# Polars vs. Dask: Analyzing Large Datasets

-Shivam Pawar

# **Polars**

# **Key Features of Polars**

- Optimized for parallel processing using multi-threading.
- Lazy evaluation: Deferred execution until results are needed.
- Uses a **columnar memory layout** for faster computation.

### **Execution Model:**

- Combines operations intelligently using query optimization.
- Executes in-memory when possible and streams data for large files.

# Dask

# **Key Features of Dask**

- Parallel processing with task graphs.
- Processes data in chunks for out-of-core computation.
- Integrates well with other Python libraries like Pandas and NumPy.

### **Execution Model:**

- Dask divides data into smaller "partitions" (chunks).
- Operations are applied on each partition, then results are combined.

# **Execution Models of Dask and Polars**

# **Dask Execution**

- Parallel Execution: Splits the data into smaller chunks (partitions).
- Operations are applied to partitions in parallel.
- Trigger: .compute() to execute the task

# **Polars Execution**

- Lazy Execution: Builds a query plan for operations and executes only when triggered.
- Combines tasks using query optimization.
- Trigger: .collect() to compute the result.

# **Pros and Cons**

## Dask

Handles distributed computation on clusters.
Integrates well with Pandas and other libraries.
Chunked processing can add overhead for small datasets.

## **Polars**

Faster execution with lazy evaluation and multi-threading.

Memory-efficient for large datasets.

Does not support distributed clusters (yet).