

[< Return to Classroom](#)

Recommendations with IBM

REVIEW

CODE REVIEW

HISTORY

Meets Specifications

Well Done. I really liked this submission. You ensured there is no hardcoding in any section of the notebook. You ensured the correct calculation of the total number of interactions based on the original dataframe in the `get_top_sorted_users` function. Awesome work here.

Now that you have completed the project, I think it is the right time to dive deeper into learning about putting such systems into production. The analysis that we performed in this notebook is only part of the equation. At the end of the day, the goal is to integrate this analysis as a feature in our main product. Integrating into the main product takes much more than just cool algorithms. There are a lot of things to consider. We have to think about creating the right system architecture based on the requirements. There is no better place to learn about using recommendation systems in production than Netflix. Netflix personalizes every aspect of a user's home page (even, the thumbnails of shows and movies are personalized according to the user). Please take a look at one of the [earliest system architectures](#) they used. You can also go through other articles in the series [here](#).

All the best for your next project !!! Stay safe and keep learning.

Code Functionality & Readability

All the project code is contained in a Jupyter notebook or script. If you use a notebook, it demonstrates the successful execution and output of the code. All tests have passing remarks.

Good Job !!! All files required for the review are submitted.

Code is well documented and uses functions and classes as necessary. All functions include document strings. DRY principles are implemented.

Part I: Data Exploration

Correct values provided for variables

(median_val,user_article_interactions,max_views_by_user,max_views,most_viewed_article_id,unique_articles,unique_users,total_articles) verified by correct output for the solution 1 dictionary test(t.sol_1_test(sol_1_dict)) at the end of Part 1

Nice Work !!! Exploratory data analysis is crucial to get insights into the data. It helps us understand the data well to generate different hypotheses about our end goal. You performed the required amount of EDA. However, you can definitely extend this EDA more.

Part II: Create Rank Based Recommendations

Tests will ensure that your functions will correctly pull the top articles. The two functions should pull the top ids and the top names.

Nicely Done !!! We get the top rank-based recommendations correctly.

Part III: Collaborative Filtering

Create a matrix with users on the rows and articles on the columns. There should be a 1 if a user-article interacted with one another and zero otherwise.

Nice Work !!! The user-item matrix passes the quick tests. The user-item matrix is the most important piece of data for all algorithms that follow from here in the notebook. So, it is crucial that we get it right. If this calculation is incorrect, all recommendation algorithms will give incorrect results.

Find similar users needed for user-user collaborative filtering model. Write a function that finds similar users.

Make recommendations using user-user based collaborative filtering. Complete the functions needed to make recommendations for each user.

Improve your original method of using collaborative filtering to make recommendations by ranking the collaborative filtering results by users who have the most article interactions first and then by articles with the most interactions.

Provide recommendations for new users, which will not be able to receive recommendations using our user-user based collaborative filtering method.

Nice Work. The new users do not have any interactions in the system. So, no similarity can be calculated for them. Rank-based recommendations are the correct choice in this case.

Part V: Matrix Factorization

Perform SVD on user-item matrix. Provides U, Sigma, and V-transpose matrices, as well as an explanation of why this technique works in this case.

Awesome Work !!! We can use the built-in SVD algorithm because there are no missing values. If there were missing values, we would have to use Funk-SVD as used in the lesson

Split the user-item matrix into training and testing segments. Identify the users in the test set that are also in the training.

You pass all the tests. The training and test sets are created perfectly.

Perform assessment of the predicted vs. the actual values.

Well Done !!! The test accuracy decreases as the number of latent features increases. This pattern is different from the training set where the accuracy increased as the number of latent features increased.

Provide a discussion about the results, as well as a method by which you could test how well your recommendation engine is working in practice.

Nice Work !!! **Accuracy is not the right metric to use here though. If you look at the dataset, most of the entries in the user-item matrix are zeros. More than 99% of the entries are zeros. So, a model which predicts all zeros will also have unusually high accuracy. However, such a model will be utterly useless. It would be better to use another metric here.** The current framework for model evaluation is also not at all robust because there are only 20 common users between the training and test set for which we can make predictions in the test set. All results are based on these 20 users, not a large group to take any conclusive action based on these numbers.

We can perform A/B testing to choose between two different approaches to the recommendation. Please refer to [A/B testing course](#) for more information.

 [DOWNLOAD PROJECT](#)

[RETURN TO PATH](#)

[Rate this review](#)

[START](#)