Inter caiseas de contestana prove that following are polynomial time veritiable D Independent set

ms Problem Definition:

an Independent set of a graph (n(v, E) is a subset SLV such that no two vertices in s are adjacent. The problem IND-SET asks whether there exists an independent set of size at least K.

IND-SET = SZGK> | G is an Encoding of an undirected graph in and Kisan Endoding of the integer such that on has a independent set of size > Kf.

Input: Graph a, integerk, sig av

Output: "1" is s is a independent set

Algorithm: puredo y bilovasi 1 2111 suggest

IND-SET_Verification(G,KS):

1. IR (ISKK). Print O miscopilion conscerso)

2- else El= (n.f.) in 13 (10) -11

Camberton winish: 1002) 11

 $\frac{100 \text{ V in S}}{11 \text{ (u=2v) continue}}$ - if (eff) reject=1

if (reject==1) point o else point 1.

milliano!

complexity Analysis checking 1512K -> 0(1) "Merking is any edge exists in si requires:

- O (15112) comparisons initial miles

- O(i) 100 kup for each edge in adjacence 115t cassuming efficient representation .- Total o (n?) => Polynomial Time

Hence we can say that Independent set is polynomial time veritéable. 1) lolowing:

And colouping = & 201, K>1 h is an Encoding of an undirector graph Grand Kisan Encoding of the integer such that there is a valid restex colouring of a with c, where

Input: coraph wintegerk, function ! v-&lz, --, k Output: "," if I is a valid K-colouring, "o" other wis (pyn) robultion The aux?

Algorithm:

colouring - verification (Chief).

1. " = G.V, E'= G.E] 13 9219 16

Bejeck in N ni V 309 2 it (ICN) TI, II I (N) >K) reflix U O

3. for edge cun) in Eliv. il (f(w)== f(v)) return 0. complexity Analysis: checking it colors one within range

checking edge constraints > O(161)
To tal complexity: O(1/1161)
L) polynomial time.

Hence, we can say that colouring is polynomial resitiable.

3) INFSAT:

and F is satisfiable 3.

Input: Boolean Formula F with m clauses and n variables Assignment A for in variables.

<u>Output</u>: "," if A is solisfies F, "o" otherwise.

Alg Orithm:

CNF-SAT_Verification (FA):

1. too each clause cin F Satisfied = false

for each literal l in (

- if lis satisfied under a

- satisfied = true

- break

if (satisfied == false)

sefusuo

Thrul go

complexity Analysis:

checking each clause -> O(n) checking literals in each clause - O(n) in woostage Total Time complexity: O(mn) Hence, we can say that CNF_SAT is polynomial time revisitable.