

① Motivation : $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - \frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4$.

the coupling constants can change due to RG flow with scale parameter μ . We can find fixed points so that they become scale invariant such as

$$m=0, \lambda=0$$

$$m=0, \lambda = \frac{16\pi^2 \epsilon}{3}$$

Polschinski 1998 showed that if a theory has scale invariance, then it ~~can also have~~ also have conformal symmetry. Although Conformal group was studied for long but he proved it.

There is significance in Cosmological bootstrap, ~~Critical~~ Phase transition theory, & most importantly AdS/CFT which helps in Deep Inelastic scattering.

Conformal group on ②

Just general Action

$$[P_\mu, \phi(x)] = -i \partial_\mu \phi(x)$$

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, \phi(x)] = (i(x_\mu \partial_\nu - x_\nu \partial_\mu) + i S_{\mu\nu}) \phi(x)$$

$$[D, \phi(x)] = (+i x^\nu \partial_\nu + i \Delta) \phi(x)$$

$$[K_\mu, \phi(x)] = (2x_\mu x^\nu \partial_\nu - x^2 \partial_\mu + 2x^\nu S_{\mu\nu} + 2x_\mu \Delta) \phi(x)$$

② Global Conformal Invariance ~~In Eu~~

Conformal group: In d dimension, Euclidean space

$$x \rightarrow x'$$

$$g'_{\mu\nu}(x') = \Lambda(x) g_{\mu\nu}(x) \quad \text{--- ①}$$

For Poincare group $\Lambda(x) = 1$

Conformal group preserves angles.

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = x^\mu + \epsilon^\mu(x).$$

$$g'_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x'^\nu} g_{\alpha\beta} \quad \left. \vphantom{\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial x'^\nu} g_{\alpha\beta}} \right\} \text{Transformation properties of tensors like } \int dx f(x) = \int \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} f(y) dy$$

$$= (\delta^\alpha_\mu - \partial_\mu \epsilon^\alpha) (\delta^\beta_\nu - \partial_\nu \epsilon^\beta) g_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$= g_{\mu\nu} - (\partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu + \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu)$$

① says. $\boxed{\partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu + \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu = f(x) g_{\mu\nu}} \quad \text{--- ②}$

Taking trace $\partial^\mu \epsilon_\mu + \partial^\mu \epsilon_\mu = f(x) d$

$$\boxed{f(x) = \frac{2}{d} \partial_\rho \epsilon^\rho}$$

Diff. ∂_ρ on ② & permuting

$$2 \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \epsilon_\rho = \eta_{\mu\rho} \partial_\nu f + \eta_{\nu\rho} \partial_\mu f - \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho f$$

Contracting with $\eta^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow 2 \partial^2 \epsilon_\mu = (2-d) \partial_\mu f$

③

Combining we get

$$(2-d)\partial_\mu \partial^\mu f = \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^2 f$$

Contracting $\eta^{\mu\nu}$

$$(d-1)\partial^2 f = 0$$

for $d > 2$, f is at most linear

$$f(x) = A + B_\mu x^\mu$$

Then from ②, we see that E_μ is at most quadratic

$$E_\mu = a_\mu + b_{\mu\nu} x^\nu + C_{\mu\nu\rho} x^\nu x^\rho, \quad C_{\mu\nu\rho} = C_{\mu\rho\nu}$$

Putting in ②, $b_{\mu\nu} + b_{\nu\mu} = \frac{2}{d} b^\lambda \eta_{\lambda\mu}$

Scaling \rightarrow

$b_{\mu\nu} = \alpha \eta_{\mu\nu} + m_{\mu\nu}$, $m_{\mu\nu} = -m_{\nu\mu}$

Rotation

quadratic term gives $C_{\mu\nu\rho} = \eta_{\mu\rho} b_\nu + \eta_{\mu\nu} b_\rho - \eta_{\nu\rho} b_\mu$, $b_\mu = \frac{1}{d} C^\nu{}_\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}$

$$x'^M = x^M + 2(x \cdot b)x^M - b^M x^2$$

Exponentiating gives

Translation	$x'^M = x^M + a^M$
Dilation	$x'^M = \alpha x^M$
Rigid Rotation	$x'^M = M^M{}_\nu x^\nu$
Special Conformal Transform	$x'^M = \frac{x^M - b^M x^2}{1 - 2b \cdot x + b^2 x^2}$

(4)

~~We have to exponentiate these transformation~~

SCT can be reexpressed as

$$\frac{x'^M}{x'^2} = \frac{x^M}{x^2} - b^M$$

SCT is nothing but a translation, preceded & followed by an inversion $x^M \rightarrow x^M/x^2$.



