# **MAT137Y Problem Set 1**

#### Shivesh Prakash

**TOTAL POINTS** 

# 12.5 / 30

#### **QUESTION 1**

# 1 Q1-part 1-(A) 1/2

- 1 pts Wrong Submission formats: 1) didn't use the given template (e.g. submitted a long pdf file with all pages); 2) the questions were not in the correct place (pages were in the wrong order or the pages needed to be flipped) 3) missing several pages 4) adding some extra pages. If your submission is one of these cases, your submission will be deducted by 1 point. This punishment is done in Q1-part1-(A). No repeated punishments.
  - 0 pts Correct
- **0.3 pts** Use words and connectors to explain. A proof should be read as usual prose even if it has mathematical symbols.
- **0.3 pts** Explanation: Shaded part still needs explanation OR read the comment.
- $\checkmark$  1 pts Some ideas were developed, but logic in the proof fails. See posted solutions.
- **0.3 pts** There are still cases to review (or mention why you are not considering them). Definition asks to check for every element in \$\$A\$\$.
  - 0.2 pts False statement.
- **1.8 pts** Logic in the proof fails to prove the statement. See posted solutions.
- **1.5 pts** \$\$A\$\$ is a blow-up set. Make sure that you understand the definition.
  - 0 pts Not using given template
- 2 pts You picked an element in A that works. But you don't show evidence of knowing how this works.
  - 2 pts Not answered.
- 1 P and Q statements are wrong picked.

## **QUESTION 2**

# 2 Q1-part 1-(B) 2 / 2

## √ - 0 pts Correct

- **0.5 pts** You should make it clear that you are picking \*\*one\*\* \*\*arbitrary\*\* \$\$x\$\$ in the set, it is not clear from what you wrote, you should use quantifiers properly.
- **0.5 pts** You should make clear that you are choosing y according to x, you should use quantifiers properly
  - 0.5 pts Using unquantified/undefined variable
- **0.5 pts** You need to show why element \$\$y\$\$ is in the set \$\$B\$\$
- 0.5 pts The shaded part is not a proper notation/ is extra weird wording
- **0.5 pts** You did not explain logic properly in the shaded part \*\*or\*\* did not properly use words to explain what you are doing \*\*or\*\* your logic is weird
- 0 pts Wrong Question
- **2 pts** Your argument does not properly show that B is a step-down. Please refer to the solutions.
  - 0.5 pts Your choice of y does not work
  - 1 pts Click here to replace this description.

## QUESTION 3

# 3 Q1-part 2-(a) 3 / 4

- **0** pts Correct
- √ 1 pts Insufficient explanation for why \$\$A\$\$ is a blow up
- 1 pts Insufficient explanation for why \$\$A\$\$ is not a step down
- 1 pts Incorrect counter example
- 2 pts Insufficient explanation for why counter example works
  - 3 pts No counter example
  - 4 pts Statement is false, but answer says it's true
  - 4 pts Empty or wrong submission
  - 0 pts Wrong Question

- 4 pts Illegible

#### **QUESTION 4**

# 4 Q1-part 2-(b) o / 3

- 0 pts Correct
- √ 3 pts Not stating it is true
  - 1 pts No or wrong statement for negation
  - 1 pts No or inadequate explanation
  - Opts Wrong place
- 2 pts You should prove the statement for any stepdown set \$\$A\$\$, not just for an example of \$\$A\$\$.
  - 3 pts Wrong or missing submission
  - 3 pts Can't find the answer
  - 1 pts Don't have to be an integer
- **0.5 pts** Not for all \$\$y\in A\$\$, only for those

# \$\$y\$\$ that satisfies \$\$x-y>0\$\$

- 1 pts This doesn't imply \$\$y\in A\$\$.
- 0 pts not using given template
- 2 pts Cannot read
- 1 pts improper use of quantifiers
- 0.5 pts Weird notation

