Homework 5 solutions: convergence of random variables

EE 325: Probability and Random Processes, Autumn 2019
Instructor: Animesh Kumar, EE, IIT Bombay

1. Let f(t) be a bandlimited Fourier series defined with fundamental period T=1. That is,

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=-b}^{b} a[k] \exp(j2\pi kt), \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

where a[k] are the Fourier series coefficients of f(t). From an experiment, $f(U_1), \ldots, f(U_n)$ are obtained where U_1, \ldots, U_n are given i.i.d. realizations of a Uniform[0,1] random variable. Knowing the values of U_1, \ldots, U_n develop an approximation for the Fourier series coefficients a[k]. Evaluate the mean-squared error of your approximation for a[k]? It would be desirable if the mean-squared error decreases to zero as $n \to \infty$.

Solution: In this problem, we will use a technique named as Monte-Carlo integration. Let $g_k(U) = f(U) \exp(-j2\pi kU)$ be a function of a Uniform[0, 1] random variable U. The expected value of $g_k(U)$ is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[g_k(U)] = \int_0^1 f(u) \exp(-j2\pi ku) du. \tag{1}$$

The Fourier series coefficients of f(t) are given by

$$a[k] = \int_0^1 f(t)exp(-j2\pi kt)dt = \mathbb{E}[g_k(U)]. \tag{2}$$

That is, an estimate of $\mathbb{E}(g_k(U))$ will lead us to an estimate of the Fourier series coefficient a[k]. By the weak law of large numbers,

$$\widehat{A}[k] := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_k(U_i) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} a[k]$$
(3)

as $n \to \infty$. Since $g_k(U_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ are i.i.d., therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\widehat{A}[k] - a[k]\right|^2\right] = \frac{\operatorname{var}(|g_k(U))|}{n}.$$

The careful reader would notice that $g_k(U_i)$ is a complex variable, so the mean-squared error involves $|g_k(U)|$ and not $g_k(U)$. As long as $var(g_k(U))$ is bounded, the mean-squared error between $\widehat{A}[k]$ and a[k] decreases to zero with n.

Finally, $var(g_k(U))$ is bounded since

$$\operatorname{var}(|g_k(U)|) \le \mathbb{E}[|g_k(U)|^2] = \mathbb{E}(|f(U)|^2) = \int_0^1 |f(u)|^2 du$$

the energy of f(t) in one period is bounded.

2. Let $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, \ldots\}$ be a sequence of zero-mean dependent random variables such that,

$$cov(X_i, X_j) = \frac{1}{n^{|i-j|}}. (4)$$

Notice that as |i-j| increases, the covariance between X_i and X_j decreases. Is it true that $(S_n/n) \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} c$, where $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n$ and c is some constant? If yes, find the value of c.

Solution: First it will be shown that $var(S_n/n) \to 0$. Then, by Chebychev inequality, the convergence in probability will be established. Note that

$$\operatorname{var}\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \operatorname{var}(S_n).$$

Unless $var(S_n)$ grows quadratically with n, we can expect $var(S_n/n)$ to decrease to zero. And $var(S_n)$ will grow quadratically if X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n are heavily correlated with each other. The given covariance condition hints otherwise. That is why there is hope for $var(S_n/n)$ to converge to zero. Formally, $var(S_n)$ will be computed next.

$$\operatorname{var}(S_{n}) = \operatorname{var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \mu_{i})\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[(X_{i} - \mu_{i})(X_{j} - \mu_{j})\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{cov}(X_{i}, X_{j})$$

$$= n + \frac{2(n-1)}{n} + \frac{2(n-2)}{n^{2}} + \dots + \frac{2}{n^{n-1}}$$
(5)

Using (5),

$$\operatorname{var}\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2} - \frac{2}{n^3} + \frac{2(n-2)}{n^4} + \dots + \frac{2}{n^{n+1}}.$$
Hence, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) = 0.$ (6)

Since $\{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are zero-mean, therefore $\mathbb{E}(S_n)=0$. By Chebyshev's inequality,

$$0 \le \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - 0\right| > \epsilon\right) \le \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right).$$

Using (6), as $n \to \infty$, $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - 0\right| > \epsilon\right) \to 0$. Hence, $S_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} 0$.