③ Generators :- $\Phi(x) \rightarrow \Phi'(x) = U \Phi(x)$
 U is the group's action on $\Phi(x)$
 we can ~~from~~ take infinitesimal limit to say that

$$U = 1 + i \underbrace{\omega_a}_{\text{Infinitesimal parameter}} \underbrace{T^a}_{\text{Generators of group}}$$

We start by Translation, for fields action is shown as

$$[P^\mu, \Phi(x)] = -i \partial^\mu \Phi(x)$$

This is called adjoint representation.

Similar to Heisenberg eqn. $[H, O] = i \partial_t O$

There is a more technical way to get it using Ward identity but I won't go there.

For Poincare group, Rotation we have $M_{\mu\nu}$ acting on local operator

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, O^a(x)] = (\underbrace{S_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{Spin operator}})^a_b O^b(x)$$

spin indices

We can translated the operator as $e^{iP x} M_{\mu\nu} e^{-iP x}$

Then using Baker Campbell formula

$$e^X e^Y = \exp\left[X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \dots\right]$$

⑥ We can get $M_{\mu\nu} = i(x_\mu \partial_\nu - x_\nu \partial_\mu) + S_{\mu\nu}$

Similarly for D & K_μ we have at $x=0$

$$[D, \phi(0)] = -i\Delta \phi(0) \quad [K_\mu, \phi(0)] = K_\mu \phi(0)$$

Translating them gives $e^{ixP} D e^{-ixP} = D + x^\nu P_\nu$

$$e^{ixP} K_\mu e^{-ixP} = K_\mu + 2x_\mu D - 2x^\nu L_{\mu\nu} + 2x_\mu (x^\nu P_\nu) - x^2 P_\mu$$

Then,

$$[D, \Phi(x)] = (-ix^\nu \partial_\nu + i\Delta) \Phi(x)$$

$$[K_\mu, \Phi(x)] = \{K_\mu + 2x_\mu i\Delta - x^\nu S_{\mu\nu} - 2ix_\mu x^\nu \partial_\nu + ix^2 \partial_\mu\} \Phi(x)$$

Using Schur's lemma we also know $K_\mu = 0$

Then algebra of any group is

$$[T^a, T^b] = if^{abc} T^c \rightarrow \text{Structure Constants.}$$

We have Conformal algebra;

$$[D, P_\mu] = iP_\mu$$

$$[D, K_\mu] = -iK_\mu$$

$$[K_\mu, P_\nu] = 2i(\eta_{\mu\nu} D - L_{\mu\nu})$$

$$[K_\mu, L_{\nu\rho}] = i(\eta_{\mu\nu} K_\rho - \eta_{\mu\rho} K_\nu)$$

$$[P_\mu, L_{\nu\rho}] = i(\eta_{\mu\nu} P_\rho - \eta_{\mu\rho} P_\nu)$$

$$[L_{\mu\nu}, L_{\rho\sigma}] = i(\eta_{\nu\rho} L_{\mu\sigma} + \eta_{\mu\sigma} L_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\rho} L_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\nu\sigma} L_{\mu\rho})$$

⑦ Primaries & Descendants : Most important relations are

$$[D, P_\mu] = i P_\mu$$

$$[D, K_\mu] = -i K_\mu$$

$$D P_\mu O(0) = ([D, P_\mu] + P_\mu D) O(0) = (i P_\mu + i \Delta P_\mu) O(0) \\ = (\Delta + 1) i P_\mu O(0)$$

So similarly we have

$$D K_\mu O(0) = (\Delta - 1) i K_\mu O(0)$$

So, P_μ ~~can~~ ascend the operators & is like creation operator
 K_μ descend in states of D .

So, we can't go down forever then choosing some operators

s.t. $K_\mu O(0) = 0$, with $D O(0) = \Delta$

Then, we can form $P_\mu O$, $P_\mu P_\nu O$, $P_\mu^3 O$
 $\Delta + 1$, $\Delta + 2$, $\Delta + 3$

These O 's are called primaries & $P_\mu O$ etc are descendants.