#### **QUESTION 5**

# 5 Q1-part 2-(c) 0 / 4

- 0 pts Correct
- √ 4 pts statement is false, please check solution

#### key

- 2 pts invalid counter example, if you take min element of A, then the blow up implication holds vacuously
- 2 pts your set is step down, you must find a
   counter example that is not blow up + not step down
- **3 pts** need counter example set A and provide corresponding proofs
  - 3 pts see comment on page, or check answer key
  - 2 pts see comment on page, or check answer key
- **0 pts** Repeated submission (You should submit once and add your partner in your group).
  - 1 pts see comment on page, or check answer key
- 0.5 pts minor error, see comment on page, or solution key
- 2 statement is false; consult answer key for correct

#### sol.tuions and proofs

#### QUESTION 6

# 6 Q1-part 2-(d) o/3

- **0 pts** Correct
- 1 pts It is not clear what is your logic in the shaded part
- 2 pts You did not argue correctly how you conclude not step down from blow up
  - 0 pts Wrong question
- 2 pts It is not really the contrapositive of b since we require A is blow up and \$\$A \subset \mathbb{Z}\$\$\$
- 2 pts You cannot provide one particular example, you are proving for all set A
- √ 3 pts You did not answer questions correctly

#### QUESTION 7

# 7 Q1-part 2-(e) 3/3

- √ 0 pts Correct
- 1 pts Correct explanation but don't have a correct detailed proof
- 2 pts Used examples for A and B instead of generic step-down sets
  - 2 pts Incorrect explanation or missing proof.
  - 3 pts Blank or irrelevant work
  - 3 pts Said the statement is False
  - **O pts** Wrong question
  - 0.5 pts Highlighted part is wrong.
  - 1 pts Error in the proof
  - 1 pts Missing explanation
  - 1 pts Highlighted part needs to be justified
  - 0 pts Not using the correct template
  - 0.5 pts Highlighted notation is incorrect
  - **0.5 pts** Small error in the proof
  - 1 pts Very difficult to read
  - I recommend that you either handwrite your solutions or use LaTeX to typeset them.

#### QUESTION 8

# 8 Q1-part 2-(f) o / 5

- 0 pts Correct
- 5 pts No Answer

# √ - 5 pts Selected "True" when "False" is the correct answer.

- 1 pts Insufficent proof form.
- 2 pts No proof of \$\$ A \cup B \$\$ is not blow up
- 0 pts Wrong Question
- 1 pts Did not prove \$\$A\$\$ and/or \$\$B\$\$ are blow ups.
- 1 pts Incorrect counter example
- **5 pts** Did not submit the correct document.
- 1 pts Incorrect explaination for \$\$A\$\$ and/or \$\$B\$\$ being blow ups
- 1 pts Insufficient proof for showing \$\$A \cup B\$\$ is not a blow up
  - **0** pts Wrong
  - 0.5 pts Wrong order of fixing variables
- **0.5 pts** No mention of the case \$\$y = x\$\$ when proving a set is blow up.
- **0.5 pts** Fixing a variable and then immediately choosing a value for it (re-defining it).
- 2 pts Incorrect proof for \$\$A \cup B\$\$ is not a blow up
  - 1 pts No counter example
- -1 pts Incorrect counter example. If \$\$A \cup B\$\$ is finite, then \$\$\exists x \in A \cup B\$\$ such that \$\$\forall y \in A \cup B,^\circ x <= y \$\$, hence it is vacuously true that \$\$A \cup B\$\$ is a blow up.
- 3 pts Incorrect counter example. If  $\$A \subset B\$$  is finite, then  $\$\cdot x \in B\$$  such that  $\$\cdot y \in A \subset B$ , hence it is vacuously true that  $\$A \subset B\$$  is a blow up.
- 1 pts Incorrect proof for \$\$A\$\$ and/or \$\$B\$\$ being a blow up
- **0.5 pts** Steps are unclear and/or do not follow a logical progression.
  - 0 pts Not using given template
- **0.5 pts** Minor error in proof of \$\$A\$\$ and/or \$\$B\$\$ being blow up.
  - 2 pts No counter example
  - 1 pts Incorrect counter example
  - **5 pts** Did not submit the question