3. Let $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, \ldots\}$ be an iid sequence of Unif[0,1] random variables. Let $Y_n = n(1 - X_{(n)})$. Find if $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} Y$. If yes, find the cdf of the limit Y.

Solution: Recall that $X_{(n)} = X_{n:n} = \max\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$. Its cdf is given by,

$$F_{X_{(n)}}(x) = \mathbb{P}(X_{(n)} \le x) = [F_{X_1}(x)]^n$$
, since all $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are i.i.d. random variables. (7)

Since X_n are i.i.d. Uniform random variables, therefore,

$$F_{X_{(n)}}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \le 0$$

$$= x^n \quad \text{for } 0 < x \le 1$$

$$= 1 \quad \text{for } x > 1$$
(8)

It is given that $Y_n = n(1 - X_{(n)})$, then $F_{Y_n}(y)$ can be worked out as:

$$F_{Y_n}(y) = \mathbb{P}(Y_n \le y) = \mathbb{P}(1 - X_{(n)} \le y/n) = 1 - F_{X_{(n)}}(1 - y/n).$$

Using (8),

$$F_{Y_n}(y) = 0 \qquad \text{for } y < 0$$

$$= 1 - (1 - y/n)^n \quad \text{for } 0 \le y \le n$$

$$= 1 \qquad \text{for } y > n$$

As $n \to \infty$, the interval y > n reduces to ∞ . Thus, $F_{Y_n}(y)$ can be simplified as

$$F_Y(y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F_{Y_n}(y) = 0 \quad \text{for } y < 0$$
$$= 1 - e^{-y} \quad \text{for } y \ge 0.$$

Hence, $Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} Y$, where Y is an Expoential(1) random variable.

4. Assume that $\{Y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\{Z_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are sequences of random variables such that $Y_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} Y$ and $Z_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} Z$. Show that $Y_n + Z_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} Y + Z$. (Hint: You may find the triangle inequality $|x + y| \le |x| + |y|$ useful.)

Solution: Y_n converges in probability to Y, i.e., $Y_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} Y$. This is equivalent to,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(|Y_n - Y| > \epsilon/2) = 0, \text{ for any } \epsilon > 0.$$

Define a set D_Y as follows:

$$D_Y(n) = \{|Y_n - Y| \le \epsilon/2\} = \{\omega : |Y_n(\omega) - Y(\omega)| \le \epsilon/2\}.$$

Similarly, let

$$D_Z(n) = \{ |Z_n - Z| \le \epsilon/2 \}.$$

Using the triangle inequality it is known that,

$$|(Y_n + Z_n) - (Y + Z)| \le |Y_n - Y| + |Z_n - Z| \tag{9}$$

Now consider an event D_{YZ} as follows:

$$D_{YZ}(n) = \{ |Y_n + Z_n - (Y + Z)| \le \epsilon \}$$

Using the triangle inequality, observe that $D_Y(n) \cap D_Z(n) \subseteq D_{YZ}(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $Y_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} Y$, it is noted that $\mathbb{P}(D_Y(n)) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Similarly, since $Z_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} Z$, it is noted that $\mathbb{P}(D_Z(n)) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. It is further noted that $\mathbb{P}(D_Z(n) \cap D_Y(n)) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. (Use $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B) - \mathbb{P}(A \cup B)$ to derive the last part.)

Therefore $1 \geq \mathbb{P}(D_{YZ}(n)) \geq \mathbb{P}(D_Y(n) \cap D_Z(n))$. As RHS tends to 1, $\mathbb{P}(D_{YZ}(n))$ will converge to 1. Thus, $(Y_n + Z_n) \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} (Y + Z)$.

5. Let $\{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variable. Assume that $X_n \sim \operatorname{Poisson}(1/n)$. Show that $X_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} 0$ and $nX_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} 0$.

