## **Basic Electronic Devices and Circuits**

## **FET Solution**

1.

(a) 
$$V_{GSQ} = -V_{GG} = -2 \text{ V}$$
  
(b)  $I_{DQ} = I_{DSS} \left( 1 - \frac{V_{GS}}{V_P} \right)^2 = 10 \text{ mA} \left( 1 - \frac{-2 \text{ V}}{-8 \text{ V}} \right)^2$   
 $= 10 \text{ mA} (1 - 0.25)^2 = 10 \text{ mA} (0.75)^2 = 10 \text{ mA} (0.5625)$   
 $= 5.625 \text{ mA}$   
(c)  $V_{DS} = V_{DD} - I_D R_D = 16 \text{ V} - (5.625 \text{ mA})(2 \text{ k}\Omega)$   
 $= 16 \text{ V} - 11.25 \text{ V} = 4.75 \text{ V}$ 

2.

(a) & (b) 
$$I_{DQ} = I_{DSS}(1 - V_{GS}/V_P)^2 = 8(1 + V_{GS}/6)^2 \quad \cdots (i)$$

$$V_{GS} = -I_{DQ} \quad X \quad Rs = -I_{DQ} \quad \dots \dots \quad (ii)$$

From Equations (i) & (ii)  $I_{DQ} = 2.59 \text{ mA}$  and  $V_{GS} = -2.59 \text{ V}$   $V_{DS} = 20 - 2.59(3.3+1) = 8.86 \text{ V}$ 

3. (a) 
$$I_{DQ} = I_{DSS}(1 - V_{GS}/V_P)^2 = 8(1 + V_{GS}/4)^2 - V_{GS} = 1.82 - I_{DQ} \times Rs = 1.82 - 1.5I_{DQ}$$
 .....(ii)

From Equations (i) & (ii)

$$I_{DO} = 2.414 \text{ mA}$$
 and  $V_{GS} = -1.8 \text{ V}$ 

**(b)**  $V_{DS} = 16 - 2.4(2.4 + 1.5) = 6.64 \text{ V}$ 

4.

The level of  $V_G$  is determined as follows:

$$V_G = \frac{47 \text{ k}\Omega(16 \text{ V})}{47 \text{ k}\Omega + 91 \text{ k}\Omega} = 5.44 \text{ V}$$

$$I_D = \frac{V_{DD} - V_D}{R_D}$$

$$= \frac{16 \text{ V} - 12 \text{ V}}{18 \text{ k}\Omega} = 2.22 \text{ mA}$$

with

The equation for  $V_{GS}$  is then written and the known values substituted:

$$V_{GS} = V_G - I_D R_S$$
  
 $-2 \text{ V} = 5.44 \text{ V} - (2.22 \text{ mA}) R_S$   
 $-7.44 \text{ V} = -(2.22 \text{ mA}) R_S$   
 $R_S = \frac{7.44 \text{ V}}{2.22 \text{ mA}} = 3.35 \text{ k}\Omega$ 

and

The nearest standard commercial value is 3.3 k $\Omega$ .

5.

(a) At 
$$V_{GS} = -0.5 \text{ V}$$
, 
$$g_m = g_{m0} \left[ 1 - \frac{V_{GS}}{V_P} \right] = 4 \text{ mS} \left[ 1 - \frac{-0.5 \text{ V}}{-4 \text{ V}} \right] = 3.5 \text{ mS} \quad \text{(versus 3.5 mS graphically)}$$

ntan A EET Conall Cianal Analosia

(b) & (C)

At 
$$V_{GS} = -1.5 \text{ V}$$
.

$$g_m = g_{m0} \left[ 1 - \frac{V_{GS}}{V_P} \right] = 4 \text{ mS} \left[ 1 - \frac{-1.5 \text{ V}}{-4 \text{ V}} \right] = 2.5 \text{ mS}$$

At 
$$V_{GS} = -2.5 \text{ V}$$
,

$$g_m = g_{m0} \left[ 1 - \frac{V_{GS}}{V_P} \right] = 4 \text{ mS} \left[ 1 - \frac{-2.5 \text{ V}}{-4 \text{ V}} \right] = 1.5 \text{ mS}$$

**6.** 

## Solution

(a) 
$$g_{m0} = \frac{2I_{DSS}}{|V_P|} = \frac{2(10 \text{ mA})}{8 \text{ V}} = 2.5 \text{ mS}$$
  
 $g_m = g_{m0} \left( 1 - \frac{V_{GS_D}}{V_P} \right) = 2.5 \text{ mS} \left( 1 - \frac{(-2 \text{ V})}{(-8 \text{ V})} \right) = 1.88 \text{ mS}$ 

(b) 
$$r_d = \frac{1}{y_{os}} = \frac{1}{40 \ \mu\text{S}} = 25 \ \text{k}\Omega$$