- 1 pts Insufficient proof for \$\$A\$\$ and or \$\$B\$\$ being blow up
- 0 pts Page overflow
- 5 pts Illegible
- 0.5 pts Minor error in the proof for \$\$A \cup B\$\$ is not a blow up
  - **5 pts** No selection
- 1 pts No attempt to prove \$\$A \cup B\$\$ is not a blow up
  - 3 pts No counter example
- 1 pts Selected TRUE when FALSE was the correct selection
  - 0.5 pts Incorrect proof type
- 0.5 pts Did not correctly justify \$\$A\$\$ and or \$\$B\$\$ being blow up sets
- 3 pts Incorrect counter example, \$\$A\$\$ and \$\$B\$\$ are equal
- 3 pts In correct counter example, \$\$A \cup B\$\$ has a minimum in the set
- 3 This statement is actually False. For a correct proof please view the solutions

#### **QUESTION 9**

#### 9 Q2 3.5 / 4

- 1 pts Incorrect polynomial/no polynomial
- 0.5 pts Base case error/lack of explanation/did not show LHS = RHS
- 1 pts Did not check base case or it is incorrect.
- 1 pts No induction hypothesis/incorrect

# $\checkmark$ - 0.5 pts Did not state where they used induction hypothesis.

- **0.5 pts** Did not fix n∈Z+ arbitrarily at the beginning of the induction step.
- **0.5 pts** Did not fix k∈Z+ arbitrarily at the beginning of the induction step.
- 0.5 pts Induction step has insufficient explanation/small error.
- 1 pts Induction step is incorrect.
- 0 pts Correct, nice job!
- **O pts** Submitted wrong question/wrong format.
- **0 pts** Small algebra error.

- 0 pts Scan quality needs to be better.
- 0 pts This should be n/n+1.
- 1 pts You do not need to prove the induction hypothesis is true, you assume it is true.
- **0 pts** If you are typing your answer, please use latex. This is very hard to read.
- **0.5 pts** This part is hard to read. Please write your proofs neatly and clearly indicate how you're going from one step to the next.
  - 1 pts Base case incorrect.
- **3 pts** Incorrect polynomial/no polynomial. But structure of induction almost correct.
  - 4 pts Illegible.
  - 4 pts No submission.
- **3.5 pts** Incorrect polynomial, and structure of proof mostly incorrect.
  - 4 pts Completely incorrect/no polynomial given
- + **0.5 pts** Some understanding of the structure of proof by induction but major errors.
  - **4 pts** Not using the induction method.
  - + 1 pts Correct formula.



# QUESTION 10

# 10 Front Page-signature checks 0 / 0

- √ 0 pts Correct
- 1 pts Repeated submission (You should only submit once and add your partner in your group).
  - 1 pts No signature or the signature is digital

# MAT 137Y: Calculus with proofs Assignment 1 Due on Thursday, Sept 29 by 11:59pm via GradeScope

# Instructions

This problem set is based on Unit 1: Logic, sets, and notations. Please read the Problem Set FAQ for details on submission policies, collaboration rules, and general instructions. Remember you can submit in pairs or individually.

- Submissions are only accepted by Gradescope. Do not send anything by email. Late submissions are not accepted under any circumstance. Remember you can resubmit anytime before the deadline.
- Submit your polished solutions using only this template PDF. You will submit a single PDF with your full written solutions. If your solution is not written using this template PDF (scanned print or digital) then you will receive zero. Do not submit rough work. Organize your work neatly in the space provided.
- Show your work and justify your steps on every question, unless otherwise indicated. Put your final answer in the box provided, if necessary.

