

# 1. Alert Priority Levels

### 1.1 Core Concepts Learned

#### **Priority Definitions:**

Critical = Ransomware encryption, major data breach, full service outage.

**High** = Unauthorized admin access, privilege escalation.

**Medium** = Lateral movement attempts, brute-force attacks with limited success.

**Low** = Reconnaissance activity (e.g., port scans).

#### **Assignment Criteria:**

**Asset criticality:** Production database > Test VM.

**Exploit likelihood:** Public exploit available = higher priority.

Business impact: Financial loss or compliance violation raises priority.

#### **Scoring Systems:**

Learned CVSS v3.1 metrics (Base, Temporal, Environmental). Studied risk scoring in SOC tools (Splunk, Elastic SIEM).

#### 1.2 References Used

FIRST CVSS v3.1 Guide NIST SP 800-61 Rev. 2 (Incident Handling Guide) CISA Log4Shell Alert (AA21-356A)

# 1.3 Case Study Example

Vulnerability: Log4Shell (CVE-2021-44228)

CVSS Score: 10.0 (Critical)

Impact: Remote Code Execution, widespread exploitation.

**Priority Level Assigned:** Critical

# 1.4 Skills Developed

Ability to map vulnerabilities and alerts to priority levels.



Capability to apply CVSS scoring in SOC workflows.

### 2. Incident Classification

### 2.1 Core Concepts Learned

#### **Incident Categories:**

Malware → Host-based infection.

Phishing → Email-based credential theft.

DDoS  $\rightarrow$  Service disruption.

Insider Threat → Unauthorized data export.

Data Exfiltration → Unauthorized transfer of sensitive data.

#### **Taxonomies Studied:**

MITRE ATT&CK (techniques & tactics).

ENISA Incident Taxonomy.

VERIS Framework.

#### **Contextual Metadata:**

Timestamps, source/destination IPs.

Indicators of Compromise (file hashes, domains).

Affected system roles (server, workstation, network device).

#### 2.2 References Used

MITRE ATT&CK Navigator

**ENISA Incident Classification Taxonomy** 

VERIS Community Database (VCDB)

# 2.3 Case Study Example

**Incident Type**: Phishing Campaign

MITRE ATT&CK Mapping: T1566.001 (Phishing: Spearphishing Attachment)

**Metadata Collected:** 

IOC: SHA256 hash of attachment

**Source IP:** 192.168.204.131

Affected User: 20hotdogg00@gmail.com



### 2.4 Skills Developed

Ability to standardize incident classification. Capability to enrich alerts with metadata for investigations.

# 3. Basic Incident Response

### 3.1 Core Concepts Learned

#### **Incident Lifecycle (NIST SP 800-61):**

Preparation → Playbooks, IR tools.

Identification  $\rightarrow$  Alert triage in SIEM.

Containment → Isolate infected host.

Eradication  $\rightarrow$  Remove malware, revoke access.

Recovery → Restore from backups, monitor.

Lessons Learned → Post-mortem review.

#### **Procedures Practiced:**

System isolation using firewall rules.

Evidence preservation (hashing artifacts, memory dump).

Communication protocol (escalation matrix).

SOAR workflow basics (Splunk Phantom/Elastic SOAR).

#### 3.2 References Used

NIST SP 800-61 SANS Incident Handler's Handbook Let's Defend Labs

# 3.3 Case Study Example

Incident: Malware infection detected on a test VM.

Containment: Quarantined host from network using iptables.

Eradication: Removed malicious binary. Recovery: Restored system from snapshot.

Lessons Learned: Improve email filtering to block attachment type.



# 3.4 Skills Developed

Mastery of incident response lifecycle. Familiarity with evidence handling and system isolation. Exposure to SOAR-based response automation.

# 4. Overall Reflection

Stronger grasp on alert prioritization frameworks. Improved ability to classify incidents using MITRE ATT&CK.

Hands-on practice with incident lifecycle management.

Next Steps: Explore advanced threat hunting with Sigma rules and automation with SOAR platforms.