8) Correlation functions: Choosing conformally invariant vacuum w. $|0\rangle \rightarrow 0$

Two point functions: Then applying D on two point functions

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [D, \phi(x_i) \phi(x_j)] | 0 \rangle &= \langle 0 | [D, \phi(x_i)] \phi(x_j) | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | \phi(x_i) [D, \phi(x_j)] | 0 \rangle \\ &= (\alpha_i^\mu \partial_\mu + \Delta_i + \alpha_j^\mu \partial_\mu + \Delta_j) \langle 0 | \phi(x_i) \phi(x_j) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Rotational & Translational invariance says that

$$\langle \phi(x_i) \phi(x_j) \rangle \propto F(|x_i - x_j|)$$

Then choosing $x_j = 0$ & $x_i = x$

$$(x \cdot \partial + \Delta_i + \Delta_j) \langle \phi(x) \phi(0) \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{because of invariant vacuum})$$

This eqⁿ gives form

$$\text{Eq } \langle \phi(x) \phi(0) \rangle = \frac{C}{|x|^{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2}}$$

More generally

$$\langle \phi_i(x_i) \phi_j(x_j) \rangle = \frac{C}{|x_i - x_j|^{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2}}$$

Using SCT, we also get condition $\delta_{\Delta_1, \Delta_2}$

$$\langle \phi_i(x_i) \phi_j(x_j) \rangle = \frac{C \delta_{\Delta_1, \Delta_2}}{|x_i - x_j|^{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2}}$$

$$\text{Then } \boxed{\langle \phi(x_i) \phi(x_j) \rangle = \frac{C}{|x_i - x_j|^{2\Delta}}}$$

⑦ Three point functions :- Rotation & Translation forces & also dilation

$$\langle O_1(x_1) O_2(x_2) O_3(x_3) \rangle = \frac{C_{abc}}{|x_1 - x_2|^a |x_2 - x_3|^b |x_1 - x_3|^c}$$

with constraint $a+b+c = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3$

Then SCT gives

$$\langle O_1(x_1) O_2(x_2) O_3(x_3) \rangle = \frac{C_{123}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_3} |x_2 - x_3|^{\Delta_2 + \Delta_1 - \Delta_3} |x_3 - x_1|^{\Delta_3 + \Delta_1 - \Delta_2}}$$

Four point function :- It's not possible to constrain four point function. But we can form it in terms of cross ratios which are invariants

$$u = \frac{x_{12} x_{34}}{x_{13} x_{24}}, \quad v = \frac{x_{12} x_{34}}{x_{23} x_{14}}$$

Then,

$$\langle O_1(x_1) O_2(x_2) O_3(x_3) O_4(x_4) \rangle = \prod_{i < j}^4 x_{ij}^{\Delta_j - \Delta_i - \Delta_j} f(u, v)$$

Now you might think CFT is not that powerful
But we can do much more than this using something
called OPE

⊗ ⊗ OPE Expansion :- Let $O_1(x_1) O_2(x_2)$, ~~are~~ very close or $x_1 \rightarrow x_2$ & there is no other operator nearby.

Then we can show that this product can be written as sum of primary operators & descendants.

$$\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow x_2} \left[O_1(x_1) O_2(x_2) |0\rangle \right] = \sum_k C_{ijk}(x, P) O_k(0) |0\rangle$$

or $\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow x_2} \left[O_i(x_1) O_j(x_2) |0\rangle \right] = \sum_k C_{ijk}(x_{12}, \partial_2) O_k(x_2) |0\rangle$

Now multiply with $O_{k'}(x_3)$ & take expectation value

$$\lim_{x_1 \rightarrow x_2} \langle O_i(x_1) O_j(x_2) O_{k'}(x_3) \rangle = \sum_k C_{ijk}(x_{12}, \partial_2) \langle O_k(x_2) O_{k'}(x_3) \rangle$$

which we know

$$\frac{f_{ijk}}{|x_{12}|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k} |x_{23}|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_i} |x_{31}|^{\Delta_k + \Delta_i - \Delta_j}} = C_{ijk}(x_{12}, \partial_2) \frac{1}{|x_{23}|^{2\Delta_k}}$$

Now we can expand in terms of $|x_{12}| \rightarrow 0$ & $|x_{23}| > |x_{12}|$

then we can fix C_{ijk} upto constant f_{ijk}

This can use to constraint any N-point function

$$\langle \underbrace{O_1 O_2}_{\text{primary}} O_3 O_4 \rangle = \sum_{a,0'} f_{a,0,2,0} f_{0,0,3,0} C_a(x_{12}, \partial_2) C_b(x_{34}, \partial_4) \langle O^a(x_2) O^b(x_4) \rangle$$