

Modeling Document

• Governing Equations

$$\begin{aligned} -k\nabla^2 T(x, y) &= q(x, y) \text{ in } \Omega \\ T(x, y) &= T_{masa}(x, y) \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where,

Ω is a 2D bounded domain

$\partial\Omega$ is the boundary of the domain

T is the material's temperature field

q is the heat source term

k is the thermal conductivity

We have the Dirichlet boundary conditions.

• Assumptions

- The thermal conductivity is assumed to be constant.
- We assume a square domain $\Omega = \{ (x, y) : x \in [0, L], y \in [0, L] \}$ for the 2D case. For 1D, it will obviously be a line $\Omega = \{ (x, y) : x \in [0, L] \}$
- Dirichlet boundary condition is assumed at the boundaries
- For the fourth order scheme, we assume that the values at the points adjacent to the boundary points are known from the MASA solution. This is to reduce the cumbersome effort required to come up with different schemes at the boundary.
- For the 2D case we assume symmetrical discretization i.e. the number of grid points in both directions are the same and $\Delta x = \Delta y = h$.

• Nomenclature for discretization

Our numerical methods are all node based (as will be reiterated later).

- 1D We have $(N + 1)$ points $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots x_N\}$ in the x-direction with $x_i = i\Delta x$, where $\Delta x = L/N$
- 2D We have $(N + 1)$ points $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots x_N\}$ in the x-direction with $x_i = i\Delta x$, where $\Delta x = L/N = h$ and $(N + 1)$ points $\{y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots y_N\}$ in the y-direction with $y_j = j\Delta y$, where $\Delta y = L/N = h$. Hence, we have a $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ grid.
- i is always associated with the indexing in x-direction and j is always associated with the indexing in y-direction.

- $T(x_i, y_j)$ is given the shorthand notation $T(i, j)$ and $q(x_i, y_j)$ is given the shorthand notation $q(i, j)$
- The composite index for 2D grid is given by $k = i + (N + 1)j$.

• Numerical Method

Our numerical methods are all node based (as will be reiterated later).

– 2nd order finite difference approximation

Definition

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \approx \frac{T(x + \Delta x) - 2T(x) + T(x - \Delta x)}{\Delta x^2} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2)$$

Discretized heat equation

1. 1D

$$\begin{cases} -k \left(\frac{T(i+1) - 2T(i) + T(i-1))}{\Delta x^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2) = q(i), & i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N-1\} \\ T(0) = T_{masa}(0) \text{ and } T(N) = T_{masa}(L) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

2. 2D

$$\begin{cases} -k \left(\frac{T(i+1, j) - 2T(i, j) + T(i-1, j))}{h^2} \right) - k \left(\frac{T(i, j+1) - 2T(i, j) + T(i, j-1))}{h^2} \right) \\ + \mathcal{O}(h^2) = q(i, j), & i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N-1\} \text{ } j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N-1\} \\ T(i, j) = T_{masa}(x_i, y_j) \text{ for } i \in \{0, N\}, j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N\} \\ T(i, j) = T_{masa}(x_i, y_j) \text{ for } i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N\}, j \in \{0, N\} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

– 4th order finite difference approximation

Definition

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \approx \frac{-T(x - 2\Delta x) + 16T(x - \Delta x) - 30T(x) + 16T(x + \Delta x) - T(x + 2\Delta x)}{12\Delta x^2} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^4)$$

Discretized heat equation

We have Dirichlet boundary conditions at both the boundary points and adjacent to boundary points.

1. 1D

$$\begin{cases} -k \left(\frac{-T(i-2) + 16T(i-1) - 30T(i) + 16T(i+1) - T(i+2))}{12\Delta x^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^4) = q(i), & i \in \{2, 3, \dots, N-2\} \\ T(i) = T_{masa}(x_i), & i \in \{0, 1, N-1, N\} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$


2. 2D

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -k \left(\frac{-T(i-2,j)+16T(i-1,j)-30T(i,j)+16T(i+1,j)-T(i+2,j)}{12h^2} \right) \\ -k \left(\frac{-T(i,j-2)+16T(i,j-1)-30T(i,j)+16T(i,j+1)-T(i,j+2)}{12h^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(h^4) = q(i,j), \\ i \in \{2, \dots, N-2\}, j \in \{2, \dots, N-2\} \\ \\ T(i,j) = T_{masa}(x_i, y_j) \text{ for } i \in \{0, 1, N-1, N\}, j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N\} \\ T(i,j) = T_{masa}(x_i, y_j) \text{ for } i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N\}, j \in \{0, 1, N-1, N\} \end{array} \right. \quad (5)$$


• Mesh diagrams

Our schemes are node based.

