrics API (https://api.lyrics.ovh/v1/). We then processed the lyrics through the SamLowe/roberta-base-go\_emotions language model to obtain emotion labels. Additionally, we converted the audio files into waveform files using FFmpeg, which were then used as input for our CNN model.

#### 1.1 Spotify Features

The Spotify audio features extracted for each track include:

- Acousticness (float): A measure from 0.0 to 1.0 indicating the confidence that the track is acoustic.
- **Danceability** (float): A measure from 0.0 to 1.0 indicating how suitable a track is for dancing.

Emotion	Playlist ID	Playlist Name	Author
sad	6kIofAY27hecJAuDln8t53	saddest songs ever	elliefarroww
sad	6nxPNnmSE0d5WlplUsa5L3	Sad Songs	Spaak Records
sad	3p0pyQmJi6h3xUn25403WH	missing someone who passed away	HAILEY
happy	37i9dQZF1DX84kJlLdo9vT	Happy Days	Spotify
happy	0RH319xCjeU8VyTSqCF6M4	Happy songs everyone	skye
		knows	
happy	37i9dQZF1EIgG2NEOhqsD7	Feel Good Happy Mix	Spotify
in-love	6oNsYDhN95gkENsdFcAwTh	100 BEST LOVE SONGS	Juan Ceñal
		OF ALL TIME	
in-love	37i9dQZF1DX4pAtJteyweQ	Valentine's Day Love	Spotify
angry	0jbaEzUwLTOlIOp42B5pXV	loud songs to listen to	rubenrxcket
		when you are angry and	
		mad	
angry	32jdsAx2HOE3I8cDKXynlK	angry songs for angry days	morinka-us
angry	4Tdgj7NprP4Ou3qzul2WLX	Songs For anger and rage	Heilong the Dragon

Table 1: Spotify Playlists Used for Dataset Creation

- **Energy** (float): A measure from 0.0 to 1.0 representing the intensity and activity of the track.
- Instrumentalness (float): A measure indicating the likelihood that the track contains no vocals.
- Liveness (float): A measure indicating the presence of an audience in the recording.
- Loudness (float): The overall loudness of the track in decibels (dB).
- Mode (integer): Indicates the modality (major or minor) of the track.
- **Speechiness** (float): A measure indicating the presence of spoken words in the track.
- **Tempo** (float): The overall estimated tempo of the track in beats per minute (BPM).

#### 1.2 Language Model: SamLowe/roberta-base-go\_emotions

The SamLowe/roberta-base-go\_emotions language model is based on Reddit data and is designed for multi-label classification, with 28 emotion labels including 'sadness', 'neutral', 'disappointment', 'anger', 'joy', 'love', and others. For any given input text, the model outputs a probability for each label, typically using a threshold of 0.5 to determine the presence of an emotion.

#### 1.3 Audio Embeddings: OpenL3 Model

OpenL3 is an audio embedding model based on the Look, Listen, and Learn (L3) approach, which leverages deep learning techniques to learn a joint audio-visual

representation of the world. The original L3 model was trained using a large dataset of audio-visual pairs, with the aim of learning audio features that are predictive of visual content and vice versa. This training approach encourages the model to capture high-level semantic information from audio signals.

The OpenL3 model is a variant of the L3 model that focuses solely on the audio modality. It uses a convolutional neural network (CNN) architecture to process audio input and produce a fixed-size embedding vector. The CNN consists of multiple convolutional layers followed by pooling layers, which progressively extract and condense information from the audio signal.

In our study, we utilized OpenL3 to extract 512-dimensional embeddings from 20-second audio clips. These embeddings capture a rich set of audio features, including timbral, harmonic, and rhythmic characteristics, which are crucial for tasks like emotion detection in music. By leveraging the deep learning-based approach of OpenL3, we were able to obtain a compact yet informative representation of each audio clip, facilitating the classification of songs into emotional categories.

### 2 Methodology

#### 2.1 Classic Machine Learning Models

In our initial approach to emotion detection in music, we explored the use of classic machine learning models to classify songs based on their Spotify audio features. We trained and evaluated several models, including Decision Tree, K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), Gaussian Naive Bayes (GNB), Logistic Regression, and Gradient Boosting and more...

Each model was trained on a dataset consisting of songs labeled with their corresponding emotions, using features such as danceability, energy, acousticness, and tempo extracted from the Spotify API. We performed cross-validation to assess the accuracy of each model.

The results showed that the Gradient Boosting model, specifically using the Random Forest algorithm, achieved the best performance among the models tested. The accuracy of the Gradient Boosting model was measured at approximately 68.29%, indicating its effectiveness in classifying emotions based on Spotify audio features.

These findings suggest that classic machine learning models can provide a viable baseline for emotion detection in music. However, further exploration is needed to improve accuracy and explore the potential of more advanced techniques.

#### 2.2 Lyrics Features Analysis

In addition to the audio features, we explored the impact of lyrics on emotion detection. Lyrics can be a powerful indicator of a song's emotional content, as they often convey the songwriter's emotions and intentions. To analyze the lyrics, we employed the Roberta-based language model SamLowe/roberta-base-go\_emotions, which is designed for multi-label classification of emotions.

We extracted emotion-related features from the lyrics of each song and used these features as input for the same set of classic machine learning models used in the previous analysis. The goal was to evaluate whether the lyrics alone could predict the emotion of a song effectively.

The average accuracy across all models was approximately 43.85%. The best-performing model was the Support Vector Machine, with an accuracy of 50.00%. These findings suggest that while lyrics are an important aspect of a song's emotional expression, they may not be sufficient on their own to accurately predict the emotion of a song. Combining lyrics features with audio features could potentially yield better results.

