

1. Choose the expression equivalent to

(a)  $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p$ :

[1 mark]

☐

A.  $T$

☐

B.  $F$

☐

C.  $p$

☐

D.  $\neg p$

☐

E. None of the above

(b)  $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$ :

[1 mark]

☐

A.  $T$

☐

B.  $F$

☐

C.  $p$

☐

D.  $\neg p$

☐

E. None of the above

2.  $(\forall x P(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x Q(x))$  is equivalent to (assume non-empty domains):

(a)  $\forall x \exists y P(y) \rightarrow Q(x)$

[1 mark]

☐

A. Equivalent

☐

B. Not equivalent

(b)  $\exists x \forall y P(x) \rightarrow Q(y)$

[1 mark]

☐

A. Equivalent

☐

B. Not equivalent

(c)  $\forall x \exists y P(x) \rightarrow Q(y)$

[1 mark]

☐

A. Equivalent

☐

B. Not equivalent

3. Consider the following English statement. Statement: Every huge dinosaur is a sauropod and an adult.

(a) Write the above statement in first-order logic using predicates *huge*, *adult* and *sauropod*, defined over the domain  $D$  consisting of all dinosaurs (where *huge*( $x$ ) stands for “ $x$  is huge,” etc.). [1 mark]

**Solution:**  $huge(x) \rightarrow sauropod(x) \wedge adult(x)$ .

---

(b) State the contrapositive of the above statement (as an English statement). [1 mark]

**Solution:** If a dinosaur is either not a sauropod or not an adult then it is not huge.

(c) State the negation of the above statement (as an English statement). [1 mark]

**Solution:** A huge dinosaur is either not a sauropod or not an adult.

4. A Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF) formula over a set of variables is a conjunction of disjunctions, or an AND of ORs. Conversely, a Disjunctive Normal Form (DNF) formula is a disjunction of conjunctions, or an OR of ANDs. This is an example of a CNF formula: [3 marks]

$$(p \vee q \vee \neg r) \wedge (\neg p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (p \vee \neg q \vee r)$$

Similarly, the following is a DNF formula:

$$(\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge r) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r)$$

Construct CNF and DNF formulas, over the variables  $p, q, r$ , for the function  $f$  given by the following truth table:

$p$	$q$	$r$	$f(p, q, r)$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$

**Solution:** CNF formula:

$$(p \vee \neg q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r)$$

DNF formula:

$$(\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r) \vee (p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge r) \vee (\neg p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge \neg q \wedge r)$$

5. Prove that there is no rational solution to the equation  $x^5 + 2x^4 + 3x^3 + 4x^2 + 5 = 0$ . [3 marks]

**Solution:** Let us prove this via contradiction. Assume that there is a rational solution,  $x = p/q$  with  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ , to the above equation. Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $p$  and  $q$  are co-prime to each other. This already rules out the possibility that both of them are even, as any two even numbers have 2 as a common factor. We can also assume that both  $p$  and  $q$  are non-zero as  $x = 0$  is not a solution. Then, there are 3 cases:

- Both  $p$  and  $q$  are odd. Note that in this case, we have 3 non-zero odd terms and 2 non-zero even terms in the equation. Therefore, the sum can never be zero.

- $p$  is even and  $q$  is odd. In this case, the first 4 terms in the equation are even and the final term is a non-zero odd number. Therefore, the sum can never be zero. The case with  $p$  being odd and  $q$  being even can be argued about similarly.

Since these 3 cases are exhaustive, we have shown that there cannot be a rational solution to the above equation.

6. Prove by strong induction that every positive integer  $n$  has a representation as the sum of *distinct* powers of 2. That is, for each  $n$ , there is a sequence of integers  $(d_1, \dots, d_t)$  such that  $0 \leq d_1 < \dots < d_t$ , and  $n = 2^{d_1} + \dots + 2^{d_t}$ . [3 marks]  
Be as clear and precise as you can be. (One mark is reserved for good style.)

**Solution: Base Case:** Consider  $n = 1$ , since we want to prove the statement about positive integers. Since  $1 = 2^0$ , the representation for 1 is (0). This is valid as  $d_1$  is allowed to be 0.

**Induction Hypothesis:** Assume that for every  $n \leq k$ , for some integer  $k \geq 1$ , there is a sequence of integers  $(d_1, \dots, d_t)$  such that  $0 \leq d_1 < \dots < d_t$ , and  $n = 2^{d_1} + \dots + 2^{d_t}$ .

**Induction Step:** We want to show that the above statement holds even for  $n = k + 1$ . There are 2 cases:

- $n$  is even. Let  $(d'_1, d'_2, \dots, d'_t)$  be the sequence for  $n/2$ , as given by the induction hypothesis (as  $(k + 1)/2 \leq k$ ). Therefore, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} n &= 2(2^{d'_1} + \dots + 2^{d'_t}) \\ &= 2^{d'_1+1} + \dots + 2^{d'_t+1} \end{aligned}$$

Since the original sequence was composed of distinct integers, their increments should also be distinct. Hence,  $(d'_1 + 1, \dots, d'_t + 1)$  is a valid sequence for  $n$ .

- $n$  is odd. Let  $(d'_1, \dots, d'_t)$  be the sequence for  $n - 1$ , which is even. It follows that  $d'_1 \geq 1$ , as only odd integers have  $d'_1$  equal to zero. Consider the sequence  $(0, d'_1, \dots, d'_t)$ . The sum of powers of 2 with this sequence evaluates to  $n$ . Since all distinct integers in the original sequence were greater than 0, it follows that the integers in the new sequence are also distinct. Therefore, the new sequence is a valid one for  $n$ .

7. Consider a set of  $n$  lines on a plane such that no two lines are parallel and no three lines intersect at the same point. They divide the plane into several regions (some of which may be unbounded).

- (a) Use strong induction to prove that there will be exactly  $1 + n(n + 1)/2$  regions. [4 marks]

Be as clear and precise as you can be. (One mark is reserved for good style.) You may state and use geometric facts without proof.

**Solution: Base Case:** Consider the case with  $n = 1$ . A single line divides the plane into two regions. For  $n = 1$ , the expression also evaluates to 2 and hence, the statement holds.

**Induction Hypothesis:** Assume that the statement holds for all  $n \leq k$ , for some  $k \geq 1$ .

**Induction Step:** We want to show that the statement also holds for  $n = k + 1$ . Any line in this system has exactly one intersection point with every other line. Moreover, the intersection points with two different lines are different because no three lines intersect at a point. Therefore, every line has  $k$  intersection points with other lines. If we consider the system without this line, it is divided into  $1 + k(k + 1)/2$  regions, as given by the induction hypothesis. Therefore, the addition

---

of this line adds exactly  $k + 1$  more regions, with these points being the corners of those regions. Thus, the new number of regions are

$$1 + k(k + 1)/2 + (k + 1) = 1 + (k + 1)(k + 2)/2$$

- (b) Consider colouring these regions using two colours, red and blue. That is, each region is assigned one of these two colours. Use strong induction to prove that there is always a colouring such that no two adjacent regions (i.e., regions which share a common border) have the same colour. [4 marks]

Be as clear and precise as you can be. (One mark is reserved for good style.) You may state and use geometric facts without proof.