∜1. Immigrants

- Attributes: Passport_No, Fname, Lname, Country, City, Gender, DOB
- **Primary Key:** Passport_No
- Foreign Key(s): None
- Functional Dependencies:

Passport No → Fname, Lname, Country, City, Gender, DOB

- **Reasoning:** All functional dependencies have a super key on the left-hand side (Passport_No), so this table is in BCNF.

⊘2. Immigrant_Phone_Info

- Attributes: Passport_No, Phone_No
- **Primary Key:** (Passport_No, Phone_No)
- Foreign Key(s): Passport_No → Immigrants(Passport_No)
- Functional Dependencies:

(Passport No, Phone No) → all

- Reasoning: All FDs stem from the full composite primary key no partial or transitive dependencies.

√3. Immigrant_Email_Info

- Attributes: Passport_No, Email_ID
- **Primary Key:** (Passport_No, Email_ID)
- Foreign Key(s): Passport_No → Immigrants(Passport_No)
- Functional Dependencies:

(Passport_No, Email_ID) → all

- **Reasoning:** Same as above all FDs are from the composite primary key.

⊘4. Sponsors_Employer

- Attributes: Employer_Passport_No, Start_Date, End_Date, Relationship_Type, Sponsorship_Status
- Primary Key: Employer_Passport_No
- **Foreign Key(s):** Employer_Passport_No → Immigrants(Passport_No)
- Functional Dependencies:

Employer_Passport_No → Start_Date, End_Date, Relationship_Type, Sponsorship_Status

- **Reasoning:** Single FD from the primary key; no violation of BCNF.

X5. Sponsors_Employee

Attributes:

Employee_Passport_No, Start_Date, End_Date, Relationship_Type, Sponsorship_Status, Employer Passport No

- Keys:
- PK: Employee_Passport_No
- **FK:** Employer_Passport_No
- BCNF: XNo

Reason:

The table cannot be converted to BCNF in its current form because the essential business relationship requires that employer passport numbers determine sponsorship details while maintaining a one-to-many relationship between employers and employees, creating an unavoidable functional dependency that violates BCNF rules without decomposition. This fundamental data relationship prevents BCNF compliance while preserving the required data semantics and cardinality constraints.

• **Assumption:** Only current sponsorships stored (no history)

X6. Family_Sponsor_Sponoree

• Attributes:

Dependents_Passport_Number, Sponsorer_Member_Passport_No, Relationship_Type, Emergency_Contact, Date_of_Relationship_Establishment, Financial_Dependency_Status

- **PK:** Dependents_Passport_Number
- **FK:** Sponsorer_Member_Passport_No (references Immigrants.Passport_No)
- BCNF Status: XNo

• Reason:

Potential FD Sponsorer_Member_Passport_No \rightarrow Relationship_Type (if sponsors enforce uniform relationship types).

• **Assumption:** No historical data (only current relationships stored).

∜7. Visa

• Attributes:

Visa_ID, Issue_Date, Expiry_Date, Visa_Type, Duration, CID, Passport_No, Visa_Status, I s_Blacklist, E_ID, VisaOfficer_ID

- **PK:** Visa_ID
- **FKs:** Passport_No, CID, E_ID
- BCNF Status:

 ✓ Yes
- **Reason:** The only confirmed FD is Visa_ID \rightarrow all attributes (super key determines all).
- Unless Passport_No → Visa_Status is proven true, BCNF holds.
- **Assumption:** One passport can have multiple visas (standard case).

≪8. Asylum_Seeker

- Attributes:
 - CID, Passport_Number, Application_ID, Place_of_Arrival, Date_of_Departure, Reason_of_Request, Current_Status, Hearing_Date, AsylumOfficer_ID
- PK: (CID, Passport_Number, Application_ID)
- FK: Passport_Number (references Immigrants.Passport_No)
- BCNF Status:

 ✓ Yes
- **Reason:** Full composite $PK \rightarrow all$ attributes
- **Assumption:** 1 passport : N applications, no Application_ID → Passport_Numbe

\varnothing 9. Request

- Attributes: ApplicationID, Priority_Level, Submission_Date, Requested_For, Passport_No, CID, DID
- **PK:** ApplicationID
- **FK:** Passport_No → Immigrants.Passport_No, CID → Category.CID, DID → Department. DID
- BCNF: **∀**Yes