#### 2.3 Combination of Audio Features and Lyrics

Building on the insights from our previous experiments, we hypothesized that a combination of Spotify audio features and lyrics features would provide a more comprehensive representation of a song's emotional content. For instance, the rhythm and tempo of a happy song might be captured well by audio features, while the emotional depth of a sad or love song might be more accurately reflected in its lyrics.

To test this hypothesis, we created a combined dataset that included both the audio features extracted from the Spotify API and the emotion-related features obtained from the lyrics using the SamLowe/roberta-base-go\_emotions language model. We then applied the same set of machine learning models to this combined dataset.

The results were promising, with a noticeable improvement in accuracy compared to using either audio features or lyrics features alone. The best-performing model was the Cross Gradient Booster, which achieved an accuracy of approximately 74.39%. Logistic Regression also performed well, with an accuracy of 71.95%.

The classification report for the Cross Gradient Booster model is as follows:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
happy	0.71	0.71	0.71	14
sad	0.71		0.77	28
angry	0.84	0.80	0.82	20
in-love	0.73	0.55		20
accuracy macro avg weighted avg	0.75 0.75	0.73 0.74	0.74 0.73 0.74	82 82 82

These results suggest that the combination of audio features and lyrics features provides a more holistic representation of a song's emotional content, leading to improved accuracy in emotion detection. This finding underscores the importance of considering multiple aspects of a song when analyzing its emotional impact.

# 2.4 Audio Embeddings and Convolutional Neural Networks

In our final experiment, we explored the use of audio embeddings as input to convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for emotion detection. Audio embeddings

provide a compact representation of the audio content, capturing its essential characteristics. We utilized the OpenL3 model, a deep learning-based audio embedding model, to extract 512-dimensional embeddings from 20-second audio clips taken from three different segments of each song.

We then fed these embeddings into two types of neural network models: a CNN and a fully connected model. The CNN consisted of three convolutional layers followed by max-pooling layers, a flattening layer, and two dense layers, with the final layer using a softmax activation function for classification. The fully connected model, on the other hand, took the mean of the embeddings as input and consisted of two dense layers with softmax activation for classification.

The models were trained to classify songs into four emotional categories: happy, sad, angry, and in-love. The classification reports for the models are as follows:

Fully Connected Model:

		7.7	£1			
	precision	recall	f1-score	support		
happy	0.53	0.72	0.61	81		
sad	0.60	0.61	0.61	93		
angry	0.82	0.40	0.53	81		
in-love	0.61	0.69	0.65	87		
accuracy			0.61	342		
macro avg	0.64	0.60	0.60	342		
weighted avg	0.64	0.61	0.60	342		
CNN Model:						
	precision	recall	f1-score	support		
happy	0.47	0.82	0.60	83		
sad	0.64	0.60	0.62	91		
angry	0.76	0.41	0.53	78		
in-love	0.70	0.54	0.61	89		

0.64

0.64

Although the results showed some improvement in emotion detection accuracy, they were not significantly better than our previous experiments with classic machine learning models and the combination of audio and lyrics features. This suggests that while audio embeddings and CNNs are powerful tools for audio analysis, further research and refinement are needed to enhance their performance in emotion detection.

0.59

0.60

0.60

0.59

0.59

341

341

341

#### 3 Conclusion

accuracy

macro avg

weighted avg

In this study, we explored the detection of emotions in music using a multimodal approach that incorporated Spotify audio features, lyrics analysis, and audio embeddings. Our experiments revealed that each modality provides valuable insights into the emotional content of music, with the combination of audio features and lyrics showing the most promise in terms of classification accuracy.

However, we encountered several challenges in this work. Collecting a sufficiently large and diverse dataset proved difficult due to API limitations and the labor-intensive nature of manual data annotation. Additionally, the subjective nature of emotions in music poses a significant challenge, as the same song can evoke different emotions in different listeners. This subjectivity is further complicated by the fact that our tagged data was derived from Spotify playlists, which may be created by individuals or automatically generated by Spotify's algorithms.

Despite these challenges, we believe that a comprehensive approach that combines melody, lyrics, and audio embeddings has the potential to provide a more accurate and nuanced understanding of emotions in music. As future work, we aim to expand our dataset, refine our models, and explore the integration of all three aspects examined in this study. By addressing the limitations and building on the insights gained, we hope to contribute to the development of more effective and emotionally intelligent music recommendation and analysis systems.

#### 4 References

#### References

- Cramer, J., Wu, H.-H., Salamon, J., and Bello, J. P. (2019). Look, Listen, and Learn More: Design Choices for Deep Audio Embeddings. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 3852–3856.
- [2] Dang, T., Shirai, K., and Khoa, N. (2009). Music Emotion Recognition Using Support Vector Machines. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Natural Language Processing and Knowledge Engineering, pages 1-4.
- [3] Schindler, (2020).Predicting theMoodMusicSongofwithDeepLearning. Towards Data Science. Available https://towardsdatascience.com/ at: predicting-the-music-mood-of-a-song-with-deep-learning-c3ac2b45229e
- [4] Lowe, S. (2021). roberta-base-go\_emotions. Hugging Face. Available at: https://huggingface.co/SamLowe/roberta-base-go\_emotions
- [5] Spotify. (2021). Spotify Web API. Available at: https://developer.spotify.com/documentation/web-api
- [6] Gomez-Cano, L. and Morisio, M. (2021). Music and Emotions: A Bibliometric Analysis of the Scientific Literature. Frontiers in Psychology. Available at: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/ articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.760060/full