We recommend you write draft solutions on separate pages and afterwards write your polished solutions here. You must fill out and sign the academic integrity statement below; otherwise, you will receive zero.

# Academic integrity statement

Full Name: Shivesh Prakash
Student number: 1008693790
Full Name:
Student number:
I confirm that:
• I have read and followed the policies described in the Problem Set FAQ.
• I have need and understand the rules for collaboration on problem sets described in the Academia

- I have read and understand the rules for collaboration on problem sets described in the Academic Integrity subsection of the syllabus. I have not violated these rules while writing this problem set.
- I understand the consequences of violating the University's academic integrity policies as outlined in the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. I have not violated them while writing this assessment.

By signing this document, I agree that the statements above are true.

Signatures: 1)	Oprapash.
2)	

- 1. In this problem, we will deal with subsets  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Let's define two new concepts.
  - (i) We say that A is blow-up if

$$\exists x \in A \text{ s.t. } \forall y \in A, x - y > 0 \Longrightarrow x - y \text{ is an odd integer.}$$

(ii) We say that A is step-down if

$$\forall x \in A, \exists y \in A \text{ s.t. } x - y \geq 1 \text{ AND } x - y \text{ is an even integer.}$$

(Part 1) To help you understand the definitions, here are two sets

$$A = \{-2, 0, 3, 3.5, 4\}$$
 and  $B = \{2k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$ 

(A) Prove that A is a blow-up set by using the definition.

The definition says- 
$$\exists x \in A \text{ s.t. } \forall y \in A, x-y>0$$
Lets say this statement is  $P$  and this is  $Q$ .

Now this definition is of the form  $P \Rightarrow Q$ . Let's check the P statement for A. P says there exists an element in A which is greater than all elements, including itself. This is clearly false because even the greatest element 4 is not greater than itself. Thus P is false. So the definition of the form  $P \Rightarrow Q$  is true. Furthering this argument, any right closed set is blow-up and obviously any right open set is blow up because a greatest element does not exist. Thus any set is blow-up. Hence proved A is blow-up.

(B) Prove that B is a step-down set by using the definition.

The definition says -  $\forall x \in A$ ,  $\exists y \in A$  s.t.  $x-y \ge 1$ , AND n-y is on even integer.

Lets say this statement is M and this is N.

Now this definition is of the form M and N. Let's check statement M for Set B. For all fixed arbitrary x in A

let us assume y = x - 2 which always exists in B because B is a set of all even numbers. Here x - y = 2which is  $\ge 1$ , so M is true and x - y = 2 is even so N is true. Thus the definition M and N is true, therefore B is step-down. Hence proved. By this proof any left open (to -inf) set of continuous integers is also stepdown. The empty set { } is also step-down because both M and N are vacuously true.

(Part 2)Below are six claims. Which ones are true and which ones are false? If a claim is true, prove it. If a claim is false, provide a counterexample and a justification of how the counterexample shows the claim is false.

(a) If A is blow-up, then A is step-down.

This statement is $\bigcirc$ True	• False	

As proved in Part 1 (A), any set is blow-up, so the claim states that every set is step-down. A counter example would be  $A = \{1, 2\}$  which is blow-up but not step-down because there is no y in A for any x in A such that  $x - y \ge 1$  and is even. Thus this claim is false, proven by the counter example  $A = \{1, 2\}$ .

(b) If A is step-down, then A is not blow-up.

This statement is $\bigcirc$ True	• False	
-----------------------------------	---------	--

As proved in Part 1 (A), any set is blow-up, so the claim states that a step-down set does not exist. A counter example would be A =  $\{2k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ , this set is step-down as proved in Part 1 (B) and it is also blow-up (from Part 1 (A)). Thus this claim is false, proven by the counter example A =  $\{2k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ .

(c) If A is not blow-up, then A is step-down.