1. 1D



2. 2D



- **Linear system of Equations**

- **2nd order finite difference approximation**

1. 1D

We first define the following vectors

$$\mathbf{q} = \left[T_{masa}(0), \frac{\Delta x^2}{k} q(1), \dots, \frac{\Delta x^2}{k} q(N-1), T_{masa}(L) \right]^T$$

$$\mathbf{T} = [T(0), \dots, T(N)]^T$$

We now define a $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ tridiagonal matrix \mathbf{A} such that,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & & & \\ & -1 & 2 & -1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & -1 & 2 & -1 & \\ & & & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now (2) can be written as,

$$\mathbf{AT} = \mathbf{q}$$

The first and last rows are different because they account for boundary conditions. The sparsity pattern of \mathbf{A} can be given by,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & & & & & & \\ \times & \times & \times & & & & \\ & \times & \times & \times & & & \\ & & \times & \times & \times & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & & & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & & & & & \times \end{bmatrix}$$


Number of non-zero elements on an interior row of the matrix = 3

2. 2D

The elements will be numbered in the following fashion

$$k = i + (N + 1)j, \quad i \in [0, \dots, N], \quad j \in [0, \dots, N]$$

Here is an illustration for a 4×4 grid i.e. $N = 3$,



So we can imagine how the matrix is going to look: the terms of second derivative in x-direction will be adjacent to each other, but they terms of the second derivative in y-direction will be offset by N points. This will become clear in the visual representation below. We first define the following $(N + 1)^2 \times 1$ vectors

$$\mathbf{q}(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{h^2}{k} q(i, j) & \text{if } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N - 1\}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, J - 1\} \\ T_{masa}(x_i, y_j) & \text{otherwise i.e. at boundaries} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{T} = [T(0), T(1), \dots, T((N + 1)^2)]^T$$

We now define $(N + 1)^2 \times (N + 1)^2$ matrices \mathbf{A}_x (interior x-direction derivatives), \mathbf{A}_y (interior y-direction derivatives) and \mathbf{A}_b (dirichlet nodes) such that,

$$\mathbf{A}_x = \begin{cases} \mathbf{A}_x(i, i) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{A}_x(i, i - 1) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{A}_x(i, i + 1) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{A}_y = \begin{cases} \mathbf{A}_y(j, j) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } j \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{A}_y(j, j - N) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } j \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{A}_y(j, j + N) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } j \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{A}_b = \begin{cases} \mathbf{A}_b(i, i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Now, the net matrix is $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_x + \mathbf{A}_y + \mathbf{A}_b$. The sparsity pattern of \mathbf{A} is given by, (illustration for a 5×5 grid)

Number of non-zero elements on an interior row of the matrix = 5.

The repeating interior block of \mathbf{A} is given by,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 & & & & \\ -1 & \dots & -1 & 4 & -1 & \dots & -1 \\ & -1 & \dots & -1 & 4 & -1 & \dots & -1 \\ & & -1 & \dots & -1 & 4 & -1 & \dots & -1 \\ & & & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

Now (3) can be written as,

$$\mathbf{AT} = \mathbf{q}$$

- 4th order finite difference approximation

1. 1D

We first define the following vectors

$$\mathbf{q} = \left[T_{masa}(0), T_{masa}(x_1), \frac{12\Delta x^2}{k}q(3) \dots, \frac{12\Delta x^2}{k}q(N-2), T_{masa}(x_{N-1}), T_{masa}(L) \right]^T$$

$$\mathbf{T} = [T(0), \dots, T(N)]^T$$

We now define a $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ pentadiagonal matrix \mathbf{A} such that (blank elements are 0),

