Algorithm and Data Structure Analysis (ADSA)

Lecture 6: Linear-Time Sorting Algorithms (Radix sort)

What is a stable sort

 A "stable" sorting algorithm keeps the items with the same sorting key in order.

Let's look at an example

Why don't we always use counting sort?

- Because it depends on range k of elements
- Could we use counting sort to sort 32 bit integers? Why or why not?
- Answer: no, k too large $(2^{32} = 4,294,967,296)$

- How did IBM get rich originally?
- Answer: punched card readers for census tabulation in early 1900's.
 - In particular, a card sorter that could sort cards into different bins
 - Each column can be punched in 12 places
 - Decimal digits use 10 places
 - Problem: only one column can be sorted on at a time

Lets work through an example

- 21, 12, 22,23, 13, 31, 12

- Intuitively, you might sort on the most significant digit, then the second msd, etc.
 - Problem: ????
- Key idea: sort the *least* significant digit first
 RadixSort(A, d)
 for i=1 to d
 StableSort(A) on digit i

Can you prove it will work?

Sketch of an inductive argument (induction on the number of passes):

- Assume lower-order digits {j: j<i}are sorted</p>
- Show that sorting next digit i leaves array correctly sorted
 - If two digits at position i are different, ordering numbers by that digit is correct (lower--order digits irrelevant)
 - If they are the same, numbers are already sorted on the lower--order digits. Since we use a stable sort, the numbers stay in the right order

- What sort will we use to sort on digits?
- Counting sort is obvious choice:
 - Sort n numbers on digits that range from 1..k
 - Time: O(n + k)
- Each pass over n numbers with d digits takes time O(n+k), so total time O(dn+dk)
 - When d is constant and k=O(n), takes O(n) time
- How many bits in a computer word?

- Problem: sort 1 million 64-bit numbers
 - Treat as four-digit radix 2¹⁶ numbers
 - Can sort in just four passes with radix sort!
- Compares well with typical O(n lg n) comparison sort
 - Requires approx $\lg n = 20$ operations per number being sorted

- In general, radix sort based on counting sort is
 - Fast
 - Asymptotically fast (i.e., O(n))
 - Simple to code
 - A good choice

 So why would we ever use anything but radix sort?