



ENV/JM/MONO(2016)47
Unclassified

English - Or. English

Unclassified

ENV/JM/MONO(2016)47

Organisation de Coopération et de Développement Économiques
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

02-Sep-2016

English - Or. English

**ENVIRONMENT DIRECTORATE
JOINT MEETING OF THE CHEMICALS COMMITTEE AND
THE WORKING PARTY ON CHEMICALS, PESTICIDES AND BIOTECHNOLOGY**

**OECD SERIES ON PRINCIPLES OF GOOD LABORATORY PRACTICE AND COMPLIANCE
MONITORING
Number 18**

**OECD Position Paper Regarding the Relationship between the OECD Principles of GLP
and ISO/IEC 17025**

JT03400078

Complete document available on OLIS in its original format

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

OECD Environment, Health and Safety Publications

**Series on Principles of Good Laboratory Practice
and Compliance Monitoring**

No. 18

**OECD Position Paper Regarding the Relationship
between the OECD Principles of GLP and ISO/IEC 17025**

Environment Directorate

ORGANISATION FOR ECONOMIC COOPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT

Paris 2016

**ALSO PUBLISHED IN THE SERIES ON PRINCIPLES OF GOOD LABORATORY PRACTICE
AND COMPLIANCE MONITORING**

- *No. 1, OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice (as revised in 1997)*
- *No. 2, Revised Guides for Compliance Monitoring Procedures for Good Laboratory Practice (1995)*
- *No. 3, Revised Guidance for the Conduct of Laboratory Inspections and Study Audits (1995)*
- *No. 4, Quality Assurance and GLP (as revised in 1999)*
- *No. 5, Compliance of Laboratory Suppliers with GLP Principles (as revised in 1999)*
- *No. 6, The Application of the GLP Principles to Field Studies (as revised in 1999)*
- *No. 7, The Application of the GLP Principles to Short-term Studies (as revised in 1999)*
- *No. 8, The Role and Responsibilities of the Study Director in GLP Studies (as revised in 1999)*
- *No. 9, Guidance for the Preparation of GLP Inspection Reports (1995)*
- *No. 10, The Application of the Principles of GLP to Computerised Systems (1995)*
- *No. 11, The Role and Responsibilities of the Sponsor in the Application of the principles of GLP (1998)*
- *No. 12, Requesting and Carrying Out Inspections and Study Audits in Another Country (2000)*
- *No. 13, The Application of the OECD Principles of GLP to the Organisation and Management of Multi-Site Studies (2002)*
- *No. 14, The Application of the Principles of GLP to in vitro studies (2004)*
- *No. 15, Establishment and Control of Archives that Operate in Compliance with the Principles of GLP (2007)*
- *No. 16, Guidance on the GLP Requirements for Peer Review of Histopathology (2014)*
- *No. 17, Advisory Document of the Working Group on Good Laboratory Practice. Application of GLP Principles to Computerised Systems (2016)*

ABOUT THE OECD

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) is an intergovernmental organisation in which representatives of 35 industrialised countries in North and South America, Europe and the Asia and Pacific region, as well as the European Commission, meet to co-ordinate and harmonise policies, discuss issues of mutual concern, and work together to respond to international problems. Most of the OECD's work is carried out by more than 200 specialised committees and working groups composed of member country delegates. Observers from several countries with special status at the OECD, and from interested international organisations, attend many of the OECD's workshops and other meetings. Committees and working groups are served by the OECD Secretariat, located in Paris, France, which is organised into directorates and divisions.

The Environment, Health and Safety Division publishes free-of-charge documents in twelve different series: **Testing and Assessment; Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring; Pesticides; Biocides; Risk Management; Harmonisation of Regulatory Oversight in Biotechnology; Safety of Novel Foods and Feeds; Chemical Accidents; Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers; Emission Scenario Documents; Safety of Manufactured Nanomaterials; and Adverse Outcome Pathways.** More information about the Environment, Health and Safety Programme and EHS publications is available on the OECD's World Wide Web site (www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/).

This publication was developed in the IOMC context. The contents do not necessarily reflect the views or stated policies of individual IOMC Participating Organizations.

The Inter-Organisation Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals (IOMC) was established in 1995 following recommendations made by the 1992 UN Conference on Environment and Development to strengthen co-operation and increase international co-ordination in the field of chemical safety. The Participating Organisations are FAO, ILO, UNDP, UNEP, UNIDO, UNITAR, WHO, World Bank and OECD. The purpose of the IOMC is to promote co-ordination of the policies and activities pursued by the Participating Organisations, jointly or separately, to achieve the sound management of chemicals in relation to human health and the environment.

This publication is available electronically, at no charge.