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\ 1 & -16 & 30 & -16 & 1 & & & & & & \\ & 1 & -16 & 30 & -16 & 1 & & & & & \\ & & 1 & -16 & 30 & -16 & 1 & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & 1 & -16 & 30 & -16 & 1 & & \\ & & & & & 1 & -16 & 30 & -16 & 1 & \\ & & & & & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 & \end{bmatrix}$$

Now (4) can be written as,

$$\mathbf{AT} = \mathbf{q}$$

Note that the first and last two rows in \mathbf{A} looks different because we accounted for the Dirichlet conditions. The sparsity pattern of the matrix is given by,


$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \times & & & & & & & & & & \\ & \times & & & & & & & & & \\ \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & & & & & & \\ & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & & & & & \\ & & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & & \\ & & & & & \times & \times & \times & \times & \times & \\ & & & & & & & & \times & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \times & \end{bmatrix}$$

Number of non-zero elements on an interior row of the matrix = 5.

2. 2D The elements will be numbered in the following fashion

$$k = i + (N+1)j, \quad i \in [0, \dots, N], \quad j \in [0, \dots, N]$$

Here is an illustration for a 4×4 grid i.e. $N = 3$,



So we can imagine how the matrix is going to look: the terms of second derivative in x-direction will be adjacent to each other, but they terms of the second derivative in y-direction will be offset by N points. This will become clear in the visual representation below. We first define the following $(N + 1)^2 \times 1$ vectors

$$\mathbf{q}(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{12h^2}{k}q(i, j) & \text{if } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N - 1\}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N - 1\} \\ T_{masa}(x_i, y_j) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{T} = [T(0), T(1), \dots, T((N + 1)^2)]^T$$

We now define $(N + 1)^2 \times (N + 1)^2$ matrices \mathbf{A}_x (interior x-direction derivatives), \mathbf{A}_y (interior y-direction derivatives) and \mathbf{A}_b (boundary and close to boundary elements) such that,

$$\mathbf{A}_x = \begin{cases} \mathbf{A}_x(i, i) = \begin{cases} 30 & \text{if } i \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{A}_x(i, i-1) = \begin{cases} -16 & \text{if } i \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{A}_x(i, i+1) = \begin{cases} -16 & \text{if } i \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{A}_x(i, i+2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{A}_x(i, i-2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{A}_y = \begin{cases} \mathbf{A}_y(j, j) = \begin{cases} 30 & \text{if } j \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{A}_y(j, j-N) = \begin{cases} -16 & \text{if } j \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{A}_y(j, j+N) = \begin{cases} -16 & \text{if } j \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{A}_y(j, j+2N) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{A}_y(j, j-2N) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \text{ corresponds to an interior point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{A}_b = \begin{cases} \mathbf{A}_b(j, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \text{ is a boundary point or an adjacent to boundary point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Now, the net matrix is $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_x + \mathbf{A}_y + \mathbf{A}_b$. The sparsity pattern of \mathbf{A} is given

by, (illustration for a 7×7 grid, which means the interior matrix is 3×3)

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & \times & \times & & \\ & & & & \times & \times & \\ \times & \times & & & & & \\ & \times & \times & & & & \\ & & & \times & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & & \times & \times & \times \\ & & & & & \times & \times \\ & & & & & & \times \\ & & & & & & & \times \\ & & & & & & & & \times \end{bmatrix}$$

Number of non-zero elements on an interior row of the matrix = 9.

The repeating interior block of \mathbf{A} is given by,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & & & 1 & & & \\ 1 & \dots & -16 & \dots & 1 & -16 & 60 & -16 & 1 & \dots & -16 & \dots & 1 \\ & 1 & \dots & -16 & \dots & 1 & -16 & 60 & -16 & 1 & \dots & -16 & \dots & 1 \\ & & 1 & \dots & -16 & \dots & 1 & -16 & 60 & -16 & 1 & \dots & -16 & \dots & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

Now (5) can be written as,

$$\mathbf{AT} = \mathbf{q}$$

• Iterative solvers

Here is the pseudo-code for dense matrix solvers (the actual implementation might be for sparse matrices or not, this is not decided yet).

