

## Problem Set 4

1. Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet. The atomic formulae in MSO defined over  $\Sigma^*$  are  $x = y, x < y, S(x, y), X(x)$  and  $Q_a(x), a \in \Sigma$ . Consider the following logic called  $MSO_0$  having atomic formulae of the following forms:

$$Sing(X), X \subseteq Y, X < Y, S(X, Y), Q_a(X)$$

where

- $Sing(X)$  means that  $X$  is a SO variable of cardinality 1;
- $X \subseteq Y$  means that every element of the SO variable  $X$  is contained in the SO variable  $Y$ ;
- $X < Y$  means that SO variables  $X, Y$  have cardinality 1, and that the element in  $Y$  is greater than the element in  $X$ ;
- $S(X, Y)$  means that SO variables  $X, Y$  have cardinality 1, and  $Y$  contains the successor of the element in  $X$ ; and,
- $Q_a(X)$  means that all positions in  $X$  are decorated by  $a \in \Sigma$ .

If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula in MSO, then  $\varphi \wedge \varphi, \neg\varphi, \varphi \vee \varphi, \forall x \varphi$  and  $\forall X \varphi$  are formulae in MSO. Similarly, if  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula in  $MSO_0$ , then,  $\varphi \wedge \varphi, \neg\varphi, \varphi \vee \varphi$  and  $\forall X \varphi$  are formulae in  $MSO_0$ .

Compare the expressiveness of  $MSO$  and  $MSO_0$ .

2. For the formula  $\exists x \forall y (x < y \rightarrow Q_a(y))$  give an equivalent  $MSO_0$  formula.
3. Consider the following NFA  $N = (\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{a, b\}, \Delta, \{0\}, \{1\})$  with  $\Delta(0, b) = \{1\}, \Delta(1, a) = \{2\}, \Delta(2, a) = \{2\}, \Delta(2, b) = \{3\}$  and  $\Delta(3, b) = \{0\}$ . Write an MSO formula with two SO variables that characterizes  $L(N)$ .
4. Prove or disprove : Every MSO formula  $\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  over words is equivalent to an EMSO formula, that is a formula of the form

$$\exists Y_1 \dots \exists Y_m \psi(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$$

where  $\psi$  is an MSO formula.