**For this and many other Environment,
Health and Safety publications, consult the OECD's
World Wide Web site (www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/)**

or contact:

**OECD Environment Directorate,
Environment, Health and Safety Division
2, rue André-Pascal
75775 Paris cedex 16
France**

Fax : (33-1) 44 30 61 80

E-mail : ehscont@oecd.org

© OECD 2016

Applications for permission to reproduce or translate all or part of this material should be made to: Head of Publications Service, RIGHTS@oecd.org, OECD, 2 rue André-Pascal, 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France

FOREWORD

The following paper was developed by the OECD Working Group on Good Laboratory Practice (GLP). It presents a comparison of the OECD *Principles of GLP* and the International Organization for Standardization's *ISO/IEC 17025: General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*. A draft of this paper was posted for comment on OECD's public web site on 19 June, 2015.

This document is published under the responsibility of the Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology which agreed to its declassification on 19 August, 2016.

OECD Position Paper Regarding the Relationship between the OECD Principles of GLP and ISO/IEC 17025

1) Introduction

1. An effective comparison between the OECD *Principles of Good Laboratory Practice* (OECD GLP) and ISO/IEC 17025: *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*, and between the associated mechanisms for formal recognition of compliance or conformity (for the purposes of this paper these are referred to as *GLP Compliance Monitoring* and *laboratory accreditation*), is best made by taking the historical origins and objectives of the two documents into consideration.
2. This paper sets out to explain what are, in general, philosophical differences between the two documents when applied within a GLP and accreditation framework. It is not intended to be a detailed or exhaustive comparison of the technical content of either of the two documents or approaches.
3. The paper also addresses the broad differences between the two documents and provides a brief comparison of GLP compliance monitoring and laboratory accreditation.

2) Part 1 - Comparison of, and differences between, GLP and ISO/IEC 17025

Introduction and History

4. Good laboratory practices were developed in the 1970's in response to fraudulent scientific safety studies being submitted to regulatory authorities in support of applications for the regulatory registration/approval of chemicals. They were developed by governments as a regulatory control mechanism to ensure future safety studies would be of acceptable quality and integrity.
5. Good laboratory practices were also developed to apply to any industry, including testing facilities that conducted non-clinical health and environmental safety studies for submission to a government regulatory agency in support of a regulated product.
6. An internationally harmonised set of good laboratory practices were developed by the OECD and published in 1981 as the OECD *Principles of Good Laboratory Practice*. The Principles cover the organisational processes and the conditions under which non-clinical environmental health and safety studies are planned, performed, monitored, recorded and reported. The Principles are followed by facilities carrying out studies to be submitted to national regulatory authorities for the purposes of assessing the health and environmental safety of chemicals and chemical products (which may also be of natural or biological origin), and in some circumstances, may be living organisms.
7. As a regulatory control mechanism, OECD GLP compliance is written into law in many countries. There may, for example, be a legal requirement that non-clinical health and environmental safety studies intended for regulatory submission be conducted under OECD GLP. The text of the OECD GLP requirements themselves may also be written into acts, regulations, directives, or similar legal instruments. In some cases, it may even be illegal to conduct such studies unless they are in compliance with OECD GLP.
8. OECD GLP originated from, and remains an integral part of, the regulatory sector.

9. ISO/IEC 17025, on the other hand, was developed by the testing/calibration laboratory and laboratory accreditation communities, rather than the regulatory sector. Originally published as ISO Guide 25 in 1978, its origins were in the laboratory accreditation community who prepared a mutually agreed set of criteria that a laboratory should fulfil in order to demonstrate its technical competence.

10. ISO/IEC 17025 was initially published in 1999 with a minor revision leading to a 2005 version which is in current use. It is now undergoing revision with the aim of a new version being published in 2017.

11. ISO/IEC 17025, in contrast to the OECD *Principles of Good Laboratory Practice*, is an international standard that laboratories can either choose to apply to their operations, or that regulators and specifiers can mandate. As with all standards published by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO), it was written by nominated experts from national standards bodies that are members of ISO, and was agreed and published after an extensive international review and comment process.

12. ISO/IEC 17025 can be implemented by laboratories involved in all areas of testing and calibration, including non-clinical testing, no matter what their size or complexity. Governments around the world are increasingly specifying international standards, such as ISO/IEC 17025, as a tool to meet their regulatory objectives across a wide range of fields.

Application

13. The OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice are a set of principles that define a quality system to be applied to the conduct of non-clinical health and environmental safety testing that is intended for submission to appropriate regulatory authorities in support of the registration, licensing or regulation of chemical and related products. They are therefore quite specific in their intended application. OECD GLP is not intended, or required, for non-regulated testing.