Solution: Since $X_n \sim \text{Poisson}(1/n)$ therefore $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 1/n$. Using the Markov inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n| \ge \epsilon) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_n)}{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{n\epsilon} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_n - 0| \ge \epsilon) = 0 \text{ or } X_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} 0.$$

For showing $nX_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} 0$, the Markov inequality is not useful since $\mathbb{E}(nX_n) = 1$. Directly probability computation will be used. For any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(nX_n > \epsilon) = \mathbb{P}(X_n > \epsilon/n) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(nX_n = 0) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(X_n = 0) = 1 - e^{-1/n}.$$

Applying limits,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(|nX_n - 0| \ge \epsilon) = 0 \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0$$

which proves the desired result.

6. Assuming that $Z_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} Z$, show that $Z_n \stackrel{d}{\to} Z$. (Hint: You need to show that $\mathbb{P}(Z_n \leq x) \to \mathbb{P}(Z \leq x)$ for all x where $F_Z(x)$ is continuous. If $F_Z(x)$ is continuous at x, then there is an interval $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$ in which $F_Z(x)$ is continuous. Further, $|Z_n - Z| \leq \epsilon$ with high probability. Connect these pieces with suitable inequalities to get the result.)

Solution: To show that $F_{Z_n}(x) \to F_Z(x)$, we need to show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(Z_n \leq x) \to \mathbb{P}(Z \leq x)$ when $F_Z(x)$ is continuous. Using the law of total probability, first note that

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x) - \mathbb{P}(Z \le x) = \{ \mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x, Z > x + \delta) + \mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x, Z \le x + \delta) \} - \mathbb{P}(Z \le x).$$

Similarly, $F_Z(x)$ can be rewritten as,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \le x) = \mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x + \delta, Z \le x) + \mathbb{P}(Z_n > x + \delta, Z \le x).$$

Using the above results we can write

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x) - \mathbb{P}(Z \le x) = \mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x, Z > x + \delta) + \mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x, Z \le x + \delta) - \mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x + \delta, Z \le x) - \mathbb{P}(Z_n > x + \delta, Z \le x).$$

The first and the fourth term imply that $|Z_n - Z| > \delta$. Since $Z_n \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} Z$, therefore $\mathbb{P}(|Z_n - Z| > \delta) \le \epsilon$ for large enough n. Thus,

$$0 \le \mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x) - \mathbb{P}(Z \le x) \le \epsilon + \mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x, Z \le x + \delta) - \mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x + \delta, Z \le x)$$
$$\le \epsilon + \mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x + \delta, Z \le x + \delta) - \mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x + \delta, Z \le x),$$

In the last step we use the fact that $\{Z_n \leq x\} \subseteq \{Z_n \leq (x+\delta)\}$. Finally,

$$0 \le \mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x) - \mathbb{P}(Z \le x) \le \epsilon + \mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x + \delta, x \le Z \le x + \delta)$$

$$< \epsilon + \mathbb{P}(x < Z < x + \delta) \text{ since } \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) < \mathbb{P}(A).$$

Since $F_Z(x)$ is continuous at x, $\mathbb{P}(x < Z \le x + \delta) = F_Z(x + \delta) - F_Z(x) \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$. As $n \to \infty$, δ (and ϵ) can be made arbitrarily small. Thus, we have $0 \le \mathbb{P}(Z_n \le x) - \mathbb{P}(Z \le x) \le \epsilon + \epsilon_1$, where both $\epsilon, \epsilon_1 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This proves the result.

Observe that the continuity of F_Z at x is critical for arguing that $\epsilon_1 \to 0$.

7. Let $\{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of random variables. Assume b to be a real number. Show that $X_n \stackrel{\mathcal{L}^2}{\to} b$ if and only if,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n) = b \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \text{var}(X_n) = 0.$$

Solution: First note that for any r.v. X, $\mathbb{E}((X-b)^2) = \text{var}(X) + (b-\mathbb{E}(X))^2$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}(|X_n - b|^2) = \operatorname{var}(X_n) + (b - \mathbb{E}(X_n))^2.$$

Observe that both the terms on the RHS of (7) are positive. Thus, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(|X_n-b|^2) = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n) = b$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{var}(X_n) = 0$.

