

1st SIT COURSEWORK 1:

Autumn Semester 2019

Module Code: CU6051NA

Module Title: Artificial Intelligence

Module Leader: Sukrit Shakya (Islington College)

Coursework Type: Individual

Coursework Weight: This coursework accounts for 20% of your total module

grades.

Submission Date: Week 11

When Coursework is

given out:

Week 6

Submission Submit the following to Islington College RTE department

Instructions: before the due date:

• Report in PDF format

Warning: London Metropolitan University and Islington College

takes Plagiarism seriously. Offenders will be dealt with

sternly.

© London Metropolitan University

Plagiarism Notice

You are reminded that there exist regulations concerning plagiarism.

Extracts from University Regulations on Cheating, Plagiarism and Collusion

Section 2.3: "The following broad types of offence can be identified and are provided as indicative examples

- (i) Cheating: including copying coursework.
- (ii) Falsifying data in experimental results.
- (iii) Personation, where a substitute takes an examination or test on behalf of the candidate. Both candidate and substitute may be guilty of an offence under these Regulations.
- (iv) Bribery or attempted bribery of a person thought to have some influence on the candidate's assessment.
- (v) Collusion to present joint work as the work solely of one individual.
- (vi) Plagiarism, where the work or ideas of another are presented as the candidate's own.
- (vii) Other conduct calculated to secure an advantage on assessment.
- (viii) Assisting in any of the above.

Some notes on what this means for students:

- (i) Copying another student's work is an offence, whether from a copy on paper or from a computer file, and in whatever form the intellectual property being copied takes, including text, mathematical notation and computer programs.
- (ii) Taking extracts from published sources without attribution is an offence. To quote ideas, sometimes using extracts, is generally to be encouraged. Quoting ideas is achieved by stating an author's argument and attributing it, perhaps by quoting, immediately in the text, his or her name and year of publication, e.g. " e = mc2 (Einstein 1905)". A reference section at the end of your work should then list all such references in alphabetical order of authors' surnames. (There are variations on this referencing system which your tutors may prefer you to use.) If you wish to quote a paragraph or so from published work then indent the quotation on both left and right margins, using an italic font where practicable, and introduce the quotation with an attribution.

Further information in relation to the existing London Metropolitan University regulations concerning plagiarism can be obtained from http://www.londonmet.ac.uk/academic-regulations

Coursework 1

Coursework 1 is a research work that students need to carry out on the following or similar Al Topics:

- Problem solving and Heuristic Search
- Adversarial search and games
- Natural Language Processing
- Machine Learning
- Knowledge Representation

The students are free to choose any Al problem/topic of their choice but are required to get the approval from the module leader. The students are required to study and do research on the chosen topic and develop a conceptual solution for the chosen problem. Students are also required to describe the solution using necessary diagrams and pseudocode.

Submission needs to include:

- Report with the following inclusion:
 - Introduction
 - Explanation of the topic/Al concepts used
 - Explanation/introduction of the chosen problem domain/topic
 - Background
 - Research work done on the chosen topic/problem domain
 - Review and analysis of existing work in the problem domain (may include existing projects/research work already done in the problem domain)
 - Solution (proposed solution to the chosen problem)
 - Explanation of the proposed solution/approach to solving the problem
 - Explanation of the Al algorithm/algorithms used
 - Pseudocode of the solution
 - Diagrammatical representations of the solution (flowcharts/state transition diagrams)
 - o Conclusion
 - Analysis of the work done
 - How the solution addresses real world problems
 - Further work

Artificial Intelligence: CW1: Marking Scheme

University Grading Scheme for Undergraduate Programmes: 2019/20			
Marking criteria	Letter grade	Mark recorded	
C1 – Work Showing Evidence:			
 The introduction explains the topic perfectly and the problem domain has been discussed very clearly. An exceptional level of understanding of the topic material has been shown. 	A+	95	
 Exceptional research work has been done with proper explanations using proper sources and referencing. An exceptional level of understanding has been shown. 			
 The proposed solution has been described perfectly using necessary diagrams and clear pseudocode. An exceptional level of understanding of the solution is displayed. 			
 The report is well-structured, written in good English, free from spelling and grammatical errors and is written in a professional style of a technical article and presented at a high standard. 			
C2 – Work Showing Evidence:			
1. The introduction explains the topic at an outstanding level and the problem domain has been discussed very clearly. An outstanding level of understanding of the topic material has been shown.	А	85	
 Outstanding research work has been done with proper explanations using proper sources and referencing. An outstanding level of understanding has been shown. 			
 The proposed solution has been described perfectly using necessary diagrams and clear pseudocode. An outstanding level of understanding of the solution is displayed. 			
 The report is well structured, written well, and free from spelling and grammatical errors and is written at a very good quality standard. 			
C3 – Work Showing Evidence:			