14. For non-clinical health and environmental safety testing that is regulated and is required to be conducted under OECD GLP, the testing is often scientifically multi-disciplinary and individual tests may be conducted over several months. For example, traditionally, OECD GLP has been applied to toxicological testing using laboratory animals. Long term toxicology studies may run for several months and involve many scientific disciplines such as analytical and bio-analytical chemistry, clinical pathology testing, histopathology, physical testing and the like. Each study will generally involve a new chemical under test. The individual assays within each study will therefore vary from study to study, and may never be used again once the suite of testing is completed.

15. In addition, non-clinical health and environmental safety studies may be conducted outside of a traditional laboratory setting, such as in the field and in greenhouses. The OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice are therefore, out of necessity, quite general in their requirements and take the form of a set of principles. This allows them to accommodate the wide variety of studies undertaken, the scientific disciplines involved, and the variability within studies for the different chemicals under test. These may include pharmaceutical, pesticide and cosmetic products, as well as veterinary drugs and food and feed additives, and industrial chemicals.

16. Most importantly, the focus of OECD GLP is on the individual study. A study is an experiment, or set of experiments, in which a test item is examined under laboratory conditions (or in the environment), to obtain data on its properties and/or its safety, intended for submission to appropriate regulatory authorities. A “study” is therefore a discrete package of work passing through the test facility and is conducted in accordance with a Study Plan that culminates in a single “study report”.

17. ISO/IEC 17025 is a technical competence and management system standard developed specifically for testing and calibration laboratories. (For the purposes of this paper, the application of ISO/IEC 17025 will refer only to “testing” laboratories.) ISO/IEC 17025 can therefore be applied to a broad range of laboratories, including non-clinical laboratories. This includes laboratories that conduct the assays on a regular basis according to defined methodology and where the type of sample tested and the test methods employed vary little from day to day. A good example is a laboratory supporting a manufacturing function.

18. It can, however, also be implemented by a laboratory that undertakes novel testing, perhaps on a commercial basis, for external customers. The focus of ISO/IEC 17025 is on the competence and systems available within the laboratory that support and provide critical input into how the laboratory conducts its testing services, both at the technical and management level.

19. There are certain types of regulated non-clinical health and environmental safety testing that could be effectively conducted under an ISO/IEC 17025 system, for example, physical/chemical tests to determine these properties for a regulated chemical product. The point must be made, however, that while compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 may deliver a suitable outcome in such cases, this may not provide compliance with the requirements of the OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice. The reason for this is that national regulatory authorities may require that such testing be carried out according to OECD GLP. They would also require that these tests (or studies) are inspected by the National GLP compliance monitoring authority.

20. For the majority of regulated non-clinical health and environmental safety testing, compliance with the OECD Principles of GLP is best suited. This is due to issues such as: the variability inherent in such studies (arising from living test systems); the scientific multi-disciplinary nature of the studies; the multi-site nature of such studies; and the differences in the chemical product under test in each study. The OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice have been specifically designed to accommodate the management of such variability.

21. Furthermore, the requirements of OECD GLP and ISO/IEC 17025 differ for good reason. For example, OECD GLP has very specific requirements with regard to quality assurance activities and for the Study Director, who has overall responsibility for all phases of the study and who holds a crucial role in OECD GLP. ISO/IEC 17025 on the other hand, includes requirements that are not covered by the Principles. Laboratories that are involved in the non-regulated area may need to focus on additional elements, such as customer requirements, ongoing quality improvement and technical aspects such as internal quality control and external proficiency testing.

3) Part 2 - Comparison of, and differences between, GLP Compliance Monitoring and ISO/IEC 17025 Laboratory Accreditation

Introduction and History

22. With the introduction in the 1970's of Good Laboratory Practices into the regulated sector, governments needed to introduce mechanisms to ensure the enforcement of the new OECD GLP compliance requirements for non-clinical health and environmental safety testing. Governmental or government approved GLP Compliance Monitoring inspectorates, were thus established. These inspectorates carry out inspections of test facilities to ensure studies are conducted in accordance with the national GLP regulations. This includes inspections and study audits of individual studies in order to verify that these particular studies have been conducted in accordance with OECD GLP within the test facility.

23. GLP Compliance Monitoring is thus an inspection process to verify compliance with the relevant laws pertaining to OECD GLP. It is the regulatory/receiving authorities who therefore have most interest in the outcome of GLP Compliance Monitoring inspections because they need the assurance of the quality and integrity of the test data in order to make valid regulatory risk assessment decisions.