(c) 
$$Z_i = R_G = 1 \text{ M}\Omega$$

(d) 
$$Z_o = R_D || r_d = 2 k\Omega || 25 k\Omega = 1.85 k\Omega$$

(e) 
$$A_v = -g_m(R_D||r_d) = -(1.88 \text{ mS})(1.85 \text{ k}\Omega)$$
  
= -3.48

(f) 
$$A_v = -g_m R_D = -(1.88 \text{ mS})(2 \text{ k}\Omega) = -3.76$$

As demonstrated in part (f), a ratio of 25 k $\Omega$ : 2 k $\Omega$  = 12.5:1 between  $r_d$  and  $R_D$  resulted in a difference of 8% in solution.

7.

(a) 
$$g_{m0} = \frac{2I_{DSS}}{|V_P|} = \frac{2(16 \text{ mA})}{4 \text{ V}} = 8 \text{ mS}$$
  
 $g_m = g_{m0} \left( 1 - \frac{V_{GS_Q}}{V_P} \right) = 8 \text{ mS} \left( 1 - \frac{(-2.86 \text{ V})}{(-4 \text{ V})} \right) = 2.28 \text{ mS}$   
(b)  $r_d = \frac{1}{V_{OS}} = \frac{1}{25 \mu \text{ S}} = 40 \text{ k}\Omega$ 

- (c)  $Z_i = R_G = 1 \text{ M}\Omega$
- (d) With  $r_d$ .

$$Z_o = r_d \|R_S\| 1/g_m = 40 \text{ k}\Omega \|2.2 \text{ k}\Omega \|1/2.28 \text{ mS}$$
  
= 40 k\Omega \|2.2 k\Omega \|438.6 \Omega \|  
= 362.52 \Omega

revealing that  $Z_o$  is often relatively small and determined primarily by  $1/g_m$ . Without  $r_d$ :

$$Z_o = R_S ||1/g_m| = 2.2 \text{ k}\Omega ||438.6 \Omega| = 365.69 \Omega$$

revealing that  $r_d$  typically has little impact on  $Z_o$ .

(e) With r<sub>d</sub>:

$$A_{v} = \frac{g_{m}(r_{d} || R_{S})}{1 + g_{m}(r_{d} || R_{S})} = \frac{(2.28 \text{ mS})(40 \text{ k}\Omega || 2.2 \text{ k}\Omega)}{1 + (2.28 \text{ mS})(40 \text{ k}\Omega || 2.2 \text{ k}\Omega)}$$
$$\frac{(2.28 \text{ mS})(2.09 \text{ k}\Omega)}{1 + (2.28 \text{ mS})(2.09 \text{ k}\Omega)} = \frac{4.77}{1 + 4.77} = \mathbf{0.83}$$

which is less than 1 as predicted above. Without  $r_d$ :

$$A_v = \frac{g_m R_S}{1 + g_m R_S} = \frac{(2.28 \text{ mS})(2.2 \text{ k}\Omega)}{1 + (2.28 \text{ mS})(2.2 \text{ k}\Omega)}$$
$$= \frac{5.02}{1 + 5.02} = \mathbf{0.83}$$

revealing that  $r_d$  usually has little impact on the gain of the configuration.

8. After converting the voltage divider network R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub>

**To Thevenin Equivalent Circuit** 

 $R_{TH} = (10x90)/(10+90)=9 M\Omega$ 

 $V_{TH} = (18X10)/(10+90)=1.8 \text{ V}$ 

Applying KVL in input loop with V<sub>TH</sub> & R<sub>TH</sub>

$$\begin{array}{lll} 1.8 = I_G \; R_{TH} + V_{GS} + I_D \; R_S & ....... \\ I_D = I_{DSS} (1 - V_{GS} / V_P)^2 = 8 (1 + V_{GS} / 4)^2 & ....... \\ \end{array} \label{eq:loss} \mbox{(ii)}$$

From Equation (i) & (ii)  $V_{GS} = 0.1 \ V \ and \ I_D = 7.6 \ mA$ 

$$\begin{split} g_{mo} &= (2 \; I_{DSS} \, / | V_P \,) = 0.004 \; A/V \\ g_{m} &= g_{mo} \; \; \left( I_{DQ \, /} \; I_{DSS} \, \right)^{1/2} = 0.0039 \; A/V \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Av &= Vo/Vi = (-g_m \ R_D \ v_{gs})/v_{gs} \\ &= -7.8 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} Zi &= Vi/Ii = R_G = R_{TH} = 9 \text{ M}\Omega \\ Zo &= Voc/Isc = (-g_m \ R_D \ v_{gs})/(-g_m \ v_{gs}) \\ &= R_D = 2 \ K\Omega \end{split}$$