Name: Shreejith Pillai

Link to forked repository:

https://github.com/Shreejith27/Grant-Writing-Support-Tool

Approach: Steps Taken to Analyse and Implement the Task

1. Analysis of the Problem:

The task involves creating an error detection feature for grant proposals. The goal
was to identify common issues such as inconsistent language, unclear objectives, and
missing sections within a grant proposal.

2. Identifying Key Areas to Analyse:

- o I identified three key areas where errors are commonly found in grant proposals:
 - 1. **Inconsistent Language**: Using different terms for the same concept, which can confuse readers.
 - 2. **Unclear Objectives**: Objectives that are vague or unclear, making it difficult for reviewers to understand the goals of the proposal.
 - 3. **Missing Information**: Essential sections such as budget, timeline, and goals that may be missing in the proposal.

3. Implementation Plan:

- To detect these issues, I used a combination of Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques and simple heuristics. Specifically:
 - Inconsistent Language: I analysed the frequency of key terms and flagged overuse or inconsistent use of related terms.
 - Unclear Objectives: I used a list of vague words and phrases (e.g., "improve",
 "increase") to identify unclear objectives.
 - Missing Information: I checked for the presence of critical sections like budget, timeline, and objectives.

4. **Development**:

 I created a Python script that uses spaCy for NLP tasks and NLTK for sentence tokenization to process the text. I defined functions to check for each of the error categories and implemented a main function to compile the results.

AI Tools Used:

spaCy:

 Purpose: Used for tokenizing the input text and identifying key terms for the analysis. It also helped in part-of-speech tagging, which was used for detecting inconsistencies in language. Application: Loaded the pre-trained English model (en_core_web_sm) to process and analyse the text.

NLTK:

- Purpose: Utilized for sentence tokenization to break down the grant proposal into smaller parts for more granular analysis.
- Application: The sent_tokenize function from NLTK was used to split the text into individual sentences and check for unclear objectives based on specific phrases.

• Regular Expressions (re):

- Purpose: Used for pattern matching and identifying whether certain key sections (e.g., "budget", "timeline") were mentioned in the proposal.
- Application: Applied regular expressions to search for these terms and check if the content was missing.

Challenges and Learnings:

1. Challenge: Missing NLTK Resources

- The initial error was due to missing the NLTK resource for sentence tokenization (punkt), which caused the script to fail.
- Solution: I resolved this by downloading the punkt resource using nltk.download('punkt') and ensuring all required components were available before running the script.

2. **Challenge**: Handling Vague Objectives

- It was tricky to define a comprehensive list of vague phrases that would consistently identify unclear objectives. There's no one-size-fits-all solution for identifying vague goals in grant proposals.
- Solution: I used a set of common vague words as a starting point (e.g., "improve",
 "better"), but this can be expanded based on the domain of the grant proposals or
 customized by the user for better accuracy.

3. Learning:

- The importance of NLP in analysing textual data was reinforced, and I learned how to apply simple NLP techniques, such as tokenization and part-of-speech tagging, to process and analyse text effectively.
- Also, combining simple heuristics (like checking for missing sections) with NLP tools provided a straightforward yet effective method for detecting errors in the grant proposal.