## IEOR 4500 Robust Arbitrage Model

Notation:

- K = number of scenarios
- $0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$ : indices for assets (cash is 0)
- r = risk-free interest rate
- $\bar{\pi}_{0j} = \text{today's price for asset } j$ ,
- $\bar{\pi}_{kj}$  = expected value of  $\pi_{kj}$ , the price for asset j in scenario  $k \geq 1$  ( $0 \leq j \leq K$ )
- $\sigma_{kj} = \text{maximum deviation of price } \pi_{kj} \text{ away from its expectation.}$

The robust problem we want to solve is:

$$W^* \doteq \min \qquad \sum_{j=0}^n \pi_{0j} x_j, \tag{1}$$

s.t.

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \pi_{kj} x_j \geq 0, \text{ for all } k, \text{ and}$$

for all 
$$\pi_{kj}$$
 such that  $\bar{\pi}_{kj} - \sigma_{kj} \le \pi_{kj} \le \bar{\pi}_{kj} + \sigma_{kj}$  (2)

$$-1 \le x_j \le 1, \quad 0 \le j \le n. \tag{3}$$

**Lemma 1.**  $W^* < 0$  if and only if a type A arbitrage exists.

Lemma 1 casts the problem of testing for a type A arbitrage into a Linear Program with an infinite number of constraints. Next we will render this into a useful formulation. Given a vector x, we say that x is feasible for scenario k  $(1 \le k \le K)$  if

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \pi_{kj} x_j \ge 0,$$

for every choice of prices  $\pi_{kj}$  for scenario k such that  $\bar{\pi}_{kj} - \sigma_{kj} \leq \bar{\pi}_{kj} \leq \bar{\pi}_{kj} + \sigma_{kj}$  for every asset j. So the robust problem can be rewritten as

$$W^* \doteq \min \qquad \sum_{j=0}^n \pi_{kj} x_j, \tag{4}$$

e t

$$x$$
 is feasible for scenario  $k$ , for every  $1 \le k \le K$  (5)

$$-1 \le x_j \le 1, \quad 0 \le j \le n. \tag{6}$$

Constraint (5) describes an infinite number of conditions. We can make this into a compact statement as follows. Consider a given, fixed asset vector  $\hat{x}$ . Note that  $\hat{x}$  is feasible for scenario k if and only if  $V_k^*(\hat{x}) \geq 0$ , where

$$V_k^*(\hat{x}) \doteq \min \qquad \sum_{j=0}^n \hat{x}_j \pi_{kj}, \tag{7}$$

$$s.t. \bar{\pi}_{kj} - \sigma_{kj} \le \pi_{kj} \le \bar{\pi}_{kj} + \sigma_{kj} 0 \le j \le n. (8)$$

In this LP, the  $\hat{x}$  vector is given data, and the  $\pi_{kj}$  are the variables. We can rewrite this LP as follows:

$$V_k^*(\hat{x}) \doteq \min \qquad \sum_{j=0}^n \hat{x}_j \pi_{kj}, \tag{9}$$

$$s.t. (10)$$

$$\pi_{kj} \geq \bar{\pi}_{kj} - \sigma_{kj}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n, \tag{11}$$

$$-\pi_{kj} \geq -\bar{\pi}_{kj} - \sigma_{kj}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n. \tag{12}$$

The value of an LP is equal to that of its dual. The dual of (9)-(12) is a maximization problem. Thus, it follows that  $V_k^*(\hat{x}) \geq 0$  if and only if there exists a vector of duals feasible for the dual of (9)-(12), of nonnegative value. Denoting the dual of constraint (11) by  $u_{kj}$ , and the dual of constraint (12) by  $v_{kj}$ , we have that  $V_k^*(\hat{x}) \geq 0$  (i.e., vector  $\hat{x}$  is feasible for scenario k) if there exist values  $u_{kj}$ ,  $v_{kj}$  (1 \le  $j \leq n$ ) such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} (\bar{\pi}_{kj} - \sigma_{kj}) u_{kj} + (-\bar{\pi}_{kj} - \sigma_{kj}) v_{kj} \ge 0, \tag{13}$$

$$u_{kj} - v_{kj} = \hat{x}_j, \quad 0 \le j \le n, \tag{14}$$

$$u_{kj} \ge 0, \ v_{kj} \ge 0, \quad 0 \le j \le n,$$
 (15)

In summary, our robust arbitrage testing LP is

$$W^* \doteq \min \qquad \sum_{j=0}^n \pi_{0j} x_j, \tag{16}$$

s.t.

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} (\bar{\pi}_{kj} - \sigma_{kj}) u_{kj} + (-\bar{\pi}_{kj} - \sigma_{kj}) v_{kj} \ge 0, \ 1 \le k \le K, \tag{17}$$

$$u_{kj} - v_{kj} - x_j = 0, \quad 0 \le j \le n, \ 1 \le k \le K,$$
 (18)

$$u_{kj} \ge 0, \ v_{kj} \ge 0, \quad 0 \le j \le n, \ 1 \le k \le K,$$
 (19)

$$-1 \le x_j \le 1, \quad 0 \le j \le n. \tag{20}$$

In this linear program, the variables are the x, the u and the v. We can think of this process as a 'game': we pick the vector x and there is an adversary who in each scenario will try to manipulate prices so that the value of the portfolio in that scenario becomes negative. By picking x so that constraints (18) and (19) hold, we make the adversary's task **impossible:** no (legal) choice of the prices will make the portfolio value negative in any scenario.