ECE498B: Final Prototype Demonstration Grading Sheet* Consultant: Fill this in after completing the Prototype Checklist					
Group number (e.g., 2023.75):					
	Descriptions to help guide marking				Marks
Assessment of how effectively the prototype meets the project objective and satisfies the project specifications	The demo shows that the project objective has been met and that all essential and all non-essential design specs are satisfied. Work is of the highest quality. Highly novel, elegant, and creative.	8-10 marks The demo shows that the project objective has been met and that all essential and most non-essential design specs are satisfied. Work is good to high quality. Novel, elegant, or creative.	5-7 marks The demo <u>arguably</u> shows that the project objective has been met and that <u>all essential</u> design specs are satisfied. Work is adequate quality. Arguably novel, elegant, or creative.	O-4 marks The demo shows that the project objective is only partially met. Some essential design specs are not satisfied. Work is poor quality. Neither novel, elegant, nor creative.	11.5/ 12.5 (A)
Assessment of the level of challenge of the project	The project is significantly challenging for a fourth-year group. Substantial upper-year university-level knowledge and skills were clearly used for analysis or design. The project is clearly consistent with the ~1000 person-hour expected workload.	8-10 marks The project is challenging for a fourth-year group. Substantial upper-year university-level knowledge and skills were arguably used for analysis or design. The project is arguably consistent with the ~1000 person-hour expected workload.	5-7 marks The project is <u>fairly</u> <u>straightforward</u> for a fourth-year group. Substantial <u>second-year</u> university-level knowledge and skills were used for analysis or design. The project is <u>likely not consistent</u> with the ~1000 person-hour expected workload.	O-4 marks The project is simple for a fourth-year group. No substantial knowledge or skills beyond first-year engineering were used for analysis or design The project is far from being consistent with the ~1000 person-hour expected workload.	12.5/ 12.5 (B)
Comments (required if final mark is very high or very low): Great prototype. Only fixing minor glitches and some improvements remain that are being worked on.					24 / 25 Final mark (A) + (B)
Consultant's signature: Date:					