


Context
Preserved(Spatial)
Multivariate Cartogram?



Observations:

1. I1-5 are indicators.
2. I1 and I3 have "worse" CDF for sample compared to aggregate (regardless of whether I1 and I3 are LIO or HIO). Hence they are colored red.
3. I2 and I5 have "better" CDF for aggregate compared to sample (regardless of whether I2 and I5 are LIO or HIO). Hence they are colored green.
4. I1 and I5 have huge differences in CDF, hence the thickness is greater.
5. I2 and I3 have small differences in CDF, hence the thickness is smaller.
6. I4 has similar CDF for aggregate and sample (regardless of whether I4 is LIO or HIO). Hence it is colored green.
7. I1 and I5 have higher literature weight, I2 and I3 have medium literature weight and I4 has low literature weight. That is observed by the radius of the respective circles.
8. We can guess that I1-3 are phylums, I5 is species and I4 is probably class, order, or family. I say guess because we don't have rings to tell us. HOW CAN WE IMPROVE THIS? Perhaps we note a letter inside the circle?
9. We also don't know whether any of the indicators are LIO or HIO. HOW CAN WE IMPROVE THIS?
10. Since there are so many greens compared to reds and given all the information above, we can reasonably say that our sample does not resemble a Crohn's sample.