

## **Lab 1**

### **Medical Imaging**

**IST 2021-2022**

Consider the 3D CT image stored in `ct.mat`, where the image intensity of each pixel  $(i,j,k)$  corresponds to the CT index, defined as  $CT_{ijk} = \frac{\mu_{ijk} - \mu_{H_2O}}{\mu_{H_2O}} \times 1000$ , where  $\mu_{H_2O} = 0.206 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  with  $FOV_{xyz} = 200 \times 200 \times 260 \text{ mm}^3$ .

1. Display a histogram of the CT image intensities (i.e. CT indexes), and then a histogram of the corresponding attenuation coefficients, by converting CT indexes to attenuation coefficients.
2. Display 15 representative slices of the image of attenuation coefficients for each orientation - axial  $(x,y)$ , sagittal  $(x,z)$  and coronal  $(y,z)$ :
  - a. applying rotations when appropriate;
  - b. using an adequate intensity scale for tissue visualization;
  - c. using an intensity scale that is matched across slices.
3. Simulate the planar X-ray image that would be obtained by projection along  $x$ , assuming that the incident X-ray beam has an intensity  $I_0 = 1100$  photons/pixel.
  - a. Compute the voxel size along each direction
  - b. Write down the attenuation equation, and apply it
  - c. Display the resulting projection image
4. Now simulate the planar X-ray image that would be obtained by projection along  $x$  and  $y$ :
  - a. Quadrupling the current intensity at the same time as using half the X-ray tube voltage: what changed? (hint: look at the histograms!)
  - b. What would happen to the image if the X-ray energy was significantly increased? Discuss this, explaining why  $\mu_{\text{bone}} \gg \mu_{\text{tissue}}$  at low X-ray energies, while the two values of  $\mu$  become closer as the X-ray energy increases.