1. Jacobi

```
subroutine jacobi (A,q, TOL, max_iter)
!!! Find T = inv(A)*q using Jacobi iteration

K = size(q)
iters = 0 !!! Number of iterations
error = 0 !!! Compare to tolerance
T(1:K) = 0 !!! Initialize entire T array to zero

do while (iters <= max_iter)

    T_old(:) = T(:)

    do i = 1,...,K
```

```

        !!! We use only the old values and none of the ←
        updated values for the update.

        T(i) = 1/A(i,i) * (q(i)- $\sum_{j \neq i}^K A(i,j)T_{old}(j)$ )
    end do

    error =  $\frac{\|T_{old}-T\|}{\|T\|}$ 

    iters = iters + 1

    if (error <= TOL)
        break
    end if

end do

return T
end subroutine

```

2. Gauss-Seidel

```

subroutine gauss_seidel (A,q, TOL, max_iter)
    !!! Find T = inv(A)*q using Gauss Seidel iteration

    K = size(q)
    iters = 0 !!! Number of iterations
    error = 0 !!! Compare to tolerance
    T(1:K) = 0 !!! Initialize entire T array to zero

    do while (iters <= max_iter)

        T_old(:) = T(:)

        do i = 1,...,K
            !!! T_old is not used at all, we use older values ←
            for >i and new values for <i.

                T(i) = 1/A(i,i) * (q(i)- $\sum_{j \neq i}^K A(i,j)T(j)$ )
            end do

            error =  $\frac{\|T_{old}-T\|}{\|T\|}$ 
            iters = iters + 1
            if (error <= TOL)
                break
            end if

        end do

    end do

    return T
end subroutine

```

- **Estimate of memory requirements**

We assume that our matrices are stored as normal, dense matrices (assuming that our iterative solvers have generic, dense implementations).

– Second order approximation

* 1D

Variable	Dimension	Memory
T & T.old	$2(N+1)$	$16 \times (N+1)$
q	$N+1$	$8 \times (N+1)$
A	$(N+1) \times (N+1)$	$8 \times (N+1)^2$
N	1	4
L	1	8
Δx	1	8
k	1	8
	Total	$8(N+1)^2 + 24(N+1) + 28$

* 2D

Variable	Dimension	Memory
T & T.old	$2(N+1)(N+1)$	$16 \times (N+1)^2$
q	$(N+1)(N+1)$	$8 \times (N+1)^2$
A	$(N+1)(N+1) \times (N+1)(N+1)$	$8 \times (N+1)^4$
N	1	4
L	1	8
Δx	1	8
Δy	1	8
k	1	8
	Total	$8(N+1)^4 + 24(N+1)^2 + 36$

– Fourth order approximation

* 1D

Variable	Dimension	Memory
T & T_old	$2(N+1)$	$16 \times (N+1)$
q	$N+1$	$8 \times (N+1)$
A	$(N+1) \times (N+1)$	$8 \times (N+1)^2$
N	1	4
L	1	8
Δx	1	8
k	1	8
	Total	$8(N+1)^2 + 24(N+1) + 28$

* 2D

Variable	Dimension	Memory
T & T_old	$2(N+1)(N+1)$	$16 \times (N+1)^2$
q	$(N+1)(N+1)$	$8 \times (N+1)^2$
A	$(N+1)(N+1) \times (N+1)(N+1)$	$8 \times (N+1)^4$
N	1	4
L	1	8
Δx	1	8
Δy	1	8
k	1	8
	Total	$8(N+1)^4 + 24(N+1)^2 + 36$

• Build Procedures and description of files

– Various C++ files

main.cpp - The driver routine, also has defensive checks. It parses the input file, feeds it to various functions and depending on mode, prints out to `std::out`. It also stores the result to `output.log`.

q_assemble.cpp - Assembles **q** vector based on specifications in the input file

T_exact_assemble.cpp - Assembles the **MASA** solution of the temperature field based on specifications in the input file

matrix_assemble.cpp - Assembles **A** matrix based on specifications in the input file

print.cpp - Contains various functions to print stuff, this is just so that **main.cpp** remains relatively uncluttered.

global_variables.h - Defined objects of various **GRVY** classes as **extern** variables. It is imported in all other cpp files so that the same object is used in all files.

solvers.cpp - Contains the solvers and function to choose solvers based on input file specifications

– Running the primary code

You will find `Makefile.am` in all subdirectories and `connfigure.ac` in `proj01`.

