Secondary-Storage Structures

Secondary Storage Structure

- □ Disk is secondary storage that is used to store data
- ☐ The data is provided to user programs by means of I/O requests.

Disk Structure

- Disk is divided into tracks cylinder and sectors.
- Data is stored on series of magnetic disks called Platters, connected by spindle.
- Surface of platter is divided into circular rings called Tracks
- Tracks are divided into Sectors.
- Sectors are of fixed sized.
- Tracks are one arm position form a cylinder.

Secondary Storage Structure

- Cylinder contains one track per platter surface.
- Sector stores 512 or 1024 bytes of user data.
- Sector is identified by: Cylinder no., track no. with in cylinder and position no. In track.

Cylinder is numbered starting with zero , from outer to inner most cylinder

Tracks are numbered starting with zero from top track to bottom

Sector start with zero ant iclock wise starting from reference position.

Magnetic Tape

- Data is written on tape
- □ Entire tape is divided into 9 tracks for storing the data
- ☐ First 8 tracks will contain 8 bits and 9th track is used to contain parity bit.
- Entire tape pass through read write head
- □ A Read/Write head is an Array of 9 read/write heads
- Data is stored in the form of records
- Multiple bytes form a record.


Magnetic Disk

Magnetic Disks

- Bits of data (0's and 1's) are stored on circular magnetic platters called <u>disks</u>.
- A disk rotates rapidly (60 to 200 times per second).
- A <u>disk head</u> reads and writes bits of data as they pass under the head.
- Often, several platters are organized into a disk pack (or disk drive).


Disk Surface

Looking at a surface



Surface of disk showing tracks and sectors

Moving-head Disk Machanism



Mass Storage Structure

Seek Time: It is the time required to move a head to the required track.

- Latency Time: It is the amount of time it takes the portion of disk on which data record is stored to spin under read/write head.
- Transfer time: time spent in actually moving data to from the disk surface.

It is amount of information to be read, the number of bytes per track and rotation speed.

Total Access Time: it is Seek time + Latency Time + Transfer Time

Disk Scheduling

Scheduling is mechanism of arranging requests in order to save un-necessary rotations by disk arm and disk pointer.

OS takes I/O requests from queue and process them one by one. Algo. used to select which I/O request is going to be selected first is called Disk Scheduling Algorithm

Objective:

- 1. Minimize response time
- 2. Maximize Throughput.

Response Time: Avg. time that a request must wait before it is satisfied

Throughput: Avg. number of requests satisfied per unit of time.

Disk Scheduling

- The operating system is responsible for using hardware efficiently

 for the disk drives, this means having a fast access time and disk bandwidth.
- Access time has two major components
 - Seek time is the time for the disk are to move the heads to the cylinder containing the desired sector.
 - Rotational latency is the additional time waiting for the disk to rotate the desired sector to the disk head.
- Minimize seek time
- Seek time ≈ seek distance

FCFS


- Several algorithms exist to schedule the servicing of disk I/O requests.
- □ A disk size of 200 tracks receives the requests for the blocks for I/O operation. No. of blocks requested on disk are:

98, 183, 37, 122, 14, 124, 65, 67

Head pointer/ Disk arm is at 53

FCFS

Illustration shows total head movement of 640 cylinders.



FCFS

Illustration shows total head movement of 640 cylinders.


Total Head Movements:

SSTF- Shortest Seek Time First

- Selects the request with the minimum seek time from the current head position.
- SSTF scheduling is a form of SJF scheduling; may cause starvation of some requests.

Illustration shows total head movement of 236 cylinders.

SSTF (Cont.)




- 5) 53-98=45
- 8) 53-183=130
- 3) 53-37=16
- 6)53-122=69
- 4)53-14=39
- 7) 53-124=71
- 1) 53-65=12
- 2) 53-67=14

SCAN

- The disk arm starts at one end of the disk, and moves toward the other end, servicing requests until it gets to the other end of the disk, where the head movement is reversed and servicing continues.
- A request arriving behind the head will have to wait until the arm moves to the end of the disk, reverses direction and comes back.
- Sometimes called the *elevator algorithm*.
- Illustration shows total head movement of 208 cylinders.
- **Example:** Previously head is at 60. so from 60 we go to 53 i.e left But if previously if head is at 5 then direction will be towards right. By deafult: left direction.

SCAN (Cont.)



SCAN

- Advantage
- Throughput is better than FCFS
- □ If a request arrive in a queue just in front of the head, it will be serviced immediately.

Disadvantage:

The disk arm always starts from the beginning, no matter other number of requests are present on the other end of disk.

C-Scan

- □ It is an enhancement of SCAN algorithm.
- Head moves from one end of disk to the other servicing the request along the way.
- When the disk arm reaches the end it quickly returns to the other end without fulfilling any request in the way
- It restricts servicing request from one direction only.
- My cylinder are rising from 0 to n-1 and wise versa. Next will be 0
- It will move in increasing order of cylinder number.
- Head will go to extreme ends.
- Move towards increasing direction of cylinder number

C-Scan

Suppose a disk is having 200 cylinder from 0 to 199. disk is currently searching for disk head 53. previous request was at head 60. the queue of pending request is in FIFO order.

98,183,37,122,14,124,65,67.


Find total head movements.

Ans:183

LOOK or C-LOOK

- Same as SCAN
- Arm Does not go to end.
- Will not go beyond max(1.e 183) and beyond min(i.e. 14)
- Arm only goes as far as the final request in each direction,
- Then it reverses direction immediately, without first going all the way to the end of the disk.

LOOK



Total head movements: 208

Selecting a Disk-Scheduling Algorithm

- SSTF is common and has a natural appeal
- SCAN perform better for systems that place a heavy load on the disk.
- Performance depends on the number and types of requests.
- Requests for disk service can be influenced by the file-allocation method.
- The disk-scheduling algorithm should be written as a separate module of the operating system, allowing it to be replaced with a different algorithm if necessary.
- Either SSTF or LOOK is a reasonable choice for the default algorithm.