- Reason: Super key ApplicationID functionally determines all attributes
- Assumption: One-to-one mapping between applications and request

⊘10. Govt_Employee

- Attributes: E_ID, VisaOfficer_ID, DOB, Gender, Country, City, E_LastName, E_FirstNam e
- **PK:** (E_ID, VisaOfficer_ID)
- **FK:** None
- BCNF: **∀**Yes
- **Reason:** Full composite $PK \rightarrow all$ attributes
- Assumption: Each employee has only one VisaOfficer_ID

⊘11. Govt_Emp_Email_Info

- **Attributes:** E_ID, EmailID
- **PK:** (E_ID, EmailID)
- **FK:** $E_{ID} \rightarrow Govt_{Employee}$. E_{ID}
- **Reason:** Full composite $PK \rightarrow all$ attributes
- **Assumption:** One employee can have multiple emails

⊘12. Govt_Emp_Contact_Info

• **Attributes:** E_ID, Contact

- **PK:** (E_ID, Contact)
- **FK:** $E_{ID} \rightarrow Govt_{Employee}$. E_{ID}
- BCNF: **∀**Yes
- **Reason:** Full composite $PK \rightarrow all$ attributes
- **Assumption:** One employee can have multiple contact numbers

⊘13. Immigrant_Category

- Attributes: Passport_No, CID
- **PK**: Passport_No
- **FDs**: Passport_No \rightarrow CID
- BCNF: \checkmark Yes
- Reason: Passport_No is a super key determining CID
- Assumption: One immigrant belongs to exactly one category

\checkmark 14. Category

- Attributes: CID, Category_Name, Description, Visa_ID
- **PK:** CID
- **FK:** $Visa_ID \rightarrow Visa.Visa_ID$
- BCNF: **∀**Yes
- **Reason:** CID is a super key determining all attributes
- Assumption: Each category is linked to exactly one visa type

\varnothing 15. Visa_Officer

- Attributes: E_ID, Officer_ID
- **PK:** (E_ID, Officer_ID)
- **FK:** $E_{ID} \rightarrow Govt_{Employee}$. E_{ID}
- BCNF: **∜**Yes
- **Reason:** Full composite $PK \rightarrow all$ attributes
- Assumption: One government employee can hold multiple officer roles

⊘16. Department

- Attributes: DID, Name, Operating_Hours
- PK: DID
- **FK:** None
- BCNF: **∀**Yes
- **Reason:** DID is a super key with no partial dependencies

⊘17. Department_Email_Info

- **Attributes:** DID, D_EmailID
- **PK:** (DID, D_EmailID)
- **FK: DID** \rightarrow Department.DID
- BCNF: **∀**Yes
- Reason: Full composite PK handles multiple emails per department

$\sqrt[4]{18}$. Department_Address_Info

• **Attributes:** DID, D_Address

• **PK:** (DID, D_Address)

• **FK:** DID \rightarrow Department.DID

• BCNF: **∜**Yes

• **Reason:** Full composite $PK \rightarrow all$ attributes

• Assumption: One department can have multiple addresses

⊘19. Border_Department

• Attributes: DID, Border_Type, Checkpoint_Location

• **PK**: DID

• **FK:** DID \rightarrow Department.DID

• BCNF: **⊘**Yes

• **Reason:** DID is a super key with no partial dependencies

• Assumption: Each border department has unique checkpoint locations

⊘20. Visa_Department

• Attributes: DID, Visa_Processing_Time, Approval_Authority

PK: DID

• **FK:** DID \rightarrow Department.DID

- BCNF: **∀**Yes
- Reason: DID determines all attributes without redundancy
- Assumption: One visa department per administrative region

⊘21. Asylum_Department

- Attributes: DID, Case_Backlog, Hearing_Rooms
- PK: DID
- **FK:** DID \rightarrow Department.DID
- BCNF: **∀**Yes
- **Reason:** Full functional dependency on PK
- Assumption: Asylum cases are evenly distributed across departments

⊘22. Immigration_Officer_Department

- Attributes: DID, Officer_Capacity, Training_Level
- **PK**: DID
- **FK:** DID \rightarrow Department.DID
- BCNF: **∀**Yes
- **Reason:** No transitive dependencies exist
- Assumption: Officer assignments are department-specific