These are the steps to run the primary code (assuming you are in the `proj01` subdirectory). All of the code is in the `proj01/src` subdir. The shell script should work but if doesn't - change the permissions using `chmod 777 build_autotools.sh`.

```
$ ./build_autotools.sh ### Runs autoreconf and ./configure with ↵  
    appropriate options to link to MASA and GRVY  
$ make ### Creates an executable in proj01/src subdir named ↵  
    heat_solve  
$ cd src/  
$ ./heat_solve
```

– Running regression tests

You can find these tests in the `proj01/tests` subdir in `test.sh`.

```
$ ./build_autotools.sh ### Runs autoreconf and ./configure with ↵  
    appropriate options to link to MASA and GRVY  
$ export PATH=/work/00161/karl/stampede2/public/bats/bin/:$PATH ### ↵  
    Add bats to path to run regression tests  
$ make check
```

– Running the various post-processing scripts

The shell script should work but if they don't - change the permissions using `chmod 777 shell_script_name`.

`mesh_size_change.sh` is a script to run all configurations you want in a for loop. All you have to do is change the array variables inside. It uses `plot_convergence.script` to create convergence plots. It stores outputs of time and error in `output_*.dat`. `curve_1D_plotter.script` and `surface_2D_plotter.script` create 1D curves (`plot.png`) and 2D surfaces (`surface.png`) for the temperature fields that get stored in `output.log` or `output_100x100.log`.

– Tex files and report The tex files and figures can be found in the `tex_report` subdir. One can use `pdflatex proj01.tex` to build the report `proj01.pdf`.

– Various output files

`reference_sol_*.log` contain reference solutions created using `mesh_size_change.sh` for regression testing using `TOL = 1e-17` and `MAX_ITEERS= 1000000`.

`convergence_*.png` contains images of convergence analysis, created by `mesh_size_change.sh`. `output.log` contains the position vs Temperature data, created by `main.cpp`. It gets updated every time the executable is run.

`output_100x100.log` is a snapshot solution of a 100x100 grid with gauss-seidel 4th order to plot surface plots.

`output_*.dat` is created by `mesh_size_change.sh` and contains data on `n`, `L2` error and time taken.

plot.png has the 1D temperature vs x plot

surface.png has the surface plot for temperature over a 2D domain.

- **Input Options**

Here is an example `input.dat` file.

```
verification = 1           #Comparison with MASA solution? 1 for yes; no otherwise; Always keep as 1. Otherwise ←↔
    a couple of regression tests might fail.
mode = debug               #To enable debug mode, use 'debug', anything else is normal mode.

[grid]

length      = 1.0          # Length of domain in each direction
dimension = 1              # dimension of domain
grid_points = 200          # Number of points in one direction

[solver]

thermal_conductivity = 1.0      # Thermal conductivity k_0
solver_name = jacobi           # Use either jacobi or gauss
order = 2                    # Order of accuracy of stencil, use 2 or 4
error_TOL      = 0.000000000000000001 # Tolerance
max_iters      = 1000000       # Maximum number of iterations
```

- Modes

The debug mode can be activated by using `mode = debug`. Anything else is assumed to mean not in debug mode. It gives out a verbose output, an example can be found below.

There is also a verification mode which is recommended to always be turned on, since post-processing scripts grep for certain strings to aggregate the data for convergence plots.

- Grid options

The options are domain length `length`, dimension `dimension` (which can be 1D or 2D) and number of grid points in one direction `grid_points`.

- Solver options

The solver options are essentially specifications of physical parameters such as `thermal_conductivity` and other specifications such as error tolerance `error_TOL`, order of accuracy desired `order`, name of the solver `solver_name` and maximum iterations allowed `max_iters`. **DO NOT** change the `error_TOL = 1e-17` and `max_iters = 1000000` if you want to compare to reference solutions.

