

CISC Design

Virendra Singh

Computer Architecture and Dependable Systems Lab

Department of Electrical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

<http://www.ee.iitb.ac.in/~viren/>

E-mail: viren@ee.iitb.ac.in

EE-309: Microprocessors



Lecture 21 (01 Sep 2015)

CADSL

PERFORMANCE



What is Performance for us?

- For computer architects
 - CPU time = time spent running a program
- Intuitively, bigger should be faster, so:
 - Performance = $1/X$ time, where X is response, CPU execution, etc.



Iron Law Example

- Machine A: clock 1ns, CPI 2.0, for program x
- Machine B: clock 2ns, CPI 1.2, for program x
- Which is faster and how much?

Time/Program = instr/program x cycles/instr x sec/cycle

$$\text{Time(A)} = N \times 2.0 \times 1 = 2N$$

$$\text{Time(B)} = N \times 1.2 \times 2 = 2.4N$$

$$\text{Compare: } \text{Time(B)}/\text{Time(A)} = 2.4N/2N = 1.2$$

- So, Machine A is 20% faster than Machine B for this program



Which Programs

- Execution time of what program?
- Best case – you always run the same set of programs
 - Port them and time the whole workload
- In reality, **use benchmarks**
 - Programs chosen to measure performance
 - Predict performance of actual workload
 - Saves effort and money
 - Representative? Honest? Benchmarking...



How to Average

	Machine A	Machine B
Program 1	1	10
Program 2	1000	100
Total	1001	110

- One answer: for total execution time, how much faster is B? **9.1x**

How to Average

- Another: arithmetic mean (same result)
- Arithmetic mean of times:
- $AM(A) = 1001/2 = 500.5$
- $AM(B) = 110/2 = 55$
- $500.5/55 = 9.1x$
- Valid only if programs run equally often, so use weighted arithmetic mean:

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n time(i) \right\} \times \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (weight(i) \times time(i)) \right\} \times \frac{1}{n}$$



Other Averages

- E.g., 30 mph for first 10 miles, then 90 mph for next 10 miles, what is average speed?
- Average speed = $(30+90)/2$ **WRONG**
- Average speed = total distance / total time
 $= (20 / (10/30 + 10/90))$
 $= 45 \text{ mph}$



Harmonic Mean

- Harmonic mean of rates =

$$\frac{n}{\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{rate(n)} \right\}}$$

- Use HM if forced to start and end with rates (e.g. reporting MIPS or MFLOPS)
- Why?
 - Rate has time in denominator
 - Mean should be proportional to inverse of sums of time (not sum of inverses)
- See: J.E. Smith, “Characterizing computer performance with a single number,” CACM Volume 31 , Issue 10 (October 1988), pp. 1202-1206.



Dealing with Ratios

	Machine A	Machine B
Program 1	1	10
Program 2	1000	100
Total	1001	110

- If we take ratios with respect to machine A

	Machine A	Machine B
Program 1	1	10
Program 2	1	0.1



Dealing with Ratios

- Average for machine A is 1, average for machine B is 5.05
- If we take ratios with respect to machine B

	Machine A	Machine B
Program 1	0.1	1
Program 2	10	1
Average	5.05	1

- Can't both be true!!!
- Don't use arithmetic mean on ratios!



Geometric Mean

- Use geometric mean for ratios
- Geometric mean of ratios =

$$\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n ratio(i)}$$

- Independent of reference machine
- In the example, GM for machine a is 1, for machine B is also 1
 - Normalized with respect to either machine

Summary

- Use AM for times
- Use HM if forced to use rates
- Use GM if forced to use ratios
- Best of all, use unnormalized numbers to compute time



Benchmarks: SPEC2000

- System Performance Evaluation Cooperative
 - Formed in 80s to combat benchmarking
 - SPEC89, SPEC92, SPEC95, SPEC2000
- 12 integer and 14 floating-point programs
 - Sun Ultra-5 300MHz reference machine has score of 100
 - Report GM of ratios to reference machine



Benchmarks: SPEC CINT2000

Benchmark	Description
164.gzip	Compression
175.vpr	FPGA place and route
176.gcc	C compiler
181.mcf	Combinatorial optimization
186.crafty	Chess
197.parser	Word processing, grammatical analysis
252.eon	Visualization (ray tracing)
253.perlbnk	PERL script execution
254.gap	Group theory interpreter
255.vortex	Object-oriented database
256.bzip2	Compression
300.twolf	Place and route simulator



Benchmarks: SPEC CFP2000


Benchmark	Description
168.wupwise	Physics/Quantum Chromodynamics
171.swim	Shallow water modeling
172.mgrid	Multi-grid solver: 3D potential field
173.applu	Parabolic/elliptic PDE
177.mesa	3-D graphics library
178.galgel	Computational Fluid Dynamics
179.art	Image Recognition/Neural Networks
183.quake	Seismic Wave Propagation Simulation
187.facerec	Image processing: face recognition
188.amp	Computational chemistry
189.lucas	Number theory/primality testing
191.fma3d	Finite-element Crash Simulation
200.sixtrack	High energy nuclear physics accelerator design
301.apsi	Meteorology: Pollutant distribution



CISC Design



Processor Architecture



Instruction Set

Instruction Format




Addressing


- Register Specification
- Effective Address
- Implicit Reference




Micro-coded Implementation



Random Logic Implementation



Micro-coded Implementation



Thank You