	The introduction explains the topic at an excellent level and the problem domain has been discussed clearly. An excellent level of understanding of the topic material has been shown. Excellent research work has been done with proper explanations using proper sources and referencing.	A-	75
3.	An excellent level of understanding has been shown. The proposed solution has been described perfectly using necessary diagrams and clear pseudocode. An excellent level of understanding of the solution is displayed.		
4.	The report has a good structure, written well, free from spelling and grammatical errors and is at a good quality standard.		
C4 – W	Ork Showing Evidence:		
1.	The introduction explains the topic at a very good level and the problem domain has been discussed well. A very good level of understanding of the topic material has been shown.	B+	67
2.	Very good research work has been done with suitable explanations using proper sources and referencing. A very good level of understanding has been shown.		
3.	The proposed solution has been described well using necessary diagrams and clear pseudocode. A very good level of understanding of the solution is displayed.		
4.	The report is a structured one, written reasonably well but may contain only minor typos and grammatical errors but on the whole is a good report.		
C5 – W	/ork Showing Evidence:		
	The introduction explains the topic at a good level and the problem domain has been discussed fairly well. A good level of understanding of the topic material has been shown.	В	63
2.	explanations using proper sources and referencing. A good level of understanding has been shown.		
3.	The proposed solution has been described well using necessary diagrams and clear pseudocode. A good level of understanding of the solution is		

4.	displayed. The report is written well but may contain some spelling and grammatical mistakes but on the whole is a reasonable report.		
C6 – W	Vork Showing Evidence:		
	The introduction explains the topic at a reasonable level and the problem domain has been discussed fairly well. A reasonable level of understanding of the topic material has been shown. Research work has been done at a reasonable level with suitable explanations using proper sources and referencing. A reasonable level of understanding has been shown.	C+	57
3. 4.	The proposed solution has been described fairly well using necessary diagrams and pseudocode. A reasonable level of understanding of the solution is displayed. The report is written with a satisfactory standard		
	that may contain spelling and grammatical errors.		
C7 – W	Ork Showing Evidence:		
	The introduction explains the topic at a satisfactory level and the problem domain has been discussed reasonably. A satisfactory level of understanding of the topic material has been shown. Research work has been done at a reasonable level	С	53
	with suitable explanations using proper sources and referencing. A satisfactory level of understanding has been shown.		
3.	The proposed solution has been described at a satisfactory level using necessary diagrams and pseudocode. A satisfactory level of understanding of the solution is displayed.		
4.	The report is written at a satisfactory level that contains spelling and grammatical errors.		
C8 – M	Vork Showing Evidence:		
	The introduction explains the topic only at a basic level and the problem domain has been discussed only at a basic minimum level. Only a basic level of understanding of the topic material has been shown.	D+	47
2.	Research work has been done at only a basic level		

	with short explanations. Only a basic level of understanding has been shown. The proposed solution has been described at only a basic level using necessary diagrams and pseudocode. Only a basic level of understanding of the solution is displayed. The report is written at a satisfactory level, which may lack structure and/or contain spelling and grammatical errors.		
C9 – W	/ork Showing Evidence:		
1.	The introduction explains the topic at a very basic level and the problem domain has been discussed only at a basic minimum level. A very basic level of understanding of the topic material has been shown.	D	43
2.	Research work has been done only at a basic level with short. A very basic level of understanding has been shown.		
3.	The proposed solution has been described only at a very basic level using diagrams and pseudocode. A very basic level of understanding of the solution is displayed.		
4.	The report lacks structure and/or contains spelling and grammatical errors but on the whole just reaches a minimum acceptable level.		
C10 -	Work Showing Evidence:		
1.	The introduction does not explain the topic even at a basic level and the problem domain has been discussed very weakly. A weak level of understanding of the topic material has been shown.	F1	37
2.	Evidence of research work is poor. A weak level of understanding has been shown.		
3.	The proposed solution has not been described properly and is unclear. A poor level of understanding of the solution is displayed.		
4.	The report lacks structure presented poorly and contains spelling and grammatical errors, which on the whole is at an unacceptable standard.		
C11 - '	Work Showing Evidence:		
1.	The introduction does not explain the topic even at		

3.	structure and cohesion, which contains spelling, and grammatical errors that make it considerably lower	F2	23
	than just an acceptable technical report expected at this level.		
-	on-submission or submission of work which cannot en any credit (e.g., blank submission, incorrect ment)	F3	0

-END-