- **Verification procedures** By default, the verification mode is always on. You can tweak that in `input.dat` if you don't want to keep it on.

It is recommended to always be turned on, since post-processing scripts grep for certain strings to aggregate the data for convergence plots.

Here is a sample output for verification mode on , debug mode off, 1D gauss 2nd order solution -


```

--> verification_mode = 1
--> mode = no_debug
--> n = 10
--> dimension = 1
--> length = 1.000000
--> order = 2
--> error_TOL = 1.0000000000000001e-17
--> thermal_conductivity = 1.000000
--> max_iters = 1000000
--> solver = gauss

VERIFICATION MODE -
L2 norm of error for n 10 is 0.048363774712789243

```

GRVY Performance timing - Performance Timings:		Mean	Variance	Count
--> q_order2_dim1	: 1.72210e-03 secs (30.1486 %)	[1.72210e-03	0.00000e+00	1]
--> main	: 1.38593e-03 secs (24.2633 %)	[1.38593e-03	0.00000e+00	1]
--> write_results_output_file	: 1.26815e-03 secs (22.2014 %)	[1.26815e-03	0.00000e+00	1]
--> T_exact_order2_dim1	: 1.14799e-03 secs (20.0977 %)	[1.14799e-03	0.00000e+00	1]
--> l2_norm	: 8.03471e-05 secs (1.4066 %)	[2.94312e-07	2.31745e-13	273]
--> gauss	: 5.81741e-05 secs (1.0184 %)	[5.81741e-05	0.00000e+00	1]
--> print_verification_mode	: 2.09808e-05 secs (0.3673 %)	[2.09808e-05	0.00000e+00	1]
--> assemble_A	: 5.96046e-06 secs (0.1043 %)	[5.96046e-06	0.00000e+00	1]
--> print_matrix_A	: 1.90735e-06 secs (0.0334 %)	[1.90735e-06	0.00000e+00	1]
--> solve	: 9.53674e-07 secs (0.0167 %)	[9.53674e-07	0.00000e+00	1]
--> assemble_q	: 0.00000e+00 secs (0.0000 %)	[0.00000e+00	0.00000e+00	1]
--> GRVY_Unassigned	: 1.57356e-05 secs (0.2755 %)			
Total Measured Time = 5.71203e-03 secs (99.9332 %)				

• Debug mode output With debug mode on, the output looks as -

```

--> verification_mode = 1
--> mode = debug
Registering user-supplied default value for grid/grid_points
--> n = 10
Registering user-supplied default value for grid/dimension
--> dimension = 1
Registering user-supplied default value for grid/length
--> length = 1.000000
Registering user-supplied default value for solver/order
--> order = 2
Registering user-supplied default value for solver/error_TOL
--> error_TOL = 1.0000000000000001e-17
Registering user-supplied default value for solver/thermal_conductivity
--> thermal_conductivity = 1.000000
Registering user-supplied default value for solver/max_iters
--> max_iters = 1000000
--> solver = gauss

```

```

VERIFICATION MODE -
L2 norm of error for n 10 is 0.048363774712789243

```

```

DEBUG MODE - printing A in MATLAB compatible form
A = [1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ;
-1 2 -1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ;
0 -1 2 -1 0 0 0 0 0 0 ;
0 0 -1 2 -1 0 0 0 0 0 ;
0 0 0 -1 2 -1 0 0 0 0 ;
0 0 0 0 -1 2 -1 0 0 0 ;
0 0 0 0 0 -1 2 -1 0 0 ;
0 0 0 0 0 0 -1 2 -1 0 ;
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -1 2 -1 ;
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 ;
]

```

```

DEBUG MODE - printing q in MATLAB compatible form
q = [1
0.37336077072775858
0.084634015730502873
-0.24369393582936677
-0.45799478645826142
-0.45799478645826142
-0.24369393582936708
0.084634015730502651
0.37336077072775847
1