24. Laboratory accreditation also commenced on an international scale in the 1970's, driven by the laboratory community wishing to obtain third party independent recognition (accreditation) of their competence, either for their own assurance or to demonstrate the same to their customers. Laboratory accreditation originally arose out of, and operated in, the voluntary (non-regulatory) sector. Each national accreditation body developed their own criteria for accreditation until the publication of the internationally agreed criteria in ISO Guide 25, as already described.

Application

25. Laboratory accreditation provides a mechanism to establish the technical competence of laboratories to perform specific testing. A "scope of accreditation" describes the laboratory activities for which competence has been determined and agreed. The scope may be very detailed or very broad, depending on the nature of the laboratory and the service it provides. To maintain accreditation, laboratories are re-evaluated periodically by the accreditation body to ensure their continued compliance with requirements, and to ensure that their standard of operation is being maintained. The laboratory may also be required to participate in relevant proficiency testing programs between reassessments, as a further demonstration of technical competence.

26. For OECD GLP studies, the responsibility for evaluating the technical validity of a study (study design) and validity of the conclusions drawn from the study results lies with the regulatory reviewer. However, this evaluation can only be effective if the study data can be relied upon, the quality and scientific integrity of the data can be demonstrated, and the conduct of the study reconstructed. An OECD GLP quality system is designed specifically to meet this need. The focus of the OECD GLP quality system is on the administration and management of the conduct of the study, rather than the science of the study being undertaken.

4) Summary

27. While the OECD *Principles of Good Laboratory Practice* and ISO/IEC 17025 *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories* both set out requirements for quality management systems under which testing is conducted, they are, as a result of their evolution and history, documents with different purposes. It is therefore impractical, and in many cases would be inappropriate, to apply one of set of requirements with the intention of meeting the purposes of the other.

28. The OECD *Principles of Good Laboratory Practice* is used as a regulatory control mechanism to assure the quality and integrity of non-clinical health and environmental safety studies regulated under law. Such testing, for the most part, is complex and variable, and the OECD *Principles of Good Laboratory Practice* are specifically designed, as a set of principles, to be applied to individual studies to accommodate the complexity and variability of such studies.

29. ISO/IEC 17025 is an international standard intended to be applied to laboratory facilities conducting testing according to established or specifically developed methodology. The focus of the standard is on the on-going operation and management of the laboratory itself, and on the capacity of the laboratory to produce consistent and reliable results that are scientifically valid. ISO/IEC 17025 can, in theory, be applied to any testing laboratory in any scientific discipline including those performing non-clinical testing.

30. GLP Compliance Monitoring is a regulatory inspection with the intent of verifying that individual non-clinical health and environmental studies submitted to receiving authorities for the purpose of registration/approval of chemical products meet the requirements of the law (i.e., that the study has been conducted in accordance with the national GLP regulations). The focus of such inspections is on the studies conducted and audits of individual studies make up a significant component of the inspection. The main ‘customer’ of GLP compliance monitoring inspections is the receiving authorities to which the studies have been submitted.

31. As the application of OECD GLP is harmonised across OECD countries, governments can accept data from other countries with the assurance that this data will be valid and of acceptable quality. This is the basis of the Mutual Acceptance of Data (MAD) agreement which is an integral part of the OECD Principles of GLP and requires regulators, whose governments adhere to MAD, to accept data from OECD GLP studies that have been conducted by facilities that have been inspected by the relevant national GLP compliance monitoring authority. The agreement is also open to non OECD countries that adhere to MAD.

32. Laboratory accreditation provides formal third-party recognition to competent laboratories. A laboratory must be formally accredited before it can issue reports under the terms of its accreditation scope. This in turn enables customers to identify and select reliable testing services able to meet their needs.

33. Laboratory accreditation is also highly regarded both nationally and internationally. It is a reliable indicator of technical competence, and many industries routinely specify laboratory accreditation for suppliers of testing services.

34. There are multilateral arrangements between the various national accreditation bodies for recognition of each other’s accreditations (e.g., the International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation Mutual Recognition Arrangement (ILAC MRA)). The accreditation bodies that are signatories to the ILAC MRA have been peer evaluated in accordance with the requirements of ISO/IEC 17011 to demonstrate their competence. ILAC MRA signatories agree to accept the results from each other’s accredited laboratories under the ILAC MRA. Hence, the results from laboratories accredited by the ILAC MRA signatories are able to be recognized internationally.

35. It should be noted, however, that the decision to accept results from accredited laboratories remains with the end-user.

36. Laboratory accreditation is increasingly being used by governments to meet regulatory and trade objectives. It is not, however, applied to non-clinical health and environmental safety testing because ISO/IEC 17025 does not contain all of the requirements of the OECD GLP Principles. Nevertheless, laboratory accreditation can make a valuable contribution within the GLP compliance structure.