This statement is  True	○ False
-------------------------	---------

As proved in Part 1 (A), any set is blow-up. So the first part of the claim is vacuous, as there exists no A to check the second part of the claim. The claim is thus true.



(d)	If	$\boldsymbol{A}$	is	blow-up	and	$\boldsymbol{A}$	$\subset$	$\mathbb{Z}$ ,	then	A	is	not	step-down	
-----	----	------------------	----	---------	-----	------------------	-----------	----------------	------	---	----	-----	-----------	--

This statement is $\bigcirc$ True $lacktriangle$ False	This statement is $\bigcirc$ True
--	-----------------------------------

As proved in Part 1 (A), any set is blow-up, so the claim states that no set of integers is step-down. A counter example would be  $A = \{ 2k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ , this set is step-down as proved in Part 1 (B) and it is also blow-up (from Part 1 (A)). This set is also a subset of integers. Thus this claim is false, proven by the counter example  $A = \{ 2k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ .

(e) If we have two sets  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $A \neq B$ , A and B are both step-down, then  $A \cup B$  is also step-down.

This statement is  True
-------------------------

It is given that A and B are step-down individually, that means for all x in A, there exists y in A such that  $x - y \ge 1$  and x - y is an even integer. Now all elements of A exist in AVB, so all those X's have their corresponding y's in AVB, thus they all satisfy the step-down definition. The same argument can be used to show that all elements of B is exist in AVB and satisfy the step-down definition. So in this way all the elements of set AVB satisfy the step-down definition. Hence proved set AVB is step-down if A and B are step-down individually.

(f) If we have two sets  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $A \neq B$ , A and B are both blow-up, then  $A \cup B$  is also blow-up.

This statement is  True	O False	

As proved in Part 1 (A), any set is blow-up, so the claim states that the union of two blow-up sets is blow-up. Now, since the union of any sets A and B gives a valid set, it must be blow-up from the hypothesis in Part 1 (A). Hence proved AUB is blow-up.

2. Define 
$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i(i+1)} = \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 4} + \dots + \frac{1}{n \cdot (n+1)}$$
, where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Here,  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  is the set of all positive integers. Find a rational polynomial that is equal to f(n).

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i(i+1)} = \frac{\mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M} + 1}$$

Justify this equality by induction. Hint: compute f(1), f(2), f(3), f(4) by hand first and then make a conjecture.

$$b(m) = \frac{1}{1.2} + \frac{1}{2.3} + \frac{1}{3.4} + \cdots + \frac{1}{m.(m+1)} = \frac{2-1}{1.2} + \frac{3-2}{2.3} + \frac{4-3}{3.4} + \cdots + \frac{(m+1)-m}{m.(m+1)}$$

$$= ) \ b(m) = \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{2} \ + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \ + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{n} \ + \dots + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{(n+1)} = \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{2} \ + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \ + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{n} + \dots + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{(n+1)} = \frac{m}{n+1}$$

Justification by induction -

To justify: 
$$\int_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i(i+1)} = \frac{m}{m+1}$$

Lets check for m=1 first, Left side =  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{i(i+1)} = \frac{1}{1(1+1)} = \frac{1}{2}$ . Right side =  $\frac{m}{m+1} = \frac{1}{1+1} = \frac{1}{2}$ . This case is satisfied. Let x be an arbitrary number, assume that  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{i(i+1)} = \frac{2i}{m+1}$ .

If we show that this result holds for (n+1) the statement will be justified.

Now, 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{i(i+1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i(i+1)} + \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} = \frac{n(n+2)+1}{(n+1)(n+2)}$$

$$= \frac{x^2 + 2x + 1}{(x+1)(x+2)} = \frac{(x+1)^2}{(x+1)(x+2)} = \frac{x+1}{x+2} = \frac{(x+1)}{(x+1)+1}$$

So we have shown that the statement holds from m=1 and it holds from (n+1) assuming it to be true from some arbitrary n. Thus by mathematical induction this statement is proven.