```

]			
DEBUG MODE— Compare vector values			
q	T_exact	T_computed	
1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	
0.373361	0.766044	0.756306	
0.084634	0.173648	0.139251	
-0.243694	-0.500000	-0.562437	
-0.457995	-0.939693	-1.020432	
-0.457995	-0.939693	-1.020432	
-0.243694	-0.500000	-0.562437	
0.084634	0.173648	0.139251	
0.373361	0.766044	0.756306	
1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	
GRVY Performance timing — Performance Timings:			
→ q_order2_dim1	: 1.86706e-03 secs (27.8743 %)	Mean [1.86706e-03	Variance 0.00000e+00 Count 1]
→ main	: 1.71304e-03 secs (25.5749 %)	[1.71304e-03	0.00000e+00 1]
→ write_results_output_file	: 1.44100e-03 secs (21.5135 %)	[1.44100e-03	0.00000e+00 1]
→ T_exact_order2_dim1	: 1.21903e-03 secs (18.1996 %)	[1.21903e-03	0.00000e+00 1]
→ print_matrix_A	: 1.03951e-04 secs (1.5519 %)	[1.03951e-04	0.00000e+00 1]
→ l2_norm	: 9.27448e-05 secs (1.3846 %)	[3.39725e-07	2.79576e-13 273]
→ print_compare_q_Texact_Tcomputed	: 7.39098e-05 secs (1.1034 %)	[7.39098e-05	0.00000e+00 1]
→ print_vector_q	: 7.20024e-05 secs (1.0750 %)	[7.20024e-05	0.00000e+00 1]
→ gauss	: 5.57899e-05 secs (0.8329 %)	[5.57899e-05	0.00000e+00 1]
→ print_verification_mode	: 3.19481e-05 secs (0.4770 %)	[3.19481e-05	0.00000e+00 1]
→ assemble_A	: 8.10623e-06 secs (0.1210 %)	[8.10623e-06	0.00000e+00 1]
→ solve	: 1.90735e-06 secs (0.0285 %)	[1.90735e-06	0.00000e+00 1]
→ matrix_order2_dim1	: 9.53674e-07 secs (0.0142 %)	[9.53674e-07	0.00000e+00 1]
→ assemble_q	: 0.00000e+00 secs (0.0000 %)	[0.00000e+00	0.00000e+00 1]
→ GRVY_Unassigned	: 1.57356e-05 secs (0.2349 %)		
Total Measured Time = 6.69813e-03 secs (99.9858 %)			

• Verification Exercise

– Gauss solver

These are the grid convergence plots for the gauss-seidel solver. The expected slopes were -2 and -4 for 2nd and 4th order respectively. We have slopes pretty close to these expected values.




Figure 1: Convergence analysis of gauss-seidel solver

– Jacobi solver

These are the grid convergence plots for the jacobi solver. We don't consider jacobi with 4th order solver since it is not stable. The expected slope was -2 for 2nd order. We have slopes pretty close to this expected value.




Figure 2: Convergence analysis of jacobi solver

Just for completeness, here we have two surface plots for a 4th order gauss 2D simulation on a 100×100 grid. Both plots look essentially similar.




Figure 3: Surface plots

• Runtime performance

A sample of time output for the given input configuration is shown below.

```
—> verification_mode = 1
—> mode               = no_debug
```

```

-> n = 20
-> dimension = 2
-> length = 1.000000
-> order = 4
-> error.TOL = 1.0000000000000001e-17
-> thermal.conductivity = 1.000000
-> max_iters = 1000000
-> solver = gauss