8. (Typical sets) Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be i.i.d. Bernoulli(p) random variables. Let p(x), x = 0, 1 be the pmf of X. Consider the typical set,

$$A_n(\epsilon) := \left\{ x_1^n : \left| -\frac{1}{n} \log_2(p(x_1^n)) - H_2(p) \right| \le \epsilon \right\}.$$

- (a) Show that for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and large enough n, $\mathbb{P}((X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \in A_n(\epsilon)) \geq (1 \epsilon)$.
- (b) Let $h_2(p) = -p \log_2 p (1-p) \log_2 (1-p)$. Show that for any $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A_n(\epsilon)$,

$$2^{-nh_2(p)-n\epsilon} \le \mathbb{P}((X_1,\ldots,X_n) = (x_1,\ldots,x_n)) \le 2^{-nh_2(p)+n\epsilon}$$

Thus, all typical set sequences have approximately the same probability of $\approx 2^{-nh_2(p)}$.

(c) Show that the number of typical sequences $|A_n(\epsilon)|$ satisfies the following inequality,

$$(1 - \epsilon)2^{nh_2(p) - n\epsilon} \le |A_n(\epsilon)| \le 2^{nh_2(p) + n\epsilon}.$$

Thus about $2^{nh_2(p)}$ typical sequences are there and they require $nh_2(p)$ bits for representation. (Hint: use the Union bound.)

Solution:

(a) By WLLN and using $p(X_1^n) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(X_i)$,

$$-\frac{1}{n}\log_2(p(X_1^n)) \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{\to} H_2(p)$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{x_1^n : \left| -\frac{1}{n} \log_2(p(x_1^n)) - H_2(p) \right| \le \epsilon \right\} = 1$$

i.e., $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n(\epsilon)) \to 1$. Hence for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an n_0 , such that $\mathbb{P}(A_n(\epsilon)) \geq (1-\epsilon)$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

(b) On the set $A_n(\epsilon)$, we have,

$$\left\{ \left| -\frac{1}{n} \log_2(p(x_1^n)) - H_2(p) \right| \right\} \le \epsilon$$

which can be rewritten as shown below:

$$-\epsilon \le -\frac{1}{n}\log_2(p(x_1^n)) - H_2(p) \le \epsilon$$
$$-n\epsilon \le -\log_2(p(x_1^n)) - nH_2(p) \le n\epsilon$$
$$-n\epsilon - nH_2(p) \le \log_2(p(x_1^n)) \le n\epsilon - nH_2(p)$$
$$2^{(-n\epsilon - nH_2(p))} \le p(x_1^n) \le 2^{(n\epsilon - nH_2(p))}$$

This proves the desired result.

(c) We know that $\sum_{x_1^n \in A_n(\epsilon)} p(x_1^n) = \mathbb{P}(A_n(\epsilon)) \leq 1$. From part(b), $p(x_1^n) \geq 2^{(-n\epsilon - nH_2(p))}, x_1^n \in A_n(\epsilon)$. Using these results, the following can be derived:

$$\sum_{x_1^n \in A_n(\epsilon)} 2^{(-n\epsilon - nH_2(p))} \le \sum_{x_1^n \in A_n(\epsilon)} p(x_1^n) \le 1, \text{ or}$$

$$2^{(-n\epsilon - nH_2(p))} \sum_{x_1^n \in A_n(\epsilon)} 1 \le 1, \text{ or}$$

$$2^{(-n\epsilon - nH_2(p))} |A_n(\epsilon)| \le 1.$$

Thus $|A_n(\epsilon)| \le 2^{(n\epsilon + nH_2(p))}$.

For deriving the lower bound on $|A_n(\epsilon)|$, consider $\mathbb{P}(A_n(\epsilon)) \geq 1 - \epsilon$ and $p(x_1^n) \leq 2^{(n\epsilon - nH_2(p))}$.

$$\sum_{\substack{x_1^n\in A_n(\epsilon)\\x_1^n\in A_n(\epsilon)}}p(x_1^n)\geq 1-\epsilon \text{ which leads to}$$

By rearranging the terms, we get $|A_n(\epsilon)| \ge (1 - \epsilon)2^{(n\epsilon - nH_2(p))}$.