```

VERIFICATION MODE -
L2 norm of error for n 20 is 5.7410182758840052e-05

GRVY Performance timing – Performance Timings:				Mean	Variance	Count
→ gauss	: 2.02494e-01 secs (94.6842 %)		[2.02494e-01	0.00000e+00	1]	
→ write_results_output_file	: 4.57191e-03 secs (2.1378 %)		[4.57191e-03	0.00000e+00	1]	
→ q_order4_dim2	: 1.84488e-03 secs (0.8626 %)		[1.84488e-03	0.00000e+00	1]	
→ main	: 1.34730e-03 secs (0.6300 %)		[1.34730e-03	0.00000e+00	1]	
→ T_exact_order4_dim2	: 1.22809e-03 secs (0.5742 %)		[1.22809e-03	0.00000e+00	1]	
→ l2_norm	: 9.53197e-04 secs (0.4457 %)		[7.93670e-07	2.06032e-13	1201]	
→ matrix_order4_dim2	: 7.10964e-04 secs (0.3324 %)		[7.10964e-04	0.00000e+00	1]	
→ print_matrix_A	: 6.26087e-04 secs (0.2928 %)		[6.26087e-04	0.00000e+00	1]	
→ print_verification_mode	: 2.81334e-05 secs (0.0132 %)		[2.81334e-05	0.00000e+00	1]	
→ assemble_A	: 5.00679e-06 secs (0.0023 %)		[5.00679e-06	0.00000e+00	1]	
→ print_vector_q	: 3.09944e-06 secs (0.0014 %)		[3.09944e-06	0.00000e+00	1]	
→ print_compare_q_Texact_Tcomputed	: 2.86102e-06 secs (0.0013 %)		[2.86102e-06	0.00000e+00	1]	
→ assemble_T_exact	: 2.14577e-06 secs (0.0010 %)		[2.14577e-06	0.00000e+00	1]	
→ solve	: 1.90735e-06 secs (0.0009 %)		[1.90735e-06	0.00000e+00	1]	
→ assemble_q	: 9.53674e-07 secs (0.0004 %)		[9.53674e-07	0.00000e+00	1]	
→ GRVY_Unassigned	: 4.19617e-05 secs (0.0196 %)					
Total Measured Time = 2.13863e-01 secs (100.0000 %)						

The total time for various cases for various solver configurations is plotted and can be found below.

- Gauss solver




Figure 4: Runtime analysis of gauss solver

- Jacobi




Figure 5: Runtime analysis of jacobi solver

• Regression testing

`make check` runs the regression tests, which are stored in `proj01/tests/test.sh`. The sample output of `make check` is given below. (the colour is red due to \LaTeX but the test has actually passed). It shows only 1 test but technically the `test.sh` script contains 5 tests with the 4th test actually containing 4 tests and the 5th containing 2 tests. This makes a total of 9 tests.

```

Making check in src
make[1]: Entering directory `/home1/07665/shrey911/temp/cse380-2020-student-Shreyas911/proj01/src'
make[1]: Nothing to be done for `check'.
make[1]: Leaving directory `/home1/07665/shrey911/temp/cse380-2020-student-Shreyas911/proj01/src'
Making check in tests
make[1]: Entering directory `/home1/07665/shrey911/temp/cse380-2020-student-Shreyas911/proj01/tests'
make check-TESTS
make[2]: Entering directory `/home1/07665/shrey911/temp/cse380-2020-student-Shreyas911/proj01/tests'
make[3]: Entering directory `/home1/07665/shrey911/temp/cse380-2020-student-Shreyas911/proj01/tests'
PASS: test.sh
make[4]: Entering directory `/home1/07665/shrey911/temp/cse380-2020-student-Shreyas911/proj01/tests'
make[4]: Nothing to be done for `all'.
make[4]: Leaving directory `/home1/07665/shrey911/temp/cse380-2020-student-Shreyas911/proj01/tests'

Testsuite summary for FULL-PACKAGENAME VERSION
=====
# TOTAL: 1
# PASS: 1
# SKIP: 0
# XFAIL: 0
# FAIL: 0
# XPASS: 0
# ERROR: 0
=====
make[3]: Leaving directory `/home1/07665/shrey911/temp/cse380-2020-student-Shreyas911/proj01/tests'
make[2]: Leaving directory `/home1/07665/shrey911/temp/cse380-2020-student-Shreyas911/proj01/tests'
make[1]: Leaving directory `/home1/07665/shrey911/temp/cse380-2020-student-Shreyas911/proj01/tests'
make[1]: Leaving directory `/home1/07665/shrey911/temp/cse380-2020-student-Shreyas911/proj01'

```

Alternatively, you can run `proj01/tests/test.sh` directly, which gives the following output to `std::out`-

```
$ ./test.sh
```

- ✓ verify that the code is compiling
- ✓ verify that the verification mode runs fine
- ✓ verify that the debug mode runs fine
- ✓ verify all gauss solver outputs match reference outputs
- ✓ verify all jacobi solver outputs match reference outputs